EFFECT OF NAA ON FRUIT SETTING, BUNCH WEIGHT AND FRUIT CHARACTERISTICS OF SAMANI AND ZAGHLOUL DATE PALM CULTIVARS

Bakr, E. I.¹; S. El-Kosary¹; A. El-Bana² and H. S. Ghazawy²
1- Pomology Dept., Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University.
2-Central Laboratory for Date Palm Researchs and Development.

ABSTRACT

This study was carried out in 2003 and 2004 seasons in the Experimental Orchard of the Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza. Egypt, to study the effect of fruit thinning using NAA at different concentrations spraying on Samani and Zaghloul date palm cultiivars. Fruit set was decreased when NAA sprayed at 7 or 21 days from pollination especially at 100 or 150 ppm for both cultivars in the two seasons. Also, Samani and Zaghloul fruit physical characteristics i.e. (fruit weight, flesh weight, seed weight, fruit dimensions and fruit size) were enhanced with 100 or 150 ppm of NAA. In addition, Samani and Zaghloul fruit contents of TSS, total soluble sugars, reducing sugar and non-reducing sugars were increased with spraying 100 ppm NAA in the two seasons especially 7 days after pollination.

Keywords: Samani- Zaghloui- NAA- fruit characters - fruit thinning -Date palm cultivar.

INTRODUCTION

Date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera*, L.) has a great economical importance and agricultural uses throughout human's history. Also, it is one of the oldest cultivated fruit trees in the world. Date palm is a very important crop in the Middle East, since it can grow well in both semi-dry desert areas and the newly cultivated land. The date production of Arab world is about 80% of the total production of the world.

Fruit thinning of date palms is an important factor in improving fruit quality (physical and chemical fruit characteristics), consequently the grade of superior fruits for internal marketing and exportation. Also it reduces the alternate bearing of some date palm cultivars.

The effect of NAA at 30, 60 and 90 ppm on fruit of Zaghloul and Samani dates were studied. It was clearly noticed that bunch weight was decreased in all treatments comparing with the untreated bunches. In addition, the average yield per bunch was reduced due to the concentration of (NAA). On the other hand, untreated palms (control) gave the maximum bunch weight comparing with the treated palms. (Abd-El Rahman, 1974; Benjmin et al., 1976; Moustafa et al. 1993; Kamal 1995 and Bassal, 2002). Also, spraying NAA at 60 ppm after 10 days from pollination was the best treatment that increased quality of date palm fruits. (Abdalla et al., 1993; El-Makhtoun et al., 1995 a; Bassal, 2002 and Al-Obeed et al. 2002). In another study, NAA sprayed at 15, 25, 35 and 45 ppm significantly affected (TSS) pecentage of Zaghloul date fruits. Moreover, 35 and 45 ppm of NAA significantly increased (TSS) content in Zaghloul fruits than control in both seasons. El-Makhtoun et al., 1995 a and Al-Obeed et al., 2002). Also, spraying

NAA at 30, 60 and 90 ppm of Zaghloul and Samani date palm cultivars increasing fruit total sugars of Zaghloul and Samani in both seasons. The increase in fruit total sugars was proportinoal to the concentration of growth regulators. Kamal, 1995 and Bassal (2002).

Thus, the present investigation is planned to study the effect of NAA or GA₃ at different concentrations of each on the fruit thinning of both Samani and Zaghloul date palm cvs, aiming to improve their fruit physical and chemical characteristics.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out during two successive seasons (2003 and 2004), at the Experimental Research Station, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza Governorate. Samani and Zaghloul date palm cultivars were used in this investigation. Female palms of both cultivars (15 years old) were pruned at 8:1 leaf /bunch ratio. The palms were received normal agricultural practices. Samani and Zaghloul palm cultivars were pollinated by using the same source of pollen grains just after fourth days of spath cracking in both seasons.

Experimental design: Six palms of each cultivar were used in this experiment. Twelve bunches were left on each palm and were divided into four groups, each group of 3 bunches (replicate). Four concentrations of NAA, i.e. (0,50,100 and150 ppm) were used in this trial and the last group was sprayed by water as control. Each of the studied concentrations was sprayed on three bunches (replicate) for the two cultivars. Therefor, the studied concentrations were sprayed on three of the chosen palms. The indvidual bunches were coverd before and after treatments by tissue paper. The afromentioned treatments were applied at two dates, the first was done at 7 days from pollination and the second was done at 21 days from pollination. Samples of 30 date fruits were randomly picked from each bunch for the determination of fruit physical and chemical properties. Samples (30 fruits from each replicate) were taken every 15 days from pollination towards the end of the study.

Statistical analysis: The obtained data were subjected to analysis of variance. The mean values were compared using LSD method at 5% level. The data were tabulated and statistically factorial analysed according to the randomized complete block design (Snedecor and Cochran,1972). Also, the percentages were transformed to the arcsine to find the bionomial percentages according to steel and Torrie (1980).

The yield of fruits for this experiment was harvested at the second week of September in both seasons for both cultivars (Samani and Zaghloul cvs); and the following data were recorded:

- 1- Bunch weight was estimated as Kg.
- 2- Fruit set percentage was calculated at harvest using this equation:

Total number of setting fruits per bunch

Fruit set %= ------

Total scares number per bunch

- 3- fruit physical properties: Samples were taken from four replicates, each replicate of 20 fruits taken randomly from each bunch to determine fruit weight, flesh weight, seed weight, fruit dimensions (length and diameter), fruit size and fruit firmness.
- 4- Fruit dry weight percentage was calculated as described in A.O.A.C. (1980).
- 5- Total soluble solids content (TSS) percentage was determind in juice fruit as described in A.O.A.C (1980).
- 6- Fruit acidity percentage was determined as described in A.O.A.C (1980) and the titratable acidity was calculated as citric acid (Ranganna, 1978 and Mawlood, 1980).
- 7- Total soluble sugars were determind according to Dubois *et al.* (1956) in the methanol extract using the phenol sulfuric acid method and the concentration was calculated as g/100 g dry weight.
- 8- Reducing soluble sugars were determind in the methanol extract according to Nelson (1944) as described in A.O.A.C (1980) and the percentage was calculated as g/100 g dry weight.

RESULTS AND DISSCUSSION

1-Bunch weight (Kg):

Samani and Zaghloul bunch weight was significantly affected by different concentrations of NAA sprayed at different times, while, time of spraying was not significant in both seasons with Samani cultivar and second season with Zaghloul cultivar. Concerning the effect of different concentrations of NAA; 0.0 NAA (control) gave the highest Samani fruit bunch weight (10.92, 10.8 kg in both seasons, respectively) than other concentrations used of NAA. Also, the same trend was recorded with Zaghloul bunch weight in both seasons.

Concerning the interaction between concentrations and time of spraying, untreated Samani bunches recorded the highest weight which treated at 21 days after pollination (10.92 kg) followed by that sprayed by 100, 50, 150 ppm of NAA (9.65, 8.73 and 8.05 kg, respectively) and the same trend was also noticed in the second season. Also, Zaghloul bunch weight which sprayed at 21 days after pollination (9.20 kg) followed by that sprayed by 100, 50, 150 ppm of NAA (8.70, 7.03 and 6.66 kg, respectively) and the same trend was also noticed in the second season (Table 1).

2-Fruit set percentage:

Samani and Zaghloul fruit set percentages were significantly affected by different concentrations of NAA sprayed at different times during fruit development in both seasons. Samani fruit set recorded higher percentages when sprayed within 7 days from pollination than those sprayed within 21days from pollination.while Zaghloul fruit set did not gave clear trend in this respect.

Concerning the effect of different concentrations of NAA, control treatment gave the highest Samani and Zaghloul fruit set percentage followed by 50, 100 and 150 ppm of NAA in both seasons which was less than control ones.

Table (1): Effect of spraying time and different concentrations of NAA on Samani and Zaghloul bunch weight during 2003-2004 seasons.

	Spraying		San	nani		Mean		Zagl	loul		Mean
	time	0.0	50	100	150	WEalt	0.0	50	100	150	MEGIL
Season 2003	7 days after pollination	10.92	8.46	9.43	7.66	9.12	9.20	6.51	7.56	6.20	7.31
	21 days after pollination	10.92	8.73	9.85	8.05	9.34	9.20	7.03	8.70	6.66	7.90
Mean		10.92	8.60	9.54	7.85		9.20	6.77	8.13	6.34	
	7 days after pollination	10.80	8.53	9.53	8.35	9.30	8.20	6.51	7.18	6.25	7.03
Season 2004	21 days after pollination	10.80	8.43	8.83	8.20	9.06	8.20	7.08	7.40	6.50	7.29
Mean		10.80	8.48	9.18	8.27		8.20	6.80	7.29	6.37	

LOD at 9% IOI.				
San	nani		Zaghlo	ul
2003		2004	2003	2004
Spraying time (A)	= N.S	= N.S	= 028	= N.S
Concentration of NAA (B)	=0.28	=0.32	=0.47	=0.41
(AxB)	=0.39	≃0.45	=0.66	=0.58

Concerning the interaction between concentrations and time of spraying, 50 ppm NAA gave the highest Samani fruit set percentage when it was sprayed after 7 days from pollination (54.55%) than fruits sprayed after 21 days from pollination (53.67%) in the first season followed by 100, 150 of NAA in the first season (49.23%& 48.07%), respectively. The same trend was found in the second season. Moreover, NAA sprayed at 50 ppm gave the highest value in both seasons with Zaghloul fruit set percentage (43.51%& 41.82%) sprayed after 7 days and 21 days from pollination, respectively.

Regarding the interactions bettwen concentrations, spraying time and sampling date on fruit set percentage; Samani fruit set percentage sprayed by 50 ppm NAA after 7 days from pollination recorded 22.46 %at 191 days of fruit age (at harvest) followed by 50 ppm NAA sprayed after 21 days from pollination (21.37%) in the first season, while in the second season 50 ppm NAA sprayed after 7 days from pollination gave the highest Samani fruit set percentage (21.21%) at harvest followed by 50 ppm NAA sprayed after 21 days from pollination (19.63%). On the other hand, Zaghloul fruit set percentage at harvest sprayed by 50 ppm NAA after 7 days from pollination gave the highest set percentage (18.83%) followed by 150 ppm NAA sprayed after 7 days from pollination in the first season, respectively. While in the second season, 50 ppm NAA sprayed after 21 days from pollination gave the highest Zaghloul fruit set percentage (12.48%) followed by 50 ppm NAA sprayed after 7 days from pollination (11.89%), respectively (Table 2).

| Sampling | Season 2003 | Mean Nad (ppm) | Mean Nad (ppm Table (2): Effect of spraying time and different concentrations of NAA on Samani and Zaghloul fruit set percentage during 2003-2004 seasons. LSD at 5% level for:

Season
Spraying time (A)
Concentration of NAA (B)
(AxB)
Sampling date (C)
(AxC)
(AxC)
(AxC) ollination olfination 21 days Sparing days Mean dean ime

3-Fruit weight (g):

Samani and Zaghloul fruit weight was significantly affected by different concentrations of NAA sprayed at different times during fruit development in both seasons.

