

DYNAMICS OF FLOWERING IN MATROUH ASWAD AND BLACK ROSE GRAPEVINE CULTIVARS

EI-Shennawy, S. I. A.

Horticulture Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Centre, Giza, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Dynamics of flowering in the two grapevine cvs Matrouh Aswad and Black Rose growing under Nobarria conditions were investigated during 2000 and 2001 seasons.

The obtained results showed that percentage of flowering per day in grapevine cv. Matrouh Aswad increased gradually reaching its maximum in the third and seventh days in both seasons after which a sharp reduction occurred in the subsequent days. The maximum percentage of flowering per day in grapevine cv. Black Rose was presented in the sixth day in the first season and fourth day in the second one. The period of cluster flowering for Matrouh Aswad was 7-9 days and for Black Rose was 7-8 days during the two seasons. Percentages of flowering per hour in both grapevine cvs were low at 8A.M then increased sharply attaining its maximum at 13 P.M. then tended to reduce at 14 P.M.

INTRODUCTION

Yield of all grapevine cvs is substantially affected by behaviour of flowering. Dynamics of flowering in most grapevine cvs acquires its importance from the fact that it is beneficial in determining the suitable time for carrying out some horticultural practices especially those connected with soil management. Dynamics of grapevines flowering studies in Egypt till now are rare. Previous studies showed that flowering period and flowering per hour were greatly varied under environmental conditions and different grapevine cvs (Winkler, 1974; Bessis, 1965; Fawzi 1974; fawzi et al., 1984; EI-Helw-Hanaa & Gaser-Aisha, 2005 and Staudt 1999) .

The merit of this study was studying dynamics of flowering in two grapevine cvs namely Matrouh Aswad and Black Rose growing under Nobarria conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This investigation was initiated during 2000 and 2001 seasons in vineyard located at Horticultural Research Station, Nobarria region on two grapevine cvs Matrouh Aswad and Black Rose 10 years old, uniform in vigour, grown in sandy clay calcareous soil, cordon system spaced 2x3 m a part, irrigated by the surface irrigation system, and leaving 48 buds/vines . All vines received the same horticultural practices already applied in the vineyard. Six grapevines from each cv. were selected for achieving this study.

For carrying out this study 30 clusters borne on moderate growing shoots were chosen, taking into account that all sides of the vine were represented as possible. Factorial experiment within randomized completely block designed with six replications the data was transformed by Bollowing equation:

$$Y = \sqrt{X + \frac{1}{2}}$$

Y = Transformed data

X = actual data

As flowering period approached in both cvs., the work started on each cluster by gently picking up flowers that opened by means of a special forceps with the right hand, while putting the clusters in a horizontal position on the palm of the left hand. The picked flowers were counted every hour starting from 7 A.M till 2 P.M. The work continued till the end of flowering (when the clusters free from flowers). Percentage of flowering per hour was calculated by dividing number of excised flowers at a given hour for all days of flowering by total number of picked flowers then multiplying the product by 100 and percentage of flowering per day was calculated by dividing number of excised flowers in a given day by total number of flowers counted during the flowering period, then the product was multiplied by 100. Data was statistically analysed according to Sendecor and Cochran (1980).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Dynamic of flowering in Matrouh Aswad grapevine cv.

1.1 Percentage of flowering per day

As shown in Tables (1,2) and Figure (1) percentage of flowering per day followed similar trend in both season of the study, regardless of some fluctuations caused by temperature and humidity prevailing during the flowering stage (Winkler, 1974) Percentage of flowering per day reached (As means) 0.29, 1.84, 2.61, 2.31, 2.32, 1.76 and 1.35 % on 3,4,5,6,7,8 and 9 May, respectively in the first season of study. While they were (As means) 0.51, 0.79, 1.01, 1.37, 2.11, 2.18, 2.53, 2.39 and 0.47% on 5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12 and 13 may, respectively in the second seasons .

