FABA BEAN GROWTH AND YIELD AS AFFECTED BY APPLICATION METHODS OF IRON OR MANGANESE Abdo Estma A 1 and Sabab M Attia²

Abdo, Fatma, A.¹ and Sabah M. Attia²

- 1. Crop Physiology Res., Dep., Field Crops Res. Institute, Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.
- 2. Food legumes Res. Dep., Field Crops Res. Institute, Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was carried out at Giza experimental Station, Agricultural Research Center (ARC), during 2004/2005 and 2005/2006 seasons. The main objective was to study the effect of either iron (Fe) or manganese (Mn) application methods, viz. seed coating at rates of 0.2 g Fe or 0.3 g Mn / 1 kg seed, foliar application at 200 ppm Fe or 300 ppm Mn 45 days after sowing (DAS) and the combination of both methods on growth, yield and seed quality of Giza 40 and Nubaria 1 faba bean cultivars. The split plot design with three replications was used. Results showed that Giza 40 produced significantly taller plants at 64, 85, 106 and 127 DAS. It was also superior in plant shoot dry weight at 64 and 85 DAS. The same trend was true at harvest regarding pod number / plant in both seasons. However, Nubaria 1 surpassed Giza 40 in plant shoot dry weight at 106 and 127 DAS. In addition, Nubaria 1 was superior to Giza 40 in leaf area (LA) / plant at all growth ages, 100-seed weight, seed weight / plant, seed and straw yields / feddan as well as seed crude protein, Fe and Mn contents. All treatments induced significant increases over the control in all investigated vield traits in both seasons. Foliar application of Fe was the most effective treatment in this respect followed by foliar application of Mn. The average increases due to foliar application of Fe were 20.4, 42.8, 14.2 and 23.2 % for number of pods / plant, seed weight / plant, 100-seed weight and seed yield / feddan, respectively compared with control. Results also indicated that foliar application of either Fe or Mn could have improved yield and seed quality traits. The improvement in seed protein, total carbohydrate, Fe and Mn contents due to foliar application over coating or coating + spraying methods were 4.8 and 3.9 % for crude protein, 6.1 and 5.5 % for total carbohydrates, 11.1 and 7.5 % for Fe and 10.4 and 7.2 % for Mn, respectively.

Keywords: Faba bean, Seed coating, Foliar application, Fe, Mn, Growth, Photosynthetic pigments, Yield, Seed quality.

INTRODUCTION

Faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) is the most important food legume in Egypt. The local production is often below the country requirements. Thus, it becomes urgent to seek several avenues to boost production to meet the increasing demand for food.

Under field conditions, deficiency in Fe and Mn is usually confined to plants grown in highly leached tropical soils or high Ph soils with a large organic matter content. So, it can be corrected through foliar or soil applications of Fe and Mn (Azer *et al.*, 1992). The efficiency of micronutrient fertilization depends mainly on the method of application (soil application, foliar application or seed coating) and micronutrient fertilizer source (salts or acids or chelates).

Abdo, Fatma, A. and Sabah M. Attia

El-Mansi *et al.* (1991) on faba bean, reported that plant height, shoot dry weight (at 50, 70 and 90 days after sowing), photosynthetic pigments in leaves and some yield components were significantly increased when plants were sprayed with 1000 ppm Fe. At the mean time, it was found that foliar application with Mn at 1000 or 2000 ppm had in general a determined effect on most of the studied characters.

Hegazy *et al.* (1992) and Osman *et al.* (1992) on faba bean found that seed coating method with either 0.15 g Mn EDTA or 0.3 g Fe EDTA / Kg seed gave better growth traits and yield components. Azer *et al.* (1992) stated that seed and protein yields of lentil and faba bean were significantly increased as Mn was applied at the rate of 0.24 g / kg seed, while the highest rate of 0.48 g / kg seed showed lower response. Abido *et al.* (1995) applied Fe or Mn foliarly in chelate form at the rate of 0.3 g / L. The results showed that all treatments caused increases in seed and straw yields. They found that the effect of Fe surpassed Mn.

Abdel-Aziz and Anton (1999) on faba bean, mentioned that seed coating at a rate of 0.3 g / kg seed for Fe and Mn significantly increased plant height, leaf area / plant, number of pods / plant, seed and straw yields / plant, straw and seed yields / feddan. Such treatment increased seed protein content. However, total carbohydrate content was decreased.

The effect of seed coating with Mn at 0.15 g and/or 0.3 g Fe in form of EDTA / Kg seed of faba bean was investigated by Nassar *et al.* (2002 a and b). This results indicated that micronutrients treatments increased plant height, dry matter of different plant organs, photosynthetic pigments, micronutrient contents in leaves and seeds as well as seed yield and its components. Moreover, they found that seed yield was significantly correlated with seed Mn and Fe contents.

Nenova (2006) applied Fe at rates of 0.1, 2.0, 10.0 or 40.0 mg / L on pea plants grown hydroponically and stated that Fe at the rate of 2.0 mg / L gave the most favourable effect on plant growth and pigment content. It was found that such treatment increased shoot length, dry biomass, total chlorophyll and carotenoides contents.

The present investigation aimed to determine the best method of applying micronutrients; i.e., seed coating, foliar spraying and their combination on two cultivars of faba bean. Plant growth, yield and yield components as well as seed chemical composition (seed quality) were investigated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at Giza Experimental Station, ARC, during 2004 / 2005 and 2005 / 2006 seasons to study the effect of iron (Fe) and manganese (Mn) application methods (seed coating, foliar spray and coating combined with foliar spray) on growth and yield of Giza 40 (selection from Rebaya 40, early maturing) and Nubaria 1 (selection from Giza Blanca, late maturing) faba bean cultivars.

Physical and chemical soil analyses for the experimental sites were carried out according to Chapman and Pratt (1961) and Jackson (1967), and results are presented in Table (1).

Soil properties	2004 / 2005	2005 / 2006
Physical analyses:		
Coarse sand (%)	3.40	3.48
Fine sand (%)	28.5	28.12
Silt (%)	29.9	30.80
Clay (%)	38.2	37.60
Soil texture	Clay loam	Clay loam
Chemical analyses:		
Es. ds m ⁻¹ cm	0.23	0.29
Organic matter (%)	1.39	1.56
CaCo ₃ (%)	2.80	3.10
Available nutrients (ppm):		
Ν	53.0	52.0
Р	9.2	9.5
К	488.0	486.0
Fe	8.1	8.9
Mn	7.0	7.5
Ph (1 : 2.5 Soil suspension)	7.7	8.0

Table 1: Physical and chemical analyses of the experimental soil.

A split plot design with three replication was used. Main plots were devoted to cultivars, whereas sub-plots comprised micronutrients treatments including without treated, seed coating, foliar spray and coating combined with foliar spray. Each sub-plot was 10.8 m² (six ridges, three meters long and 60 cm apart).

Coating treatments were carried out before planting. Seeds were coated with Fe and Mn at rates of 0.2 and 0.3 g / kg seed, respectively. Seeds were first damped with a solution of a sticker substance (Triton B) and mixed with the chelated substance of tested micronutrients. The coated seeds were air dried just before sowing. For foliar application method, plants were sprayed with either 200 ppm Fe or 300 ppm Mn 45 days after sowing. The combined treatment for each micronutrient was applied at same rates mentioned. Fe and Mn were applied in the form of ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) compound.

Seed was sown in hills 20 cm apart on both sides of ridges. Sowing took place on 10 November in both seasons. Three weeks after planting, seedlings were thinned at one plant per hill. Other cultural practices were applied as recommended.

