# Influence of Potassium Fertilization and Spraying of Zinc and Manganese on Cotton Growth and Productivity

Emara, M. A. A.

Positions: Cotton Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt.

Addresses: 9 Gamaa st, Giza, Egypt. Mobile: 012 22906455 & 010 14870909 E-mail: mostafacotton@yahoo.com



## **ABSTRACT**

Two field experiments were carried out on clay soil in El-Gemmeiza Agricultural Research Station, Agricultural Research Center, El-Gharbiya Governorate, Egypt during 2013 and 2014 seasons to study the effect of five potassium treatments and four foliar applications with Zinc and Manganese on growth, earliness, yield, yield components and fiber quality of Egyptian cotton cultivar Giza 86 (Gossypium barbadense, L.). The experimental design was a split-plot with four replications. The main plots involved the five potassium treatments namely; A- Soil application of 24 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/fed. as potassium sulfate at thinning, B- Foliar application of 5 kg potassium sulfate/fed., C- Foliar application of 2.5 g potassium citrate/L., D- Foliar application of 500 cm<sup>3</sup> potassium humate/fed. and E- Foliar application of 2.5 cm<sup>3</sup> potassium silicate/L. and the sub plots involved the four foliar applications of Zn and Mn namely; 1- Control (without foliar application), 2-Foliar application of Zn-EDTA, 3- Foliar application of Mn-EDTA, and 4- Foliar application of Zn-EDTA + Mn-EDTA. The timing of foliar applications was at the start of flowering and 15 days later. The most important results obtained could be summarized as follows: 1-The potassium treatments gave significant effect on plant height at harvest, no. of internodes and sympodial/plant, no. of open bolls/plant, boll weight, seed index and seed cotton yield/plant as well as /fed. in both seasons and lint percentage in the second season only, in favor of foliar application of 2.5 cm<sup>3</sup> potassium silicate/liter water. Also, potassium treatments gave significant effect on internode length in both seasons, in favor of foliar application of 2.5 cm<sup>3</sup> potassium silicate/liter water. On the other hand, the potassium treatments gave insignificant effect on first sympodium node, days to first flower, upper half mean length, uniformity index, micronaire reading and fiber strength in both seasons.2- Foliar application with Zn and Mn treatments gave significant effect on plant height at harvest, no. of internodes/plant, internode length, no. of sympodia/plant, no. of open bolls/plant, boll weight, seed index and seed cotton yield/plant as well as /fed. in both seasons and on lint percentage in the second season only, where the superiority was found in favor of applying Zn and Mn in mixture at one level for each (2 g/L water) twice at the start of flowering and 15 days later. However, insignificant effect was obtained due to these treatments on first sympodium node, days to first flower, upper half mean length, uniformity index, micronaire reading and fiber strength in both seasons.3-The interaction between potassium treatments and foliar application of Zn and Mn treatments gave a significant effect on plant height at harvest, no. of internodes/plant, internode length, no. of sympodia/plant, boll weight and seed index in both seasons and no. open bolls/plant, seed cotton yield/plant as well as /fed. in the second season only, where the highest values of these traits were obtained from foliar application of 2.5 cm<sup>3</sup> potassium silicate/liter water and foliar application with Zn and Mn in mixture contains 2 g/L water from each element twice (at the start of flowering and 15 days later). This interaction gave insignificant effect on first sympodium node, days to first flower, lint percentage, upper half mean length, uniformity index, micronaire reading and fiber strength in both seasons.

**keywords:** Cotton, Potassium (Sulfate, Citrate, Humate and Silicate), Micronutrient, Manganese, Zinc, Growth, Earliness, Yield.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Cotton is not only the king of fibers and crucial crop used for fiber production all around the world (Killi and Aloğlu, 2000) but also it is a vital source of foreign exchange earnings. In Egypt, two major decisions should be taken to restore the situation of the Egyptian cotton. The first is the improvement of the growing conditions of the crop or simply improving the crop management. The second is the reduction of production cost, especially cost of mineral fertilizers. Soil fertility and crop management are the two most important factors of modern agricultural activity.

Some soil conditions in Egypt are perceived as being likely to induce micronutrients deficiencies such as high pH, low organic matter and high calcium carbonate, (Hamissa and Abdel-Salam, 1999). Although, required by plants in small amounts, micronutrients play many complex roles in plant growth, plant nutrition, development and production. For example, zinc and manganese function in many plant enzyme systems as bridges to connect the enzyme with the substrate upon which it is meant to act.

Micronutrients are involved in regulating plant physiology and in enhancing plant stress tolerance, El-Fouly (2006). Also, micronutrients have positive environmental impacts through increasing the use efficiency of macronutrients, Malakouti (2006).

Potassium is an essential macro-element required in large amounts for normal plant growth and development. Potassium is an important nutrient that has favorable effects on the metabolism of nucleic acids, proteins, vitamins and growth substances. Furthermore, Potassium plays important roles in the translocation of photsynthates, sugars and activation of many enzymes required from sources to sinks Morteza et al., (2005). However, Pettigrew (1999) indicated that the elevated carbohydrate concentrations remaining in source tissue, such as leaves, appear to be part of the overall effect of potassium deficiency in reducing the amount of photosynthetic available for reproductive sinks and thereby producing changes in the yield and quality of cotton. Many studies have shown increased yield and productivity in response to potassium fertilization as reported by Sharma and Sundar (2007), Abou-Zaid et al., (2009), Emara (2012), Emara and

Hamoda (2012), Sawan (2013 and 2014), Gomaa *et al.*, (2014), Abdel-Aal Amal *et al.*, (2014 and 2015) and Emara (2014 and 2015).

Silicon, (Si) the mineral substrate is the most abundant elements in the soils for most of worlds plants (Sommer et al., 2006). Silicon does not form a constituent of any cellular components but primarily deposited on the walls of epidermis and vascular tissues conferring strength, rigidity and resistance to pests and diseases (Epstein and Bloom, 2005). Silicon nutrition alleviate many abiotic stresses including physical stress like lodging, drought, high temperatures, freezing and chemical stress like salt, metal toxicity, nutrient imbalance, ultraviolet radiation and many others (Epstein, 1994). Korndo"rfer et al., (2004) and Mattson and Leatherwood (2010) concluded that improvement in plant growth results from a higher mechanical stability of stems and leaves caused by silicon addition and thus better light interception and higher photosynthetic capacity. Several workers documented favourable responses of cotton growth, yield, productivity and fiber quality to application with potassium silicate fertilization Almeida et al., (2005), Madeiros et al., (2005 a & b), Ferreira et al., (2005) and Emara (2014).

Zinc is one of the eight essential trace elements or micronutrients for the normal healthy growth and reproduction of crop plants because of its function such as its activity in biological membrane stability, a cofactor of over 300 enzymes and proteins involved in cell division, nucleic acid metabolism and protein synthesis, and about 50% of the soils cultivated for cereal production have low levels of available zinc Rengel, (2007). Zinc deficiency occurs in cotton grown in highly weathered acid soils of high pH soils, particularly where topsoil has been removed in preparing fields for irrigation and thereby exposing the Zn-deficient subsoil. Thus, tolerance to environmental stresses has a high requirement for Zn and Zn-deficient plants are sensitive to stress conditions, Cakmak (2000). Cotton is reported to be particularly sensitive to Zn deficiency compared to some other crops such as wheat, oat, or pea Alloway, (2008). Moreover, its deficiency has been reported to cause reduction in dry matter production of many crop plants (El-Fouly, 2006). Several workers documented favorable responses of cotton growth, productivity and fiber quality to foliar application with zinc Suresh and Kumar (2005), Sawan et al., (2006 and 2007), Kassem et al., (2009), Lale and Emine (2011), Sema et al., (2012) and Emara et al., (2015).