Concerning the effect of different concentrations of NAA, 150 ppm gave the highest Samani fruit wight (31.25 g in the first and 31.13 g in the second season) followed by 100, 50 and 0.0 which recorded 30.55, 28.20 and 25.17 g in the first and 30.55, 28.16 and 25.61 g in the second season, respectively. The same results were observed with Zaghloul fruit in this regard except that in the first season as 100 ppm of NAA recorded the highest fruit weight (29.23 g) than 150 ppm, which gave 28.62 g.The interaction between concentrations and time of spraying, 150 ppm NAA gave the highest Samani fruit weight when it was sprayed after 7 days from pollination (31.48 g) than those sprayed after 21 days from pollination (31.01 g) in the first season followed by 100, 50 and 0.0 of NAA at the two spraying times, respectively. Similar trend was noticed in the second season. Moreover, NAA sprayed at 100 ppm gave the highest value in both seasons with Zaghloul fruit weight (29.76 & 29.00g) sprayed after 7 days from pollination, respectively. While, 150 ppm NAA recorded the highest value in this respect when it was treated at 21 days after pollination.

Regarding the interactions bettwen concentrations, spraying time and sampling date on fruit weight, Samani fruit weight sprayed by 150 ppm NAA after 7 days from pollination recorded 37.00 g at 191 days of fruit age (at harvest) followed by 150 ppm NAA sprayed after 21 days from pollination (36.36 g) in the first season, while in the second season 100 ppm NAA sprayed after 7 days from pollination gave the highest Samani fruit weight (36.20 g) at harvest followed by 150 ppm NAA sprayed after 21 days from pollination (35.45 g). On the other hand, Zaghloul fruit weight at harvest that sprayed by 100 ppm NAA after 21 days from pollination had the highest weight (35.71 g) followed by 100 ppm NAA sprayed after 7 days from pollination in the first season, respectively. While in the second season, 100 ppm NAA sprayed after 7 days from pollination gave the highest Zaghloul fruit weight (37.03 g) followed by 150 ppm NAA sprayed after 21 days from pollination (34.89 g), respectively (Table 3).

4-Fruit flesh weight (g):

Samani and Zaghloul fruit flesh weight was significantly affected by different concentrations of NAA sprayed at different times during fruit development in both seasons.

Concerning the effect of different concentrations of NAA, 150 ppm gave the highest Samani fruit flesh wight (29.26 g in the first season and 29.15 g in the second season) followed by 100, 50 and 0.0 (28.57, 26.22 and 23.20 g in the first season and 28.59, 26.19 and 23.47 g in the second season), respectively. The same results were also observed with Zaghloul fruit in this regard.

Concerning the interaction between concentrations and time of spraying, 150 ppm NAA gave the highest Samani flesh weight when it was sprayed after 7 days from pollination (29.51 g) than fruits sprayed after 21

days from pollination (29.02 g) in the first season followed by 100, 50 and 0.0 of NAA in the two dates of spraying, respectively. The same trend was also noticed in the second season. Moreover, NAA sprayed at 100 ppm gave the highest value in both seasons with Zaghloul fruit flesh weight (27.83& 27.07 g) sprayed after 7 days and 21 days from pollination, respectively.

Regarding the interaction bettwen concentrations, spraying time and sampling date on fruit flesh weight, Samani fruit flesh weight sprayed by 150 ppm NAA after 7 days from pollination recorded 35.00 g at harvest followed by 150 ppm NAA sprayed after 21 days from pollination (34.36 g) in the first season, while in the second season 100 ppm NAA sprayed after 7 days from pollination gave the highest Samani fruit flesh weight (34.20 g) at harvest followed by 150 ppm NAA sprayed after 21 days from pollination (33.50 g). On the other hand, at harvest, Zaghloul fruits sprayed by 100 ppm NAA after 7 days from pollination gave the highest flesh weight (33.11 g) followed by 150, 50 and 0.0 ppm NAA sprayed after 7 days from pollination in the first season, respectively. The same trend was also noticed in the second season. (Table 4).

5-Seed weight (q):

Samani and Zaghloul seed weights were not significantly affected by different concentrations of NAA sprayed at different times of fruit development in both seasons. Also, seed weight in both cultivars in the two seasons did not show clear trend as affected by time of spraying or different concentrations of NAA.

However, the interaction between concentrations and time of spraying showed that 150 ppm NAA relatevly increased Samani and Zaghloul seed weight when sprayed after 7 and 21 days from pollination than other concentrations.

Regarding the interactions bettwen concentrations, spraying time and sampling date on seed weight, 100 and 150 ppm NAA after 7 and 21 days from pollination recorded the highest Samani and Zaghloul seeds at harvest than other concentrations used (Table 5).

6-Fruit dimensions (cm):

6-1-Fruit length (cm):

Samani and Zaghloul fruit lenght was significantly affected by different concentrations of NAA sprayed at different times of fruit development in both seasons. Samani fruit lenght, which sprayed after 7 days from pollination, recorded the longest fruit lenght (4.10 cm in the first season and 4.08 cm in the second season) than fruits sprayed after 21 days from pollination (3.88 cm in the first season and 3.89 cm in the second season). Regarding to Zaghloul, this relation was cleared in the second season only.

Regarding the effect of different concentrations of NAA, 100 ppm of NAA gave the highest Samani and Zaghloul fruit length in both seasons followed by 150, 50 and 0.0 of NAA, respectively. In addition, the same trend was noticed clearly as affected by interaction in both seasons for both cultivars.

Table (3): Effect of spraying time and different concentrations of NAA on Samani and Zaghloul fruit weight (g) during 2003-2004 seasons.

	adillig to	2	10-4004 SEASOIIS	100	5	'n																		
	Campling	Sama	ni cult	ivar								7	aghlo	n cn	Itival									
Spraying	Sampling Aste	Seaso	n 200	e			Sea	son 2	004			V 2	easol	n 200	6			Ś	easo	n 200	4		_	
time	-	NAA	unda			Mear	Ž	\ ppm			Ž	eau	VAA p	E			ž	ean N	AAp				¥ea	=
	١	0.0	20	100	150		0.0	20	100	15	0	9	.0	00	100	160	_	(c	0	2	90	150	_	
		20.36	22.77	24.64	24.9	5 23.18	21.2	9 23.0	33 25	06 25	48 23	.72 1	09 6	23.10	25.9	323	51 23	8	9.55	21.25	23.52	24.4	222	S
7 days	155	23.58	26.57	28.94	28.7	4 26.96	24.0	1 26.5	38 30	30	57 27	.97 [3.61	26.17	27.4	326	82	82 2	1.12	23.68	26.8	28	24.5	¥
after		26.86	31.07	33.85	35.2	5 31.76	597	2 30.	13 32.	92 33	97 31	<u>.07</u>	00.9	9.13	8.4	82	33 28	88	3.72	27.08	28.62	27.2	9.8	Ŀ
pollination Harvest	- 1	29.89 33.23 35.69 37.00 33.95 30.22 33.11 36.20 35.79 33.83 31.04 32.29 35.11 34.10 33.14 30.12 32.65 37.03 36.96 34.19	33.23	35.69	37.0	33.95	30.7	2 33.	11 36.	20 35	79 33	.83	8	32.29	35.1	8	1033	4 8	0.12	32.65	37.03	36.9	<u>4.</u>	6
Mean		25.17	28.41	30.78	31.4	3 28.96	25.6	2 28.	39 31.	12 31	45 29	15 2	5.06	79.72	20.7	528	38 27	722	3.65	26.16	29.00	28.8	6.92	=
		20.36	23.27	24.54	25.6	9 23.47	21.2	9 22 :	58 23.	50 24	60 23	90	9.60	1.79	24.2	223.	3922	37 1	9.55	20.56	21.58	22.7	321.0	g
21 days		23.58	25.72	28.41	28.8	3 26.64	24.0	1 27.	17 29.	88 23	.75 27	.73 2	3.61	24.70	24.5	326	54 24	86.2	1.12	22.61	24.56	24.9	7333	4
after	. 1	26.86	30.51	32.02	33.1	5 30.64	597	2 29 1	11 31.	75 33	.39 30	30 2	96.00	26.83	30.2	8	12 28	30 2	3.72	26.84	28.54	28.9	527.0	=
pollination Harvest		29.89	32.44	36.28	36.3	5 33.74	30.2	2 32.0	30 34.	99 35	.45 33	.32	8.	22.30	35.7	8	83	64. SQ	0.12	30.76	34.13	8.8	3 32.5	Q
Mean		25.17	27.99	30.51	31.0	1 28.67	25.6	1 27.5	24 29	98 30	.08 28	.59 2	5.06	26.41	28.6	328.	36 27	262	3.65	25.19	27.20	27.8	7 25.9	Ø
Generalmean		25.17	28.20	30.55	31.2	17 28.20 30.55 31.25 26.28 16 30.55 31.13 25.06 27.04 29.23 28.62 20 23.65 25.68 28.10 28.43	25.6	1 28 1	16 30.	55 31	13	7	5.06	7.0	29.2	3 28.	32	ZÍ I	3.65	25.68	28.10	28.4		,
LSD at 6%	LSD at 5% level for:				Sal	Samani													Zaghlou	ᇹ				1
Season				2003			20	94								2003	33		•		20	2004		
Spraying time (A)	time (A)		••	S.S			Z	v,								o N.S	10				2	v.		
Concenti	Concentration of NAA	AA (B)	· -	= 0.25			ų.	34								=0.4					ů	26		
(AXB)				= 0.35	ю		ë.	48								=0.6	~				0	37		
Sampling	Sampling date (C)		••	= 0.25			=0.34	34								=0.43					=0.26	26		
(AXC)				= 0.35	10		먑	48								=0.6	~				မှု	37		
(BXC)				= 0.50	_		=0.68	89								=0.8 ⁷	_				å	29		
(AXBXC)	_			= 0.71	_		=0.96	96								=1.24	_				9	74		

