Interspecific Hybridization between *Cucurbita Maxima* Duch. and *Cucurbita Moschata* Duch. for High Efficient Development of Cucurbit Rootstocks Rakha, M.

Horticulture Department, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Kafrelsheikh, Kafr El-Sheikh 33516, Egypt

## ABSTRACT

Interspecific hybrids between *Cucurbita maxima* and *C. moschata* have been commonly used as a rootstock for watermelon, melon, and cucumber, as well as to develop new Cucurbit types and widen the genetic variation. In this study, 218 interspecific hybridizations were performed between *C. moschata* (Alseiny) and ten lines of *C. maxima*. *C. moschata* (Alseiny) was successfully crossed with all ten lines of *C. maxima* resulted 20 interspecific hybrid combinations (including reciprocal) and fruit set, hybrid normal seed and seed germination was obtained. Fruit set and hybrid seed was generally higher in interspecific hybrid combinations when *C. moschata* (Alseiny) used as maternal parent, with the exception of hybridizations involved *C. maxima* MAX5 and MAX7. The highest fruit set percentage with normal seeds was obtained in interspecific hybrid MAX5 X Alseiny (86.6%), followed by Alseiny X MAX14 (83.3%). In addition, interspecific hybrid combinations Alseiny X MAX20, MAX5 X Alseiny was the most promising combination for fruit setting and hybrid seed yield. Success in production of interspecific hybrid seeds and germination could be promising for cucurbit rootstock production in Egypt. **Keywords:** abiotic and biotic stresses, breeding, cucurbits, hybrids, pumpkin

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The winter squash (Cucurbita maxima Duch.) and pumpkin (C. moschata Duch.) are the most commonly cultivated species of Cucurbita genus which also includes other domesticated species C. argyrosperma Huber, C. ficifolia Bouché, and C. pepo L. A wide genetic diversity have been reported among these species for morphology, disease and insect resistance, and adaptation to abiotic stresses (Whitaker and Bemis 1964; Saade and Hernandez, 1994; Loy, 2004; Hajjar and Hodgkin, 2007). Interspecific hybridization between cucurbit species is an effective way to develop new Cucurbit types and also for crop improvement by transferring important traits such as disease and insect resistance into cultivated crops from their wild relatives (Zhang et al., 2012). However, challenges such as crossing barriers, male sterility, and incompatibility of the interspecific F1 complicate transfer of useful traits among Cucurbit species (Rhodes 1959; Hiroshi 1963; Shifriss 1987; Zhang et al., 2012). Crosses between Cucurbit species were successfully made to obtain fruit and fertile seeds through additional techniques such as embryo rescue, protoplast fusion, mixed pollen pollination, and bud pollination (Hiroshi, 1963; Bemis, 1973; Cheng et al., 2002; Plazas et al., 2016; Rakha et al., 2012).

Interspecific hybrids between *C. maxima* and *C. moschata* have widely used rootstocks for watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus* (Thunb.) Matsum. & Nakai), and cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) for the control of biotic and abiotic stresses and to enhance scion vigor (Ruiz *et al.*, 1997, Hassel and Daley 2015). High compatibility was found between these interspecific hybrids and watermelon. Breeding of appropriate rootstocks is still lacking in Egypt. The aim of this study was to develop interspecific hybrids from the crosses between *C. maxima* and a locally adapted variety of *C. moschata*, and evaluate hybrid seed production and germination of obtained interspecific hybrids for rootstocks use in cucurbits.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted at experimental farms of Horticulture Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafr elsheikh University in Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate, Egypt. Ten lines of *C. maxima*, MAX2, MAX3, MAX5, MAX6, MAX7, MAX8, MAX13, MAX14, MAX19, MAX20, and a known local pumpkin cultivar grown in Egypt *C. moschata* (Alseiny) were used in this experiment. Both *C. maxima* and *C. moschata* genotypes were used as the female and male parents in reciprocal crosses to develop interspecific hybrids.

Seeds of *C. maxima* lines and *C. moschata* (Alseiny) were sown in 84-cell seedling trays with 60 ml peat moss:vermiculite mixture (1:1 volume) per seedling and watered daily. Seedlings were fertilized weekly using NPK 19-19-19. Two weeks after sowing, 10 seedlings (1-2 true leaves) from each line of *C. maxima* and 100 seedlings of *C. moschata* (Alseiny) were transplanted in a plastic greenhouse (25 -  $36^{\circ}$ C, 16/8 h day/night), spaced 2.5 m × 3.0 m between and within rows, respectively. Plants were grown under drip irrigation and all horticultural practices including fertilizer, weed, disease and insect control were implemented as indicated in Rakha *et al.*, (2012) and recommendations of the Egyptian Ministry of Agriculture.