Biochemical roles of Mn in cotton plants, under mild Mn deficiency, photosynthetic oxygen evolution decreases with a corresponding decrease in assimilates, high energy phosphates, and reducing equivalents Burnell (1986). Rate of cell elongation is more affected by Mn deficiency than rate of cell division (Campbell and Nable, 1988). Inadequate or imbalanced levels of IAA could cause many of the symptoms of Mn deficiency or toxicity (Morgan *et al.*, 1976), including inhibition of growth, shortened internodes, leaf abscission is increased, and cessation of lateral root formation (Taylor *et al.*, 1968). Joham and

Amin (1967) reported delayed flowering in Mn deficient cotton. Lint yields of cotton were decreased under Mn-deficient conditions, although lint quality was virtually unaffected (Anderson and Boswell, 1968). Anter *et al.* (1976) reported that fiber length was shorter in plants not supplied with foliar applications of Mn. Lower yields under Mn deficient conditions most likely result from lower carbohydrate supply, but poor lignification and indehiscence in anthers has also resulted in male sterility (Campbell and Nable, 1988). Several workers documented favorable responses of cotton growth, productivity and fiber quality to foliar application with manganese Eleyan (2008), Dordas (2009), Abdallah Amany and Mohamed (2013) and Eleyan *et al.*, (2014).

Manganese (Mn) and zinc (Zn) were two essentia 1 micronutrients that are routinely measured in soil testin g and can sometimes be deficient in cotton. Both Mn and Zn are less available for plant uptake at higher soil pH (Bednarz et al., 1999). Mefhar et al., (2009) compared with the untreated control, application of foliar potassium, zinc and micronutrients insignificantly affected lint turnout, seed cotton yield, and fiber quality parameters. Halepyati et al., (2012) found that foliar spraying of water-soluble Zn, Fe, Mn and B recorded the highest seed cotton yield/plant, boll weight and seed cotton yield. Wazir and Shahbaz (2013) reported that foliar application of a spray containing Zn, B, Mn, Cu and Fe on cotton crop grown on calcareous soils increase in the no. of bolls/plant and ultimately of seed cotton yield. Foliar application of micronutrients during flower and boll development stages have been shown to be effective in efficient utilization of nutrients by cotton and thereby reduce boll shedding and increase the yield (Radhika el al., 2013).

Our objectives were to determine the influence of different potassium sources fertilizers and foliar application of manganese and zinc on growth, earliness, yield, yield components and fiber quality of Egyptian cotton Giza 86 cultivar in El-Gemmeiza Agricultural Research Station, El-Gharbiya Governorate, Egypt.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were carried out on a clay soil in El-Gemmeiza Agricultural Research Station, El-Gharbiya Governorate, Egypt, during the two growing seasons of 2013 and 2014 to study the effect of different potassium sources fertilizers and foliar application of zinc and manganese on growth, earliness, yield, yield components and fiber quality of Egyptian cotton cultivar Giza 86 (Gossypium barbadense, L.). The experimental design was a split-plot with four replications.

## The main plots involved the five potassium treatments namely;

A- Soil application of 24 kg  $K_2O$ /fed. as potassium sulphate (48%  $K_2O$ ) after thinning.

B- Foliar application of 5 kg potassium sulphate (48%  $K_2O$ )/fed.

- C- Foliar application of 2.5 g potassium citrate (30%
- D- Foliar application of 500 cm<sup>3</sup> potassium humate (8% K<sub>2</sub>O and 20% Humic acid)/fed.
- E- Foliar application of 2.5 cm<sup>3</sup> potassium silicate (10%) K<sub>2</sub>O and 25% SiO<sub>2</sub>)/L.

The sub plots involved the four treatments of foliar spraying with Zn-EDTA (13%) and Mn-EDTA (13%), which were:

- 1) Control (without foliar spraying).
- 2) Foliar spraying of Zn (2 g/L water).

4) Foliar spraying of Zn (2 g/L water) + Mn (2 g/L

3) Foliar spraying of Mn (2 g/L water).

The addition of foliar spraying of the treatments under study was done twice at the beginning of flowering and 15 days later.

Representative soil samples were taken from the experimental soil sites before sowing in both seasons and prepared for analysis according to Page et al., (1982) and results of the soil analysis are shown in Table (1).

Table 1. Soil properties of the experimental sites at El-Gemmeiza in 2013 and 2014 seasons. Mechanical analysis

Season	Clay (%)	Silt (%)	<b>Sand</b> (%)	Organic matter (%)	Texture class
2013	51.32	32.20	17.13	2.00	Clayay
2014	59.30	26.11	14.59	1.59	Clayay
Chemical ana	alysis				

Caasam	11	EC	HCO <sub>3</sub>	Available element (ppm)								
Season pH	(mmhos/cm)	(%)	N	P	K	Fe	В	Zn	Cu	Mn		
2013	7.60	0.68	0.63	30.20	17.07	312.2	10.6	0.65	1.00	3.06	1.10	
2014	8.10	0.02	0.87	28.10	11.08	354.8	11.8	0.42	1.30	3.50	1.32	

The experimental plot area of each plot was 21 m<sup>2</sup> (including sex ridges each of 0.70 m wide x 5 m long). The distance between hills was 25 cm with two plants/hill after thinning. Seeds of Egyptian long staple cotton cultivar Giza 86 (Gossypium barbadense, L.) were planted on 3 and 8 April after Egyptian clover (Trifolium alexandrinum, L.) in 2013 and 2014 seasons, respectively. The first irrigation was applied after 21 day from planting irrigation, while the other irrigations were given at 15-day interval. Before the second irrigation, the plants were thinned to two plants/hill. Hand hoeing was carried out three times during the season before the first, second and third irrigations, respectively.

Phosphorus in the form of superphosphate (15.5% P<sub>2</sub>0<sub>5</sub>) was applied during land preparation at the rate of 22.5 kg P<sub>2</sub>0<sub>5</sub>/fed. Nitrogen fertilizer in the form of ammonium nitrate (33.5% N) was applied at the rate of 45 Kg N/fed. in two equal doses (27.5 + 27.5 Kg N/fed.) after thinning and at the next irrigation. Potassium was added to soil in the form of potassium sulphate (48% K<sub>2</sub>O) at the rate of 24 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/fed. in one dose after thinning, while foliar application of the other potassium treatments was done twice at the beginning of flowering and 15 days later.

The other standard agricultural practices were followed throughout the growing seasons.

In both seasons, five representative hills (10 plants/sub-main plot) were taken at random to study the following traits: Ggrowth characters; plant height at harvest (cm), no. of internodes/plant, internode length (cm), no. of sympodia/plant. Earliness measurements; first sympodial node, days from sowing to the first flower. Yield and its components; no. of open bolls/plant, boll weight (g), seed cotton yield/plant (g), lint percentage and seed index (g). The yield of seed cotton in kentars/fed. was estimated from the four inner ridges, (One kentar = 157.5 kg.). Fiber parameters; Fiber upper half mean length (UHML), uniformity index (UI %), were determined on digital fibrograph instrument 630 according to A.S.T.M. (2012) D1447-07. Micronaire reading was determined on micronaire instrument 675 according to A.S.T.M. (2012) D1448-97. Fiber strength was determined on Pressley instrument at zero gauge clamp spacing using a simple inclined plane breaker and simple specimen preparation and clamp loading techniques according to A.S.T.M. (2012) D1445-67. All fiber tests for the samples were made at the cotton laboratories under controlled atmospheric conditions according to A.S.T.M.(2004) D1776-04.

The obtained data were subjected to statistical analysis according to the procedures outlined by Snedecor and Cochran (1980) using M Stat-C microcomputer program for a split plot, L.S.D. values at 5% level of significance (P  $\leq$  0.05) were used to compare between treatments means.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of growth traits, earliness parameters, yield and yield components as affected by potassium treatments, micronutrient fertilization and their interaction and methods of application on cotton Giza 86 during 2013 and 2014 seasons are shown in Tables (2) to (6).

## A- Growth traits:

#### 1- Effect of potassium sources:

The results in Table (2) show that potassium sources treatments had a significant effect on plant height at harvest, no. of nodes/plant, internode length and no. of sympodia/plant in 2013 and 2014 seasons. The tallest plants (167.53 and 164.04 cm) and shortest internode (6.93 and 7.50 cm) were produced from foliar application of 2.5 cm<sup>3</sup> potassium silicate/liter water, while the shortest plants (153.78 and 152.16 cm) were produced from soil application of 24 Kg potassium sulfate/fed. in 2013 and 2014 seasons, respectively.

The tallest internode (7.24 and 8.09 cm) were produced from foliar application of 2.5 g potassium citrate/fed. in 2013 and 2014 season, respectively. The highest values of no. of sympodia/plant (17.30 and 15.49) and no. of internodes/plant (24.18 and 21.87) were obtained from foliar application of 2.5 cm<sup>3</sup>

potassium silicate/liter water, while the lowest values of no. of sympodia/plant (14.80 and 12.96) were obtained from soil application of 24 Kg potassium sulfate/fed. in 2013 and 2014 season, respectively.

