RESPONSE OF SNAP BEAN "Phaseolus vulgarus, L." TO SOME SALICYLIC ACID DREVATIVS AND SELENIUM UNDER HIGH TEMBERATURE STRESS.

Kmal, A.M.; E. A. Amen, and A.M. Al-Said Veget. Res. Dept., Hort. Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

The field experiments were conducted during the two successive summer season of 2004 and 2005 at Kafer El-Wekala, Sherbin, Dakahlia, Egypt to study the effect of foliar nutrition of some salicylic acid derivatives and selenium on growth and productivity of snap bean (c.v. Branco). The complete randomized block design was used. Salicylic acid, acitylsalicylate and salicylamid were used as salicylic derivatives at 0, 100 and 150 ppm. Sodium selenate at 0, 25 and 50 ppm was used as a source of selenium. The main findings obtained from this investigation showed that using 150 ppm of salicylic acid as a foliar spray gave the highest increase in No. of branches, fresh weight and dry weight. On the other hand, plant height was not significantly affected by all used treatments.

The highest reducing sugars, non reducing sugars, total sugars and total protein were produced by foliar application of 150 ppm of salicylic acid and 50 ppm of sodium selenate. Moreover, number of flowers was not significantly affected by all used foliar treatments. No. of pods, pods sitting and green podes yield were

significantly affected by foliar application of salicylic acid at 150 ppm.

INTRODUCTION

Snap bean (*Phaseolus vulgarus*, L.) is considered one of the most important vegetable crops grown in Egypt for both export and local consumption. Snap bean is a sensitive plant to heat stress, the optimum temperatures for growth and productivity are $18 - 24^{\circ}$ C, linear increase in temperature during late summer planting season resulted in thermal damage of pigment-protein complex structure of chlorophyll (Murkowski, 2001), heat stress also, led to internal disturbance in all of the physiological process. Among the methods that extensively used to increase stress tolerance are the use of salicylic acid and selenium. Salicylic acid is stress signaling compound in plant, treating chili seeds with salicylic acid or sulfosalicylic (sulfer derivative) was effective in inducing seedling resistance to cold stress, manifested as increasing leaf number, plant fresh and dry weight (Benavides et al., 2002).

Several studies indicated that foliar spray with salicylic acid increased the fresh and dry weight of plant, pod setting and total proteins of leaves and fruits. (Sitaramaiah and Pathak, 1981, on tomato, Jasiswal and Bhambie, 1989, on vigna radiate (L.), Liu Xini et al., 2000 and Sanaa et al., 2001, on broad bean. Moreover, Kalarani et al. (2002) reported that foliar application of salicylic acid at all used concentration i.e. 50, 100, 150 and 200 ppm showed its efficiency in inducing tomato early flowering moreover, fruit set % and yield components significantly recorded maximum values with spraying tomato plants with 100 ppm of salicylic acid.

Selenium is antioxidant nutrient which have the ability to quench free radicals and thereby form a protective screen around the cells and hence

increasing plant resistance to stress. Stimutatory effect of low concentrations of selenium on plant growth have been reported (Mengel and Kirkby, 1982). However, Xu ChunXia et al. (1996) found that folior application of sodium selenate had no significant effect on growth of tea. On the other hand, selenium application increased the contents of serene, histidine, proline and cystine in potato tubers (Koutnik et al., 1997).

Hu QiuHui et al. (2001) mentioned that spraying soybean plants with 200 or 400 mg/L of sodium selenite significantly increased linolic acid content in seeds, however, selenium application had no effect on protein and amino