Data recorded:

A. Growth traits:

A sample of five plants was randomly taken from the second ridge of each sub-plot at 64, 85, 106 and 127 days after sowing (DAS) to measure the following traits:

1. Plant height (cm).

Abdo, Fatma, A. and Sabah M. Attia

- 2. Shoot dry weight per plant (g), for dry weight determination, plant shoot was dried at 70° C in an electric oven to a constant weight.
- 3. Leaf area (LA) per plant (dcm²) was determined according to the following formulae:
- LA = (Disc area x No. of disks) x dry weight of leaves per plant / dry weight of leaves disk

B. Chemical analysis in faba bean leaves:

Chemical analysis was done only in 2005 / 2006 season:

Total chlorophyll (chl a + chl b) and carotenoides (mg / g fresh weight), Fe and Mn (ppm) were determined in the third uppermost leaf at 80 DAS.

Photosynthetic pigments were determined after acetone 85% extraction according to Arnon (1949) and calculated according to A.O.A.C. (1990).

Whereas, Fe and Mn were determined by atomic spectrophotometer IL-157 (Cottenie *et al.*, 1982).

C. Yield and yield components:

In both seasons, harvesting was done during the first and third weeks of April for Giza 40 and Nubaria 1, respectively. Five plants were randomly taken from each sub-plot and the following traits were determined:

- Pod number per plant.
- Seed weight per plant (g).

In addition, seed and straw yields per feddan as well as 100 seed weight were determined from the three central ridges in each sub-plot.

D. Some chemical constituents of seeds (seed quality):

Samples of mature dried seeds were finely ground and chemical analysis were carried out in three replicates on samples taken from the second season of 2005 / 2006. The following determinations were conducted:

- 1. Total carbohydrates were determined as glucose (%) according to Dubois *et al.* (1956).
- 2. Crude protein (%) was determined by micro. Kieldahl method, total nitrogen was multiplied by 6.25 according to A.O.A.C. (1990).
- 3. Fe and Mn (ppm) were determined by atomic spectrophotometer (Cottenie *et al.*, 1982).

Statistical analysis:

Data were statistically analyzed according to Steel and Torrie (1980). Homogeneity test for the data of the two seasons was done. Therefore, the discussion was held on the mean of the two seasons except chemical analysis (one season only).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Growth traits:

Results in Table (2) indicate that faba bean cv. Giza 40 surpassed cv. Nubaria 1 in plant height at all growth ages in each season as well as in combined analysis of both seasons. With respect to the shoot dry weight per plant (Table 3), Giza 40 was superior to Nubaria 1 at the first two ages; i.e. 64 and 85 days after sowing (DAS). However, Nubaria 1 surpassed Giza 40 in shoot dry weight at the last two ages of plant growth (106 and 127 DAS).

Regarding total leaf area / plant (Table 4), Nubaria 1 was superior to Giza 40 cultivar at all studied ages of plant growth. In general the differences between the two cultivars were significant in combined analysis of both seasons.

As for the effect of nutrient application treatments, it was evident that all treatments surpassed the control. This held true for all investigated traits at all growth ages. In this respect, it was found that foliar application of Fe gave higher values for all investigated morphological traits at all growth ages in each season and in combined analysis of both seasons. Such treatment was the best followed by foliar application of Mn and seed coating with Fe.

Foliar spray was the most effective method of application for improving growth traits, viz. plant height, plant shoot dry weight and total plant leaf area at all growth ages followed by seed coating and the combined treatment, i.e. seed coating + foliar spray. Such improvement in growth traits of the investigated faba bean cultivars due to micronutrient foliar spray was found to be significant over the other methods of application.

The interaction between cultivars and micronutrient treatments was found to be significant at all growth ages, except at 64 DAS, for all growth traits. In this respect, it was found that foliar application of Giza 40 with Fe gave higher values for plant height followed by foliar application with Mn. With respect to shoot dry weight it is clear that foliar application with Fe gave higher value in the second age of plant growth (85 DAS) for Giza 40 cultivar, whereas the higher value in the third and fourth ages of plant growth (106 and 127 DAS) was found in Nubaria 1. At the same time, Nubaria 1 gave higher values of total leaf area in the last three ages of plant growth when plants were sprayed with Fe. Likewise, total leaf area / plant of Giza 40 at 106 DAS showed higher values when plants were sprayed with Mn and the difference between spraying Mn and spraying Fe proved significant in this concern.

Interaction between cultivars and application method was not significant for all investigated traits at all growth ages (except plant shoot dry weight and total plant leaf area at 106 DAS), with respect to combined analysis of both seasons (Table 2, 3 and 4).

These results are generally in agreement with those obtained by El-Mansi *et al.* (1991) on faba bean and Nenova (2006) on pea who pointed out that foliar spray with Fe had favourable effects on plant height and dry weight of different plant organs. On the other hand, Azer *et al.* (1992) on lentil and faba bean as well as Hegazy *et al.* (1992), Osman *et al.* (1992) and Abdel-Aziz and Anton (1999) on faba bean reported that seed coating method gave better growth relative to foliar application method.

The response of faba bean plants to Fe and Mn may be due to the important role of these elements in enzyme activation and hormone regulation, in metabolism of carbohydrates, protein and auxins and also in multiple processes, development, division and differentiation of cells. In addition, Fe is characteristic for its ability to undergo oxidation-reduction reaction and to form a component of chlorophyll. Mn influences directly the indole acetic acid balance in plants, responsible for plant height.

Abdo, Fatma, A. and Sabah M. Attia

From the aforementioned results, the enhancing effect of trace elements on dry matter accumulation of shoot can be attributed to their effective physiological role in increasing plant values (Tables 2, 3 and 4). These results are in agreement with those obtained by Gangwar and Singh (1994) on lentil and Waly (1996) on pea.

Finally, foliar spray with Fe and Mn attains a suitable balance between these elements that is required to achieve better growth, stronger and more healthy plants in comparison with other methods.

B- Photosynthetic pigments and micronutrients content in leaves:

Data concerning the effect of application methods of Fe and Mn on the content of photosynthetic pigments and Fe or Mn in faba bean leaves at the age of 80 days are presented in Table (5).

1. Photosynthetic pigments:

Data in Table (5) indicate that no significant effect between two cultivars under study.

These results showed that all photosynthetic pigments (chl. a & b and carotenoides) were significantly increased by different treatments. In this respect, spraying method attained the highest increase compared to the other methods of application. The enhancing effect of micronutrients addition on the content of photosynthetic pigments of faba bean leaves could be explained by its beneficial effects on the number of chloroplasts per cell or by forming chloroplasts with a high chlorophyll content (Hassan, 1996). At the same time, the superior impact of Fe treatments might be due to the essential roles of Fe in the redox reactions of chloroplasts, in the mechanism of photosynthetic electron transfer and also in the formation of heme and nonheme proteins, concentrated in chloroplasts. Numerous investigators reached to the same conclusion, e.g. Iturbe–Ormaetxe *et al.* (1995) on maize and pea, Waly (1996) on pea and Nassar *et al.* (2002 a) on faba bean.

The interaction between cultivars and application methods on carotenoides was found to be significant. The use of spraying method with Nobaria 1 surpassed Giza 40 cultivar. In this regard, El-Mansi *et al.* (1991) and Nenova (2006) reported that foliar application of Fe increased photosynthetic pigments in faba bean leaves.