aying time and different concentrations of NAA on Samani and Zaghloul fruit flesh weight (g) 104 seasons.	Zaghloul cultivar.	Season 2003	AA (ppm) Mean NAA (ppm) Mean NAA (ppm)	0 50 100 150 0.0 50 100 150 0.0 50 100 150	<u>6 20 85 22.70 23.01 21.25 19.37 21.06 23.07 23.50 21.75 17.67 21.24 24.22 21.65 21.20 17.55 19.25 21.53 22.58 20.23</u>	21.63 24.58 26.89 26.82 24.98 22.00 25.02 28.31 28.59 25.98 21.65 24.15 25.53 24.05 23.85 19.16 21.17 25.03 24.52 22.47	1.90 28.46 30.95 31.99 29.08 24.12 27.26 28.45 28.00 26.96 21.77 25.09 26.65 25.36	<u>27.85 31.24 33.73 35.00 31.95 28.25 31.15 34.20 33.83 31.86 29.27 30.36 33.11 32.11 31.21 28.23 30.68 35.05 35.03 32.25 </u>	<u>23.20 26.42 28.78 29.51 26.98 23.63 26.42 29.13 29.48 27.17 23.18 25.75 27.83 26.45 25.08 21.68 24.05 27.07 26.87 24.92 </u>	18.46 21.32 22.62 23.70 21.52 19.37 20.61 21.53 22.62 21.03 17.67 19.99 22.31 21.99 20.49 17.55 18.60 19.68 20.72 19.14	21 63 23 77 26 47 26 83 24 67 24 90 25 47 27 70 27 79 25 74 21 65 22 74 22 75 24 59 22 93 19 16 20 67 22 60 22 99	3.25 27.15 30.06 31.41 29.21 24.12 24.83 28.83 28.27 26.51 21.77 24.90 26.58 26.96	3.63 30.66 32.98 33.50 30.19 29.27 30.53 30.53 32.94 30.81 28.23 28.82 32.16 32.95	3.63 25.97 28.06 28.83 26.55 23.18 24.52 26.05 26.94 25.17 21.68 23.24 25.25 25.90	23.20 26.22 28.57 29.26 — 23.63 26.19 28.59 29.15 — 23.18 25.13 26.94 26.69 — 21.68 23.71 26.16 26.38 24.90	Zaghioul	2003	S.N.=	=0.42	9.0=	=0.35 = 0.26	09'01	60.01
A on Samar	aghloul cultiva	season 2003	JAA (ppm)	001 00 100	7.67 21.24 24.3	1 65 24 15 25.5	4.12 27.26 28.	9.27 30.36 33.1	3.18 25.75 27.8	7.67 19.99 22.3	1.65 22.74 22.7	24.12 24.83 28.8	9.27 30.53 30.5	23.18 24.52 26.0	23.18 25.13 26.9		2	Ž	ò	-0 <u>-</u>	9	o c	į
rations of NA	7	42	Mean	0 150	07 23.50 21.75 1	31 28.59 25.98 2	95 31.99 29.08 2	20 33.83 31.86 2	13 29.48 27.17	53 22.62 21.03 1	70 27.79 25.74 2	06 31.41 29.21	98 33.50 30.19	06 28.83 26.55	.59 29.15								
ent concent		Season 2004	NAA (ppm)	0.0 50 100	19.37 21.06 23.	22.00 25.02 28.	24.90 28.46 30.	28.25 31.15 34.	23.63 26.42 29.	19.37 20.61 21.	24.90 25.47 27.	28.25 27.15 30.	23.63 30.66 32.	23 63 25 97 28.	23.63 26.19 28.	ani	2007	S.N.	=0.35	=0.50	=0.35	0.50	1./.
time and differ asons.	ivar.		Mean	100 150	22.70 23.01 21.25	26.89 26.82 24.98	31.83 33.20 29.74	33.73 35.00 31.95	28.78 29.51 26.98	22.62 23.70 21.52	26.47 26.83 24.67	30.05 31.20 28.66	34.30 34.36 31.74	28.36 29.02 26.65	28.57 29.26	Saman	2003	S.S.	= 0.25	=0.36	= 0.25	=0.36	10.0
	Samani culti	Season 2003	NAA (ppm)	0.0	1127 118.46 20.85 22.70	21.63 24.58	24.87 29.05	27.85 31.24	23.20 26.42	18.46 21 32	21.63 23.77	24.87 28.53	27.85 30.47	23.20 26.02	23.20 26.22				(B)	•			
Fable (4): Effect of spr during 2003-20		Spraying Sampling	lime	(days)	127	days 155	after 179	Pollination Harvest	Mean	127	21 days 155	after 179	Pollination Harvest	Mean	General mean	LSD at 5% level for:	Season	ring time (A)	Concentration of NAA (B)		Sampling date (C)		_ ;

Table (5): Effect of of spraying time and different concentrations of NAA on Samani and Zaghloul seed weight (g) during 2003-2004 seasons.

	2003-2004		Seasolls	į																	
	Campling				S	Samani cultivar.	cultiva	ī.							Za	Zaghloul cultivar.	cultiva	Ĭ.			
Spraying	Sampling		Season 2003	n 2003			S	Season 2004	2004 ר	_		5)	Season 2003	1 2003			Š	Season 2004	2004	_	
time	dave		NAA (ppm)	(шаа		Mean		NAA (ppm)	(mdd		Mean		NAA (ppm)	(mdd		Mean	2	NAA (ppm)	(md	Σ	Mean
	(eda)	0.0	20	100	150		0.0	20	100 150	150		0.0	90	100	150		0.0	9	1001	160	
	127	1.90	1.90 1.95 1.95 1.94	1.95	1.94	1.94	1.93 1.96 1.99 1.98	1.96	1.99	1.98		1.97 1.93 1.86 1.77 1.86	1.86	1.77	1.86	1.86	2.00	2.00	2.00 2.00 1.98 1.91	_	1.97
7 days	155	1.94	1.98	2.06	1.92	1.94 1.98 2.06 1.92 1.98	1.96 1.97 1.99 1.99	1.97	1.99	1.99		1.99 1.97 2.02 1.97	2.02	1.97	1.95	1.98 1.96 1.97 1.81	1.96	1.97	1.81	1.98	1.93
after	179	1.93	3 2.02 2.02 2.05	2.02	2.05	2.01	1.98 1.97 1.97	1.97	1.97	1.97	1.99	1.88	1.88 1.87 1.98	1.98	1.93	1.92	1.95 1.97	1.97	1.97	1.90	.95
Pollination	Harvest	2.04	1.99 1.97	1.97	2.00	2.00	2.00 1.96 2.00 1.96	1.96	2.00	1.96	1.97	1.77 1.93 2.00 1.98	1.93	2.00	1.98	1.92	1.98 1.97	1.97	1.98 1.93	L	1.97
Mean		1.97	1.99	1.97	1.97 1.99 1.97 1.98	1.98	1.97	1.96	1.93	1.98	1.97 1.96 1.93 1.98 1.97 1.89 1.92 1.93 1.93 1.92 1.93 1.99 1.94 1.93	1.89	1.92	1.93	1.93	1.92	1.97	1.99	1.94	l	1.96
	127	1.90	1.96	1.92	1.99	1.94	1.93	1.97	1.97	1.98	1.93 1.97 1.97 1.98 1.96 1.93 1.80 1.91 1.90 1.89 2.00 1.95 1.90 1.95	1.93	1.80	1.91	1.90	1.89	2.00	1.95	1.90		1.95
21 days	155	1.94	.94 1.95 1.95 2.00	1.95	2.00	1.96	1.96 2.00 1.98 1.96	2.00	1.98	1.96		1.99 1.97 1.97 1.83 1.94	1.97	1.83	1.94	1.93 1.96 1.94 1.96 1.98	1.96	1.94	1.96 1.	Ĺ	1.96
after	179	1.93	1.93 1.97 1.97	1.97	1.96	1.96	1.98 1.96 1.68 1.98	1.96	1.68	1.98	1.92	1.88 1.99 1.85 1.85	1.99	1.85		1.89	1.95 1.94 1.96	1.94	1.96 1.	1.99	96.1
Pollination	Harvest	2.04	2.04 1.98 1.98 1.99	1.98	1.99	2.00	2.00 1.94 2.01 1.95	1.94	2.01	1.95	96.1 18.1 77.1 77.1 76.1	1.77	1.77	1.81		1.83	1.98	1.95	1.98 1.95 1.97 1.97	⊢	1.97
Mean		1.97	1.96	1.95	1.97 1.96 1.95 1.99		1.97	1.97	1.91	1.99	1.97 1.97 1.97 1.91 1.99 1.96 1.89 1.88 1.85 1.92 1.89	1.89	1.88	1.85	1.92	1.89	1.97	1.94	1.97 1.94 1.95 1.97		1.96
General mean	an	1.97	1.97 1.98 1.98 1.98	1.98	1.98	ļ	1.97 1.97 1.95 1.99	1.97	1.95	1.99			1.89 1.90 1.89 1.92	1.89			1.97	1.96	1.96 1.94 1.95	- 32	
Statistica	Statistical analysis for	-	a was	not si	ignific	lata was not significant for both cultivar In two seasons.	both c	ultiva	r In t	No se	asons,										