Table 2. Cotton growth attributes as affected by the potassium source, zinc and manganese fertilizer and

their interaction during 2013 and 2014 seasons.

Characters			eight at		. of		ternode	No. of		
Characters	~		st (cm)	interno	les/plant	lengtl	1 (cm)	sympod	ia/Plant	
<b>T</b>	Seasons	3								
Treatments	M'	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	
Potassium	Micronutrient									
sources (A)	fertilizer (B)	1 45 75	1.42.02	20.40	10.02	7.14	7.02	12.55	11.66	
C-:104 V-	Without foliar	145.75	142.83	20.40 20.87	18.23	7.14	7.83	13.55	11.66	
Soil 24 Kg	Foliar Mn	151.87	151.16	20.87	18.24 20.01	7.28	8.29 7.74	13.97 15.02	12.00	
K-Sulfate	Foliar Zn	154.25	154.83			7.06			13.73	
M	Foliar Mn + Zn	163.25	159.83	23.65	20.89 19.34	6.90	7.65	16.65	14.46	
Mean	W:414 f-1:	153.78 152.75	152.16	21.69 21.75	19.54 19.50	7.09 7.02	7.87 7.78	14.80	12.96 12.90	
E-1: # V-	Without foliar		151.66					15.10		
Foliar 5 Kg	Foliar Mn Foliar Zn	153.75 158.25	153.16	21.97 23.45	19.93 19.99	7.00 6.75	7.68 7.73	15.07	13.60 13.76	
K-Sulfate		171.25	154.50 168.33	23.43	20.44	7.17	8.24	16.70 17.02	13.76	
M	Foliar Mn + Zn									
Mean	W:414 f-1:	159.00	156.91	22.76	19.96	6.99	7.86	15.97	13.55	
E-1: 2 5 - V	Without foliar	149.50	145.33	20.70	18.46	7.22	7.87	13.95	12.06	
Foliar 2.5 g K-	Foliar Mn	154.50	153.00	21.67	18.96	7.13	8.07	14.82	12.66	
Citrate	Foliar Zn	160.50	157.16	22.22	18.91 20.28	7.22	8.31	15.32	12.63	
Maan	Foliar $Mn + Zn$	166.62 157.78	164.66	22.57 21.79	20.28 19.16	7.18 7.24	8.12 8.09	15.77	14.03 12.85	
Mean	Without foliar	157.78	155.04	22.05	19.16	7.24	8.09 7.99	14.96	13.03	
Foliar 500cm <sup>3</sup> K-	Foliar Mn	155.62	154.83 160.83	22.03	19.58 19.64	7.08	7.99 8.19	15.17 15.55	13.05	
	Foliar Mil Foliar Zn				20.93					
Humat		168.50	166.50	24.62	20.93	6.84	7.96	17.82	14.70	
M	Foliar Mn + Zn	173.75	168.83	24.73 23.43	20.29	7.00	7.95	17.10	15.00	
Mean	Without folian	165.50	162.75			7.06	8.02	16.66	14.02	
Foliar 2.5cm <sup>3</sup>	Without foliar	157.62	155.16	23.35	21.28	6.75	7.29	16.50	14.63	
K-Silicate	Foliar Mn	166.50	164.16	24.17	21.78	6.89	7.54	17.42	15.43	
K-Silicate	Foliar Zn	170.00	165.66	24.45	22.21	6.95	7.46	17.52	15.93	
M	Foliar Mn + Zn	176.00	171.16	24.83	22.21	7.38	7.71	17.75	15.96	
Mean	W:414 f-1:	167.53	164.04	24.18	21.87	6.93	7.50	17.30	15.49	
M:	Without foliar	152.25	149.96	21.65	19.38	7.03	7.74	14.85	12.86	
Micronutrient	Foliar Mn	158.15	156.46	22.19	19.71 20.41	7.10	7.83	15.37	13.41	
Mean	Foliar Zn	162.30 170.17	159.73	23.31	20.41	7.11 7.13	7.92 7.95	16.47	14.15	
	Foliar Mn + Zn		166.56	23.93				17.06	14.68	
I CD at 0.05 fs ::	A B	0.89	0.86	0.53	0.42	0.08	0.11	0.41	0.36	
LSD at 0.05 for	_	0.66	0.66	0.42	0.51	0.12	0.19	0.31	0.27	
	A X B	1.47	1.48	0.86	0.96	0.26	0.33	0.68	0.61	

Such increase in plant height and mean length of internode due to potassium fertilizer may attributed to stimulation of cell division and internode elongation, and potassium is needed in photosynthesis and the synthesis of protein. Plants lacking K will have slow and stunted growth. Similar results were obtained by Sharma and Sundar (2007), Abou-Zaid et al., (2009), Emara (2012), Emara and Hamoda (2012), Abou-Zaid et al., (2013), Abdel-Aal Amal et al., (2014), Emara (2014), Gomaa et al., (2014) and Emara (2015).

The positive effect of potassium silicate on growth traits is mainly attributed to the promotive effect of potassium in addition to the effect of silicon which deposited on the walls of epidermis and vascular tissues conferring strength, rigidity and resistance to pests and diseases (Epstein and Bloom, 2005). Silicon nutrition alleviate many abiotic stresses including physical stress like lodging, drought, high temperatures, freezing and chemical stress like salt, metal toxicity, nutrient imbalance, ultraviolet radiation and many others (Epstein, 1994). Korndo rfer et al., (2004) and Mattson and Leatherwood (2010) concluded that improvement in plant growth results from a higher mechanical stability of stems and leaves caused by silicon addition and thus better light interception and higher photosynthetic capacity.

## 2- Effect of Zn and Mn treatments:

The results in Table (2) show that foliar application with micronutrients treatments significant effect on all growth traits in 2013 and 2014 seasons. The highest values of plant height at harvest (170.17 and 166.56 cm) and no. of internodes/plant (23.93 and 21.01), internode length (7.13 and 7.95 cm) and no. of sympodia/plant (17.06 and 14.68) were produced from foliar feeding with Mn and zinc mixture which contains two elements (Zn-EDTA and Mn-EDTA) at the start of flowering and 15 days later stages, while the lowest values of plant height at harvest (152.25 and 149.96 cm) and no. of internodes/plant (21.65 and 19.38) internode length (7.03 and 7.74 cm) and no. of sympodia/plant (14.85 and 12.86) were produced from without foliar application with Zn or Mn treatment in 2013 and 2014 seasons, respectively.

Such increase in cotton plant growth, plant height and internode length due to foliar application with Zn + Mn mixture treatment may attributed to stimulation of cell division and internode elongation, where Zinc is required in the synthesis of tryptophan, which, in turn, is necessary for the production of indole acetic acid in plants. Zn and Mn is needed in photosynthesis, the synthesis of protein and dry matter production. Plants lacking Zn and Mn will have slow and stunted growth

(Blevins and Lukaszewski, 1998 and Uchida, 2000). Similar results were obtained by El-Marsi (2005), Sawan *et al.*, (2006 and 2007), Eleyan (2008), Kassem *et al.*, (2009), Sema *et al.*, (2012), Radhika *el al.*, (2013) and Eleyan *et al.*, (2014).

#### **3-** Effect of the interaction:

The results in Table (2) show that the interaction between potassium sources fertilizer and foliar application of Zn + Mn gave significant effect on all growth traits in 2013 and 2014 seasons. The highest values of plant height at harvest (176.00 and 171.16 cm) and no. of nodes/plant (24.83 and 22.21) and no. of sympodia/plant (17.75 and 15.96) were produced from foliar application of 2.5 cm³ potassium silicate/Liter water twice in combined with foliar application with Zn-EDTA and Mn-EDTA in mixtures which contain two elements at one level for each 2 g/L water at the start and peak of flowering stages, while the lowest values of plant height at harvest (145.75 and 142.83 cm)

and no. of nodes/plant (20.40 and 18.23) and no. of sympodia/plant (13.55 and 11.66) were produced from soil application of 24 Kg potassium sulfate/fed. + Without foliar application with Zn or Mn, in 2013 and 2014 seasons, respectively. The improvement in plant growth results from a higher mechanical stability of stems and leaves caused by silicon addition and thus better light interception and higher photosynthetic capacity. The response of growth parameters to potassium silicate may be due to it is a source of highly soluble potassium and has the additional benefit of supplying silicate Adatia and Besford (1986).