There was a gradual increase in the percentage of flowering per day up to the third day from the start of flowering in the first season and up to the seventh day in the second season where it reached its peak. Thereafter, it exhibited a sharp decrease reaching its minimum at the end of flowering. Data also indicated that the period of flowering of the cluster was 7 and 9 days in the two seasons, respectively. Percentage of flowering in the second season was lower than the first one. This may be attributed to the fact that year characterized by higher flowering percentage is usually followed by a reduction in the subsequent season as mentioned by Bessis (1965).

Table (1) Dynamics of cluster flowering in Matrouh Aswad grapevine cv. During 2000 season.

| Season 2000 | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Percentage of flowering per days(actual means non transformed mean) | | | | | | | | |
| Time | May 3 | May 4 | May 5 | May 6 | May 7 | May 8 | May 9 | means |
| 7 am | 0 | 2.42 | 2.45 | 2.39 | 2.36 | 2.20 | 1.47 | 1.90 |
| 8 am | 0 | 0.53 | 2.07 | 2.39 | 1.75 | 1.75 | 0.72 | 1.32 |
| 9 am | 0 | 0.68 | 2.10 | 2.86 | 1.95 | 1.75 | 0.79 | 1.45 |
| 10 am | 0 | 1.66 | 2.35 | 2.24 | 1.73 | 1.56 | 1.32 | 1.55 |
| 11 am | 0 | 1.98 | 2.37 | 1.97 | 2.28 | 1.50 | 1.75 | 1.69 |
| 12 am | 0 | 2.54 | 3.13 | 2.33 | 2.65 | 1.89 | 1.37 | 1.99 |
| 13pm | 1.30 | 2.60 | 3.44 | 2.30 | 3.10 | 1.80 | 1.90 | 2.35 |
| 14pm | 1.04 | 2.34 | 2.94 | 2.02 | 2.73 | 1.61 | 1.49 | 2.02 |
| Means | 0.29 | 1.84 | 2.61 | 2.31 | 2.32 | 1.76 | 1.35 | 1.78 |

| Season 2000 | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Percentage of flowering per days (transformed mean) | | | | | | | | |
| Time | May 3 | May 4 | May 5 | May 6 | May 7 | May 8 | May 9 | means |
| 7 am | 0.71 | 1.71 | 1.72 | 1.70 | 1.69 | 1.64 | 1.40 | 1.51 |
| 8 am | 0.71 | 1.01 | 1.60 | 1.70 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.10 | 1.30 |
| 9 am | 0.71 | 1.09 | 1.61 | 1.83 | 1.57 | 1.50 | 1.14 | 1.35 |
| 10 am | 0.71 | 1.47 | 1.69 | 1.66 | 1.49 | 1.44 | 1.35 | 1.40 |
| 11 am | 0.71 | 1.57 | 1.69 | 1.57 | 1.67 | 1.41 | 1.50 | 1.45 |
| 12 am | 0.71 | 1.74 | 1.91 | 1.68 | 1.77 | 1.55 | 1.37 | 1.53 |
| 13pm | 1.34 | 1.76 | 1.98 | 1.67 | 1.90 | 1.52 | 1.55 | 1.67 |
| 14pm | 1.24 | 1.69 | 1.85 | 1.59 | 1.80 | 1.45 | 1.41 | 1.58 |
| Means | 0.85 | 1.51 | 1.76 | 1.68 | 1.67 | 1.50 | 1.35 | 1.47 |

L.S.d 0.05 Hrs 0.03
 L.S.d 0.05 days 0.03
 L.S.d 0.05 Interaction 0.09

Table (2) Dynamics of cluster flowering in Matrouh Aswad grapevine cv. During 2001 season.