2. Micronutrients:

Data presented in Table (5) clearly show that the difference between the two investigated faba bean cultivars was not significant. Whereas, most of the tested treatments had superior effect on increasing Fe or Mn in leaves when compared to control.

As to the effect of application methods, foliar spraying gave the highest increase of Fe and Mn contents in leaves by 11.75 and 8.9 % more than coating method and by 6.6 and 4.8 % more than combined method of coating + foliar spraying, respectively.

In this respect, El-Gyar *et al.* (1988) on faba bean, stated that Fe had a stimulating effect on metabolic process through its direct effect on the enzymatic reactions. Likewise, Ghaly *et al.* (1992) on lentil and Nassar *et al.* (2002 a) on faba bean observed that Fe and Mn applications had positive effect on Mn and Fe contents in leaves, being in agreement with the present findings.

The effect of all studied interactions were not significant on Fe and Mn contents in leaves of the two studied cultivars.

Table	5.	Photos	ynthetic	pign	nents	, iron	an	d m	angan	ese	of two	faba
		bean	cultivars	s at	the	age	of	80	days	as	affected	l by
		applic	cation me	thod	Is of I	micro	nutr	rient	s in th	e se	cond sea	ason
		of 200)5 / 2006.									

Photosynthetic pigments												
aultivar	Micronutrients	mg/g free	sh weight	Fe	Mn							
cunivar	treatment	Total chlorophyll	Caroteoides	ppm	ppm							
	Control	3.840	0.564	306.2	209.4							
	Fe Coating	4.524	0.695	338.7	228.2							
	Spray	4.965	0.732	394.6	233.5							
	Coating + Spray	4.755	0.689	358.2	230.1							
Giza 40	Mn Coating	4.150	0.700	316.3	274.4							
	Spray	4.773	0.708	330.4	299.5							
	Coating + Spray	4.668	0.714	327.6	297.1							
	Mean	4.525	0.686	338.86	253.17							
	Control	3.760	0.547	330.2	220.1							
	Fe Coating	4.523	0.688	366.5	244.0							
	Spray	4.719	0.791	430.5	274.0							
Nubaria 1	Coating + Spray	4.646	0.663	390.0	236.2							
	Mn Coating	4.180	0.707	337.3	296.3							
	Spray	4.595	0.733	362.8	328.6							
	Coating + Spray	4.552	0.700	348.6	320.4							
	Mean	4.425	0.690	366.56	274.23							
	Control	3.800	0.556	318.20	214.8							
	Fe Coating	4.524	0.692	352.60	236.1							
of mioroputri	Spray	4.842	0.762	412.55	253.8							
onte treatment	Coating + Spray	4.701	0.676	374.10	233.2							
ents treatment	Mn Coating	4.165	0.704	326.80	285.4							
	Spray	4.684	0.721	346.60	314.1							
	Coating + Spray	4.610	0.707	338.10	308.8							
Conoral maana	Coating	4.344	0.698	339.7	260.7							
of application	Spray	4.763	0.741	379.6	283.9							
	Coating + Spray	4.655	0.692	356.1	271.0							
L.S.D. (0.05) Fo	r:											
Cultivar	(A)	NS	NS	NS	NS							
Treatment	(B)	0.36	0.025	21.24	25.25							
Interaction	(A x B)	NS	0.035	NS	NS							
Method	(C)	0.26	0.013	15.02	17.85							
Treated vs untr	eated (D)	**	**	**	**							
Interaction	(A x C)	NS	0.025	NS	NS							
Interaction	(A x D)	NS	NS	NS	NS							

*, ** and NS indicate significant at 0.05, 0.01 and insignificant, respectively.

C- Yield and yield components:

Data given in Table (6) clearly show that Nubaria 1 cultivar was significantly lower than Giza 40 in number of pods / plant. By contrast, Nubaria 1 surpassed significantly Giza 40 in 100–seed weight, seed weight / plant, seed yield / feddan and straw yield / feddan in combined analysis of both seasons.

As to the effect of treatments, it is obvious that any of the assigned treatments induced significant increase over the control plants in all investigated yield traits in each season and in combined analysis. The higher values in most investigated yield traits in combined analysis of both seasons were obtained by foliar application with Fe, being in average 20.4, 42.8, 14.2 and 23.2% more than the control for number of pods / plant, seed weight / plant, 100-seed weight and seed yield / feddan; respectively. Whereas, straw yield / feddan was increased significantly by 23.2 % more than the control when plants were sprayed by Fe and the significant increase over the control was 23.5% when plants were sprayed by Mn. Worthy to note that the difference between these two treatments proved insignificant with respect to straw yield / feddan.

It is noted that spraying method for micronutrients application was significantly superior in its response compared to the other two methods of application; i.e., coating method and the combined treatment (coating + spraying). Thus, methods of applying micronutrients could be arranged in descending order with respect to its response as follows: spraying > coating > coating + spraying.

These results are in full agreement with those reported by El-Mansi *et. al.* (1991) and Abido *et al.* (1995).

The enhancing effect of the investigated elements on the number of pods / plant may be attributed to changes induced by these nutrients in the endogenous hormone ratios, which led to reduce in shedding percentage and increase setting percentage (Ibrahim and Shalaby, 1994 and Nassar *et al.*, 2002 b). The increase of both the seed index (100-seed weight) and the seed weight / plant may be due to these elements enabling the plants to grow well and to improve the transfer of the photosynthetic substance from leaves to seeds during the synthesis processes, because of their effects on the enzymatic group. Consequently, the weight of seeds increased, according to Nassar *et. al.* (2002 b) on faba bean. The superior effect of Fe treatments, compared to Mn, is in agreement with that obtained by many investigators such as El-Gyar *et. al.* (1988) on faba bean plants.

The interaction effect between cultivars and micronutrient treatments (Table 6) had a significant effect on all yield characters. Highest values for yield traits of the two cultivars were recorded with foliar application with Fe and the difference between spraying Fe and spraying Mn proved significant in No. of pods / plant, seed weight / plant and seed yield / feddan. Likewise, 100-seed weight gave higher value when faba bean plants cv. Nubaria 1 were sprayed with Fe although the difference between foliar application with Mn and foliar application with Fe was not significant. At the same time, straw yield / feddan of Nubaria 1 recorded significant increase with Mn and the difference between spraying Mn or Fe proved significant.

Regarding the interaction between cultivars and application methods, data presented in Table (7) proved significant effect on all yield and yield components except No. of pods / plant where the differences were not significant. Foliar spray was the most effective method of application for improving yield and yield components.

Such improvement in yield traits of the investigated faba bean cultivars due to micronutrients spray was found to be significant over the other methods of application.

The positive effects of the micronutrients under investigation on both seed and straw yields can be explained as follows:

- Seed yield is a function of the number of pods, seed weight / plant and 100-seed weight. These parameters are positively affected by micronutrients addition (Table 6). Hence, yield is also increased. Likewise, straw yield is a product of plant height, so, straw yield increased.
- 2. Fe and Mn are involved directly or indirectly in formation of starch, protein and other biological components in faba bean seeds through their role in the respiratory and photosynthesis mechanisms, as well as their roles in the activity of various enzymes (Monged *et. al.*, 1992).
- 3. These micronutrients play important roles in stimulating the vegetative growth through increasing cell size and leaf area. Thus, the rate of photosynthesis increase (Mahmoud *et. al.*, 1987).
- 4. The aforementioned trace elements delay the senescence of plants through raising the level of IAA, chlorophyll content and native assimilation ratio (NAR) in leaves. Thus, total dry matter accumulation and yield components increase (Nassar *et. al.*, 2002 b).