Pollinations between C. maxima lines and C. moschata (Alseiny) were made by hand in the morning (0700 hr and 0900 hr) on the day of anthesis. All flowers used in pollinations were covered by paper bags one day before anthesis, and female flowers were covered again directly after pollinations. All unused female flowers in pollinations were removed to prevent pollen contamination. Pollinated female flowers were tagged for identification and for calculation of the percentage of fruit set. Fruits obtained from the interspecific crosses were harvested 65 to 85 days after pollination when the fruits were physiologically ripe. Fruit set (%) and percentage of fruit with normal seeds were measured. Seeds from individual fruit were extracted and placed on paper to dry under laboratory conditions and seed number and weight were measured.



Weight of 100 seeds of each of 20 hybrid combinations between ten lines of *C. maxima* and *C. moschata* (Alseiny) was also measured. A sample of 168 seeds of each of 20 hybrid combinations between *C. maxima* and *C. moschata* were germinated in two 84-cell seedling trays as described above. Germinated seeds were counted as emerged seedlings to calculate the seed germination percentage (%). Data of seed yield (seed number and weight per fruit, and 100 seed weight), and fruit weight were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Duncan's multiple range test (p = 0.05) using SAS software (version 9.1; SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

A total of 218 interspecific crosses were performed between *C. moschata* (Alseiny) and ten lines of *C. maxima* (Table 1). Fifty-six percent of these crosses were performed when *C. maxima* lines used as female parent. The number of the crosses performed between each C. maxima line and C. moschata (Alseiny) were variable because of the differences in flowering among the ten lines of C. maxima. For instance, C. maxima MAX19 produced late and few flowers, which resulted in fewer number of the crosses made with C. moschata. Twenty interspecific combinations between C. maxima and C. moschata (including reciprocal) were made successfully and were produced fruits and normal seeds (Tables 1 and 2). These results confirm that interspecific crosses could be obtained between C. maxima and C. moschata (Karaagac and Balkaya, 2012), which can be used as rootstocks for grafting watermelon and cucumber scions, providing high level of tolerance and resistance against salinity, low temperatures soil, and soil-borne disease (Lee, 2003; Passam, 2003; King et al., 2008; Huitron-Ramirez et al., 2009; Karaagac and Balkava, 2012).

 Table 1. Number of pollinations, fruit set (%), fruit set with normal seeds (%), seeds weight per fruit (g/fruit), and number of seeds per fruit in interspecific hybridizations between C. maxima and C. moschata based on the direction of the hybridizations