#### **B-Earliness parameters:**

The results in Table (3) indicate that potassium sources, foliar application of Zn and Mn treatments and their interaction did not exhibit significant effect on earliness properties under study i.e.; first sympodial node and days to the first flower in 2013 and 2014 seasons.

Table 3. Earliness parameters as affected by the potassium source, zinc and manganese fertilizer and interaction during 2013 and 2014 seasons.

interaction	during 2013 and 2014 seaso	ns.				
Characters		First sympo	dial position	Days to the first flower		
	Seasons					
Treatments Potassium sources (A)	Micronutrient fertilizer (B)	2013	014	2013	2014	
	Without foliar	7.85	7.58	81.90	80.63	
	Foliar Mn	7.90	7.23	81.90	80.18	
Soil 24 Kg K-Sulfate	Foliar Zn	7.83	7.28	81.85	80.18	
	Foliar Mn + Zn	8.00	7.43	82.08	80.45	
Mean		Y. A 9	٧.٣٨	۸۱٬۹۳	٨٠.٣٦	
	Without foliar	7.65	7.60	81.68	80.63	
	Foliar Mn	7.90	7.33	81.88	80.30	
Foliar 5 Kg K-Sulfate	Foliar Zn	7.75	7.23	81.75	80.13	
	Foliar Mn + Zn	7.85	7.48	81.83	80.40	
Mean	TOTAL IVER 1 ZAI	V. V9	٧.٤١	A1. YA	۸٠.٣٦	
	Without foliar	7.75	7.40	81.85	80.45	
Foliar 2.5 g	Foliar Mn	7.85	7.30	81.88	80.25	
K-Citrate	Foliar Zn	7.90	7.28	81.93	80.20	
	Foliar Mn + Zn	7.80	7.25	81.83	80.23	
Mean		٧.٨٣	٧,٣١	81.87	٨٠٢٨	
	Without foliar	7.88	7.35	81.95	80.38	
Foliar 500 cm <sup>3</sup>	Foliar Mn	7.68	7.28	81.65	80.25	
K-Humat	Foliar Zn	7.80	7.23	81.83	80.10	
	Foliar Mn + Zn	7.73	7.23	81.75	80.10	
Mean		Y. YY	Y 7 Y	81.79	٨٠.٢١	
	Without foliar	7.85	7.65	81.85	80.78	
Foliar 2.5 cm <sup>3</sup>	Foliar Mn	7.75	7.35	81.73	80.40	
K-Silicate	Foliar Zn	7.93	7.28	81.95	80.25	
	Foliar Mn + Zn	7.98	7.25	82.00	80.18	
Mean		٧.٨٨	٧.٣٨	81.88	۸٠.٤٠	
	Without foliar	7.80	7.52	81.85	80.57	
M:	Foliar Mn	7.82	7.30	81.81	80.28	
Micronutrient Mean	Foliar Zn	7.84	7.26	81.86	80.17	
	Foliar Mn + Zn	7.87	7.33	81.90	80.27	
	A	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	
LSD at 0.05 for	В	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	
	A X B	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	

These results are in partial agreement with those obtained by Abou-Zaid *et al.*, (2009), Emara and Hamoda (2012), Abou-Zaid *et al.*, (2013), Gomaa *et al.*, (2014), Emara (2015) and Emara *et al.*, (2015) where they found that the earliness was insignificant affected by the tested treatments.

#### C- Yield and vield components:

### 1- Effect of potassium sources:

The results in Table (4) show that potassium sources fertilizer had significant effects on no. of open bolls/plant, boll weight, seed cotton yield/plant, seed

index and seed cotton yield/fed. in 2013 and 2014 seasons, lint percentage in 2014 season only.

The no. of open bolls/plant (16.17 and 16.24 bolls/plant) which produced from soil application of 24 Kg ptassium sulfate/fed. was significantly increased to (19.33 and 16.87 bolls/plant) due to foliar application of 2.5 cm³ potassium silicate/liter water in 2013 and 2014 seasons, respectively. Thus, the highest value of boll weight (2.96 and 3.31 g) was obtained from foliar application of 2.5 cm³ potassium silicate/liter, while the lowest value (2.82 and 2.81 g) was obtained from soil

application of 24 Kg potassium sulfate/fed. in 2013 and 2014 seasons, respectively.

These results may be due to potassium silicate compounds which have various biochemical effects either at cell wall membrane level in the cytoplasm including in plants enhanced protein synthesis and plant hormone- like activity which might have results increasing boll weight. Also, enhancement effect of these treatments on yield components is mainly due to its effect on increasing plant growth as mentioned before where yielded height plant and more fruiting branches/plant resulted in more flowers and bolls. Also, added the favorable potassium silicate fertilization enhanced formation of carbohydrates, proteins, photosynthesis translocation regulation, enzyme action, Chlorophyll oxidative, photo-phosphorylation solution Mengel and Kirkby (1987). Similar results were obtained by Emara and Hamoda (2012), Abou-Zaid et al., (2013) and Emara (2014 and 2015) where they found that the application of potassium significantly increased boll weight as compared with the other treatments.

The seed cotton yields/plant of the soil application of 24 Kg potassium sulfate/fed. (45.86 and 45.63 g/plant) were significantly increased to (57.35 and 55.84 g/plant) due to foliar application of 2.5 cm<sup>3</sup> potassium silicate/liter in 2013 and 2014 seasons, respectively. The significant increase in seed cotton yield/plant due to the two former treatments is mainly due to the heavier bolls and the higher no. of open bolls/plant. These results are in line with those obtained by Emara and Hamoda (2012), Abou-Zaid *et al.*, (2013) and Emara (2014 and 2015) where they found that the application of potassium significantly increased seed cotton yield/plant as compared with the other treatments.

Foliar application of 2.5 cm<sup>3</sup> potassium silicate/liter significantly increased seed cotton yield/fed. by 21.48 and 13.61% compared to soil application of 24 Kg potassium sulfate/fed., in 2013 and 2014 seasons, respectively.

Table 4. Cotton yield and yield components as affected by the potassium source, zinc and manganese fertilizer and interaction during 2013 and 2014 seasons.