D. Certain chemical constituents of the seed (seed quality):

Data in Table (8) revealed that Nubaria 1 cultivar surpassed significantly Giza 40 in seed crude protein by 7.78 %. Meanwhile, the difference in total carbohydrates between the two investigated faba bean cultivars was not significant.

Concerning the effect of treatments, it is evident that all treatments surpassed control with significant differences.

As for the effect of application methods, it was found that foliar application of either Fe or Mn gave the best values for crude protein and total carbohydrates. The increases due to foliar spray than coating or coating + spray were 4.8 and 3.9 %, respectively for crude protein and 6.1 and 5.5 %, respectively for total carbohydrates.

The interaction between cultivars and treatments or application methods on protein and total carbohydrates were not significant. The present results are in line with those reported by **Rizk and Abdo (2001)**. They indicated that foliar application with micronutrient increased protein content of mungbean seeds.

Results in Table (8) clearly show that faba bean cv. Nubaria 1 surpassed significantly Giza 40 with respect to micronutrient contents of the seed. The increment over Giza 40 cultivar was 5.87 % for Fe content and it was 9.30 % for Mn content.

Data also revealed that any of the assigned treatment surpassed control with respect to micronutrients contents in seed of the two investigated faba bean cultivars. The higher values of Fe and Mn were achieved when plants were sprayed with Fe being 24.4 and 25.5 % more than the control, respectively.

Regarding the effect of application methods, it was noted that foliar application method with the micronutrients gave the best values of Fe and Mn contents in faba bean seeds. The increment due to foliar application method compared to coating or coating + spraying were 11.1 and 7.5 % for Fe and 10.4 and 7.2 % for Mn, respectively.

The interaction between cultivars and treatments or application methods on Fe and Mn content of seed were not significant.

OT	2005/2006.				
Cultivar	Micronutrients treatment	Crude protein %	Total Carbohydreate %	Fe mg/kg	Mn mg/kg
	Control	22.79	51.52	207.6	104.2
	Fe Coating	24.64	56.15	233.9	118.4
	Spray	26.49	57.05	256.3	131.6.
Cize 40	Coating + Spray	25.34	55.03	241.5	122.9
Giza 40	Mn Coating	24.55	55.12	229.2	116.1
	Spray	25.63	61.03	243.6	124.2
	Coating + Spray	24.33	55.32	234.2	118.7
	Mean	24.82	55.89	235.2	119.4
	Control	23.72	52.24	223.7	115.8
	Fe Coating	26.82	55.25	241.3	128.2
	Spray	28.22	57.37	280.4	144.6
Nubaria 4	Coating + Spray	27.66	56.64	253.9	132.7
Nubaria I	Mn Coating	26.87	54.55	236.4	125.4
	Spray	27.49	59.14	264.7	138.4
	Coating + Spray	26.45	55.39	242.8	128.5
	Mean	26.75	55.80	249.0	130.5
	Control	23.26	51.88	215.7	110.0
General	Fe Coating	25.73	55.70	237.6	123.3
means of	Spray	27.36	57.21	268.4	138.1
micronutri-	Coating + Spray	26.50	55.84	247.7	127.8
trootmont	Mn Coating	25.71	54.84	232.8	120.8
treatment	Spray	26.56	60.09	254.2	131.3
	Coating + Spray	25.39	55.36	238.5	123.6
General	Coating	25.72	55.27	235.2	122.0
means of	Spray	26.96	58.65	261.3	134.7
application	Coating + Spray	25.95	55.60	243.1	125.7
L.S.D. (0.05) I	For :				
Cultivar	(A)	**	NS	*	*
Treatment	(B)	0.56	2.55	15.68	9.58
Interaction	(A x B)	NS	NS	NS	NS
Method	(C)	0.39	1.80	11.12	6.72
Treated vs ur	ntreated (D)	**	**	*	*
Interaction	(A x C)	NS	NS	NS	NS
Interaction	(A x D)	*	NS	*	*

Table 8. Seed quality of two faba bean cultivars as affected by application methods of micronutrients in the second season of 2005 / 2006.

*, ** and NS indicate significant at 0.05, 0.01 and insignificant, respectively.

Finally, it could be stated that foliar application of either Fe or Mn enables the plants to grow well and to absorb more nutrient directly from the leaves, as well as to produce higher seed yield with better quality.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Aziz, El-Set. A. and N.A. Anton (1999). Influence of applying methods and micronutrients on growth, yield and quality of faba bean. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 26 (5) : 1245 1257.
- Abido, Y.M.Y. ; A.A. Abd-El-Magid and Nadia O. Monged (1995). Field trials on faba bean fertilization with some micronutrients. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 10 (5) : 1 - 14.
- A.O.A.C., (1990). Official Methods of Analysis 15th, Ed., Association of Official Agricultural Chemists, Washington, D.C., USA.
- Arnon, D.I. (1949). Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts polyphenoloxidase in *Beta Vulgaris*. Plant physiology, 24 (1) : 1 15.
- Azer, S.A. ; A.O. Osman and S. Ghaly (1992). Effect of Mn seed coating of leguminous crops on growth and yield. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 70 (4) : 989 – 996.
- Chapman, H.D. and P.E. Pratt (1961). Methods of Analysis for Soils. Plant and Waters. Univ. of California, Division of Agric. Sci. p 60 69.
- Cottenie, A. ; M. Verloo ; L. Kiekens ; G. Velghe and R. Camerlynck (1982). Chemical Analysis of Plant and Soils. Lab. Anal Agroch., Fac. Agric., State Univ. Ghent, Belgium.
- Dubois, M. ; K.A. Gilles ; J.K. Hamilton ; P.A. Rebers and F. Smith (1956). Colorimetric Method For Determination of Sugars and Related Substances. Analytical Chemistry, 28 (3) : 350 – 356.
- El-Gyar, M.A.; A.O. Osman; M.A. Hegab and S.A. Ali (1988). Influence of potassium and chelated iron fertilizers on yield component and elements content in seeds of field bean (*Vicia faba L.*) in calcareous soils. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, 26 (4): 2285 – 2299.
- El-Mansi, A.A. ; M.A. Khalil ; E.A. El-Ghamriny and F.H. Afia (1991). Effect of foliar spray with zinc, manganese and iron on growth and yield of broad bean plants. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. , 18 (6) : 1947 – 1958.
- Gangwar, K.S. and N.P. Singh (1994). Studied on zinc nutrition on lentil in relation to dry matter accumulation, yield and NP uptake. Indian J. of Pulses Res., 7 (1) : 33 39. [C.F. Field Crop Abst., 48 (10), 7561, 1995].
- Ghaly, S. ; A.O. Osman and I.M. Abd El-Aziz (1992). The interaction of some micronutrients and Rhizobium on lentil yield grown on sandy soils. Egypt J. Agric. Res., 70 (4) : 1025 1035.
- Hassan, Amira H.M. (1996). Biochemical studies on the role of some micronutrients on some monocotyledons. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt.
- Hegazy, M.H.; Dawlat N. Abadi and S.A. Genaidy (1992). Effects of some micronutrients and methods of application and Rhizobium inoculation on faba bean. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 70 (4): 1011 – 1023.