<i>C. moschata</i> (female parent)							
Dellin ations(no)	Fruit set	Fruit set with	Seeds/fruit	Seeds/fruit			
r onmations(no.)	(%)	normal seeds (%)	(g)	(no.)			
14	100.0	71.1	69.2±12.8 bcd	307.0±32.4 abc			
8	75.0	62.5	89.2±14.7 abcd	331.0±39.0 abc			
7	85.5	71.1	34.8±13.9 d	124.0±48.5 d			
15	66.6	66.6	89.8±20.5 abcd	326.0±66.2 abc			
11	54.5	45.4	86.0±17.1 abcd	301.0±75.3 abc			
7	57.1	57.1	86.5±9.6 abcd	291.2±37.4 abcd			
10	60.0	50.0	98.4±19.1 abcd	280.2±68.8 abcd			
6	83.3	83.3	98.6±14.4 abcd	371.0±37.3 ab			
9	55.5	55.5	120.4±26.2 abc	374.0±55.7 ab			
8	50.0	50.0	142.0±15.4 a	402.5±47.1 ab			
	С.	moschata (male pare	ent)				
14	35.7	21.4	137.0±23.9 ab	451.6±38.7 a			
18	33.3	5.5	70.0±0.0 bcd	165.0±0.0 cd			
15	86.6	86.6	135.8±17.3 abc	393.0±40.5 ab			
10	60.0	50.0	67.6±17.2 cd	278.0±43.6 bcd			
14	57.1	50.0	93.6±19.7 abcd	271.0±46.6 bcd			
10	50.0	40.0	84.2±16.5 abcd	266.7±20.9 bcd			
9	55.5	44.4	95.2±10.7 abcd	295.0±35.2 abcd			
12	50.0	50.0 73.2±5.5 bcd		276.6±29.6 abcd			
9	66.6	33.3 87.6±25.6 abcd 286		286.6±8.8 abcd			
12	50.0	41.6	123.4±27.4 abc	242.0±32.6 bcd			
	$ \begin{array}{c} 8\\ 7\\ 15\\ 11\\ 7\\ 10\\ 6\\ 9\\ 8\\ 14\\ 18\\ 15\\ 10\\ 14\\ 10\\ 9\\ 12\\ 9\\ \end{array} $	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Pollinations(no.) & Fruit set (%) \\ \hline 14 & 100.0 \\ 8 & 75.0 \\ \hline 7 & 85.5 \\ \hline 15 & 66.6 \\ \hline 11 & 54.5 \\ \hline 7 & 57.1 \\ \hline 10 & 60.0 \\ \hline 6 & 83.3 \\ 9 & 55.5 \\ \hline 8 & 50.0 \\ \hline \hline \hline & $C$. \\ \hline 14 & 35.7 \\ \hline 18 & 33.3 \\ \hline 15 & 86.6 \\ \hline 10 & 60.0 \\ \hline 14 & 57.1 \\ \hline 10 & 50.0 \\ \hline 9 & 55.5 \\ \hline 12 & 50.0 \\ \hline 9 & 66.6 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	Pollinations(no.)Fruit set (%)Fruit set with normal seeds (%)14100.071.1875.062.5785.571.11566.666.61154.545.4757.157.11060.050.0683.383.3955.555.5850.050.01435.721.41833.35.51586.686.61060.050.01457.150.01050.040.0955.544.41250.050.0966.633.3	Pollinations(no.)Fruit set (%)Fruit set normal seeds (%)Seeds/fruit (g)14100.071.1 $69.2\pm 12.8$ bcd875.0 $62.5$ $89.2\pm 14.7$ abcd7 $85.5$ 71.1 $34.8\pm 13.9$ d15 $66.6$ $66.6$ $89.8\pm 20.5$ abcd11 $54.5$ $45.4$ $86.0\pm 17.1$ abcd7 $57.1$ $57.1$ $85.5\pm 9.6$ abcd10 $60.0$ $50.0$ $98.4\pm 19.1$ abcd6 $83.3$ $83.3$ $98.6\pm 14.4$ abcd9 $55.5$ $55.5$ $120.4\pm 26.2$ abc8 $50.0$ $50.0$ $142.0\pm 15.4$ aC. moschata (male parent)14 $35.7$ $21.4$ $137.0\pm 23.9$ ab15 $86.6$ $86.6$ $135.8\pm 17.3$ abc10 $60.0$ $50.0$ $67.6\pm 17.2$ cd14 $57.1$ $50.0$ $93.6\pm 19.7$ abcd10 $60.0$ $50.0$ $67.6\pm 17.2$ cd14 $57.1$ $50.0$ $93.6\pm 19.7$ abcd10 $60.0$ $50.0$ $67.6\pm 17.2$ cd14 $57.1$ $50.0$ $93.6\pm 19.7$ abcd10 $50.0$ $40.0$ $84.2\pm 16.5$ abcd9 $55.5$ $44.4$ $95.2\pm 10.7$ abcd12 $50.0$ $50.0$ $73.2\pm 5.5$ bcd9 $66.6$ $33.3$ $87.6\pm 25.6$ abcd			

Means followed by  $\pm$  standard error; means with the same letter within the same column are not significantly different at ( $P \le 0.05$ ) according to Duncan's multiple range test.

Fruit set percentage was variable in interspecific combinations depending on the direction of the hybridization of *C. maxima* lines involved. Both fruit set (%) and fruit set with normal seeds (%) were generally higher in interspecific hybrid combinations when *C. maxima* lines were used as male parent, with the exception of hybridizations involving *C. maxima* MAX5 and MAX7 (Table 1 and 2). For instance, fruit set (%) and fruit set with normal seeds (%) were increased >60% when *C. maxima* MAX3 was used as male parent and crossed with *C. moschata* (Alseiny) as female parent. The highest fruit set percentage (100%) was obtained when *C. moschata* (Alseiny) used as