fertilizer and interaction during 2013 and 2014 seasons.												
CI.		No	. of	Boll		cotton		cotton		int	Seed index	
Characters		bolls/	plant	weight		/plant	yio			ntage	(8	
	Season		•	( <b>g</b> )	(	g)	(Kenta	ır/fed.)	(3	<b>(</b> 0)		<i>3</i> *
Treatments	Season											
Potassium	Micronutrient	2013	2014	2013 2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014
sources (A)	fertilizer (B)											
Bources (11)	Without foliar	14.02	15.80	2.76 2.45	38.74	38.71	9.80	11.46	40.55	39.57	10.15	10.01
Soil 24 Kg	Foliar Mn	15.55	16.15		43.53	44.09	11.63	12.51	40.32	39.87	10.42	10.04
K-Sulfate	Foliar Zn	16.65		2.82 2.92	47.08	48.00	12.80	13.31	40.12	40.10	10.67	10.22
It bullate	Foliar Mn + Zn	18.47		2.92 3.15	54.08	51.98	13.24	14.15	40.40	40.76	11.17	10.57
Mean	Tollar Will   Zil	16.17		2.82 2.81	45.86	45.63	11.87	12.86	40.35	40.07	10.60	10.21
Wican	Without foliar	15.77		2.80 2.86	44.17	46.33	11.77	13.41	40.40	40.10	10.45	10.11
Foliar 5 Kg	Foliar Mn	16.95			48.09	48.80	12.85	13.73	40.27	40.52	10.75	10.33
K-Sulfate	Foliar Zn	18.25		2.90 3.07	52.92	50.59	13.00	13.67	40.57	40.55	10.90	10.51
11 Sullate	Foliar Mn + Zn	19.62		2.99 3.41	58.73	56.33	14.45	15.21	41.22	41.50	11.95	10.80
Mean	Tollar Will   Zil	17.65		2.88 3.07	50.98	50.41	13.02	14.00	40.61	40.67	11.01	10.44
1,10411	Without foliar	13.95		2.78 2.70	38.88	43.63	10.70	12.09	40.85	39.76	10.22	10.04
Foliar 2.5 g K-	Foliar Mn	16.55		2.84 2.92	47.04	47.95	12.47	13.52	40.25	40.16	10.57	10.12
Citrate	Foliar Zn	18.65		2.92 3.11	54.55	51.41	12.71	13.83	40.52	40.60	11.02	10.71
Citrate	Foliar Mn + Zn	18.85	16.97		55.46	55.32	13.92	14.26	40.22	40.95	11.37	10.56
Mean	1 01141 1/111 1 211	17.00		2.87 3.00	48.98	49.50	12.45	13.42	40.46	40.37	10.80	10.36
1.10411	Without foliar	17.90	16.21		50.79	50.25	12.80	13.73	40.72	40.34	10.77	10.37
Foliar 500cm <sup>3</sup> K-	Foliar Mn	18.67		2.93 3.19	54.81	52.48	14.08	14.26	40.10	40.74	11.30	10.61
Humat	Foliar Zn	19.27		2.95 3.29	56.86	55.73	14.95	14.52	40.72	41.27	11.57	10.68
	Foliar Mn + Zn	19.70		3.01 3.43	59.30	59.41	15.78	15.16	40.12	41.55	12.05	11.09
Mean		18.88	16.75	2.93 3.25	55.44	54.44	14.40	14.42	40.41	40.98	11.42	10.69
	Without foliar	17.87	16.72		51.57	51.16	12.85	13.67	40.70	40.46	10.77	10.41
Foliar 2.5 cm <sup>3</sup> K-	Foliar Mn	19.10	16.71	2.94 3.26	56.24	54.47	13.97	14.47	40.75	41.09	11.37	10.56
Silicate	Foliar Zn	19.37	16.92	2.99 3.32	57.93	56.17	14.81	14.78	40.85	41.40	11.80	10.72
	Foliar Mn + Zn	20.97	17.15	3.03 3.63	63.65	62.25	16.07	15.53	40.20	41.61	12.15	11.27
Mean		19.33	16.87	2.96 3.31	57.35	55.84	14.42	14.61	40.62	41.14	11.52	10.74
	Without foliar	15.90	16.21	2.81 2.83	44.83	45.87	11.59	12.87	40.64	40.05	10.47	10.19
Micronutrient	Foliar Mn	17.36	16.43	2.87 3.01	49.94	49.45	13.00	13.70	40.34	40.48	10.88	10.33
Mean	Foliar Zn	18.44	16.66		53.87	52.31	13.65	14.02	40.56	40.78	11.19	10.57
	Foliar Mn + Zn	19.52	16.89	2.98 3.37	58.24	56.92	14.70	14.86	40.43	41.27	11.74	10.86
	A	0.29	0.11	0.03 0.07	0.78	0.69	0.29	0.29	N.S	0.11	0.09	0.14
LSD at 0.05 for	В	0.23	0.21	0.02 0.06	0.77	0.72	0.26	0.33	N.S	0.16	0.11	0.07
	ΑXΒ	0.52	N.S	0.04 0.12	1.72	1.13	0.59	N.S	N.S	N.S	0.25	0.16

The increase in seed cotton yield/fed due to the higher no. of open bolls/plant, heavier bolls and higher seed cotton yield/plant, and the positive effect of potassium silicate on seed cotton yield due to foliar feeding with potassium silicate at the rate of 2.5g/L.

twice as compared with the other sources could be explained in view of the following points:

**1-** Foliar feeding to cotton plants on soils high in pH (Table 1) seems to be proper rate at which the response of cotton yield to foliar feeding with potassium may occur.

- **2-** Earlier-maturing higher yielding, faster-fruiting cotton varieties creating a greater demand than the plant system is capable of supplying.
- **3-** This point explains the positive response of the cotton Giza 86 which characterized by its earlier-maturing and higher yielding to foliar feeding with the different sources of potassium especially potassium silicate.

Similar results were obtained by Sharma and Sundar (2007), Abou-Zaid *et al.*, (2009), Emara (2012), Emara and Hamoda (2012), Abou-Zaid *et al.*, (2013), Abdel-Aal Amal *et al.*, (2014), Emara (2014 and 2015) and Gomaa *et al.*, (2014).

The highest value of lint percentage (41.14%) was obtained from foliar application of 2.5 cm<sup>3</sup> potassium silicate/liter, while the lowest value (40.07%) was obtained from soil application of 24 Kg potassium sulfate/fed., in 2014 season. In this concern, Emara and Hamoda (2012) and Abou-Zaid *et al.*, (2013) found that the lint percentage was insignificance affected by the tested treatments.

#### 2- Effect of Zn and Mn treatments:

The results in Table (4) show that foliar application of Zn + Mn had a significant effect on no. of open bolls/plant, boll weight, seed cotton yield/plant, /feddan and seed index in 2013 and 2014 seasons, respectively, and lint percentage in 2014 season only.

The no. of open bolls/plant (15.90 and 16.21 bolls/plant), boll weight (2.81 and 2.83 g), seed cotton yields/plant (44.83 and 45.87 g/plant) of the without foliar application treatment was significantly increased to (19.52 and 16.89 bolls/plant), boll weight (2.98 and 3.37g) and seed cotton yields/plant (58.24 and 56.92 g) due to foliar application treatment of Zn + Mn in 2013 and 2014 seasons. The significant increase in seed cotton yield/plant due to the foliar application treatment of Zn + Mn treatments is mainly due to the heavier bolls and the higher no. of open bolls/plant. The highest values of seed index (11.74 and 10.86 g) were obtained from foliar application of treatment of Zn + Mn, while the lowest values (10.74 and 10.19 g) were obtained from without foliar application treatment in 2013 and 2014 seasons, respectively.

Foliar application of treatment of Zn + Mn significantly increased seed cotton yield/fed. by 26.8.0 and 15.5% compared to without foliar application treatment in 2013 and 2014 seasons, respectively. The increase in seed cotton yield/fed due to the higher no. of open bolls/plant, heavier bolls, seed index and higher seed cotton yield/plant. Similar results were obtained by Anter *et al.* (1976), Anderson and Boswell, (1968), Kassem *et al.*, (2009), Mefhar *et al.*, (2009), Halepyati *et al.*, (2012) and Wazir and Shahbaz (2013).

#### 3- Effect of the interaction:

The results in Table (4) show that the interaction between potassium sources fertilizer and foliar application of Zn + Mn gave significant effect on boll weight, seed cotton yield/plant and seed index in both seasons, and on no. of open bolls/plant and seed cotton yield/feddan in 2013 season only, but lint percentage was insignificantly affected in both seasons.

The highest values of no. of open bolls/plant (20.97 and 17.15 bolls/plant), boll weight (3.03 and 3.63 g), seed cotton yield/plant (63.65 and 62.25 g/plant) and seed index (12.15 and 11.27 g) were produced from foliar application of 2.5 cm<sup>3</sup> potassium silicate/liter water + foliar application of Zn + Mn, while the lowest values of no. of open bolls/plant (14.02 and 15.80 bolls/plant), boll weight (2.76 and 2.45 g), seed cotton yield/plant (38.74 and 38.71 g/plant) and seed index (10.15 and 10.01 g) were produced from soil application of 24 Kg potassium sulfate/fed. + Without foliar application of Zn + Mn, in 2013 and 2014 seasons, respectively. But the highest value of seed cotton yield/feddan (16.07 Kentar/fed.) was produced from foliar application of 2.5 cm<sup>3</sup> potassium silicate/liter water + foliar application of Zn + Mn, while the lowest value of (9.80 Kentar/fed.) was produced from soil application of 24 Kg potassium sulfate/fed. + Without foliar application of Zn + Mn, in 2013 season. This may be due to these treatments, had a primitive effect on vegetative growth led to delay the beginning of flowering. It is obvious to notice that, in general, potassium silicate treatments produced highest earliness percentages comparing with potassium sulphate ones. This may be attributed to the retardant effect of silicate salt on vegetative growth of cotton plant, consequently not delaying the flowering stage. Similar results were obtained by Anderson and Boswell (1968), Anter et al. (1976) and Mefhar et al., (2009).

## The positive effect of the interaction on these traits may be attributed to:

- The simulative effect due to the role of potassium on enzymes promotion activity and enhancing the translocation of assimilates and protein.
- Because K is needed in photosynthesis and the synthesis of protein, plants lacking K will have slow and stunted growth. Potassium reduces boll shedding (Zeng, 1996).
- Potassium and manganese nutrition had pronounced effect on carbohydrates partitioning by affecting either phloem export of photosynthesis (sucrose) or growth rate of sink and/or sources organ (Cakmak et al., 1994).
- The role of macro and micro nutrients under study, which are known to promote photosynthesis and plant development which reflected on enhancing the quality and seed development and consequently the productivity of unit area. Nutrients (in the form of mixture) enriched the cotton plant with appreciable amount of Zn and Mn.