Table (6):	Table (6): Effect of spra	spr	ying	ţim	e and	ying time and different concentrations of NAA on Samani and Zaghloul fruit length (cm)	entc	once	entra	tions	of NA	٨	n Sar	nani	and ?	Zaghl	oul f	Tail .	engt	r (cm	=
	during 2003-2004 seasons.	2000	-200	4 se	SOU	Š										'			,		
		Sam	Samani cultivar.	Hivar.								Zagh	Zaghloul cultivar.	ultivar							
Spraying	Sampling	Seas	on 20	8		 	Seas	Season 2004	4			Seas	Season 2003	53			Seas	Season 2004	4		
time	date (daye)	NAA	(ppm	_		Mean	NAA (ppm	(mdd,			Mean	NAA	NAA (ppm)			Mean	NAA (ppm	(mdd)			Mean
	(days)	0.0	0.0 50 10	9	150		0.0	20	100	150		0.0	20	100	150		0.0	20	100	150	
	127	2.67	3.23	3.43	3.40	3.18	2.97	3.23	3.57	3.50	3.32	2.77	3.27	3.37	3.27	3.17	3.07	3.27	3.47	3.33	3.28
7 days	155	3.57	3.73	4.17	4.00	3.87	3.43	3.70	3.93	3.83	3.72	3.37	3.53	3.77	3.63	3.58	3.67	3.80	4.07	3.87	3.85
after	179	4.10	4.30	4.77	4.67	4.46	413	4.33	4.57	4.37	4.35	3.97	4.23	4.47	437	4.26	4.17	4.47	4.80	4.73	4.45
pollination	Harvest	4.60	4.90	5.03	4.97	4.88	4.77	4.87	5.03	4.97	4.91	4.80	4.80	5.20	4.87	4.92	4.87	5.00	5.43	5.20	5.13
Mean		3.73	404	4.35	4.26	4.10	3.83	4.03 4.28		4.15	4.08	3.73	3.96	4.20	4.03	3.98	3.94	4.13	4.44	4.28	4.20
	127	2.67	2.90	3.10	3.03	2.93	2.97	3.07	3.27	3.17	3.12	2.77	3.23	3.43	3.30	3.18	3.07	3.13	3.30	3.27	3.20
21 days	155	3.57	3.63	3.83	3.70	3.68	3.43	3.53	3.70	3.67	3.58	3.37	3.73	4.10	4.03	3.81	3.67	3.53	3.77	3.57	3.64
after	179	4.10	3.97	4.33	4.20	4.15	4.13	4.00	4.23	4.07	4.11	3.97	4.53	4.77	4.67	4.49	4.17	3.93	4.17	4.07	4.09
pollination Harvest	Harvest	4.60	4.70	4.97	4.80	4.77	4.77	4.77 4.87		4.63	4.76	4.80	5.20	5.43	5.20	5.16	4.87	4.90	5.40	5.27	60.9
Mean		3.73	3.80	4.06	3.93	3.88	3.83	3.84 4.02		3.88	3.89	3.73	4.18	4.43	4.30	4.16	3.94	3.88	4.16	4.05	4.01
General mean	nean	3.73	3.92	4.20	4.10]	3.83	3.94 4.15		4.03		3.73	4.07	4.32	4.17		3.94	4.00	4.30	4.16	
LSD at 5%	SD at 5% level for:						,	Saman	·=						Zagi	Zaghlout					
Season						2003				2004				200				2004			
Sprayin	Spraying time (A)				Ñ	= 0.12				=0.13				.0 1	7			=0.18			
Concen	Concentration of NAA (B)	AA (B	_		II	0.14				=0.14				1.0	n			=0.13	_		
(AXB)		,			П	= 0.16				=0.15				1.	4			=0.15			
Samplir	ng date (C)				1	14				=0.14				÷0.1	e			=0.13	_		
(AXC)					fī	0.16				=0.15				=0.1	4			=0.1			
(BXC)	(BXC)				14	= 0.18				=0.18				=0.16	9			=0.17			

Regarding the interactions bettwen concentrations, spraying time and sampling date on fruit lenght, Samani fruit lenght sprayed by 100 ppm NAA after 7 days from pollination recorded at harvest 5.03 cm and after 21 days from pollination (4.97 cm) in the first season. The same trend was also noticed in the second season as well as Zaghloul fruit length in both seasons (Table 6).

6-2-Fruit diameter (cm):

Samani and Zaghloul fruit diameter was significantly affected by different concentrations of NAA sprayed at different times of fruit development in both seasons. While, the effect of spraying time was similar statisculy in both seasons with the two cultivars.

Regarding the effect of different concentrations of NAA, 50 ppm of NAA gave the highest Samani fruit diameter (2.56 cm in the first season and 2.63 cm in the second season) followed by 150, 100 and 0.0 (2.46, 2.44 and 2.24 cm in the first season and 100, 150 and 0.0 ppm (2.48, 2.44 and 2.27 cm in the second season), respectively. The same results were observed with Zaghloul fruit in this regard in both seasons.

Concerning the interaction between concentrations and time of spraying, 50 ppm NAA gave the highest Samani fruit diameter when it was sprayed after 7 days from pollination (2.57 cm) than fruits sprayed after 21 days from pollination (2.56 cm) in the first season followed by 150, 100 and 0.0 of NAA in the first season (2.49 and 2.45 cm) while in the second season it was followed by 100, 150 and 0.0 ppm (2.43 and 2.24 cm), respectively. Moreover, NAA sprayed at 50 ppm gave the highest value in both seasons with Zaghloul fruit diameter (2.58& 2.55 cm) when it was sprayed after 21days and 7 days from pollination, respectively.

Regarding the interactions bettwen concentrations, spraying time and sampling date on fruit diameter, Samani fruit diameter sprayed by 50 ppm NAA after 7 days from pollination recorded 3.13 cm at harvest followed by 50 ppm NAA sprayed after 21 days from pollination (3.07cm) in the first season, while in the second season 50 ppm NAA sprayed after 21 days from pollination gave the highest Samani fruit diameter (3.27 cm) at harvest followed by 50 ppm NAA sprayed after 7 days from pollination (3.17 cm). On the other hand, Zaghloul fruit diameter sprayed by 50 ppm NAA after 7 days from pollination gave the highest diameter 3.23 cm) at harvest followed by 100 ppm NAA sprayed after 7 days from pollination in the first season, respectively. While in the second season, 50 ppm NAA sprayed after 7 days from pollination gave the highest Zaghloul fruit diameter (3.27 cm) followed by 50 ppm NAA sprayed after 21 days from pollination (3.23 cm), respectively (Table 7).

7-Fruit size:

Samani and Zaghloul fruit size was significantly affected by different concentrations of NAA sprayed at different times of fruit development in both seasons. While, the effect of spraying time was statistically similar in both seasons with the two cultivars.

Table (7): Effect of spraying time and different concentrations of NAA on Samani and Zaghloul fruit diameter (cm)	Effect of	spra	ying	time	and	differ	ent c	once	ıntrai	tions	of N	Š	ı San	ani (and 2	Zaghl	oul fi	zit d	iame	ter (c	Ë
	during 200	2003	-2004	sea	03-2004 seasons																
	S. C.	Sama	ini cul	tivar.								Zagh	Zaghloul cultivar.	Itivar.							
Spraying	=	Seas	on 200	5		_	Seas	Season 2004)4			Seas	Season 2003				Seaso	Season 2004	4		
	date.	AAA	(mdd)			Mean	_	NAA (ppm)			Mean	NAA	NAA (ppm)			Mean	NAA (ppm	(mdd,			Mean
		0.0	8	100	150		0.0	90	100	160		0.0	20	100	150		0.0	90	100	150	
	127	1.63	1.93	1.83	1.87	1.82	1.67	1.97	1.80	1.77	1.80	1.53	1.83	1.80	1.73	1.72	1.53	1.83	1.73	.73	1.7.1
7 days	155	2.07	2.33	2.23	2.27	2.23	2.07	2.43	2.30	2.30	2.28	1.97	2.23	2.17	2.13	2.13	2.00	2.20	1.97	1.97	2.04
after		2.47	2.87	2.73	2.77	2.71	2.47	2.87	2.73	2.67	5.69	2.53	2.83	2.73	2.70	2.70	2.40	2.93	2.83	88.	2.74
Polination	Harvest	2.80	3.13	3.00	3.07	3.00	2.87	3.17	2.97	2.83	2.96	2.97	3.23	3.13	3.10	3.11	2.83	3.27	3.10	300	3.05
Mean		2.24	2.57	2.45	2.49	2.44	2.27	2.61	2.45	2.39	2.43	2.25	2.55	2.46	2.42	2.42	2.19	2.56	2.41	2.38	2.39
	127	1.63	1.97	1.87	1.87	1.84	1.67	2 00	1.90	1.80	1.84	1.53	1.90	1.80	1.87	1.78	1.53	1.97	1.87	1.77	.78
21 days	155	2.07	2.33	2.23	2.27	2.23	2.07	2.27	2.13	2.13	2.15	1.97	2.33	2.23	2.20	2.18	2.00	1.87	1.80	1.80	1.87
after	179	2.47	2.87	2.73	2.77	2.71	2.47	3.03	2.87	2.90	2.82	2.53	2.97	2.90	3.00	2.85	2.40	2.87	2.73	2.70	2.68
Pollination	Harvest	2.80 3.07 2.87	3.07	2.87	2.83	2.89	2.87	3.27	3.10	3.10	3.08	2.97	3.13	3.10	3.07	3.07	2.83	3.23	3.13	3.13	3.08
Mean		2.24	2.56	2.43	2 43	2.42	2.27	2.64	2.50	2.48	2.47	2.25	2.58	2.51	2.53	2.47	2.19	2 48	2.38	2.36	2.35
General mean		2.24	2.58	2.44	2 46		2.27	2.63	2.48	2.44	į	2.25	2.56	2.48	2.48	-	2.12	2.52	2.37	2.36	
LSD at 5%	SD at 5% level for:						Sa	Samani								Zaghlou	loui				
Season					20	93				200	¥			2	103				2004		
Spraying time (A)	time (A)				Z	ທ				5.Z	,,			z I	w.				S.N=		
Concenti	ation of NA	AA (B)			- 0.1					=0.1					13				=0.13		
(AXB)	(AXB)				= 0.14	7				=0.16	9			=0.15	15				=0.16		
Sampling date (C)	date (C)				± 0.1	2				1.0				äÖ.	13				=0.13		
(AXC)					ē	7				.0.	40			ij	15				-0.15		
(BXC)					0	16				=0.1	~			ij	17				=0.17		
2000					4	•				4				9	;				1		

Regarding the effect of different concentrations of NAA, 150 ppm of NAA gave the highest Samani fruit size (29.26 cm³ in the first and 29.15 cm³ in second season) followed by 100, 50 and 0.0 of NAA (28.57, 26.22 & 23.20 cm³ in the first and 28.59, 26.19 and 23.63 cm³ in the second seasons, respectively). The same results were observed with Zaghloul fruit in this regard in both seasons.

Concerning the interaction between concentrations and time of spraying, 150 ppm NAA gave the highest Samani fruit size when it was sprayed after 7 and 21 days from pollination (29.51 and 29.02 cm³ respectively) than fruits sprayed by other concentrations of NAA and control. Also, the same trend was noticed with Zaghloul cultivar in both seasons.