acid.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of foliar spraying of some salicylic acid derivatives and selenium on growth and yield of snap paean under height temperature stress.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were laid out at Kafer El-Wekala. Sherpine. Dakahlia, Egypt, during two successive seasons of 2004 and 2005 to investigate the effect of some salicylic derivatives and selenium on growth, flowering and yield of snap bean under heigh temperature stress. The monthly average temperature during seasonal growth 2004 and 2005 are shown in Table (1). The complete randomized block design was used with three replicates. Salicylic acid, acitylsalicylate and salicylamid were used as salicylic derivatives at 0, 100 and 150 ppm. Sodium selenate at 0, 25 and 50 ppm was used as a source of selenium. Snap bean seeds (c.v. Branco) were sown on 1st of May of 2004 and 2005 respectively. Sprays were started after 7 days from germination and repeated at 7 days intervals during the growth seasons. All agriculture treatments were followed according to the instructions laid by Ministry of Agriculture. At 60 days from sowing five plants were randomly taken from each plot for determining the vegetative growth parameters i.e. plant height, No. of branches, fresh weight and dry weight per plant. Reducing, non-reducing and total sugars were determined in the dry matter of shoots as described by Nelson (1944). Total protein in shoots dry matter (%) was determined by using the Modified Micro Kjeldahl apparatus according to Anonymous (1975), then the obtained values were multiplied by 6.25 as used by Tripathi, et al. (1971). All obtained data were subjected to statistical analysis according to Gomez and Gomez (1984).

Table (1): The monthly average temperature during seasonal growth 2004 and 2005.

	200	04	20	005
Month	Max.	Min.	Max.	Min.
May	28.3	16.7	28.0	17.0
June	31.3	19.4	32.5	20.6
July	32.0	20.3	31.6	20.3

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1- Vegetative growth parameters:

The data reported in Table (2) showed significant differences in the response of spraying salicylic derivatives and selenium.

Table (2): Effect of some salicylic acid derivatives and selenium on plant height, No. of branches, fresh weight

		Seas	Season 2004			Seaso	Season 2005	
Ireatments	No. of	F.W.	D.W.	Plant height	No. of	FW	MO	Diant hoight
	branches	g/plant	g/plant	(cm)	branchas	d'alant	d'alant	riant neign
Control	21.92	3 13	22 30	217	24 40	S. Piani	gi piani	(cm)
Se 25 nnm	22 44	000	26.30	74.7	24.13	3.21	24.41	2.76
	22.11	3.20	21.53	2.41	25.34	3.13	25.01	281
mdd oc ac	21.74	3.27	24.14	2.68	24.56	3 27	24 31	27.0
Act 100 ppm	25.13	3.53	26.13	3.09	23.16	333	25.16	2.13
Act 150 ppm	24.12	3.53	25.19	3.02	26.10	3.02	27.00	200.7
Sa 100 ppm	29.22	3.66	29.12	3.52	24 11	3.67	27.50	2.00
Sa 150 ppm	32.11	3.87	31 72	4 01	27.64	0.00	20.12	3.17
Sam 100 ppm	77.77	3 13	27.12	000	40.72	3.83	28.98	3.40
Sam 150 ppm	2000	000	27.77	3.20	27.09	3.66	25.09	3.81
of 100 ppm + 00 25	23.00	0.00	41.67	2.94	25.74	3.53	26.03	2.92
Act 100 ppm + Se 25 ppm	70.14	3.45	25.21	2.97	24.21	3.53	25.21	278
ct 100 ppm + se 50 ppm	28.00	3.40	24.13	2.85	25.61	367	26 71	200
oct 150 ppm + Se 25 ppm	27.60	3.60	23.11	2.78	25.01	3 73	26.03	2.90
ct 150 ppm + Se 50 ppm	24.77	3.67	24 71	200	27.00	200	20.00	7.30
a 100 ppm + Se 25 ppm	30 12	3 27	26 13	10.0	27.00	3.73	27.11	3.09
a 100 nnm + Se 50 nnm	24.05	7.5.0	20.13	3.12	25.14	3.87	27.18	3.11
Sa 100 ppm + Sc 26 ppm	31.05	3.45	26.03	3.11	26.15	3.67	27.01	3.14
a 100 ppill + Se 23 ppill	29.14	3.60	29.81	3.85	25.89	3.93	28 99	3 37
a Ivu ppm + se su ppm	28.99	3.73	28.61	3.78	22.74	3.87	29.51	3.71
sam 100 ppm + Se 25 ppm	27.09	3.20	26.11	3.06	24.77	3.53	25 14	2 85
	31.14	3.33	25.87	3.06	23 13	267	26.40	20.00
Sam 100 ppm + Se 25 ppm	29.06	3.40	26 41	3.16	26.10	0.0	20.19	2.90
	30 13	3 33	27.51	0000	20.13	5.00	24.99	2.85
	ON	000	10.12	3.30	12.67	3.67	26.51	3.04
- Codium colonete	0.7	0.18	2.01	0.351	N.S	0.24	1.87	0.223
lenate	N.S 0.19 Act = acitylsalicyls	N.S 0.19 Act = acitylsalicylate	2.01	0.351	25.81 N.S	3.67		1.87