#### **D-Fiber quality traits:**

The results in Table (5) indicate that potassium sources, foliar application of Zn and Mn and their interaction did not exhibit significant effect on fiber properties under study i.e., fiber length parameters (fiber upper half mean length and uniformity index), micronaire reading and fiber strength in 2013 and 2014 seasons.

Table 5. Cotton fiber parameter as affected by the potassium source, zinc and manganese fertilizer and

interaction during 2013 and 2014 seasons.									
Characters	-	Upper h	iber length alf mean UHML)	Uniform	Department of the property of		Fiber strength (Presley units)		
Treatments Potassium	Seasons Micronutrient	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014
sources (A)	fertilizer (B)								
	Without foliar	34.56	35.33	85.43	85.06	4.56	4.46	9.97	9.76
Soil 24 Kg K-	Foliar Mn	34.19	34.96	83.93	85.33	4.60	4.66	10.20	10.00
Sulfate	Foliar Zn	34.13	34.96	83.96	85.00	4.50	4.53	10.50	9.86
	Foliar $Mn + Zn$	34.80	35.83	87.03	86.03	4.80	4.70	10.33	10.03
Mean		34.42	35.27	85.09	85.35	4.61	4.59	10.25	9.91
	Without foliar	35.00	35.93	85.30	85.53	4.63	4.40	10.23	9.93
Foliar 5 Kg K-	Foliar Mn	34.90	34.13	86.80	84.13	4.70	4.50	10.33	10.06
Sulfate	Foliar Zn	34.80	34.00	84.40	84.73	4.86	4.43	10.20	9.90
	Foliar $Mn + Zn$	34.20	34.76	85.20	85.73	4.76	4.70	10.33	9.93
Mean		34.72	34.70	85.42	85.03	4.74	4.50	10.27	9.95
	Without foliar	34.83	34.96	85.13	85.76	4.80	4.60	10.00	9.80
Foliar 2.5 g	Foliar Mn	34.16	34.56	85.90	82.83	4.60	4.60	10.20	9.86
K-Citrate	Foliar Zn	34.13	35.63	84.03	83.16	4.76	4.50	10.06	9.80
	Foliar $Mn + Zn$	35.16	35.70	85.40	86.13	4.80	4.53	9.80	9.90
Mean		34.57	35.21	85.11	84.47	4.74	4.55	10.01	9.84
	Without foliar	35.26	34.43	87.30	85.16	4.50	4.70	10.06	10.10
Foliar 500 cm <sup>3</sup>	Foliar Mn	34.36	34.70	84.65	84.60	4.46	4.43	10.16	10.00
K-Humat	Foliar Zn	34.36	34.43	85.13	84.90	4.56	4.26	10.30	10.00
	Foliar Mn + Zn	34.86	35.00	84.90	85.00	4.86	4.80	10.20	10.03
Mean		34.71	34.64	85.49	84.91	4.60	4.55	10.18	10.03
	Without foliar	34.60	34.86	86.06	85.36	4.50	4.56	10.13	10.13
Foliar 2.5 cm <sup>3</sup>	Foliar Mn	35.56	34.56	85.06	84.43	4.50	4.53	9.93	10.10
K-Silicate	Foliar Zn	34.80	35.23	85.16	86.20	4.76	4.60	10.10	10.06
	Foliar Mn + Zn	35.03	34.53	85.06	86.46	4.70	4.53	9.86	9.70
Mean		35.00	34.80	85.34	85.61	4.61	4.55	10.00	10.00
	Without foliar	34.85	35.10	85.84	85.38	4.60	4.54	10.08	9.94
Micronutrient	Foliar Mn	34.63	34.58	85.27	84.26	4.57	4.54	10.16	10.00
Mean	Foliar Zn	34.44	34.85	84.54	84.80	4.69	4.46	10.23	9.92
	Foliar Mn + Zn	34.81	35.16	85.52	85.87	4.78	4.65	10.10	9.92
	A	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S
LSD at 0.05 for	В	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S
	AXB	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S

These results are in partial agreement with those obtained by Abou-Zaid et al., (2009), Emara and Hamoda (2012), Abou-Zaid et al., (2013), Gomaa et al., (2014) Emara (2014 and 2015) and Emara et al., (2015) where they found that the fiber quality were insignificant affected by the tested treatments.

## **CONCLUSION**

The results obtained in this study could lead us to a package of recommendations, which seemed to be useful for increasing the cotton yield production. It could be concluded the foliar application of 2.5 cm<sup>3</sup> potassium silicate/Liter water twice in combined with foliar application with Zn-EDTA and Mn-EDTA in mixture which contains two elements at one level for each 2 g/L water at the start and twice of flowering and 15 days later for producing better growth and high productivity of cotton (Giza 86 variety), under the conditions of El-Gemmeiza location.

## REFERENCES

- A.S.T.M. (2004). American Society for Testing and Materials. Standard Atmosphere for Conditioning and Testing Designation, (D 1776-04).
- A.S.T.M. (2012). American Society for Testing and Materials. Designation, (D1447-07), (D1448-97), (D1445-67).
- Abdallah, Amany M. and Mohamed Hanaa F. (2013). Effect of foliar application of some micronutrients and growth regulators on some Egyptian cotton cultivars. J. of Applied Sci. Res., 9 (6): 3497 - 3507.
- Abdel-Aal, Amal, S.A.; M.A.A. Emara and S.A.F. Hamoda (2015). Effect of times and methods of potassium fertilizer on yield and yield components of new hybrid cotton (Giza 86 x 10229) under early and late sowing. Fayoum J. Agric. Res. & Dev., January 2015, 30(1): 13 - 26.
- Abdel-Aal, Amal, S.A.; S.A.F. Hamoda and M.A.A. Ibrahim (2014). Effect of different sources of potassium on growth and productivity of cotton. Egypt. J. of Appl. Sci., 29 (11): 562 – 572.

- Abou-Zaid, M.K.; M.A. Emara and S.A. Hamoda. (2009). Future of Egyptian cotton production in the newly reclaimed desert land of Egypt: 10- Cotton response to soil, foliar potassium application and potassium dissolving bacteria (KDB). J. Adv. Agric. Res., (Fac. Agric. Saba Basha), 42(1): 73 80.
- Abou-Zaid, M.K.; M.A. Emara and S.A. Hamoda. (2013). Effect of humex and bio-fertilization on growth, yield and quality of cotton under calcareous soil conditions. The 2nd Alexandria International Cotton Conference, Faculty of Agric., Saba Basha, Alexandria, Univ., Alex. 10 11 April, 2013, Vol. (1): 12 21.
- Adatia, M.H. and R.T. Besford. (1986). Effects of silicon on cucumber plants grown in recirculation nutrient solution. Ann. Bot., 58: 343 351.
- Alloway, B.J. (2008). Zinc in soils and crop nutrition. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., International Zinc Association, Brussels, Belgium, pp 135.
- Almeida, P.; B. Rocha and F. Rambo (2005). Influence of silicon applications on cotton plant development. III-Silicon in agriculture conference, Uberlândia Brazil, 22 26 October 2005, pp. 130.
- Anderson, O.E and F.C. Boswell (1968). Boron and manganese effects on cotton yield, lint quality, and earliness of harvest. Agron. J., 60: 488 493.
- Anter, F.; M. Rasheed, A. Abd El-Salam and A.I. Metwally (1976). Effect of foliar application of certain micronutrients on fiber qualities of cotton. II. Iron and manganese. Ann. Agric. Sc., (Moshtohor) 6: 311 319.
- Bednarz, C.W.; N.W. Hopper and M.G. Hickey (1999). Effects of foliar fertilization of Texas Southern High Plains cotton: Leaf phosphorus, potassium, zinc, iron, manganese, boron, calcium, and yield distribution. J. Plant Nutr., 22(6): 863 875.
- Blevins, D.G. and K.M. Lukaszewski (1998). Boron in plant structure and function. Annu. Rev. Plant Pysiol. Plant Mol. Biol., 49: 481 - 500.
- Burnell, J.N. (1986). The biochemistry of manganese in plants. In: R.D. Grahham, R.J. Hannam, and N.C. Uren (eds.). Manganese in soils and plants. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston. pp: 125 137.
- Cakmak, I. (2000). Possible role of zinc in protecting plant cells from damage by reactive oxygen species. New Phytol., 146(2):185 - 205.
- Cakmak, I.; C. Hengeler and H. Marschner (1994). Partitioning of shoot and root dry weight and carbohydrates in bean plants suffering from phosphorus, potassium and magnesium deficiency. J., Exp. Bot., 45: 1245-1250.
- Campbell, L.C. and R.O. Nable (1988). Physiological functions of manganese in plants. In: R.D. Grahham, R.J. Hannam, and N.C. Uren (eds.). Manganese in soils and plants. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston. pp. 139 - 154.
- Dordas, C. (2009). Foliar application of Manganese increases seed yield and improves seed quality of cotton grown on calcareous soils. J. Plant Nutrition, 32: 160 176.