- Ibrahim, M.E and M.H. Shalaby (1994). Effect of some micronutrients and methods of their application on growth, yield and mineral composition of wheat. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, 32 (3) : 1371 –1388.
- Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I.; J.F. Moran; C. Arrese Igor; Y. Gogarcena; R.V. Klucas and M. Bacha (1995). Activated oxygen and antioxidant defences in iron deficient pea plants. Plant Cell and Environment, 18 (4): 421 – 429.
- Jackson, M.L. (1967). Soil Chemical Analysis. New Delhi, Prentice Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi. p. 326 338.
- Mahmoud, M.H.; I. Abd El-Aziz; A. El-Sayed and M.A. Ashoub (1987). Effect of soil and foliar application of zinc on yield component and mineral content of two varieties of barley in different soils. Annals of Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., 32 (1): 199 – 210.
- Monged, N.O. ; M.S. Baza and T.A. Abo-Erdefan (1992). Effect of some micronutrients on faba bean yield under four governorates in A.R.E. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 7 (4) : 597 601.
- Nassar, K.E.; S.A. Radwan and A.A. Rahmou (2002 a). Effect of seed coating with some micronutrients on faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). I- Effect on photosynthetic pigments, micronutrient contents and plant growth characters. Egypt . J. of Soil Sci., 42 (2): 347 – 362.
- Nassar, K.E.; A.O. Osman ; M.H. El-Kholy and M.M. Badran (2002 b). Effect of seed coating with some micronutrients on faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). Il-Effect on yield, yield attributes and mineral composition. Egypt . J. of Soil Sci., 42 (3) : 363 – 381.
- Nenova, V. (2006). Effect of iron supply on growth and photosystem II efficiency of pea plants. Gen. Appl. Plant Physiology, Special Issue, 81 90.
- Osman, A.O. ; M.A. Eskarous and W.E. Ahmed (1992). The interactions of some micronutrients and Rhizobia on faba bean yield grown on sandy soil. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 70 (3) : 693 702.
- Rizk, W.M. and F.A. Abdo (2001). The response of two mungbean cultivars to zinc, manganese and boron. II- yield and chemical composition of seeds. Bull. Fac. Agric. Cairo Univ., 52 (3) : 467 – 478.
- Steel, R.G. and J.A. Torrie (1980). Principles and Procedure of Statistics. 2nd Ed., McGrow Hill Pub. Co., 633 pp.
- Waly, Amal F.A. (1996). Effect of some micronutrients on biochemical components of some plants. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt.

تأثير نمو ومحصول الفول البلدى بطرق إضافة الحديد أو المنجنيز فاطمه عبد المنصف عبده' و صباح محمود عطية^٢ ١- قسم بحوث فسيولوجيا المحاصيل - معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية – مركز البحوث الزراعية – الجيزة – مصر .

٢- قسم بحوث المحاصيل البقولية- معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية – مركز البحوث الزراعية – الجيزة – مصر.

أجريت تجربة حقلية بمحطة البحوث الزراعية – مركز البحوث الزراعية بالجيزة ، خلال موسمى ٢٠٠٥/٢٠٠٤ و ٢٠٠٦/٢٠٠٠ بهدف دراسة تاثير طرق إضافة كل من الحديد أو المنجنيز (تغليف البذرة بمعدلات ٢,٠ جم حديد و ٣,٠ جم منجنيز لكل ١ كجم بذور أو رش النباتات بمعدل ٢٠٠ جزء فى المليون حديد أو ٣٠٠ جزء فى المليون منجنيز عند عمر ٤٥ يوم من الزراعة بالإضافة إلى طريقة التغليف والرش معاً) على النمو والمحصول ومكوناته ، بالإضافة إلى صفات جودة البذور لصنفى الفول البلدى جيزة ٤٠ و نوبارية ١. تم إستخدام التصميم قطاعات منشقة مرة واحدة.

وفيما يلى أهم النتائج المتحصل عليها :

- أوضحت النتائج زياده معنوية لطول نباتات الصنف جيزة ٤٠ عن الصنف نوبارية ١ في عمر ٢٤ ، ٥٨ ، ١٠٦ و ١٢٧ يوم من الزراعة ، وتفوق أيضاً في الوزن الجاف للمجموع الخضرى في عمرى ٢٤ و ٨٥ يوم من الزراعة ، وكذلك عدد قرون النبات في كلا موسمى الدراسة. بينما تفوق الصنف نوبارية ١ على الصنف جيزة ٤٠ في الوزن الجاف للمجموع الخضرى في عمرى ٢٤ و ٨٥ يوم من الزراعة ، وكذلك عدد قرون النبات في كلا موسمى الدراسة. بينما تفوق الصنف نوبارية ١ على الصنف جيزة ٤٠ في الوزن الجاف للمجموع الخضرى في عمرى ٢٤ و ٨٥ يوم من الزراعة ، وكذلك عدد قرون النبات في كلا موسمى الدراسة. بينما تفوق الصنف نوبارية ١ على الصنف جيزة ٤٠ في الوزن الجاف للمجموع الخضرى في عمرى الموق الصنف نوبارية ١ على الصنف جيزة ٤٠ في الوزن الجاف للمجموع الخضرى في حمرى وكذلك وزن ١٠٠ يوم من الزراعة وفي المساحة الكلية للأوراق في جميع الأعمار تحت الدراسة ، وكذلك وكذلك وزن ١٠٠ بذرة ، وزن بذور النبات ومحصول الفدان من البذور والقش ، ومحتوى البذور من البزوتين الخام والحديد والمنجنيز.
- أحدثت كل المعاملات المستخدمة زيادة معنوية بالمقارنة بالكنترول (النباتات الغير معاملة) فى جميع صفات المحصول فى كلا موسمى الدراسة. وقد وجد أن معاملة الرش بالحديد كانت هى الأفضل يليها الرش بالمنجنيز. وكان متوسط الزيادة نتيجة الرش بالحديد مقارنة بالنباتات الغير معاملة هى ٢٠,٤ ، ٢٠,٨ ، ١٤,٢ و ٢٣,٢ % بالنسبة لعدد قرون النبات ، وزن بذور النبات ، وزن ١٠٠ بذرة ومحصول الفدان من البذور على التوالى.
- أوضحت النتائج أيضاً أن طريقة الرش بأى من الحديد أو المنجنيز أدت إلى تحسين المحصول وصفات جودة البذرة من خلال تحسين محتوى البذور من البروتين والكربو هيدرات والحديد والمنجنيز، وفى هذا المقام وجد أن زيادة هذه المكونات نتيجة المعاملة بطريقة الرش عن طريقة تغليف البذرة أو إستخدام الطريقتين معاً كانت ٤,٨ و ٣,٩ % لمحتوى البذور من البروتين ، ١,١ و ٥,٥ % بالنسبة للكربو هيدرات الكلية ، ١,١١ و ٥,٧ % بالنسبة لمحتوى البذرة من الحديد ، ٤,٠ و ٢,٢ % بالنسبة لمحتوى البذرة من المنجنيز على التوالى.