Regarding the interactions between concentrations, spraying time and sampling date on fruit size, Samani fruit size sprayed by 150 ppm NAA after 7 days from pollination recorded (35.00 cm³) followed by 150 ppm NAA sprayed after 21 days from pollination (34.36 cm³) in the first season, while in the second season 100 ppm NAA sprayed after 7 days from pollination gave the highest Samani fruit size (34.20 cm³) at harvest followed by 150 ppm NAA sprayed after 21 days from pollination (33.50 cm³). On the other hand, harvested Zaghloul fruit, sprayed by 100 ppm NAA after 7 days from pollination gave the highest fruit size followed by 150 ppm NAA which sprayed 21 days from pollination in both seasons.(Table 8).

8-Fruit firmness (Lb./sq.inc.):

Samani and Zaghloul fruit firmness was significantly affected by different concentrations of NAA sprayed at different times of fruit development in both seasons (Table 9). Samani and Zaghloul fruit firmness was higher with spraying at 21 days from pollination than 7 days in the first season and the opposite was stated in the second season.

Regarding the effect of different concentrations of NAA, 100 ppm of NAA recorded the lowest Samani and Zaghloul fruit firmness in the first season, while 150 ppm recorded the lowest value in the second season than other concentrations. Also, it was clearly noticed that fruit firmness was significantly decreased by fruit development for both cultivars in the two seasons.

Concerning the interaction between concentrations and time of spraying, 100 and 150 ppm NAA reduced Samani and Zaghloul fruit firmness in both seasons at the two spraying times than 50 ppm NAA and control treatment. Moreover, the interaction between time of spraying, concentrations of NAA and sampling date showed that firmness of Samani and Zaghloul fruit were the lowest at harvest during the two seasons (Table 9).

9-Fruit moisture:

Samani and Zaghloul fruit moisture content was significantly affected by the interaction between concentrations of NAA, sprayed time and fruit development in both seasons. On the opposite, there is no significant differences were noticed due to the effect of spraying time, different concentrations and interaction between them.

Table (9): Effect of spraying time and different concentrations of NAA on Samani and Zaghloul fruit firmness (kg/sq inch) during 2003, 2004 seasons	ffect of spray	f spra	ying	time	/ing time and differe	diff	eren	t cor	cen	trati	ons	of N	¥	n S	ama	ni ar	Ζ̈́Þ	aghi	oul f	zit.	firm	ess	(kg/s
-	,	Sama	ni cu	i cultivar.	5	200	<u>:</u>						Zag	Zaghloul cultivar.	言	var.		1					
Spraying Samp	SamplingSeason	Seasc	on 2003	03		_	Se	Season 2004	2004				Sea	Season 2003	2003				Seas	Season 2004	004		-
1	, (e	NAA (p	(mdd			Mea	Ž	MeanNAA (ppm	(mc			MeanNAA ppm	Š	id \	_		Ī	Mean	M	MeanNAA ppm	_		Mean
days)s)	0.0	20	9	150	_	0.0	20	¥	100 150	50		0.0	20	9	0	150		0.0	င္တ	100	150	_
127		12.87	_	11.7	11.9	312.1	313	4312	081	1.601	1.80	12.41	12.6	311	7010	731	931	5.5	13.5	0125	3311	1.9711.7611.9312.1313.4312.0811.6011.8012.4112.6311.7010.7310.9311.5013.5012.5311.9011.5012.36	5012
155		10.779	9.80	9.83	9.93	10.0	811	6311	.0710	731	0.87	11.07	10.9	310	7010	131	201	10.49	11.7	310	39.9	.80 9.83 9.93 10.08/11.63/11.07/10.73/10.87/11.07/10.93/10.70/10.13/10.20/10.49/11.73/10.539.97 9.80 10.51	9
179	١.	8.77	7.53	7.80	7.80 8.03 8.03 9.17 8.80 8.07 8.23 8.57 8.83 8.17	8.03	9.1	7 8.	30	07 8	3.23	8.57	83	8.	7 7.6	33	8	3 156	9.33	8.84	6.4	7.63 8.00 8 1569.33 8.84 7.97 8.07	7 8.43
Pollination Harvest	vest	7.50 6	6.63	6.23	.63 6.23 7.23 6.90	6.90	7.7	7.70 7.37 6.77	37 6.		7.00	7.21	7.80	7.80 6.87 6.20 6.27	7 6.7	00	27 7	7 28	8.83	8.00	7.7	8.83 8.00 7.73 7.77	7 8.08
		9.98		8.88	98 8 88 9 28 9 28	9.28		10.489.83	33 9.	9.29 9	.48	9.48 9.78 10.059.36 8.67 8.85 9.23	10.0	59.3	5 8.6	37 8	85 6	3.23	10.8	10.859.86	9.39	9 9.28	8 9.85
127		12.871		11.4	1.9311.4311.5011.9313.4310.779.93	011.9	313	4310	779		0.00	11.0	312.6	312	=	471	1.401	1.90	13.5	0121	311	10.0011.0312.6312.1 11.4711.4011.9013.5012.1311.5010.7311.97	7311
21 days 155		10.779	9.93	9.37	.93 9.37 10.2010.0711.638.67	910.0	1711.	638.6	37 8.	8.07 8	333	9.18	10.9	310	3310	931	9	0.57	11.7	39.77	8.33 9.18 10.9310.3310.0311.0010.5711.739.77 8.67		8.80 9.74
179	(8.77 7	7.90	7.97	90 7.97 8.10 8.19 9.17 8.13	8.15	9.1	7 8.	13 7.	7.77	3.10	8.10 8.29	8.83	8.83 8.00	14 0	20 12	47 8	3.18	9.33	7.83	7.50 7.47 8.18 9.33 7.83 7.07	7 7.20	98.4 0
ollinationHarvest	vest	7.50 7		7.23	.60 7.23 7.37 7.43 7.70 7.00 6.13	7.43	3 7.7	0 7.0) (S		30	6.30 6.78		7 80 7.53	3 6.67		09.9	7.15	8.83	8.83 7.53	3 7.00	0 7.03	3 7.60
		9.98 9.34 9.00 9.30	9.34	9.00	9.30	9.41		10.488.64	34 7.	8 86.4	8.18	8.82	10.0	59.4	9 8 9	32 9	12	3.45	10.8	59.32	8.56	10.059.49 8.92 9.12 9.45 10.859.32 8.56 8.44	4 9.29
General mean	ے	9.98 9.16	9.16	8.94	9.29		10	10,489,33 8.63	33 8	63 8	8.83		10.0	10.059.43	3 8.80		8.98	1	10.8	10.859.59		8.98 8.86	
SD at 5% level for:	Į.						Sa	Samani											Zaghlou	Ino			
Season	č			2003					~	904						2003)		2	ĭ	
Spraying time (A)	8			E	IO.18				ī	0.14					•	Ž.					e	<u>∞</u>	
ation	Concentration of NAA (B)	<u>8</u>		Ħ	= 0.11				И	ö.14					•	ö. 1 9					9	•	
					± 0.15				ī	0.20					•	0.27					o P	<u>∞</u>	
date (<u>ပ</u> ြ			II	= 0.11				0	4					•	9.19					9	<u>e</u>	
(AXC)				n 1	1 0.16				י וו	=0.20 =0.20						=0.27					.0.18 1.0.18	∞ 9	
				' '	77.0				1	2.43					•	5 6						9 9	
					2.5				î	÷					•	2					7		

Regarding the interactions between concentrations, spraying time and sampling date on fruit moisture content, harvested Samani and Zaghloul fruit moisture content showed the highest value with 100 ppm of NAA at 7 and 21 days from pollination than other concentrations. (Table 10).

10- Fruit total soluble solids:

Samani and Zaghloul fruit content of TSS was significantly affected by different concentrations of NAA sprayed at different times from pollination day in both seasons (Table 11). Samani fruit TSS, which sprayed after 21 days from pollination, recorded the higher fruit content of TSS (24.07 % in the first season and 25.64 % in the second season) than fruits sprayed after 7 days from pollination (23.35 % in the first season and 22.45 % in the second season). Regarding to Zaghloul cv., the same trend was also noticed in both seasons.

Regarding the effect of different concentrations of NAA, 100 ppm of NAA gave the highest content of TSS in Samani and Zaghloul fruit followed by 150, 50 ppm NAA and control treatment in both seasons. Moreover, the same trend in this regard was also noticed as affected by interaction between concentrations and time of spraying for Samani and Zaghloul fruit content of TSS in the two seasons.

Regarding the interactions between concentrations, spraying time and sampling date on fruit TSS, Samani fruit content of TSS sprayed by 100 ppm NAA after 21 days from pollination recorded 35.07% at harvest followed by 100 ppm NAA sprayed after 7 days from pollination (34.67%) in the first season, The same trend was found in the second season. On the other hand, Zaghloul fruit content of TSS at harvest sprayed by 100 ppm NAA after 7 days from pollination gave the highest TSS percentage (35.27%) followed by 100 ppm NAA sprayed after 21 days from pollination (33.87%) in the first season. While in the second season, 100 ppm NAA sprayed after 21 days from pollination gave the highest Zaghloul fruit content of TSS (36.01%) followed by 50 ppm at 21 days (35.00%) and 100 ppm NAA sprayed after 7 days from pollination (32.97%).

11- Fruit content of total soluble sugars (%):

Table (12) indicate that Samani and Zaghloul fruit content of total soluble sugars was significantly affected by different concentrations of NAA spraying at different times from pollination day in both seasons. While, spraying time did not devlop any significant difference within the two cultivars in both seasons.

Regarding the effect of different concentrations of NAA, 50 ppm of NAA raised the fruit content of total sugars (20.47 % in the first and 20.70 % in the second season) followed by 150, 100 and 0.0 (19.55%, 18.89 % and 17.47 % in the first season, respectively, while followed by 100, 150 and 0.0 (19.88%, 19.11 % and 18.17 % in the second season, respectively). Also, the highest total soluble sugars content was obtained with Zaghloul fruits when they were sprayed by 100 ppm NAA (20.71% at 1st and 21.55 at 2nd season) followed by 150, 50 ppm NAA and control treatment.