| Season 2001 | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| Percentage of flowering per days(actual means non transformed mean) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Time | May 5 | May 6 | May 7 | May 8 | May 9 | May 10 | May 11 | May 12 | May 13 | Means |
| 7 am | 0 | 0.44 | 0.24 | 0.51 | 1.46 | 2.23 | 2.30 | 2.38 | 1.30 | 1.21 |
| 8 am | 0 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.29 | 0.88 | 1.03 | 1.26 | 1.03 | 0.59 | 0.60 |
| 9 am | 0.19 | 0.26 | 0.37 | 0.43 | 1.46 | 1.30 | 1.75 | 2.09 | 0.72 | 0.95 |
| 10 am | 0.23 | 0.77 | 0.41 | 1.41 | 2.02 | 1.55 | 2.32 | 2.61 | 0.74 | 1.34 |
| 11 am | 0.63 | 0.80 | 1.14 | 1.91 | 2.59 | 2.18 | 2.75 | 2.86 | 0.42 | 1.70 |
| 12 am | 0.76 | 1.34 | 1.99 | 2.44 | 2.87 | 2.86 | 3.26 | 2.85 | 0 | 2.04 |
| 13pm | 1.32 | 1.40 | 2.28 | 2.19 | 2.99 | 3.21 | 3.33 | 2.93 | 0 | 2.18 |
| 14pm | 0.91 | 1.23 | 1.45 | 1.75 | 2.63 | 3.05 | 3.23 | 2.37 | 0 | 1.85 |
| | 0.51 | 0.79 | 1.01 | 1.37 | 2.11 | 2.18 | 2.53 | 2.39 | 0.47 | 1.48 |

| Season 2001 | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| Percentage of flowering per days (transformed mean) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Time | May 5 | May 6 | May 7 | May 8 | May 9 | May 10 | May 11 | May 12 | May 13 | Means |
| 7 am | 0.71 | 0.97 | 0.86 | 1.00 | 1.40 | 1.65 | 1.67 | 1.70 | 1.34 | 1.26 |
| 8 am | 0.71 | 0.78 | 0.82 | 0.89 | 1.17 | 1.24 | 1.33 | 1.24 | 1.04 | 1.02 |
| 9 am | 0.83 | 0.87 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 1.40 | 1.34 | 1.50 | 1.61 | 1.10 | 1.17 |
| 10 am | 0.85 | 1.13 | 0.95 | 1.38 | 1.59 | 1.43 | 1.68 | 1.76 | 1.11 | 1.32 |
| 11 am | 1.06 | 1.14 | 1.28 | 1.55 | 1.76 | 1.64 | 1.80 | 1.83 | 0.96 | 1.45 |
| 12 am | 1.12 | 1.36 | 1.58 | 1.71 | 1.84 | 1.83 | 1.94 | 1.83 | 0.71 | 1.55 |
| 13pm | 1.35 | 1.38 | 1.67 | 1.64 | 1.87 | 1.93 | 1.96 | 1.85 | 0.71 | 1.60 |
| 14pm | 1.19 | 1.32 | 1.40 | 1.50 | 1.77 | 1.88 | 1.93 | 1.69 | 0.71 | 1.49 |
| | 0.98 | 1.12 | 1.19 | 1.33 | 1.60 | 1.62 | 1.73 | 1.69 | 0.96 | 1.36 |

L.S.d 0.05 Hrs 0.02
 L.S.d 0.05 days 0.02
 L.S.d 0.05 Interaction 0.05

Fig. (1) : Percentage of flowering per day in Matrouh Aswad grapevine cv. During 2000 and 2001 seasons

1-2 Percentage of flowering per hour

As for percentage of flowering per hour, data illustrated in Tables (1,2) and Figure (2) indicate that such percentage was greatly varied among different times. It was increased progressively with advancing times of each day till 13 P.M., since it was maximum at 13 P.M in both seasons followed by a sharp decrease reaching. (As means 2.02 and 1.85%) at 14 P.M in 2000 and 2001 seasons respectively. The minimum values were found at 8 A.M (1.32 and 0.60 %) in both seasons respectively. The maximum values (2.35 and 2.18%) were recorded at 13 P.M and similar trend was detected in both seasons.

The highest percentage of flowering was recorded on May 5th at 13PM in the first season. However, in the second season the highest percentage of flowering was recorded on May 11th at 13 PM.

The least percentage of flowering was obtained on May 9th at 8. AM in the first season. However, in the second season the least percentage of flowering was obtained on May 6th at 8 AM.

Fig. (2) : Percentage of flowering per hour in Matrough Aswad grapevine cv. Druring 2000 and 2001 seasons.