Using 150 ppm of salicylic acid gave the highest increase in no. of branches, fresh weight and dry weight. The same data reveal that foliar sprayers of salicylic derivatives and selenium at different used levels had No. significant effect on plant height, the two seasons had the same trend. These results are coinciding with Sitramaiah and Pathak, 1981, on tomato, Jasiswal and Bhambie, 1989, on *Vigna radiate* (L.), Liu Xini et al., 2000 and Sanaa et al., 2001, on broad bean.

2- Chemical composition of plant foliage:

Data presented in Table (3) show that the highest reducing sugars, non reducing sugars, total sugars and total protein resulted by foliar application of 150 ppm of salisylic acid and 50 ppm of sodium selenate, however, the increment did not reach the level of significant with respect to non reducing sugars at the first season and total sugars at the second season of this work. Such results are in agreement with those of Koutnik et al. (1997) and Hu QiuHui et al. (2001).

The stimulatory effect of salicylic acid upon the formation of sugar contents and total protein might be due to the role of such agent in the stimulation of the pentose-phosphate pathway and glucose-6- phosphate as

well as the synthesis of protein (McCue et al., 2000).

3- Yield and it's components:

Data in Table (4) indicated that number of flowers per plant had no significant response to all used foliar treatments. The same data showed also that no of pods, pods sitting and green podes yield were statistically affected by foliar applications of salicylic acid either in the presence or absence of selenium. The highest increment in the 1st and 2nd seasons, respectively were realized for the treatment of 150 ppm salicylic acid, whereas the lowest one was happened for the untreated plants. Such results relatively are in harmony with those of Kalarani et al. (2002) on tomato. The enhancing effect of salicylic acid on pods sitting may be due to the stimulatory effect of salicylic acid on IAA (Oxine) and IPA (Cytokinin) in leaves (Liu Xin et al., 2000). Meanwhile, the promotional effect of salicylic acid on yield and it's components could be logically true under the present work conditions since, such treatment improved the vegetative growth parameters and the contents of sugars compared with control (Tables 2 and 3). In addition, salicylic acid stimulate the phenolic synthesis, phenolic secondary metabolites and proline contents (McCue, 2000) those that in closely relation with hot tolerane. All of those attributes might lead to the improvement of bean yield and it's components.

Table (3): Effect of some salicylic acid derivatives and selenium on Reducing sugars, Non Reducing sugars,