female parent and crossed with *C. maxima* MAX2. However, the highest fruit set percentage with normal seeds (86.6%) was obtained when *C. moschata* (Alseiny) was used as male parent and crossed with *C. maxima* MAX5 as female. Conversely, very low fruit set percentage (33.3%) and fruit set percentage with normal seeds (5.5%) was obtained when *C. moschata* (Alseiny) was used as male parent and crossed with *C. maxima* MAX3. Other studies have also succeed to obtain interspecific hybrid plants from the crosses between *C. pepo, C. maxima*, and *C. moschata* (Baggett, 1979; Korakot *et al.*, 2010; Karaagac and Balkaya, 2012; Rakha *et al.* 2012; Zhang *et al.*, 2012). Whitaker and Davis (1962) summarized that *C. moschata* occupies a central position among *Cucurbita* species and can be crossed with some difficulties with *C. pepo*, *C. maxima*, and *C. mixta*. Other *Cucurbita* species such as *C. ficifolia* and *C. martinezii* Bailey have been successfully crossed with *C. pepo* through embryo rescue (Robinson and Shail, 1987, Rakha *et al.*, 2012).

When using *C. moschata* (Alseiny) as a female parent, the largest amount of seeds in the fruits was obtained in the crosses with *C. maxima* MAX20, which had 142 g per fruit and more than 402 seeds per fruit (Table 2). In contrast, the lowest amount of seeds in the fruits was obtained in the crosses with *C. maxima* 

MAX5, which had 34.8 g per fruit and 124 seeds per fruit. When using *C. moschata* (Alseiny) as a male parent, the largest amount of seeds in the fruits was obtained in the crosses with *C. maxima* MAX2, with an average values 137 g per fruit and more than 451 seeds per fruit. In contrast, the lowest amount of seeds in the fruits was obtained in the crosses with *C. maxima* MAX6 for seed weight per fruit (67.6 g) and in the crosses with *C. maxima* MAX6 for seed weight per fruit (67.6 g) and in the crosses with *C. maxima* MAX3 for the seed number per fruit (165). For 100 seeds weight, the highest seed weight was obtained when *C. moschata* (Alseiny) as a female parent and crossed with MAX3 (52 g/ 100 seeds).

 Table 2. Number of pollinations, fruit weight (kg), 100 seeds weight (g), seed germination in interspecific hybridizations between C. maxima and C. moschata based on the direction of the hybridizations

C. maxima —		C. moschata (female parent)								
	Pollinations (no.)	Fruit weight(kg)	100 seeds weight (g)	Germination (%)						
MAX2	14	5.4±1.0 ab	25.9±0.5 j	72.0						
MAX3	8	7.4±1.0 ab	52.0±0.7 a	69.0						
MAX5	7	8.2±1.6 a	30.0±0.3 g	80.0						
MAX6	15	5.7±0.4 ab	25.7±0.3 j	95.2						
MAX7	11	6.5±1.7 ab	32.6±0.5 f	91.1						
MAX8	7	8.2±2.2 a	33.4±1.3 e	87.1						
MAX13	10	3.9±0.7 bc	26.4±0.7 j	93.5						
MAX14	6	1.7±0.4 c	24.2±0.5 k	88.1						
MAX19	9	6.6±0.8 ab	44.7±0.9 b	80.0						
MAX20	8	6.9±1.4 ab	35.5±0.7 d	80.0						
	C. moschata (male parent)									
MAX2	14	4.6±0.4 abc	28.7±0.5 h	85.1						
MAX3	18	5.7±0.5 ab	29.1±0.3 gh	81.0						
MAX5	15	7.1±0.3 ab	29.4±0.5 gh	80.0						
MAX6	10	7.0±1.1 ab	29.4±0.7 gh	68.5						
MAX7	14	7.1±0.8 ab	27.9±0.9 i	79.9						
MAX8	10	5.5±1.2 ab	23.0±0.51	87.5						
MAX13	9	7.2±0.4 ab	38.1±0.9 c	92.9						
MAX14	12	4.7±3.0 abc	24.1±0.3 k	92.3						
MAX19	9	4.5±1.1 abc	37.9±0.9 c	95.6						
MAX20	12	5.4±0.2 ab	27.7±0.3 i	92.3						

Means followed by  $\pm$  standard error; means with the same letter within the same column are not significantly different at ( $P \le 0.05$ ) according to Duncan's multiple range test.