2. Dynamics of flowering in Black Rose grapevine cv.

2-1 percentage of flowering per day

It is clear from the data in Tables (3,4) and Figure (3) that there was a gradual promotion in the percentage of flowering per day with advancing dates after flowering start in the first season of study. There were some fluctuations caused by temperature and humidity prevailing during the flowering stage (Winkler 1974) Percentage of flowering per day reached (As means) 0.43, 0.96, 2.08, 2.21, 2.30, 2.62 and 2.57 on 10,11,12,13,14,15 and 16 May, respectively in the first season of study. While they were 1.42, 1.82, 1.94, 2.20, 2.08, 2.01, 1.52 and 0.25% on 12,13,14,15,16,17,18 and 19 May, respectively .

Table (3) Dynamics of cluster flowering in Black Rose grapevine cv. During 2000 season.

| Season 2000 | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Percentage of flowering per days(actual means non transformed mean) | | | | | | | | |
| Time | May10 | May11 | May12 | May13 | May14 | May15 | May16 | means |
| 7 am | 0 | 0.91 | 2.48 | 2.66 | 2.51 | 2.86 | 2.83 | 2.04 |
| 8 am | 0.02 | 0.64 | 1.85 | 1.60 | 1.88 | 2.26 | 2.39 | 1.52 |
| 9 am | 0.15 | 0.85 | 1.97 | 1.94 | 2.12 | 2.48 | 2.53 | 1.72 |
| 10 am | 0.14 | 1.04 | 2.10 | 2.45 | 2.23 | 2.58 | 2.46 | 1.86 |
| 11 am | 0.39 | 1.18 | 2.11 | 1.98 | 2.31 | 2.55 | 2.60 | 1.87 |
| 12 am | 0.64 | 0.97 | 2.05 | 2.19 | 2.41 | 2.60 | 2.57 | 1.92 |
| 13pm | 1.15 | 1.14 | 2.22 | 2.46 | 2.57 | 2.93 | 2.69 | 2.17 |
| 14pm | 0.95 | 0.97 | 1.84 | 2.36 | 2.40 | 2.73 | 2.49 | 1.96 |
| Means | 0.43 | 0.96 | 2.08 | 2.21 | 2.30 | 2.62 | 2.57 | 1.88 |
| Season 2000 | | | | | | | | |
| Percentage of flowering per days (transformed mean) | | | | | | | | |
| Time | May10 | May11 | May12 | May13 | May14 | May15 | May16 | means |
| 7 am | 0.71 | 1.19 | 1.73 | 1.78 | 1.73 | 1.83 | 1.82 | 1.54 |
| 8 am | 0.72 | 1.07 | 1.53 | 1.45 | 1.54 | 1.66 | 1.70 | 1.38 |
| 9 am | 0.81 | 1.16 | 1.57 | 1.56 | 1.62 | 1.73 | 1.74 | 1.46 |
| 10 am | 0.80 | 1.24 | 1.61 | 1.72 | 1.65 | 1.75 | 1.72 | 1.50 |
| 11 am | 0.94 | 1.30 | 1.62 | 1.57 | 1.68 | 1.75 | 1.76 | 1.52 |
| 12 am | 1.07 | 1.21 | 1.60 | 1.64 | 1.71 | 1.76 | 1.75 | 1.53 |
| 13pm | 1.28 | 1.28 | 1.65 | 1.72 | 1.75 | 1.85 | 1.79 | 1.62 |
| 14pm | 1.20 | 1.21 | 1.53 | 1.69 | 1.70 | 1.80 | 1.73 | 1.55 |
| Means | 0.94 | 1.21 | 1.61 | 1.64 | 1.67 | 1.77 | 1.75 | 1.51 |

L.S.d 0.05 Hrs 0.01
 L.S.d 0.05 days 0.01
 L.S.d 0.05 Interaction 0.03

Percentage of flowering was gradually increased up to the sixth day in the first season and up to the fourth day in the second season, then tended to reduce sharply till the end of flowering stage. Flowering period of the cluster was found to be 7 and 8 days in both seasons, respectively. The maximum percentage of flowering per day was presented in the sixth day in the first season (2.62%) and the fourth day in the second season (2.20%).