Treatments Reducing sugars Non radg DM sugars Total mg/g DM 15.51 9.00 Reducing sugars mg/g DM mg/g DM mg/g DM mg/g DM mg/g DM mg/g DM 15.51 9.00 Reducing sugars mg/g DM mg/g DM mg/g DM mg/g DM mg/g DM 15.51 9.00 Reducing sugars mg/g DM mg/g DM mg/g DM mg/g DM 11.21 26.13 18.75 26.13 19.69 Sa 100 ppm Sam 100 ppm Sam 100 ppm + Se 25 ppm Act 100 ppm + Se 25 ppm 15.89 10.21 24.87 24.87 20.06 24.87 20.09 26.21 24.87 20.09 Sa 100 ppm + Se 25 ppm 17.99 11.02 26.21 24.87 20.09 26.21 20.09 26.21 20.09 26.21 20.09 Sa 100 ppm + Se 25 ppm 17.99 11.32 28.15 26.21 20.09 26.21 20.09 26.21 20.09 26.21 20.09 Sa 100 ppm + Se 25 ppm 17.99 11.32 28.15 26.21 20.09 26.21 20.09 26.21 20.09 26.21 20.09	Reducing sugars mg/g DM 11.22 11.28 11.34 11.82	Non Reducing sugars mg/g DM 7.35 7.77	Total sugars mg/g DM	Total
## Se 25 ppm 14.92 14.92 14.92 14.92 14.92 14.03 14.05		Reducing sugars mg/g DM 7.35 7.77	sugars mg/g DM	
mg/g DM sugars mg/g DM mg/g DM mg/g DM mg/g DM sugars mg/g DM mg/g DM sugars mg/g		sugars mg/g DM 7.35 7.77 7.62	mg/g DM	protein %
## 14.99 ## 15.3.14 ## 14.99 ## 15.51 ## 14.89 ## 13		7.35 7.77 7.62)	mg/g DM
14.99 8.15 23.14 14.68 8.13 22.81 15.51 9.00 24.51 15.62 10.51 26.13 15.04 11.07 26.11 17.10 11.21 28.31 17.10 11.21 28.31 15.89 9.02 24.91 15.89 9.02 24.91 15.89 9.02 24.91 15.89 10.21 24.87 15.80 ppm 14.92 8.97 23.85 15.85 ppm 14.66 10.21 24.87 15.85 ppm 14.66 10.21 24.87 15.85 ppm 14.91 11.30 26.21 17.99 11.16 28.15 17.99 11.16 28.15	11.28	7.77	18 57	17 00
14.68 8.13 22.81 15.51 9.00 24.51 15.62 10.51 26.13 15.64 11.07 26.11 15.04 11.21 28.31 15.04 11.21 28.31 15.09 10.13 25.73 15.60 10.13 25.73 15.89 9.02 24.91 15.89 9.02 24.91 15.89 9.02 24.91 15.89 10.21 24.65 15.89 11.02 20.91 15.89 11.02 20.91 15.89 11.02 20.91 15.89 11.16 28.15 15.89 11.16 28.15	11.28	7.62	10.01	10.00
15.51 9.00 24.51 15.62 10.51 26.13 15.04 11.07 26.11 17.10 11.21 28.31 15.89 9.02 24.91 15.89 9.02 24.91 15.89 10.21 24.91 15.60 10.13 25.73 15.60 10.21 24.65 15.25 ppm 14.92 8.97 23.85 15.26 ppm 15.89 11.02 20.91 15.89 11.02 20.91 15.89 11.16 28.15 17.99 11.16 28.15 15.60 ppm 16.09 11.13	11.34	7.62	19.05	18.03
15.44 8.27 23.71 15.62 10.51 26.13 15.04 11.07 26.11 17.10 11.21 28.31 15.89 10.2 24.91 15.89 9.02 24.91 15.89 10.13 25.73 15.60 10.13 25.73 15.89 9.22 24.11 15.24 9.22 24.11 15.24 9.41 24.65 15.24 11.02 20.91 15.89 11.02 20.91 15.89 11.16 28.15 17.99 11.16 28.15 15.60 ppm 16.09 11.16 28.15	11.82	1	18.96	18.11