The highest seed germination in interspecific hybrids was obtained with *C. maxima* MAX19 X *C. moschata* (Alseiny), whereas *C. moschata* (Alseiny) X *C. maxima* MAX3 gave the lowest value. Interestingly, seed germination rates were  $\geq 80\%$  in the most promising interspecific hybrids for hybrid seed production. Conversely, Karaagac and Balkaya (2012) have reported seed germination rates of the promising interspecific hybrids were not high which could be due to embryo or endosperm failure in the interspecific hybrid combinations. These results suggest that plant genotype is an important factor which can have a profound effect on the success of interspecific crosses, seed production and germination (Karaagac and Balkaya, 2012; Plazas *et al.*, 2016).

Linear correlations were calculated between parameters resulted in the interspecific hybridizations between *C. maxima* and *C. moschata* (Table 3). Highly and positive significant correlations were obtained between fruit set percentage and fruit set percentage with normal seeds. Seed number and weight per fruit are desirable traits for commercial seed production. In our study, seed weight per fruit was positive and highly correlated with seeds per fruit and fruit weigh. Moderate and negative significant correlations were obtained between 100 seeds weight and fruit set percentage and fruit set percentage with normal seeds. Conversely, moderate and positive significant correlations were obtained between 100 seeds weight and fruit weight. Correlations between seed germination and other parameters were weakly statistically significant or not significant.

Our results indicate that interspecific hybrids between *C. maxima* and a locally adapted *C. moschata* developed in this study are promising rootstocks for grafting watermelon and cucumber in Egypt and other neighboring countries. The use of *C. maxima* and *C. moschata* hybrid rootstocks has been shown to enhance fruit yield and firmness in watermelon (Salam *et al.*, 2002; Yilmaz *et al.*, 2007; Huitron-Ramirez *et al.*, 2009), providing significant economic returns to farmers. Interspecific hybrids between *C. maxima* and *C. moschata* could also provide a solution for resistance to serious soilborne pathogen including Fusarium and Verticillium wilts in both open field and greenhouses (Passam, 2003; King *et al.*, 2008; Huitron-Ramirez *et al.*, 2009). Our data also suggest that, in general, using

*C. moschata* as a female parent results in higher fruit set and seeds per fruit. This can make an effective contribution to improving the production of cucurbits in Northern Africa countries using local *C. moschata* resources.

 Table 3. Linear correlations among fruit set, fruit set with normal seeds, seed weight per fruit, and number of seeds per fruit, fruit weight, 100 seeds weight, seed germination in interspecific hybridizations between C maxima and C moschata

Parameters	Fruit Fruit set with		Seeds/fruit		Seeds/fruit		Fruit weight		100 seeds		Germination	
	set (%)	normal seeds (%)	(g)		(no.)		(kg)		weight	(g)	(%)	
Fruit set (%)		0.85 **	-0.20	ns	-0.04	ns	0.03	ns	-0.28	**	-0.10	ns
Fruit set with normal seeds (%)			-0.06	ns	0.08	ns	-0.04	ns	-0.54	**	0.08	ns
Seeds/fruit (g) Seeds/fruit(no.)					0.77	**	0.30 0.06	** ns	0.09 -0.12	ns ns	0.21 0.26	*
Fruit weight(kg)							0.00		0.12	**	-0.25	*
100 seed weight (g) Germination (%)	)										-0.19	*

\* and \*\* indicate significance at  $P \le 0.05$  and P < 0.001, respectively; ns indicates not significant.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wish to thank Professor Jaime Prohens, University of Politècnica de València for manuscript reviewing and improvement, and Ibrahim Rakha, Basyouny Elshalakany, and Saeid Kamel for assistance in conducting the experiment.

#### REFERENCES

- Baggett, J.R. (1979). Attempts to cross *Cucurbita* moschata (Duch.) Poir. 'Butternut' and *C. pepo* L. 'Delicata' Cucurbit Genetics Cooperative Report 2:32–34.
- Bemis, W.P. (1973). Interspecific aneuploidy in Cucurbita. Genetic Resources 21:221–228.
- Cheng, Y.G., Zhang, B.K., Zhang, E.H., Zhao, Z.L. (2002). Germplasm innovation by interspecific crosses in pumpkin. Cucurbit Genetics Cooperative Report 25:56–57.
- Hajjar, R., Hodgkin, T. (2007). The use of wild relatives in crop improvement: a survey of developments in the last 20 years. Euphytica 156:1–13.
- Hassell, R. and Daley, S. (2015). Fatty alcohol rootstock treatment: an overview of a new approach to cucurbit grafting. Acta Horticulturae 1086:71-77.
- Hiroshi, H. (1963). Cucurbita-crosses: XVI. Flower ages and reciprocal cross-compatibility in C. pepo, C. moschata and C. maxima. Japanese Journal of Breeding 13:159–167
- Huitron-Ramirez, M.V., Ricardez-Salinas, M., Camacho-Ferre, F. (2009). Influence of grafted watermelon plant density on yield and quality in soil infested with melon necrotic spot virus. HortScience 44:1838–1841.
- Karaagac, O., Balkaya, A. (2012). Interspecific hybridization and hybrid seed yield of winter squash (Cucurbita maxima Duch.) and pumpkin (Cucurbita moschata Duch.) lines for rootstock breeding. Scientia Horticulturae 149:9-12.
- King, S.R., Davis, A.R., Liu, W., Levi, A. (2008). Grafting for Disease Resistance. HortScience 43(6):1673-1676.