The least values were recorded in the first day and the eighth day in the first and second seasons, respectively. There variations could be attributed to the effect of temperature and humidity prevailing during the flowering period as explained by Winkler (1974).

Table (4) Dynamics of cluster flowering in Black Rose grapevine cv. During 2001 season.

| Season 2001 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Percentage of flowering per days(actual means non transformed mean) | | | | | | | | | |
| Time | May12 | May13 | May14 | May15 | May16 | May17 | May18 | May19 | Means |
| 7 am | 0.74 | 1.84 | 1.79 | 2.06 | 2.02 | 1.83 | 1.90 | 1.29 | 1.68 |
| 8 am | 0.66 | 0.49 | 0.78 | 1.01 | 1.09 | 1.04 | 0.91 | 0.42 | 0.80 |
| 9 am | 0.86 | 1.57 | 1.55 | 1.87 | 1.55 | 1.72 | 1.29 | 0.24 | 1.33 |
| 10 am | 1.26 | 1.42 | 1.81 | 1.99 | 1.77 | 1.82 | 1.49 | 0.07 | 1.45 |
| 11 am | 1.44 | 1.96 | 2.11 | 2.47 | 2.15 | 2.41 | 1.80 | 0 | 1.79 |
| 12 am | 2.12 | 2.35 | 2.50 | 2.73 | 2.75 | 2.45 | 1.84 | 0 | 2.09 |
| 13pm | 2.29 | 2.71 | 2.75 | 3.02 | 2.82 | 2.53 | 1.86 | 0 | 2.25 |
| 14pm | 2.02 | 2.22 | 2.26 | 2.47 | 2.47 | 2.31 | 1.09 | 0 | 1.86 |
| means | 1.42 | 1.82 | 1.94 | 2.20 | 2.08 | 2.01 | 1.52 | 0.25 | 1.66 |
| Season 2001 | | | | | | | | | |
| Percentage of flowering per days(transformed mean) | | | | | | | | | |
| Time | May12 | May13 | May14 | May15 | May16 | May17 | May18 | May19 | Means |
| 7 am | 1.11 | 1.53 | 1.51 | 1.60 | 1.59 | 1.53 | 1.55 | 1.34 | 1.47 |
| 8 am | 1.08 | 0.99 | 1.13 | 1.23 | 1.26 | 1.24 | 1.19 | 0.96 | 1.14 |
| 9 am | 1.17 | 1.44 | 1.43 | 1.54 | 1.43 | 1.49 | 1.34 | 0.86 | 1.34 |
| 10 am | 1.33 | 1.39 | 1.52 | 1.58 | 1.51 | 1.52 | 1.41 | 0.75 | 1.38 |
| 11 am | 1.39 | 1.57 | 1.62 | 1.72 | 1.63 | 1.71 | 1.52 | 0.71 | 1.48 |
| 12 am | 1.62 | 1.69 | 1.73 | 1.80 | 1.80 | 1.72 | 1.53 | 0.71 | 1.58 |
| 13pm | 1.67 | 1.79 | 1.80 | 1.88 | 1.82 | 1.74 | 1.54 | 0.71 | 1.62 |
| 14pm | 1.59 | 1.65 | 1.66 | 1.72 | 1.72 | 1.68 | 1.26 | 0.71 | 1.50 |
| means | 1.37 | 1.51 | 1.55 | 1.63 | 1.60 | 1.58 | 1.42 | 0.84 | 1.44 |

L.S.d 0.05 Hrs 0.02

L.S.d 0.05 days 0.02

L.S.d 0.05 Interactions 0.05

2-2 percentage of flowering per hour

It is evident from the data in Tables (3,4) and Figure (4) that a similar trend to that of daily flowering percentage was also detected. The highest percentage of flowering per hour (2.17 and 2.25%) was obtained at 13 PM after which a decline was occurred. The minimum values (1.52 and 0.80%) detected at 8 A.M in the first season and the second season too.

The highest percentage of flowering was obtained on May 15th at 13 P.M. in the first season and the second season too. The least percentage of flowering was obtained on May 10th at 8 A.M in the first season. However, in the second season the least percentage of flowering was obtained on May 19th at 10 A.M.