15.62 10.51 26.13 15.04 11.07 26.11 17.10 11.21 28.31 15.89 10.2 24.91 15.89 10.21 24.91 15.60 10.13 25.73 15.60 10.13 25.73 14.89 9.22 24.11 15.24 9.41 24.65 15.24 10.21 24.87 15.80 11.02 20.91 15.89 11.02 20.91 15.89 11.16 28.15 15.80 ppm 16.09 11.16 28.15 15.80 ppm 17.99 11.16 28.15	11 52	8.75	19.44	18.82
+ Se 25 ppm 15.04 11.07 26.11 17.10 11.21 28.31 15.60 10.13 25.73 15.60 10.13 25.73 15.60 10.21 24.91 14.92 8.97 23.85 15.24 14.66 10.21 24.87 14.66 10.21 24.87 15.89 11.02 20.91 15.89 11.16 28.15 15.89 11.16 28.15 15.89 11.16 28.15 15.89 11.16 28.15 15.89 11.16 28.15 11.30 26.21 15.89 11.16 28.15 11.30 26.21 11.30 26.21 11.30 26.21 11.30 26.21 11.30 26.21 11.30 26.21 11.30 26.21 28.41 11.30 28.15 11.30 28.41 11.30 2	30.11	8.67	20.19	18.91
+ Se 25 ppm + 15.89	13.31	9.03	22.34	21.00
+ Se 25 ppm + 15.89 9.02 24.91 + Se 25 ppm + 14.89 9.22 24.11 + Se 50 ppm + 14.92 8.97 23.85 + Se 50 ppm + 15.24 9.41 24.65 + Se 50 ppm + 15.89 11.30 26.21 + Se 50 ppm + 17.99 11.16 28.15 + Se 25 ppm + 16.09 11.32 28.41	14.35	9.21	23.56	22.31
15.60 10.13 25.73 14.89 9.22 24.11 14.92 8.97 23.85 15.24 10.21 24.65 14.66 10.21 24.87 15.89 11.02 20.91 14.91 11.30 26.21 17.99 11.16 28.15 16.09 11.32 28.41	12.01	8.23	20.24	19.35
14.89 9.22 24.11 14.92 8.97 23.85 15.24 9.41 24.65 14.66 10.21 24.87 15.89 11.02 20.91 14.91 11.30 26.21 17.99 11.16 28.15 16.09 11.32 28.41	11.81	8.37	20.18	20.21
15.24 9.41 24.65 15.24 9.41 24.65 14.66 10.21 24.87 15.89 11.02 20.91 14.91 11.30 26.21 17.99 11.16 28.15 16.09 11.32 28.41	11.53	8.55	20.08	18.91
15.24 9.41 24.65 14.66 10.21 24.87 15.89 11.02 20.91 14.91 11.30 26.21 17.99 11.16 28.15 16.09 11.32 28.41	11.41	8.71	20.12	18.73
14.66 10.21 24.87 15.89 11.02 20.91 14.91 11.30 26.21 17.99 11.16 28.15 16.09 11.32 28.41	12.05	8.61	20.66	18.82
15.89 11.02 20.91 14.91 11.30 26.21 17.99 11.16 28.15 16.09 11.32 28.41	12.13	8.78	20.91	18.95
14.91 11.30 26.21 17.99 11.16 28.15 16.09 11.32 28.41	13.51	8.98	22.49	21.04
17.99 11.16 28.15 16.09 11.32 28.41	14.22	9.00	23.22	22.82
16.09 11.32 28.41	14.18	9.11	23.29	21.45
20.00	14.37	9.31	23.68	22.51
15.00 11.01	11.41	8.24	19.65	20.54
16 51 10 59 27 10	13.51	7.92	21.43	19.87
997 2371	13.44	8.21	21.65	19.13
15 51 956 2507	14.11	8.26	22.37	21.01
130 NS 201	0.83	0.36	N.S	1.32