- Eleyan, E. Sohair (2008). Effect of foliar application of some micronutrients on growth, yield and fiber properties on some Egyptian cotton cultivars. Egypt J. of Appl. Sci., 23(4B): 469 485.
- Eleyan, E. Sohair; Abodahab, A. Amany M. Abdallah and Houda A. Rabeh (2014). Effect of foliar application of manganese and iron on growth characters, yield and fiber properties of some Egyptian cotton cultivars (Gossypium barbadense L.). International J. of Agric., & Crop Sci., 7(13): 1283 1292. Available online at www.ijagcs.com
- El-Fouly, M.M. (2006). Micronutrients in soils and their roles in plant, animal and human health. Proc. 12<sup>th</sup> AFA Inter. Fertilizer Forum, 6 8 February, Cairo, Egypt.
- El-Marsi, M.F. (2005). The prospective requirements of zinc and manganese for cotton under soil Zn and Mn deficiency. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 30 (9): 4969 4978.
- Emara M.A.A. (2014). Effect of some sources of potassium fertilizers on cotton production under calcareous soil conditions. Egypt. J. of Appl. Sci., 29 (11): 597 –622.
- Emara M.A.A. (2015). Effect of potassium mineral and organic fertilizers on cotton productivity under calcareous soil conditions. The3 International Conference Environmental Studies and Research Institute "Natural Resources and Future Challenges" 23 25 February 2015. J. of Environmental Studies and Res., 2(Special Issue): 27 –41.
- Emara M.A.A.; Abdel-Aal, S.A. Amal and S.A.F. Hamoda (2015). Effect of water stress and foliar application of some micronutrient under fertilizer levels on growth and yield of the new promising cotton genotype (Giza 86 X 10229). Fayoum J. Agric. Res. & Dev., January 2015, 30(1): 27 48.
- Emara, M.A. (2012). Response of cotton growth and productivity to application of potassium and zinc under normal and late sowing dates. J. Plant Prod., Mansoura Univ., 3 (3): 509 514.
- Emara, M.A. and S.F. Hamoda (2012). Effect of humex on growth, yield and quality of cotton under calcareous soil conditions. The 1st Alexandria International Cotton Conference, Fac. of Agric., Saba Basha, Alex. Univ., Alex. 17 18 April, (1): 29 37.
- Epstein, E. (1994). The anomaly of silicon in plant biology. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA, 91: 7 11.
- Epstein, E. and J. Bloom (2005). Mineral Nutrition of Plants: Principles and perspectives. Second edition. Sinauer associates, Sunderland.
- Ferreira, S.M.; O.F. Lima, G.B. Batista, M.S. Bernardes, R.A. Bonnecarrère and S.M. Tsai (2005). The silicon effect on plant growth under hydroponic conditions: production and fiber quality in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). III- Silicon in agriculture conference, Uberlândia Brazil, 22 -26 October, pp. 145.
- Gomaa, M.A.; F.I. Radwan, I.A. Ibrahim, M.A. Emara and A.A. Kattosh (2014). Response of Egyptian cotton to soil and foliar potassium application under calcareous soil conditions. J. Adv. Agric. Res., Fac. Agric. (Saba Basha), 19(2): 236 246.

- Halepyati S.R.; A.S. Pujari, B.T. Koppalakar and K.N. Rao (2012). Effect of macro and soluble micronutrients on yield, uptake of nutrients, quality and economics of Bt cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.,) under irrigation. Karnataka J. Agric. Sci., 25(4): 418 422.
- Hamissa, M.R. and M.E. Abdel-Salam (1999). Fertilizer management for cotton in Egypt. Adv. Agric. Res. Egypt, 2(1): 53 113.
- Joham, H.E. and J.V. Amin (1967). The influence of foliar and substrate application of manganese on cotton. Plant Soil, 26: 369 - 379.
- Kassem, M.A.; M.A. Emara and S.A. Hamoda. (2009). Growth and productivity of Giza 80 cotton cultivar as affected by foliar feeding with boron and zinc. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 34(2): 967 - 975.
- Killi, F. and K. Aloğlu (2000). Determination of yield, yield components and technological properties of some cotton genotypes. Proceedings of FAO Interregional Cooperative Research Network on Cotton, Adana Turkey, 20 – 24 September, pp. 88 - 90.
- Korndo"rfer, G.; H. Pereira and A. Nolla (2004). Silicon analysis in soil, plant and fertilizers. Uberlandia, Brazil, GPSi/ICIAG/UFU.
- Lale, E. and Y. Emine. (2011). The effect of Zinc application methods on seed cotton yield, lint and seed quality of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.,) in east Mediterranean region of Turkey. African J. of Biotechnology. 15 August, 10(44): 8782 - 8789.
- Madeiros, L.B.; P.D. Fernandes, H.R. Gheyi, W.A. Alves and E.M. Beltrão (2005a). Effect of silicon on water consumption by cotton plants. III- Silicon in agriculture conference, Uberlândia Brazil, 22 26 October, pp: 131.
- Madeiros, L.B.; W.A. Alves, P.D. Fernandes, C.A. Azevedo and R.G. Fonseca (2005b). Guality of the fiber of the white and colored cotton submitted to the silicate maturing. III- Silicon in agriculture conference, Uberlândia Brazil, 22 -26 October, pp. 131.
- Malakouti, M.J. (2006). Effect of micronutrients in ensuring efficient use of macronutrients. Proc. IFA Agriculture Conf.; optimizing resource use efficiency for sustainable intensification of agriculture, 27 February to 2 March, Kunming, China.
- Mattson, N. and W. Leatherwood (2010). Potassium silicate drenches increase leaf silicon content and affect morphological traits of several floriculture crops grown in a peat-based substrate. Hort. Sci., 45 (1): 43 47.
- Mefhar, T.; Y. Koca, F. Aydin and E. Karahan (2009). Effect of foliar potassium and micronutrient additions on yield and fiber quality of cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). J. of Food, Agric. & Environment. 7(1): 118 122.
- Mengel, K. and E. Kirkby. (1987). Principals of Plant Nutrition. International Potash Institute, P.O.Box. ch. 3084, Worblan Fen-Berm, Switzerland.
- Morgan, P.M.; D.M. Taylor and H.E. Joham (1976). Manipulation of IAA-oxidase activity and auxin deficiency symptoms in intact cotton plants with manganese nutrition. Physiol Plant, 37: 149 156.