The obtained results in this respect are in line with those obtained by Fawzi (1974), Fawzi et al., (1984); El Helw-Hunna & Gaser-Aisha (2005); and Staudt (1999).

Fig. (3) : Percentage of flowering per day in Black Rose grapevine cv. During 2000 and 2001 seasons.

(Fig. 4): Percentage of flowering per hour in Black Rose grapevine cv. During 2000 and 2001 seasons.

REFERENCES

- Bessis. R. (1965) ; Recherchs sur la fertiite et les correlation de croissance entre bourgeons chez la vigne (vitis vinifera L.). These Sc. Nat. Dijon. 236 pp.
- El Helw-Hanaa, and Gaser-Aisha, S.(2005): Studies on flowering dynamic, drop and bud behaviour in some seedless grape cultivars. Annals of Agric. Sc. Moshtohor , Vol. 43 (3): 1235-1244.
- Fawzi, F. (1974): Effect of Gibberellic acid on morphological physiological and biochemical changes in Vitis vinifera L. Ph.D. Dissertation Vasil Kolarov. Bulgaria (written in Bulgarian).
- Fawzi, F., Khalil, W. and Habib, V. (1984): Studies on dynamics of cluster flowering and behaviour in Thompson seedless grapevines Agric. Res. Rev. Egypt. 62 (3): 53-59.
- Winkler, A.J. (1974) : General viticulture University of California press, Berkeley.
- Staudt,. G (1999): Opening of flowers and time of anthesis in grapevines. Vitis vinifera L. Vitis – 1999: 38 (1): 15-20 .
- Sendecor, G. W. and G.W. Cochran (1980): Statistical Methods the Iowa state Univ. press. US.

ديناميكية الأزهار في كرمات العنب صنفى مطروح الأسود والبلاك روز صلاح إبراهيم أحمد الشناوى قسم بحوث العنب – معهد بحوث البساتين – مركز البحوث الزراعية – الجيزة – مصر

تم دراسة ديناميكية الأزهار في صنفين من أصناف العنب هما مطروح الأسود والبلاك روز تحت ظروف منطقة النوبارية خلال عامى ٢٠٠٠ ، ٢٠٠١ . أشارت نتائج الدراسة أن النسبة المئوية للأزهار فى اليوم فى صنف العنب مطروح الأسود يزيد تدريجياً حتى يصل إلى الحد الأقصى لها فى اليوم الثالث والسابع للأزهار خلال موسم التجربة وبعدها يحدث نقص حاد فى الأيام التالية للأزهار ولقد كانت أكبر نسبة مئوية للأزهار فى اليوم فى صنف العنب البلاك روز فى اليوم السادس للأزهار فى "الموسم الأول وفى اليوم الرابع للأزهار فى الموسم الثانى وكانت فترة إزهار العنقود فى صنف العنب مطروح الأسود من ٧-٩ أيام والصنف العنب البلاك روز من ٧ – ٨ أيام خلال موسمى التجربة أما النسب المئوية للأزهار فى الساعة فى كلا صنفى العنب فقد كانت منخفضة فى الساعة الثامنة صباحاً ثم بدأت تزيد تدريجياً حتى وصلت إلى الحد الأقصى لها فى الساعة الواحدة ظهراً ثم إتجهت إلى النقص فى الساعة الثانية بعد الظهر .

8044 8045 8046 8047 8048 8050 8051 8052 8053

8044 8045 8046 8047 8048 8050 8051 8052 8053

8080 8081 8082 8083 8084 8085 8086 8087 8088

8080 8081 8082 8083 8084 8085 8086 8087 8088

8090 8091

El-Shennawy, S. I. A.