Table (10): Effect of spraying time and different concentrations of NAA on Samani and Zaghloul fruit moisture content during 2003-2004

		Spraying	- time			7 days	मीय	pollination	946		21 days	after	Pollination		ð	LSD at 5								
		_	date (days)		127	155	179	Harvest	Mean	127	155	179	Harvest	Mean	General nican	LSD at 5% level for:	Season	Spraying time (A)	Concentration of NAA	(AXB)	Sampling date (C)	(VXC)	(BXC)	(AXIIXC)
		_		0.0	80.99	79.66	71.21	67.19	73.51	80.99	79.66	71.21	67.19	73.51	73.51			(V)	N of NAA		ne (C)			
	Season		Y Y Y	80	82.65	79.58	71.00	62.62	73.96	81.32	79.38	1.00	62.2	73.48	73.72				(E)					
	Season 2003		NAA (ppm)	901	83.12	19.61	11.61	63.03	74.34	82.04	79.47	71.66	63.00	74.04	74.19		.,		Î	R	1		ı	ш
				150	82.66	79.30	71.30	62.47	73.93	81.46	79.20	71.44	62.92	73.76	73.84		2003	R.S.	»X	N.S.	•! 23	- 1.32	= 1.46	- 165
Samuni cultivar			Mean		8236	79.54	71.28	62.58	73.94	81.45	79.43	71.08	62.58	23.65	1									
ultivar.				0.0	80.89	79.44	70.36	62.32	73.25	\$0.89	79.44	70.36	62.32	73.25	73.52	Sameni								
	Scason 2004		NAA (ppm)	30	81.39	79.45	70.93	62.42	73.55	10'18	79.14	20.60	62.67	73.36	73.45		2004	χ Ζ 1	2 Z	S Z ¥	F1.18	=1 25	=1.36	12
	2004		(mdd	8	81.85	79.46	72.02	62.80	74.03	81.54	79.62	71.12	63.04	73 83	73.93		z		s.	s	••	v.	9	_
			_	150	81.31	79.62	27.17	62.14	73.7	81.10	79.15	40.5	62.14	73.36	73.53									
	Γ		Mcan		81.36	79.49	71.26	62.42	73.63	81.14	79.34	87.07	62.54	73.45	1									
				0.0	85.34	83.52	70.21	62.30	75.34	85.34	83.52	70.21	62.30	75.34	75.34									
	Season 2003		NAA (ppm)	08	85.30	84.50	70.96	62.89	75.91	85.51	84.51	70.25	62.91	75.79	75.85									
	2003		Ē	8	85.46	26.92	11.51	63.53	74.37	85.28	85.34	71.12	63.04	76.19	75.28		2003	S.N.	Z S	N.S	- 1.40	8	-2.51	-3.98
25	\mid	_	<u>-</u>	051	85.00	84.37	71.07	63.04	75.87	85.29	85.00	70.80	63.04	76.03	75.95		_							
Zaghloul cultivar	-		Mean	<u> </u>	85.28	82.34	70.94	62.94	75.37	85.36	84 59	99'04	62.82	75.84	1									
ıltivar.				0.0	85.30	\$3.23	16.69	62.24 6	75.17	85.3	83.23	16.69	62.24 6	75.17	75.17	Zaghloul								
	Season 2004		NAA (ppm)	08	85.37	83.72	70.24	62.40	75.43	85.23	84.40	70.48	62.60	75.68	75.55									
	9004		Ê	001	85.44	84.23 8	71.39 7	63.19	76.06	85.50	85.23 8	7 72.17	62.43 6	76.11	76.08		2004	S.N.	S.N.	S.N.=	1.20	1.29	14.	-1.58
		Γ-		150	85.2	84.26	71.49 7	63.00	75.99	85.70	85.06	7 .03	62.10 6	75.97	75.98									
			Ž		\$5.33	13,86	70.76	62.71	75.66	\$5.43	24.48	70.67	62.34	75.73	l									

11.00 13.20 14.50 13.87 13.14 12.06 14.23 16.87 13.73 14.22 10.93 13.53 15.57 14.43 13.62 11.10 17.30 17.83 17.40 15.91 19.10 19.77 21.14 20.13 20.04 21.10 24.02 24.37 15.07 20.49 17.00 18.73 20.57 19.67 18.99 19.73 22.43 23.97 22.53 22.17 21.50 19.77 21.14 20.13 20.04 21.10 21.40 24.37 15.07 20.49 17.00 18.73 20.57 19.67 18.99 19.73 22.43 23.97 22.53 22.17 21.50 24.13 22.37 35.73 29.67 32.40 31.17 31.97 35.77 29.80 28.07 27.74 26.13 27.39 30.47 29.50 22.90 25.33 31.89 34.67 33.70 32.63 31.83 32.37 35.73 29.67 32.40 31.17 31.97 35.27 32.00 32.60 30.10 31.60 32.97 32.67 31.84 21.60 22.88 25.09 23.38 22.29 24.23 26.98 20.38 22.63 24.17 23.17 17.00 20.90 23.07 22.30 20.82 19.73 22.93 24.83 23.47 22.74 20.15 21.50 22.30 22.55 24.61 21.00 21.53 23.33 27.55 21.10 22.13 25.30 24.17 23.17 17.00 20.90 23.07 22.30 20.82 19.73 22.93 24.83 23.47 22.74 20.15 21.50 22.30 25.70 25.30 Table (11): Effect of spraying time and different concentrations of NAA on Samani and Zaghloul fruit TSS content = N.S = 0.33 = 0.46 = 0.46 = 0.66 = 0.93 Mean Season 2004 NAA (..)m) Zaghloul cultivar. Season 2003 =0.35 =0.29 =0.41 =0.29 Mean NAA (ppm) Mean NAA (ppm) =0.40 =0.32 =0.46 =0.45 =0.45 =0.65 Season 2004 Samani = 0.40 = 0.28 = 0.26 = 0.28 (%)during 2003-2004. Sampling Season 2003
date NAA (ppm)
(days) 0.0 50 100 Samani cultivar. Concentration of NAA (B) LSD at 5% level for: Spraying time (A) Pollination Harvest Pollination Harvest Season 155 155 179 127 127 General mean Spraying 21 days / days lafter (AXB) Mean Mean after

=0.58

= 0.40

Sampling date (C)

(AXC)

(BXC) (AXBXC)

Tab	le (12):	Table (12): Effect of sp sugars cor	cont	oraying time and different ortent (%) during 2003-2004	g tir %) c	THE A	nd o	liffer 303-2	oo4.	ouce	ıntra	tions	s of N	ĕ [S uo	ama	ni an	oraying time and different concentrations of NAA on Samani and Zaghloul fruit total soluble ntent (%) during 2003-2004.	hlou	I frai	t tota	Sol	ple	_
Ü	Spraving	Sampling		Season 2003	200	2			Samant Cuntivar.	Season 2004	2004			\perp	Sesson 2003	200	1	Zagnioui custivar.			Season 2004			_
	time	date		NAA (ppm)	E GO	3 =	ž	Mean	5 Z	NAA (ppm	E		Mean	L	N	NAA ppm	2	Mean		3	NAA ppm		Mean	
_		(days)	0.0	8	ş	150		1	0.0	8	$\overline{}$	150		0	8	20	150	_	0	⊢	5	150	_	
<u> </u> _		127	8.62	12.22	11.8	011	27 10	86.	8.62 12.22 11.80 11.27 10.98 8.62 9.32 9.07 9.52 9.13 8.93 9.30 10.07 9.62	3.32	9.07	9.52	9.13	8.93	9.30	10.0	7 9.62	9.48	3.9	5 11.2	311.30	10.97	9.48 9.95 11.23 11.30 10.97 10.86	-
7	days	155	13.65	15.83	15.4	0 16	53 15	53	5.25 2	0.05	8.97	18.00	18.32	13.38	14.33	15.2	914.6	13.65 15.83 15.40 16.53 15.53 16.25 20.05 18.97 18.00 18.32 13.38 14.33 15.29 14.60 14.40 14.60 17.09 18.68 17.62 17.00	014.6	0.17.0	9 18.6	17.62	17.00	
after	er	6/1	20.93	24.18	22.6	921	88 22	37 2	1.202	3.48	22 15	21.68	22.13	20.72	23.76	28.0	9 24.5	20.93 24.18 22.69 21.68 22.37 21.20 23.48 22.15 21.68 22.13 20.72 23.76 26.09 24.52 23.77 20.61 22.18 24.52 23.56 22.72	7 20.6	1 22 1	8 24.5	23.56	122.72	12.
S.	Pollination Harvest	Harvest	26.68	29.33	28.2	327.	23 27	.87 2	5.60 2	9.93	29.40	27.07	28.25	27.75	28.78	30.8	2.62	26.68 [29.33] 28.23 [27.23] 27.87 [26.60] 29.93 [29.40] 27.07 [28.25 [27.75] 28.78] 30.82 [29.28] 29.16 [29.05] 29.4 2 [30.76 29.83] 29.77	6 29.0	5 29.4	2 30.70	3.29.83	129.77	-
ž	Mean		17.47	20.39	19.5	319	18	141	8.1712	0.701	9.80	19.07	19.46	17.70	19.0	120.5	7 19.5	17.47 20.39 19.53 19.18 19.18 19.18 20.70 19.00 19.07 19.46 17.70 19.04 20.57 19.50 18.50 18.55 19.98 21.31 20.50 20.09	0 18.5	5 19.9	8 21.3	20.50	20.08	T =
L_		127	8.62	10.57	10.	9.6	17 9.	73 8	1.62	3.90	8.32	8.37	8.55	8.93	10.27	11.5	3 11.3	7 10.5	3 9.9	5 10.3	011.2	10.47	10.50	-
79	21 days		13.65 18.27 17.27 16.17 16.34 16.25 19.48 18.51 17.45 17.92 13.38 16.00 17.90 17.09 16.09 14.60 16.73 20.14 18.03 17.38	18.27	17.2	7 16.	17 16	8	5.25 1	9.48	8.51	17.45	17.92	13.38	16.00	17.9	0.710	9 16.0	914.6	0 16.7	320.1	18.00	17.38	Tan-
-00		179	20.93	23.38	22.4	921.	47 22	07 2	1.202	4.08	2.40	22.55	20.06	20.72	21 23	23.1	3 22.0	0 21.7	7 20.6	1 23.5	4 25.5	324.18	3 23.48	T=-
ፎ	ollination	Harvest	26.68	30.00	28.4	327.	17 28	07 2	5.603	0.35	23.23	28.25	28.61	27.75	29.97	30.8	7 29.9	26.68 30.00 28.43 27.17 28.07 26.60 30.35 29.23 28.25 28.61 27.75 29.97 30.87 29.92 29.63 29.05 29.53 30.15 29.65 29.59	3 29.0	5 29.5	2 30.1	5 29.65	5 29.56	-
ž	Mean		17.47	20.55	19.5	7 18.	50 19	.05	8.17 2	0.71	7.12	19.16	18.79	17.70	19.37	20.8	3 20.0	17.47 20.55 19.57 19.60 19.05 18.17 20.71 17.12 19.16 18.79 17.70 19.37 20.86 20.08 19.51 18.55 20.02 21.79 20.58 20.24	1 18.5	5 20.0	2 21.79	320.58	3 20.2	
ලී	General mean	ian.	17.47	17.47 20.47 19.55 18.89	19.5	5 18.		1	118.17 20.70 19.88 19.11	0.70	9.88	19.11		17.70	19.20	20.7	17.70 19.20 20.71 19.80		- 18.5	[18.55 20.00 21.55 20.54	021.5	5 20.5		_
LSD	LSD at 5% level for:	vel for:			S	Saman	 -														Zaghlou	loul		1
Season	5				••	2003				2004	3					2003	m				2007			
Spra	Spraying time (A)	e (A)			H	≅ N.S				EN.S	co.					=N.S					=N.S			
S	centration	Concentration of NAA (B)	<u>@</u>		Ħ	= 0.21				=0.20	2					0.2	~				■0.29			
(AXB)	6				n	= 0.30				=0.29	ø.					≖0,38					=0.42			
Sam	Sampling date (C)	te (C)			II	= 0.21				=0.20	2					=0.27	7				≖0.29			
Š	ច				ĭ	- 0.30				=0.29	<u>6</u>					=0.38					=0.42			
<u>8</u>	(C)					e 0.4 2				10.	Ξ					≖0.55	6				= 0.59			
<u>ş</u>	AXBXC)				Ħ	= 0.60				=0.69	6					=0.77	2				=0.84			