- Morteza, M.; A. Slaton, E. Evans, J. McConnell, M. Fred and C. Kennedy. (2005). Effect of potassium fertilization on cotton yield and petiole potassium. Summaries of Arkansas Cotton Res., pp. 74 78.
- Page, A.L.; R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeney (1982). "Methods of soil analysis". Part 2: Chemical and microbiological properties. Amer. Soc. Agron., Madison, Wisconsin.
- Pettigrew, W.T. (1999). Potassium deficiency increases specific leaf weights of leaf glucose levels in field-grown cotton. Agron. J., 91: 962 968.
- Radhika, K.; P. katharina, S. Maragathan and A. Kanimozhi (2013). Foliar application of micronutrintes in cottona review. Research and Review, 2(3): 23 29.
- Rengel, Z. (2007). Role of zinc in plant physiology. Proc. Zinc International Conf. Improving crop production and human health, 24 25 May. Istanbul, Turkey.
- Sawan, Z.M. (2013). Direct and residual effects of plant nutrition's and plant growth retardants, on cottonseed. Agric. Sci., (4)12: 66 88.
- Sawan, Z.M. (2014). Cottonseed yield and its quality as affected by mineral fertilizers and plant growth retardants. Agric. Sci., 5(3): 186 209. http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/as.2014.53023.
- Sawan, Z.M.; M.H. Mahmoud and Amal H. El-Guibali (2006). Response of yield, yield components, and fiber properties of Egyptian cotton (*Gossypium barbadense*, *L.*) to nitrogen fertilization and foliar applied potassium and mepiquat chloride. J. of Cotton Sci., 10: 224 234.
- Sawan, Z.M.; S.A. Hafez, A.E. Basyony and A.E. Alkassas (2007). Cottonseed: protein, oil yields, and oil properties as influenced by potassium fertilization and foliar application of zinc and phosphorus. Grasas Y Aceites, 58 (1), ENERO-MARZO, 40 48, ISSN: 0017 3495.
- Sema, B.; T. Bahar, E. Remzi, Y. Mustafa and B. Yüksel (2012). The determination effects of potassium and zinc application to rate of photosynthesis, fiber yield and quality on cotton. 11<sup>th</sup> Meeting of inter-regional cooperative research network on cotton for the Mediterranean and Middle East regions. November 5 7, Antalya, pp. 25.
- Sharma, S. and S. Sundar (2007). Yield, yield attributes and quality of cotton as influenced by foliar application of potassium. Journal of Cotton Research and Development, 21: 51 54.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran. (1980). Statistical Methods. 6th Ed. Iowa State Univ., U.S.A. pp: 225 269.
- Sommer, M.; D. Fuzyakov and J. Breuer (2006). Silicon pools and fluxes in soils and landscapes a review. J. Plant Nutr. & soil sci., 169: 310 329.
- Suresh, S. and S. Kumar. (2005). Magnesium and zinc effects on yield and nutrients uptake by cotton. Adv. Pl. Sci., 18 (1): 249 252.
- Taylor, D.M.; P.M. Morgan, H.E. Joham and J.V. Amin (1968). Influence of substrate and tissue manganese on the IAA-oxidase system in cotton. Plant Physiol. 43: 243 - 247.
- Uchida, R. (2000). Essential nutrients for plant growth: Nutrient functions and deficiency symptoms. Plant Nutrient Management in Hawaiis Soils. Approaches for tropical and Subtropical Agriculture. Chapter 3: 31 55.

Wazir, M.Y. and A.M. Shahbaz (2013). Role of foliar feeding of micronutrients in yield maximization of cotton in Punjab. Turkish J. Agric. and Forestry. 37(4): 420 - 426.

Zeng, Q. (1996). Experimental study on the efficiency of K fertilizer applied to cotton in areas with cinnamon soil or aquic soil. China Cottons, 23: 12.

تأثير التسميد البوتاسي والرش بالمنجنيز والزنك علي نمو وإنتاجية القطن مصطفي عطية أحمد عمارة قسم بحوث المعاملات الزراعية معهد بحوث القطن – مركز البحوث الزراعية – الجيزة – مصر.

أجريت تجربتان حقليتان بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بالجميزة بمحافظة الغربية خلال موسمي النمو 2013، 2014 وذلك لدراسة أستجابة صنف القطن المصري جيزة ٨٦ للتسميد ببعض مصادر البوتاسيوم المختلفة وطرق إضافتها مع الرش بالزنك والمنجنيز أو بدون، وأثر ذلك على النمو، التبكير، المحصول ومكوناته زُرعت التجارب في تصميم القطع المنشقة مرة واحدة في أربعة مكررات حيث وضعت مصادر وطرق إضافة البوتاسيوم (أ- سلفات بوتاسيوم ٢٤ كجم/فدان أرضى عند الخف، ب- رش سلفات بوتاسيوم بمعدل ٥ کجم/فدان، جـ رش سترات بوتاسیوم بمعدل ٠٠٠ جم/لتر مـاء، دـ رش هیومـات بوتاسیوم بمعدل ٠٠٠ سـم ﴿فدان، هـــ رش سیلیکات بوتاسيوم بمعدل ٢٠٥ سم /لتر ماء) في القطع الرئيسية ووضعت معاملات الرش بالزنك والمنجنيز (١- المقارنـة بدون رش عناصـر، ٢-رش زنك، ٣- رش منجنيز، ٤- رش زنك + منجنيز) في القطع المنشقة، وكانت مواعيد الرش مرتين (عند بداية التزهير + بعد التزهير بأسبو عين). وتتلخص أهم النتائج المتحصل عليها فيما يلي: ١) أعطت معاملات التسميد البوتاسي تأثيرات معنوية على كل من أرتفاع النبات عند الجني، عدد السلاميات/النبات، متوسط طول السلامية، عدد الافرع الثمرية/نبات، وعدد اللوز المتفتح/نبات، وزن اللوزة، معامل البذرة ومحصول القطن الزهر/النبات وايضاً محصول القطن الزهر بالقنطّار/الفدان في موسمي الدراسة وتصّافي الحليج في الموسم الثاني فقط. وذلك لصالح معاملة الرش بسيليكات البوتاسيوم بمعدل ٢٠٥ سم /لتر ماء مرتين (عند بداية التزهير + بعد التزهير بأسبوعين)، وفي نفس الوقت لم يكن لمعاملات البوتاسيوم اي تأير معنوي على موقع أول عقدة ثمرية، عدد الايام حتى ظهور أول زهرة، متوسط طول التيلة، معامل الانتظام، النعومة ومتانة التيلة في كلا الموسمين. ٢) أعطت معاملات الرش بالزنك والمنجنيز تأثيرات معنوية على كل من طول النبات عند الجني، عدد العقد/نبات، متوسط طول السلامية، عدد الافرع الثمرية/نبات،عدد اللوز المتفتح/نبات، متوسط وزن اللوزة، محصول القطن الزهر /نبات وكذلك محصول القطن الزهر بالقنطار /فدان في كلا الموسمين وعلى تصافي الحليج في الموسم الثاني فقط وذلك التفوق راجع للمعاملة الرش بمخلوط الزنك والمنجنيز بمعدل ٢ جه/لتر ماء من كل عنصر مرتين (عند بداية التزهير وبعد التزهير بأسبوعين)، وعموماً لم يكن هناك أي تأثيرات معنوية لتلك المعاملات على موقع أول عقدة ثمرية، عدد الايام حتى ظهور أول زهرة، متوسط طول التيلة، معامل الانتظام، النعومة ومتانة التيلة في كلا الموسمين ٣) أعطي التفاعل بين معاملات البوتاسيوم ومعاملات الرش بالزنك والمنجنيز تأثيرات معنوية علي كل من طول النبات عند الجني، عدد العقد/نبات، متوسط طول السلامية، عدد الافرع الثمرية/نبات، متوسط وزن اللوزة ومعامل البذرة في كلا الموسمين، وعدد اللوز المتفتح/نبات، محصول القطن الزهر/نبات وكذلك محصول القطن الزهر بالقنطار/فدان في الموسم الثاني فقط، حيث تحصلت أعلي القيم لتلك الصفات من المعاملة وذلك التفوق راجع للمعاملة الرش بسيليكات البوتاسيوم بمعدل ٢٠٥ سم /لتّر ماء بالاضافة الى الرش بمخلوط الزنك والمنجنيز بمعدل ٢ جم/لتر ماء من كلّ عنصر مرتين (عند بداية التزهير وبعد التزهير بأسبوعين). ولم يكن للتفاعل أي تأثير معنوي على موقع أول عقدة ثمرية، عدد الايام حتى ظهور أول زهرة، وتصافي الحليج، متوسط طول التيلة، معامل الانتظام، النعومة ومتانة التيلة في كلا الموسمين. التوصية: من النتائج المتحصل عليها والموضحة في هذه الدّراسة فأنـه يمكن التوصية بـالرش بسيليكات البوتاسيوم بمعدلٌ ٢٠٥ سم٣/لتر مـاء مرتين (عند بدأيـة التز هير وبعد التزهير بأسبوعين) بالاضافة الي الرش بمخلوط الزنك والمنجنيز بمعدل ٢ جم/لتر ماء من كل عنصر وذلك لزيادة إنتاجية محصول القطن صنف جيزة ٨٦ المنزرع تحت ظروف أراضي وسط الدلتا.