8092 8093 8094 8095 8096 8097 8098
8099 8100 8101 8102 8103 8104 8105 8106 8107
8108 8109

8090 8091 8092 8093 8094 8095 8096 8097 8098
8099 8100 8101 8102 8103 8104 8105 8106 8107
8108 8109

8120 8121 8122 8123 8124 8125 8126
8120 8121 8122 8123 8124 8125 8126

8128 8129 8130 8131 8132 8133 8134 8135 8136 8137

8140 8141 8142 8143 8144 8145 8146 8147 8148 8149 8150
8140 8141 8142 8143 8144 8145 8146 8147 8148 8149 8150

8264 8265 8266 8267 8268 8269 8270 8271
8264 8265 8266 8267 8268 8269 8270 8271

8274 8275 8276 8277 8278 8279 8280 8281 8282 8283 8284 8285
8286
8274 8275 8276 8277 8278 8279 8280 8281 8282 8283 8284 8285
8286

8334 8335 8336 8337 8338 8339

8334 8335 8336 8337 8338 8339

8350 8351 8352 8353 8354 8355 8356 8357 8358 8357 8358

8350 8351 8352 8353 8354 8355 8356 8357 8358 8357 8358

8386 8387 8388 8389 8390 8391 8392 8393 8394 8395 8396 8397

8398

8386 8387 8388 8389 8390 8391 8392 8393 8394 8395 8396 8397

8398

8414 8415 8416 8417 8418 8419 8420 8421 8422 8423 8424 8425

8426 8427 8428 8429 8430 8431 8432 8433 8434

8414 8415 8416 8417 8418 8419 8420 8421 8422 8423 8424 8425

8426 8427 8428 8429 8430 8431 8432 8433 8434

8456 8457 8458 8459 8460 8461 8462 8463 8464 8465 8466 9467

8468 8469

8456 8457 8458 8459 8460 8461 8462 8463 8464 8465 8466 9467

8468 8469

8472 8473 8474 unTha nguoi dung noi se yeu minh toi mai thoi thi gio day toi se vui hon. Gio nguoi lac loi buoc chan ve noi xa xoi, cay dang chi rieng minh toi... <http://nhatquanglan1.0catch.com>

8475 8476 8477 8478 8480 8481 8482 8483 8484 8485

Tha nguoi dung noi se yeu minh toi mai thoi thi gio day toi se vui hon. Gio nguoi lac loi buoc chan ve noi xa xoi, cay dang chi rieng minh toi... <http://nhatquanglan1.0catch.com>

El-Shennawy, S. I. A.

unTha nguoi dung noi se yeu minh toi mai thoi thi gio day toi se vui hon. Gio nguoi lac loi buoc chan ve noi xa xoi, cay dang chi rieng minh toi... <http://nhatquanglan1.0catch.com>

8486 8487 8488 8489 8490 8491 8492 8493 8494 8495 8496

Tha nguoi dung noi se yeu minh toi mai thoi thi gio day toi se vui hon. Gio nguoi lac loi buoc chan ve noi xa xoi, cay dang chi rieng minh toi... <http://nhatquanglan1.0catch.com>

unTra lai em niem vui khi duoc gan ben em, tra lai em loi yeu thuong em dem, tra lai em niem tin thang nam qua ta dap xay.

Gio day chi la nhung ky niem buon...
<http://nhatquanglan1.0catch.com>

8504 8505 8506

Tra lai em niem vui khi duoc gan ben em, tra lai em loi yeu thuong em dem, tra lai em niem tin thang nam qua ta dap xay. Gio day chi la nhung ky niem buon... <http://nhatquanglan1.0catch.com>

unTra lai em niem vui khi duoc gan ben em, tra lai em loi yeu thuong em dem, tra lai em niem tin thang nam qua ta dap xay. Gio day chi la nhung ky niem buon... <http://nhatquanglan1.0catch.com>

8526 85

Tra lai em niem vui khi duoc gan ben em, tra lai em loi yeu thuong em dem, tra lai em niem tin thang nam qua ta dap xay. Gio day chi la nhung ky niem buon... <http://nhatquanglan1.0catch.com>

unVao day nghe bai nay di ban <http://nhatquanglan1.0catch.com>

8539

Vao day nghe bai nay di ban <http://nhatquanglan1.0catch.com>

J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 32 (9), September, 2007

unVao day nghe bai nay di ban <http://nhatquanglan1.0catch.com>

8554 8555 8556 8557 8558 8559

Vao day nghe bai nay di ban <http://nhatquanglan1.0catch.com>