Table (4): Effect of some salicylic acid derivatives and selenium on No. of flowers, No. of pods, pods sitting % and green bod's vield of snan hean during 2004 and 2005

		Season 2004	2004			Seas	Season 2005	
Ireatments	No. of	No. of pods	Pods	Green pods	No. of	No. of	Pods	Green pods
	flowers/	plant	sitting %	yield ton/fed	flowers/	spod	sitting %	vield ton/fed
	plant				plant	plant)	
Control	35.00	10.82	30.86	3.713	39.50	14.74	37.31	3 551
Se 25 ppm	35.32	10.32	29.22	3.905	40.12	14.89	37.11	3 595
Se 50 ppm	35.34	11.00	31.13	3.805	42.53	15.88	37.33	3610
Act 100 ppm	34.46	11.66	33.85	4.010	36.82	14.36	39.01	4.013
Act 150 ppm	32.69	11.15	34.11	3.975	41.97	16.46	39.21	4 043
Sa 100 ppm	33.76	12.19	36.11	4.055	39.14	16.05	41.00	4 162
Sa 150 ppm	36.00	13.70	38.06	4.421	41.66	18.30	43.15	4 230
Sam 100 ppm	37.00	12.00	32.41	4.310	40.53	15.85	39.11	4 119
Sam 150 ppm	36.68	12.15	33.12	4.330	41.36	16.91	40.89	4 078
Act 100 ppm + Se 25 ppm	34.47	11.77	34.15	4.085	37.14	14.42	38 82	4 016
Act 100 ppm + Se 50 ppm	35.41	11.80	33.32	4.120	39.30	15.61	39.72	4 111
Act 150 ppm + Se 25 ppm	34.22	11.33	33.11	4.115	40.36	16.64	41 22	4.055
Act 150 ppm + Se 50 ppm	36.78	11.45	31.13	4.050	38.12	15.37	40.32	4 091
Sa 100 ppm + Se 25 ppm	35.58	12.15	34.15	4.078	40.66	17.46	42 93	4 140
Sa 100 ppm + Se 50 ppm	36.18	12.30	34.00	4.125	41.00	17.15	41.82	4 122
Sa 100 ppm + Se 25 ppm	36.96	13.00	35.17	4.355	36.97	15.90	43.02	4 180
sa 100 ppm + Se 50 ppm	35.83	13.62	38.01	4.345	40.75	17.45	42.83	4 161
Sam 100 ppm + Se 25 ppm	35.51	11.83	33.31	4.261	37.92	14 88	30.23	4 135
Sam 100 ppm + Se 50 ppm	35.34	12.14	34.35	4.235	42.00	16.81	40.04	4 127
sam 100 ppm + Se 25 ppm	38.69	12.45	32.18	4.344	39.75	15 90	39 99	4 130
Sam 100 ppm + Se 50 ppm	36.58	12.53	34.25	4.305	39.45	16.38	41.52	4 161
S.D. at 5%	N.S.	0.85	2.08	0.161	N.S	1.03	1.82	0 105
Se = Sodium selenate	Q (Act = acitylsalicylate	late					

CONCLUSION

Under such conditions of this study, it can be concluded that salicylic acid at 150 ppm improved number of branches, fresh weight and dry weight, increased leaves sugar contents and total protein, enhanced number of pods, pods sitting and green podes yield of snap pean during late summer season planting.

REFERENCES

- Anonymous, (1975). Official methods of analysis. 10th and 12th Ed., Association of official Agriculture Chemists. Washington, D.C.
- Benavides, M.A.; F. Ramirez-Godina, V. Robledo-Torres, H., Ramirez. Rodriguez and R.K. Maiti (2002). Chili seed treatment with salicylic and sulfosalicylic acid modified seedling epidermal anatomy and cold stress tolerance. Crop Research (Hisar), Vol. 24, No. 1, pp. 19 25, 13 ref.
- Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez (1984). Statistical procedures for agricultural research 2nd ed. John Wiely and Sonic, P. 139 153.
- Hu QiuHui, Yang FangMei, Pan Genxing and Chen Licheng (2001). Influence of foliar application of selenium on soybean seed quality and on selenium level in soybean food. Chinese Journal of oil crop science. Vol. 23, No. 3, pp. 42 45, 12 ref.
- Jasiswal, P.K. and S. Bhambie (1989). Effect of growth regulators substances on podding yield of *Vigna radiata* (L.). Acta Botanical Indica. Vol. 17, No. 1, pp. 54 58, 22 ref.
- Kalarani, M.K.; M.K. Thangaraj, M. Sivakumar and V. Mallika (2002). Effect of salicylic acid on tomato. Crop Research. Vol. 23, No. 3, pp. 486 – 492, 14 ref.
- Koutnik, V., S. Kracmar, P. Kourek and L. Zeman (1997). The effect of foliar application of selenium on the amino acid spectrum in potato tubers. Acta Universitatis Agricultural et Silvicultural Mendelicanae Brunesis. Vol. 45, No. 1, pp. 39 – 45, 5 ref.
- Liu Xini, Li Yun and Zhang ShuQiu (2000). Effect of salicylic acid on growth and content of IAA and IPA of broad bean seedlings. Plant physiology communications. Vol. 36, No. 6, pp. 512 514, 9 ref.
- McCue, P.; Zheng ZuoXing, J.L. Pinkham and K. Shetty (2000). A model for enhanced pea seedling vigour following low pH and salicylic acid treatments. Brocess Biochemistry. Vol. 35, No. 6, pp. 603 – 613, 61 ref.
- Mengel, K. and E.A. Kirkby (1982). Textbook of principles of plant nutrition. $3^{\underline{\text{rd}}}$ ed. P. 566. International Potash Institute. Bern, Switzerland.
- Murkowski, A. (2001). Heat stress and spermidine effect on chlorophyll fluorescence in tomato. Biologia Plantarum, Vol. 44, No. 1, pp. 53 57, 25 ref.
- Nelson, N. (1944). A photometric adoption of the semogyi method for determine of glucose, J. Biol. Chemi,, 153: 373 377.