Concerning the interaction between concentrations and time of spraying, 50 ppm NAA sprayed at 7 and 21 days from pollination was the soperior to inhancing Samani fruit content of total soluble sugars than other concentrations and control in both seasons. Whereas, 100 ppm sprayed at 7 and 21 days from pollination was the winner by the best effect in this respect for Zaghloul fruits followed by 150, 50 ppm of NAA and control treatment in both seasons, respectively.

Regarding the interactions between concentrations, spraying time and sampling date on fruit content of total soluble sugars, Samani fruit content of total soluble sugars sprayed by 50 ppm NAA after 21 days from pollination recorded 30.00 % at harvest followed by 50 ppm NAA sprayed after 7 days from pollination (29.33 %) in the first season and the same trend was found in the second season, respectively. On the other hand, Zaghloul fruit content of total sugars at harvest sprayed by 100 ppm NAA after 7 and 21 days from pollination gave the highest content of total sugars (30.82 and 30.87 % in the first and 30.76 and 30.15 % in the second season, respectively), than other concentrations and control treatment.

The above-mentioned results are coincided with Mostafa et al. (1993) who used various concentrations of NAA (0, 20, 40, and 60 ppm) spraying on Sewi date palm fruits grown in El-Fayoum at 10, 20 and 30 days after fruit set. They found that NAA treatments increased fruit total sugars content. They also concluded that spraying NAA at 60 ppm after 10 days from pollination was the best treatment in this respect. The increase in fruit total sugars was proportioal to the concentration used of NAA.

12- Fruit content of reducing sugars (%):

Samani and Zaghloul fruit content of reducing sugars was significantly affected by different concentrations of NAA spraying at different times from pollination day in both seasons. (Table 13) Whowever, spraying time did not show any significant effect in this regard in both seasons.

The effect of different concentrations of NAA on Samani and Zaghloul fruit content of reducing sugars was differed significantly in the second season and comparing with control treatment in both seasons. In addition the same trend was also observed in Samani and Zaghloul fruits content of reducing sugars as affected by interaction between concentration and time of spraying in both seasons.

Regarding the interactions between concentration, spraying time and sampling date on fruit reducing sugars, spraying by 100 ppm NAA after 7 days from pollination recorded the highest value in Samani and Zaghloul fruits at harvest comparing with other concentrations and control in both seasons. The same trend was also obtained from spraying 100 ppm NAA after 21 days with Samani and Zaghloul fruits in this regared comparing with other concentrations and control treatment in both seasons.

13-Fruit non-reducing sugars:

Samani and Zaghloul fruit non-reducing sugars was significantly affected by different concentrations of NAA spraying at different times of fruit development in both seasons (Table 14). While, spraying time did not show any significant effect in this respect.

Table (13): Effect of spraying time and different concentrations of NAA on Samani and Zaghloul fruit reducing sugars content (%) during 2003-2004 seasons.

	sugars col	5	E	8	E D	nterit (%) auring 2003-2004 seasons	2-70	4 Sec	SOU												
	Sampling	Sama	ini C	ultivar								Zagh	Zaghloul cultivar	Itivar.							
yIng	date	Seas	on 2	003		_	Seas	Season 2004	94			Seas	Season 2003	9			Seas	Season 2004	¥		
9111	(days)	NAA	udd)	2		Mean		NAA (ppm)			Mean	_	NAA (ppm)			Mean	_	NAA (ppm)			Mean
		0.0	တ္တ	100	150	_	0.0	9	100	150		0.0	20	100	150		0.0	9	100	150	
	127 0.18 0.31 0.31 0	0.18	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.28	0.15	0.31	0.29	0.30	0.26	0.61	0.69	0.70	0.68	0.67	0.63	99.0	0.70	99.0	0.67
7 days	155	0.85	0.91	0.93	0.91	0.00	0.92	96.0	0.99	96.0	96.0	0.89	0.93	26.0	0.95	0.94	0.87	0.91	0.95	96'0	0.92
after	179	1.17	1.23	1.28	1.26	1.24	1.20	1.24	1.26	1.25	1.24	1.15	1.173	1.22	1.24	1.20	1.16	1.15	1.20	1.17	1.17
Pollination	Harvest	3.50	4.85	5 5.17	4.63	4.54	3.78	4.15	4.95	4.78	4.42	2.83	3.13	3.42	3.03	3.10	2.97	3.15	3.63	3.33	3.27
Mean		1.43	1.82	1.92	1.78	1.74	1.51	1.67	1.87	1.82	1.72	1.37	1.48	1.58	1.48	1.48	1.40	1.48	1.62	1.54	1.51
l	127	0.18	0.31	0.31	0.30	0.28	0.15	0.28	0.29	0.30	0.26	0.61	0.70	0.73	0.70	69.0	0.63	89.0	0.71	89.0	89.0
21 days	155	0.85	96.	5 0.97	96.0	0.93	0.92	0.97	96.0	0.98	96.0	0.89	26.0	1.05	1.03	0.94	0.87	0.91	6.93	0.94	16.0
after	179	1.17	1.15	1.24	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.22	1.27	1.26	1.24	1.15	1.22	1.25	1.25	1.22	1.16	1.12	1.14	1.11	1.12
Pollination Harvest	Harvest	3.50	3.50	0.93	3.63	3.64	3.78	3.85	4.42	4.10	404	2.83	3.28	3.38	3.07	3.14	2.97	3.25	3.75	3.53	3.38
Mean		1.43	1.49	11.61	1.52	1.51	1.51	1.58	1.74	1.66	1.62	1.37	1.54	1.60	1.51	1.51	1.40	1.49	1.63	1.57	1.52
General mean	ean	1.43	1.66	3 1.77	1.65		1.51	1.62	1.80	1.74		1.37	1.51	1.59	1.49	Ц	40	1.48	1.63	1.55	
LSD at 5% level for:	level for:					Sa	Samani									Zaghłou	Tool				
Season						2003				2004				20	93	•			2004		
Spraying time (A)	time (A)					S.S.				S.S				Ĥ	S.S.			•	S.Z.		
Concenti	Concentration of NAA	AA (B)	_			= 0.12				=0.14				ï	13			"	0.14		
(AXB)						=0.18				=0.16				ű	.15			П	.O.16		
Samplii	ng date (C)					= 0.12				=0.14				ï	.13				0.14		
(AXC)	(AXC)					=0.18				=0.16				H	15				0.16		
(BXC)						=0.25				=0.19				î	.17			и	0.19		
(AXBXC)	_					= 0.36				=0.23				9	7.20			"	0.23		

Table (14): Effect of spraying time and different concentrations of NAA on Samani and Zaghloul fruit non-reducing sugars content (%) during 2003- 2004 seasons.