- Korakot, N., Yang, J., Zhang, M., Ye, F., Lin, Y. (2010). A novel squash line developed from interspecific crosses between Cucurbita maxima and Cucurbita moschata. In: Cucurbitaceae 2010, Charleston, South Carolina 14(18):129–131.
- Loy, J.B. (2004). Morpho-physiological aspects of productivity and quality in squash and pumpkins (Cucurbita spp.). Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences 23(4):337-363.
- Metwally, E.I., Haroun, S.A., EI-Fadly, G.A. (1996). Interspecific cross between Cucurbita pepo L. and Cucurbita martinezii through in vitro embryo culture. Euphytica 90:1-7.
- Passam, H.C. (2003). Use of grafting makes a comeback. Fruit Vegetable Technology 3(4):7-9.
- Rakha, M.T., Metwally, E.I., Moustafa, A.S., Etman, A., Dewir, Y.H. (2012). Production of cucurbita interspecific hybrids through cross pollination and embryo rescue technique. World Applied Sciences Journal 20(10):1366-1370.
- Plazas, M., Vilanova, S., Gramazio, P., Rodríguez-Burruezo, A., Fita, A., Herraiz, F.J., Ranil, R., Fonseka, R., Niran, L., Fonseka, H., Kouassi, B., Kouassi, A., Kouassi, A., Prohens, J. (2016). Interspecific hybridization between eggplant and wild relatives from different genepools. Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science 141:34–44.
- Rhodes, A.M. (1959). Species hybridization and interspecific gene transfer in the genus Cucurbita. Proceedings of the American Society for Horticultural Science 74:546–551.
- Robinson, R.W., Shail, J.W. (1987). Genetic variability for compatibility of an interspecific cross. Cucurbit Genetics Cooperative Report 10:88-89.
- Ruiz, J.M., Belakbir, L., Ragala, J.M., Romero, L. (1997). Response of plant yield and leaf pigments to saline conditions: effectiveness of different rootstocks in melon plants (Cucumis melo L.). Soil Science Plant Nutrition 43:855–862.

- Saade, R.L., Hernandez, S.M. (1994). Cucurbits (Cucurbita spp.). Plant Production and Protection Series No. 26. FAO, Rome, Italy 63-77.
- Salam, M.A., Masum, A.M.H., Chowdhury, S.S., Dhar, M., Saddeque, M.A., Islam, M.R. (2002). Growth and yield of watermelon as influenced by grafting. Biological Sciences 2:298–299.
- Shifriss, O. (1987). Interspecific hybridization. Notes on squash breeding. Cucurbit Genetics Cooperative Report 10:93.
- Whitaker, T.W., Davis, G.N. (1962). Cucurbits: botany, cultivation and utilization. New York: Interscience p250.

- Whitaker, T.W., Bemis, W.P. (1964). Evolution in the genus Cucurbita. Evolution 18:553-559.
- Yılmaz, S., Ünlü, A., Gocmen, M., Mutlu, M., Andinsakir, K., Fırat, F., Kuzgun, M., Celikyurt, M.A., Sayın, B., Çelik, I. (2007). Phase out of methyl bromide for soil fumigation in protected horticulture and cut flower production in Turkey. First Progress Report 2007. Antalya, Turke.
- Zhang, Q., Yu, E., Medina, A. (2012). Development of advanced interspecific-bridge lines among Cucurbita pepo, C. maxima, and C moschata. HortScience 47(4):452–458.

# التهجين بين نوعي القرع العسلي .Cucurbita maxima Duch و Cucurbita moschata Duch لإنتاج أصوال لتطعيم القرعيات بكفاءة عاليه محمد توفيق سالم رخا قسم البساتين - كلية الزراعة – جامعة كفرالشيخ