Sanaa, A.M.Z.; S.I. Ibrahim and H.A.M.S. Eldeen (2001). The effect of naphthalene acetic acid (NAA), salicylic acid on growth, fruit setting, yield and some correlated components in dry bean. Annals of Agriculture Science, Cairo, Vol. 46, No. 2, pp. 451 - 463, 28 ref.

Sitaramaiah, K. and K.N. Pathak (1981). Effect of growth regulators, phenolics and aromatic acid on root knot severity on tomato. Zeitschrift fur pflanzenkrankhten und pflanzenschutz. Vol. 88, No. 11,

pp. 651 - 654.

Tripathi, R.D.; G.P. Srivastava; M.S. Misra and S.C. Panda (1971). Protein in some varieties of legumes. The Allah Abad Farmer, 16: 291 - 294.

Xu ChunXia, Li XiangMin and Xiao Yongsue (1996). Effect of spraying sodium selenite content of tea. Journal of tea Science, Vol. 16, No. 1, pp. 19 - 23, 2 ref.

إستجابة نباتات الفاصوليا لبعض مشتقات حمض السلسليك والسلينيوم تحت ظروف الحرارة المرتفعة،

أحمد مصطفى كمال، الشبراوى عبد الحميد أمين والسعيد محمود السعيد قسم بحوث الخضر - معهد بحوث البساتين - مركز البحوث الزراعية - مصر .

أجريت تجربتين حقليتين خلال موسم ٢٠٠٤ و ٢٠٠٥ في كفر الوكالة مركز شــربين -محافظة الدقهلية، مصر وذلك لدراسة الرش الورقى ببعض مشتقات حمض السلسليك والسلينيوم على نمو وإنتاج الفاصوليا صنف برونكو تحت ظروف الحرارة المرتفعة، وقد اســـتخدم ثــــلاث مشتقات للسلسليك وهم حمض السلسليك والأسيتيل سلسيلات والسلسيل أميد بتركيــزات صــفر و ١٠٠ و ١٥٠ جزء في المليون و استخدم أيضا سلينات الصوديوم كمصدر للسلينيوم بتركيزات صفر و٢٥ و ٥٠ جزء في المليون. وقد كانت أهم النتائج المتحصل عليها همي

١- أدى الرش الورقى بحمض السلسليك بتركيز ١٥٠ جزء في المليون إلى إعطاء أفضل نتائج في عدد الفروع للنبات والوزن الغض والجاف، بينما لم يكن هناك تأثير للمعاملات على طول النبات.

٢- أدى الرش الورقى بحمض السلسليك بتركيز ١٥٠ جزء في المليون و٥٠ جزء في المليون من سلينات الصوديوم إلى زيادة محتوى الأوراق من السكريات والبروتينات الكلية.

٣- لم يكن هناك تأثير للمعاملات المختلفة على عدد الأزهار، بينما أدت المعاملة رشا بحمض السلسليك بتركيز ١٥٠ جزء في المليون إلى زيادة عدد القرون ونسبة العقد ومحصول القرون الأخضر .