	Microputrionto		64 days			85 days			106 days		127 days		
Cultivar	trootmont	1 <u>st</u>	2 nd	Comb	1 st	2 nd	Comb	1 st	2 nd	Comb	1 st	2 nd	Comb
Cultival	ueatment	season	season	Comb.	season	season	Comb.	season	season	Comb.	season	season	Comb.
	Control	57.4	66.7	62.05	73.3	84.5	78.90	94.7	107.8	101.25	108.2	120.9	114.55
	Fe Coating	67.7	77.4	72.55	88.3	104.2	96.25	109.4	126.5	117.95	126.7	136.7	131.70
	Spray	71.3	80.5	75.90	94.9	108.6	101.75	118.5	133.2	125.85	131.6	141.4	136.50
Giza 40	Coating + Spray	66.6	75.4	71.00	87.7	102.7	95.20	107.9	120.9	114.40	122.8	130.6	126.70
Giza 40	Mn Coating	67.3	75.9	71.60	88.6	98.9	93.75	111.1	121.4	116.25	124.6	131.2	127.90
	Spray	69.4	78.0	73.70	90.9	101.4	96.15	113.2	127.5	120.35	128.4	136.5	132.45
	Coating + Spray	65.3	73.7	69.50	84.5	94.8	89.65	104.8	120.2	112.50	121.1	128.4	124.75
	Mean	66.43	75.37	70.90	86.89	99.30	93.09	108.51	122.5	115.51	123.34	132.24	127.79
	Control	39.6	45.8	42.70	60.2	68.6	64.40	81.8	91.3	86.55	91.4	99.7	95.55
	Fe Coating	47.6	54.0	50.80	69.7	80.1	74.90	95.9	107.7	101.80	107.9	113.3	110.60
	Spray	50.4	56.9	53.65	74.3	86.2	80.25	104.5	113.5	109.00	113.5	117.5	115.50
Nubaria 1	Coating + Spray	46.5	51.7	49.10	69.3	77.7	73.50	91.2	102.4	96.80	103.3	106.2	104.75
Nubana 1	Mn Coating	45.8	50.9	48.35	68.4	76.9	72.65	95.4	100.2	97.80	102.5	107.5	105.00
	Spray	49.1	54.3	51.70	73.1	80.4	76.75	102.2	109.8	106.00	109.3	114.7	112.00
	Coating + Spray	44.8	50.3	47.55	66.9	74.3	70.60	93.4	101.5	97.45	100.8	107.3	104.05
	Mean	46.26	51.99	49.12	68.84	77.74	73.29	94.91	103.77	99.34	104.1	109.46	106.78
Conorol	Control	48.50	56.25	52.38	66.75	76.55	71.65	88.25	99.55	93.90	99.80	110.30	105.05
General	Fe Coating	57.65	65.70	61.68	79.00	92.15	85.58	102.65	117.10	109.88	117.30	125.00	121.15
micronut-	Spray	60.85	68.70	64.78	84.60	97.40	91.00	111.50	123.35	117.43	122.55	129.45	126.00
rients	Coating + Spray	56.55	63.55	60.05	78.50	90.20	84.35	99.55	111.65	105.60	113.05	118.40	115.73
treatment	Mn Coating	56.55	63.40	59.98	78.50	87.90	83.20	103.25	110.80	107.03	113.55	119.35	116.45
	Spray	59.25	66.15	62.70	82.00	90.90	86.45	107.70	118.65	113.18	118.85	125.60	122.23
	Coating + Spray	55.05	62.00	58.53	75.70	84.55	80.13	99.10	110.85	104.98	110.95	117.85	114.40
General	Coating	57.10	64.55	60.83	78.75	90.03	84.39	102.95	113.95	108.45	115.43	122.18	118.80
means of	Spray	60.05	67.43	63.74	83.30	94.15	88.73	109.60	121.00	115.30	120.70	127.53	124.11
application	Coating + Spray	55.80	62.78	59.29	77.1	87.38	82.24	99.33	111.25	105.29	112.00	118.13	115.06
L.S.D. (0.05)) For :												
Cultivar	(A)	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Treatment	(B)	1.43	1.66	1.07	1.56	2.05	1.25	1.79	2.43	1.47	1.47	1.74	1.11
Interaction	(A x B)	NS	NS	NS	2.20	2.90	1.77	1.69	3.44	2.08	2.08	NS	1.57
Method	(C)	1.01	1.18	0.78	1.10	1.45	0.89	1.26	1.72	1.04	1.04	1.23	0.82
Treated vs u	intreated (D)	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Interaction	(A x C)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Interaction	(A x D)	*	**	*	**	**	**	*	*	*	**	*	*

Table 2 : Plant height (cm) of two faba bean cultivars at different ages as affected by application methods of micronutrients in 2004/2005 and 2005/2006 seasons and its combined.

*, ** and NS indicate significant at 0.05, 0.01 and insignificant, respectively.

	Micronutrients		64 days			85 days			106 days		127 days		
Cultivar	trootmont	1 st	2 nd	Comb									
	treatment	season	season	Comb.									
	Control	18.93	20.74	19.84	27.48	30.39	28.94	55.29	60.11	57.7	74.91	80.71	77.81
	Fe Coating	23.74	26.73	25.24	36.60	43.37	39.99	66.58	73.29	69.94	93.58	107.87	100.73
	Spray	25.14	28.29	26.72	38.94	46.08	42.51	72.91	80.22	76.57	101.29	115.01	108.15
Ci70 40	Coating + Spray	22.09	24.58	23.34	33.33	36.41	34.87	63.22	69.51	66.37	88.81	97.12	92.97
Giza 40	Mn Coating	24.21	27.25	25.73	36.14	40.29	38.22	66.08	71.28	68.68	89.02	98.39	93.71
	Spray	25.46	28.48	26.97	38.42	42.01	40.22	70.11	77.71	73.91	96.81	106.32	101.57
	Coating + Spray	22.58	23.72	23.15	31.99	35.14	33.57	64.52	68.59	66.56	84.30	91.70	88.00
	Mean	23.16	25.68	24.42	34.70	39.10	36.90	65.53	71.53	68.53	89.82	99.59	94.71
	Control	17.16	18.21	17.69	24.27	26.51	25.39	62.72	68.79	65.76	85.42	92.88	89.15
	Fe Coating	21.21	23.56	22.39	31.50	36.77	34.14	80.59	90.91	85.75	103.61	114.71	109.16
	Spray	22.29	24.18	23.24	33.06	37.43	35.25	86.10	97.38	91.74	114.48	123.29	118.89
Nubaria 1	Coating + Spray	20.13	21.76	20.95	29.00	34.25	31.63	75.08	84.22	79.65	100.69	106.21	103.45
Nuballa I	Mn Coating	20.68	22.27	21.48	29.78	32.13	30.96	78.61	87.77	83.19	103.21	113.37	108.29
	Spray	22.08	22.98	22.53	31.65	34.04	32.85	83.49	93.41	88.45	113.11	122.21	117.66
	Coating + Spray	19.63	21.07	20.35	28.47	30.88	29.68	73.68	82.18	77.93	100.88	108.62	104.75
	Mean	20.45	22.00	21.23	29.68	33.14	31.41	77.18	86.38	81.78	103.06	111.61	107.34
0	Control	18.05	19.48	18.77	25.88	28.45	27.17	59.01	64.45	61.73	80.17	86.80	83.49
General	Fe Coating	22.48	25.15	23.82	34.05	40.07	37.06	73.59	82.10	77.85	98.60	111.29	104.95
means of	Spray	23.72	26.24	24.98	36.00	41.76	38.88	79.51	88.80	84.16	107.89	119.15	113.52
rionto	Coating + Spray	21.11	23.17	22.14	31.17	35.33	33.25	69.15	76.87	73.01	94.75	101.67	98.21
treatments	Mn Coating	22.45	24.76	23.61	32.96	36.21	34.59	72.35	79.53	75.94	96.12	105.88	101.00
treatments	Spray	23.77	25.73	24.75	35.04	38.04	36.54	76.80	85.56	81.18	104.96	114.27	109.62
	Coating + Spray	21.11	22.40	21.75	30.23	33.01	31.62	69.10	75.39	72.25	92.59	100.16	96.38
General	Coating	22.46	24.95	23.72	33.51	38.14	35.83	72.97	80.81	76.89	97.36	108.59	102.98
means of	Spray	23.74	25.98	24.87	35.52	39.89	37.71	78.15	87.17	82.67	106.42	116.71	111.57
application	Coating + Spray	21.11	22.78	21.95	30.70	34.17	32.44	69.13	76.13	72.63	93.67	100.91	97.29
L.S.D. (0.05)	For :												
Cultivar	(A)	*	**	*	*	*	*	**	**	*	**	**	**
Treatment	(B)	1.02	1.10	0.73	1.05	1.36	0.76	0.82	1.37	0.78	0.91	1.74	1.84
Interaction	(A x B)	NS	NS	NS	1.49	1.93	1.08	1.16	1.93	1.10	1.28	2.46	1.31
Method	(C)	0.72	0.78	0.53	0.74	0.96	0.60	0.58	0.97	0.54	0.64	1.23	0.66
Treated vs u	untreated (D)	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Interaction	(A x C)	NS	1.10	NS	NS	1.36	NS	0.82	1.37	0.90	0.91	NS	NS
Interaction	(A x D)	NS	NS	NS	*	*	*	**	**	**	**	*	*