Į

	1												-					١			١
		Saman	ni cultivar	tivar.								Zaghl	Zaghloul cultivar.	ltivar.							
NING N		Seas	on 200	3			Season 2004	n 2004				Seasc	Season 2003	9			Seas	Season 2004	4		
fine	date	NAA	(mdd)			Mean	NAA (ppm	(mac			Mean	NAA (ppm	(mdd			Mean	Mean NAA (ppm	(wdd)			Mean
	(adys)	0.0	20	100	150		0.0		100	150		0.0	20	100	150		0.0	90	100	150	
	127	8.43	11.91	11.49	11.91 11.49 10.59 10.61	10.61	8.46	9.01	8.77	9.21	8.86	8.32	8.61	9.35	8.94	8.81	9.32	10.55	10.06	10.55 10.06 10.29 10.06	10.0 20.0
7 days	155	12.09		14.47	14.92 14.47 15.62 14.28 15.33 19.09 17.98 17.04 17.36 12.49 13.40 14.32 13.65 13.47 13.73 16.19 17.73 16.67 16.08	14.28	15.33	19.09	17.98	17.04	17.36	12.49	13.40	14.32	13.65	13.47	13.73	16.19	17.73	16.67	16.00
after	179	19.76		21.41	22.96 21.41 20.42 21.14 20.00 22.24 20.89 20.44 20.89 19.57 22.59 24.87 23.65 22.67 19.45 21.02 23.31 22.38 21.54	21.14	20.00	22.24	20.89	20.44	20.89	19.57	22.59	24.87	23.65	22.67	19.45	21.02	23.31	22.38	21.5
Pollination	Harvest	23.18	24.96	23.07	24,96 23.07 22.06 23.33 22.28 25.78 24.45 22.28 23.83 24.92 25.65 27.87 26.25 26.18 26.08 26.25 27.13 26.50 26.49	23.33	22.28	25.78	24.45	22.28	23.83	24.92	25.65	27.87	26.25	26.18	26.08	26.25	27.13	26.50	8. ₩
Mean		15.86		17.61	18.69 17.61 17.18 17.34 16.65 19.03 18.02 17.24 17.74 16.33 17.57 19.11 18.13 17.79 17.15 18.50 19.69 18.96 18.58	17.34	16.65	19.03	18.02	17.24	17.74	16.33	17.57	119.11	18.13	17.79	17.15	18.50	19.65	18.96	18.56
	127	8.43	_	10.25 9.79 9.32		9.45	8.463 8.617 8.02	3.617	8.02	8.06 8.29 8.32 9.57 10.81 10.67 9.84 9.32 9.62	8.29	8.32	9.57	10.81	10.67	9.84	9.32	9.62	10.56	10.56 9.79	9.82
21 days	155	12.09		16.29	17.32 16.29 15.20 15.40 15.33 15.81 17.55 16.47 16.29 12.49 15.03 16.84 16.06 15.11 13.73 15.81 19.21 17.08 16.46	15.40	15.33	15.81	17.55	16.47	16.29	12.49	15.03	16.84	16.06	15.11	13.73	15.81	19.21	17.08	16.46
after	179	19.76		21.25	22.20 21.25 20.27 20.87 20.00 22.87 22.13 21.29 21.57 19.57 20.01 21.88 20.75 20.55 19.45 22.42 24.45 23.07 22.36	20.87	20.00	22.87	22.13	21.29	21.57	19.57	20.01	21.88	20.75	20.55	19.45	22.42	24.4	23.07	22.36
pollination Harvest	Harvest	23.18		24.50	26.50 24.50 23.35 24.38 22.28 26.50 24.82 24.15 24.57 24.92 26.68 27.49 26.85 26.48 26.08 26.27 26.40 26.12	24.38	22.28	26.50	24.82	24.15	24.57	24.92	26.68	27.49	26.85	26.48	26.08	26.27	26.40	26.12	26.22
Mean		15.86		17.96	19.07 17.96 17.08 17.54 16.65 19.12 18.13 17.49 17.85 16.33 17.82 19.25 18.58 18.00 17.15 18.53 20.15 19.01	17.54	16.65	19.12	18.13	17.49	17.85	16.33	17.82	19.25	18.58	18.00	17.15	18.53	20.1	19.01	18.71
General mean	ean	15.86		17.78	18.82 17.78 17.24		16.65 19.08 18.08 17.37	19.08	18.08	17.37		16.33	17.69	16.33 17.69 19.12 18.31	18.31		17.15	18.52	19.92	17.15 18.52 19.92 18.99	
LSD at 5% level for:	evel for:					Saman	E.									Zac	Zaghloul				
Season					20	2003					2004				2003	-			~	2004	
Spraying time (A)	те (A)				S.S.	s.				18	S.S.				S.Z.				ħ	į.s	
Concentration of NAA (B)	on of NAA	<u>@</u>			0	= 0.22				ıı	0.21				=0.26	9			ĭ	.29	
(AXB)					"	= 0.32				ıl	=0.30				=0.38	~			ũ	-0.41	
Samples (C)	_				0 =	= 0.22				11	0.21				=0.2	ø			Ĭ	.29	
(AXC)					II	0.32				н	0.30				=0.3				Ĭ	1	
(BXC)					ī	= 0.45				ıı	=0.43				=0.53	e			ĬĬ	.58	
(AXBXC)					î	=0.64				а	=0.60				=0.76	9			Ĭ	=0.82	

Regarding the effect of different concentrations of NAA, 50 ppm gave the highest Samani fruit content of non-reducing sugars (18.82 % in the first and 19.08 % in the second season) followed by 100, 150 and 0.0 ppm NAA (17.78 %, 17.24 % and 16.05 % in the first season and 18.08 %, 17.37 % and 16.65 % in the 2nd season), respectively. Wheras, in Zaghloul cultivar, 100 ppm NAA was the superior in this field followed by 150, 50 and 0.0 ppm NAA in both seasons.

Concerning the interaction between concentration and time of spraying, 50 ppm NAA gave the highest Samani fruit content of non-reducing sugars whenit was sprayed after 7 or 21 days from pollination followed by 100, 150 and 0.0 ppm NAA in both seasons. In addition, regarding to Zaghloul fruits, 100 ppm NAA sprayed after 7 or 21 days

from pollination produced the highest value in this respect followed by 150, 50 and 0.0 ppm NAA in both seasons.

Moreover, harvested Samani fruits which were sprayed by 50 ppm NAA at 7 or 21 days had the highest non-reducing sugars comparing with other treatments in both seasons. On the other hand, harvested Zaghloul fruits had the highest value in this regared when it was sprayed by 100 ppm NAA at 7 or 21 days from pollination comparing with other concentrations in both seasons.

In conclusion and recommendation:

Spraying NAA at different concentrations (0, 50, 100 and 150 ppm) on Samani and Zaghloul date palm cvs. after 7 or 21 days from pollination Fruit set was decreased when NAA sprayed at 7 days from pollination especially at 100 ppm for both cultivars in the two seasons. Also, Samani and Zaghloul fruit physical characteristics i.e. (fruit weight, flesh weight, seed weight, fruit dimensions and fruit size) were enhanced with 100 ppm of NAA. In addition, Samani and Zaghloul fruit contents of TSS, total soluble sugars, reducing sugar, non-reducing sugars and pigments were increased with spraying 100 ppm NAA in the two seasons especially 7 days after pollination.

REFERENCES

- A.O. A. C. (1980). Official Methods of Analysis 13th ed. Association of Official Agricultural Chemists, Benjaimin franklin station, Washington, D. C.
- Abdalla, M. Y.; H. M. El-Masry, and G. A Said (1993): Effect of some growth Regulators on the yield and fruit properties of Sewi dates. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. 18 (1): 155-163.
- Abd-El-Rahman, M. H. (1974): Studies on physiological and physical changes in the fruit of some date varieties after maturity. M. Sc. Thesis. Fac. of Agric. Cairo University.
- Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Cairo University.

 Al-Obeed R. S.; M. A. Harhash and N. S. Fayez, (2002): Effect of chemical thinning on yield and fruit quality of Succary date palm cultivar grown in Riyadh region. Plant Producation Dept., College of Agriculture, King Saud Univ. Saudia Arabia. In proceedings of the symposium of the date palm in Saudia Arabia.
- Bassal, M. A. (2002): Effect of thinning and some growth regulators on yield and fruit quality of Zaghloul date palm. In proceedings of the fourth symposium of the date palm in Saudia Arabia. Pp: 419-430.

- Benjamin, N. D., Shabana, H. A., Al-Ani, B. A., Clor, M. A., Jawad, K.S., and Shaibani, A. M. H. (1976): "Effects of some growth regulators on the depressed period of development, and physico-chemical changes during different stages of ripening of date fruits. Palms and Dates Research Center. Scientific Researn Foundation Board of Planning, Baghdad, Iraq. Technical Bull. No, 1/75. (1975), 1-23.
- Dubois, M.; K. A. Gilles; J.K. Hamilton; P.A. Repers and Smith, F. (1956): Colonmetric method for determination of sugars related substances. Anal. Chem. 28: 350-356.
- El-Makhtoun, F. M.; A. M. Abed El-Kader and A. A. Abed El-Al (1995 a): Effect of different fruit thinning methods on yield and fruit characteristics of "Zaghloul" dates. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. 22 (1): 143-
- Kamal. H. M. (1995): Effect of some growth regulators on the physical and chemical properties of date fruits.Bull. Fac. Agric., Univ. Cairo, 46 (1995): 215-228.
- Moustafa, A. A., A. S. Samir and Al. Abou El-Azayem (1993): Date fruit response to Naphthalene-acetic acid. The Third Sym. on Date Palm in Saudi Arabia: 369.
- Mawlood, E. A. (1980): physiological studies on fruits development of Samani and Zaghloul date plam cultivars. Ph. D. Thesis, Hort. Dept. Agric. Fac. Cairo Univ.
- Nelson N. (1944): A photometric adaptation of the Smogi methods for determination of glucose. J. Bio. Chem. 153. 375- 379.
- Ranganna, S. (1978): Manual of Analysis of fruit and vegetable products.

 Tata McGraw- Hill, publishing company limited NewDelhi
 Snedecor, G.W. and Cocharn, W.G. (1972): Statistical methods 6th ed., the
- Iowa state Univ., Press Ames. Iowa. U.S.A., pp. 593.
- Steel, R.G.D., And Torrie, J. H. (1980): Principles and procedures of statistics. McGraw- Hill International Book company, New York, London.
 - تاثير نفثالين حامض الخليك على العقدو المحصول وخصائص الثمار لصنفي البلح السماني و الزغلول

السيد ابراهيم بكر '، سامي القصري '، عبد المنعم البنا وهشام غزاوي "

١ -قسم الفاكهة - كلية الزراعة - جامعة القاهرة.

٢-المعمل المركزى للا بحاث و تطوير نخيل البلح.

أجريت هذه الدراسة خلال موسمي ٢٠٠٢و ٢٠٠٤ في محطة البحوث والتجارب الزراعيــة بكليــة الزراعة جامعة القاهرة بالجيزة و ذلك لدراسة تاثير رش نفثالين حامض الخليك(NAA) بتركيزات (٥٠،٠، ١٠٠ ، ١٥٠ جزء في المليون) في موعدين هما الاول بعد مرور ٧ يوم والثاني بعد ٢١ يوم مـــن اجـــراء التلقيح على خف ثمار نخيل البلح السماني والز غلول.

وو جد من الدراسة ان الرش ادى إلى حدوث خف للثمار عند رش NAA بعد ٧ يوم مـــن التلقــيح زادت جودة صفات الثمار الطبيعية مثل وزن الثمرة – وزن اللحم –طول وقطر الثمار وخاصة عنـــد رش NAA بتركيز ١٠٠ جزء في المليون .كما تحسنت الصفات الكيماوية للثمـــار (المـــواد الصـــلبة الكليـــة، السكريات الكلية والمختزلة والغير مختزلة) عند رش NAA بتركيز ١٠٠ جزء في المليون بعد ٧ يوم من