 Table 3 : Shoot dry weight (g) of two faba bean cultivars at different ages as affected by application methods of micronutrients in 2004/2005 and 2005/2006 seasons and its combined.

*, ** and NS indicate significant at 0.05, 0.01 and insignificant, respectively.

	Micronutrients		64 days			85 days			106 days				
Cultivar	treatment	1 <u>st</u>	2 nd	Comb	1 <u>st</u>	2 <u>nd</u>	Comh	1 <u>st</u>	2 nd	Comh	1 <u>st</u>	2 <u>nd</u>	Comh
	ueauneni	season	season	Comb.	season	season	Comb.	season	season	Comb.	season	season	Comb.
	Control	18.32	17.82	18.07	24.99	26.51	25.75	42.19	45.21	43.70	26.38	23.52	24.95
	Fe Coating	23.76	23.66	23.71	36.04	40.72	38.38	56.32	63.16	59.74	37.04	34.64	35.84
	Spray	25.01	25.18	25.10	39.61	45.54	42.58	61.05	68.49	64.77	39.89	37.58	38.74
Cizo 40	Coating + Spray	22.02	20.76	21.39	31.71	35.11	33.41	51.22	54.48	52.85	32.66	28.51	30.59
Giza 40	Mn Coating	22.86	22.61	22.74	32.84	37.09	34.97	55.35	58.46	56.91	34.21	29.52	31.87
	Spray	24.90	23.81	24.36	35.66	40.08	37.87	63.07	73.55	68.31	36.59	32.83	34.71
	Coating + Spray	21.86	20.97	21.42	30.79	32.47	31.63	51.73	56.56	54.15	31.18	26.93	29.06
	Mean	22.68	22.12	22.40	33.09	36.79	34.94	54.42	59.99	57.20	33.99	30.50	32.25
	Control	19.57	20.26	19.92	28.24	30.39	29.32	55.47	60.56	58.02	28.25	27.98	28.12
	Fe Coating	25.68	27.17	26.43	38.35	42.61	40.48	76.77	87.51	82.14	38.67	39.73	39.20
	Spray	27.52	28.26	27.89	41.29	46.71	44.00	79.04	91.20	85.12	41.08	43.28	42.18
Nubaria 1	Coating + Spray	23.27	24.78	24.03	34.88	38.56	36.72	68.28	74.37	71.33	34.66	36.14	35.40
INUDALIA I	Mn Coating	23.97	24.27	24.12	35.50	39.14	37.32	71.89	81.33	76.61	35.74	34.53	35.14
	Spray	25.58	25.79	25.69	38.49	41.76	40.13	76.77	88.48	82.63	37.60	36.44	37.02
c T	Coating + Spray	22.86	23.98	23.42	33.78	37.56	35.67	66.40	73.22	69.81	32.99	31.53	32.26
	Mean	24.06	24.93	24.50	35.79	39.53	37.66	70.66	79.52	75.09	35.57	35.66	35.62
0 am an al	Control	18.95	19.04	19.00	26.62	28.45	27.54	48.83	52.89	50.86	27.32	25.75	26.54
General	Fe Coating	24.72	25.42	25.07	37.20	41.67	39.44	66.55	75.34	70.94	37.86	37.19	37.52
means of	Spray	26.27	26.72	26.50	40.45	46.13	43.29	70.05	79.85	74.95	40.49	40.43	40.46
onts	Coating + Spray	22.65	22.77	22.71	33.30	36.84	35.07	59.75	64.43	62.09	33.66	32.33	33.00
treatment	Mn Coating	23.42	23.44	23.43	34.17	38.12	36.15	63.62	69.90	66.76	34.98	32.03	33.51
	Spray	25.24	24.80	25.02	37.08	40.92	39.00	69.92	81.02	75.47	37.10	34.64	35.87
	Coating + Spray	22.36	22.48	22.42	32.29	35.02	33.66	59.07	64.89	61.98	32.09	29.23	30.66
General	Coating	24.07	24.43	24.25	35.68	39.89	37.80	65.08	72.62	68.85	36.42	34.61	35.52
means of	Spray	25.75	25.76	25.76	38.76	43.52	41.15	69.98	80.43	75.22	38.79	37.53	38.17
application	Coating + Spray	22.50	22.62	22.57	32.79	35.93	34.37	59.41	64.66	62.04	32.87	30.78	31.83
L.S.D. (0.05)	For :												
Cultivar	(A)	*	*	*	*	**	*	**	**	**	*	**	*
Treatment	(B)	0.84	0.97	0.73	0.92	1.09	0.70	1.18	0.92	0.73	0.82	0.`78	0.61
Interaction	(A x B)	NS	NS	NS	1.31	1.55	0.98	1.67	1.29	1.03	1.18	1.10	0.86
Method	(C)	0.59	0.69	0.45	0.65	0.77	0.51	0.83	0.65	0.82	0.58	0.55	0.41
Treated vs u	ntreated (D)	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Interaction	(A x C)	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.09	NS	1.18	0.92	0.74	NS	0.78	NS
Interaction	(A x D)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	**	**	**	NS	NS	NS

 Table 4. Total leaf area / plant (d cm²) of two faba bean cultivars at different ages as affected by application methods of micronutrients in 2004/2005 and 2005/2006 seasons and its combined.

*, ** and NS indicate significant at 0.05, 0.01 and insignificant, respectively.

		No. o	f pods /	plant	Seed	weight /	/ plant	100-	seed we	eight	Seed yield / feddan (ardab)			Straw yield / feddan (ke		
Cultivar	treatment	1 <u>st</u>	2 <u>nd</u>		1 <u>st</u>	2 <u>nd</u>		1 <u>st</u>	2 <u>nd</u>		1 <u>st</u>	2 <u>nd</u>		1 <u>st</u>	2 <u>nd</u>	
	acament	season	season	Com b.	season	season	Com b.	season	season	Com b.	season	season	Com b.	season	season	Com b.
	Control	26.3	28.1	27.20	35.96	37.86	36.91	57.44	55.93	56.69	10.83	11.29	11.06	1752.2	1879.7	1815.95
	Fe Coating	30.1	31.7	30.90	46.25	45.56	45.91	63.53	62.08	62.81	12.82	12.48	12.65	1890.3	2128.3	2009.30
	Spray	31.6	33.3	32.45	51.50	53.19	52.35	65.94	63.75	64.85	13.78	13.86	13.82	1983.0	2305.5	2144.25
Ci70 40	Coating+Spray	29.8	30.9	30.35	44.28	45.37	44.83	62.72	61.12	61.92	12.19	12.35	12.27	1853.6	1994.2	1923.90
Giza 40	Mn Coating	28.5	30.2	29.35	44.42	43.52	43.97	61.75	59.97	60.86	12.11	12.41	12.26	1827.0	2052.3	1939.65
	Spray	30.4	32.1	31.25	48.06	49.63	48.85	64.51	63.18	63.85	13.05	13.34	13.20	1985.2	2100.3	2042.75
	Coating+Spray	28.2	30.0	29.10	41.37	43.05	42.21	61.36	61.22	61.29	11.91	12.27	12.09	1796.8	1986.9	1891.85
	Mean	29.27	30.9	30.09	44.55	45.45	45.00	62.46	61.04	61.75	12.38	12.57	12.48	1869.7	2063.9	1966.81
	Control	16.9	18.5	17.70	55.67	64.05	59.86	104.72	108.59	106.66	15.76	17.09	16.43	2284.1	2542.8	2413.45
	Fe Coating	19.5	21.0	20.25	72.30	82.18	77.24	116.94	119.32	118.13	18.39	18.88	18.64	2649.6	2963.3	2806.45
	Spray	21.1	22.1	21.60	82.48	89.27	85.88	120.85	122.47	121.66	19.68	20.44	20.06	2892.5	3239.1	3065.80
Nubaria 1	Coating+Spray	18.7	20.3	19.50	68.89	77.97	73.43	115.30	118.58	116.94	17.61	18.66	18.14	2606.6	2810.4	2708.50
	Mn Coating	18.3	20.2	19.25	67.67	77.61	72.64	114.79	117.93	116.36	17.44	18.54	17.99	2808.1	3089.8	2948.95
	Spray	20.2	21.3	20.75	78.25	86.74	82.50	119.31	123.12	121.22	18.90	20.05	19.48	3004.8	3352.7	3178.75
	Coating+Spray	18.3	19.9	19.10	66.15	76.42	71.29	113.55	118.37	115.96	17.14	18.43	17.79	2750.3	3028.4	2889.35
	Mean	19.0	20.47	19.74	70.20	79.18	74.69	115.07	118.34	116.71	17.85	18.87	18.36	2713.7	3003.8	2858.75
	Control	21.60	23.30	22.45	45.82	50.96	48.39	81.08	82.26	81.67	13.30	14.19	13.75	2018.2	2211.3	2114.70
General	Fe Coating	24.80	26.35	25.58	59.28	63.87	61.58	90.24	90.70	90.47	15.61	15.68	15.65	2270.0	2545.8	2407.88
means or	Spray	26.35	27.70	27.03	66.99	71.23	69.11	93.40	93.11	93.26	16.73	17.15	16.94	2437.8	2772.3	2605.03
ionto	Coating+Spray	24.25	25.60	24.93	56.59	61.67	59.13	89.01	89.85	89.43	14.90	15.51	15.21	2230.1	2402.3	2316.20
treatment	Mn Coating	23.40	25.20	24.30	56.05	60.57	58.31	88.27	88.95	88.61	14.78	15.48	15.13	2317.6	2571.1	2444.30
ueauneni	Spray	25.30	26.70	26.00	63.16	68.19	65.68	91.91	93.15	92.53	15.98	16.70	16.34	2495.0	2726.5	2610.75
	Coating+Spray	23.25	24.95	24.10	53.76	59.74	56.75	87.46	89.80	88.63	14.53	15.35	14.94	2273.6	2507.7	2390.60
General	Coating	24.10	25.78	24.94	57.66	62.22	59.95	89.25	89.83	89.54	15.19	15.58	15.39	2293.8	2558.4	2426.10
means of	Spray	25.83	27.20	26.52	65.07	69.71	67.39	92.65	93.13	92.89	16.35	16.92	16.64	2466.4	2749.4	2607.90
application	Coating+Spray	23.75	25.28	24.52	55.17	60.70	57.94	88.23	89.82	89.03	14.71	15.43	15.08	2251.8	2455.0	2353.40
L.S.D. (0.05) For:															
Cultiva	r (A)	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Treatment	t (B)	0.45	0.84	0.46	0.63	0.80	0.48	0.78	0.44	0.44	0.26	0.11	0.14	52.18	41.53	32.47
Interaction	(A x B)	0.63	1.18	0.65	0.89	1.13	0.69	1.11	0.62	0.62	0.36	0.16	0.19	73.79	58.73	45.92
Methods	(C)	0.31	0.60	0.30	0.44	0.56	0.35	0.55	0.31	0.30	0.18	0.08	0.08	36.90	29.36	21.87
Treated vs u	untreated (D)	**	*	*	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Interaction	(A x D)	**	*	*	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**

 Table 6. Yield traits of two faba bean cultivars as affected by application methods of micronutrients in 2004/2005 and 2005/2006 seasons and its combined.

*, ** and indicate significant at 0.05 and 0.01, respectively.

One ardab = 155 kg

J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 33 (8), August, 2007

		No. o	f node /	nlant	Seed	weight /	plant	100-	seedwe	ight	Seed	yield / fe	eddan	Straw yield / feddan		
Cultivar	Application	NO. 0	i pous /	piant	(g)			(g)			(ardab)			(kg)		
ounitur	method	1 <u>st</u>	2 <u>nd</u>	Comb	1 <u>st</u>	2 <u>nd</u>	Comb	1 <u>st</u>	2 nd	Comb	1 <u>st</u>	2 <u>nd</u>	Comb	1 <u>st</u>	2 <u>nd</u>	Comb
		season	season	001110.	season	season	001110.	season	season	001110.	season	season	001110.	season	season	Comb.
	Coating	29.30	30.95	30.13	45.34	44.54	44.94	62.64	61.03	61.84	12.47	12.45	12.46	1858.7	2090.3	1974.5
Giza 40	Spray	31.00	32.70	31.85	49.78	51.41	50.60	65.23	63.47	64.35	13.42	13.60	13.51	1984.1	2202.9	2093.5
	Coating + Spray	29.00	30.45	29.73	42.83	44.21	43.52	62.04	61.17	61.61	12.05	12.31	12.18	1825.2	1990.6	1907.9
	Coating	18.90	20.60	19.75	69.99	79.90	74.95	115.87	118.63	117.25	17.92	18.71	18.32	2728.9	3026.6	2877.8
Nubaria 1	Spray	20.65	21.70	21.18	80.37	88.01	84.19	120.08	122.80	121.44	19.29	20.25	19.77	2948.7	3295.9	3122.3
	Coating + Spray	18.50	20.10	19.30	67.52	77.2	72.36	114.43	118.48	116.46	17.38	18.55	17.97	2678.5	2919.4	2798.9
L.S.D. (0.05)	For:															
Interaction Cultivar x method		NS	NS	NS	0.63	0.80	0.51	0.78	0.44	0.46	0.26	0.11	0.13	52.18	41.53	33.46

Table 7. Interaction between two cultivars of faba bean and application methods with micronutrients in 2004/2005 and 2005/2006 seasons.

NS indicate insignificant at 0.05 and 0.01, respectively.

One ardab = 155 kg