Boron Foliar Application in Relation to Sweet Potato Productivity Sharaf-Eldin, M. A.<sup>1</sup>; M. A. AbdAlla<sup>2</sup>; S. A. Mostafa<sup>1</sup> and W. E. Montaser<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Horticulture Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafrelsheikh University. <sup>1</sup>E-mail: maseldeen@yahoo.com

<sup>2</sup>Vegetation Department, Horticulture Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Cairo, Egypt.

## ABSTRACT

This study was carried out to evaluate the effect of spray by boron at different concentrations (0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 ppm) for one time (60 days after transplanting, DAT) or two times (60 and 90 DAT) on sweet potato plant, Abees cv. The experiment was conducted at Kafr El-Sheikh governorate that counted the main growing area in Egypt, under clay soil conditions. The results indicated that repeat spraying of B at 60 and 90 DAT by the concentration of 40 or 50 ppm increased vegetative growth parameters (plant length, number of branches and plant leaf area) and growth attributes (leaf area index, absolute growth rate and net assimilation rate). Also, morphological quality of the tuberous root (diameter, length and shape index) as well as yield with high average of marketable yield percentage were improved.

Keywords: Ipomoea batatas, boron concentrations, foliar application, growth attributes, quality, yield

### **INTRODUCTION**

Sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas (L.) Lam.) is an important crop in tropical and subtropical regions overall the world. In Egypt, it is grown in the summer season with an average area of 29106 fed with an annual production of about 385499 tons (Bulletin of the FAO Agricultural web statistics, 2016). Kafr El-Sheikh governorate is one of the most important locations for growing sweet potato in Egypt. The surface irrigation is used traditionally at this area which could cause boron leaching (Hall, 2008). Limit crop production was reported due to boron deficiency (Kaur and Nelson, 2015; Haytova, 2013). Boron requirements for vegetables are more than in the other crops (Dursun, 2010), and may increase production efficiency and quality (Haytova, 2013). Boron is important for biochemical, cell elongation and physiological changes (Brown and Hu, 1996; Imtiaz et al., 2010 and Müller et al., 2011), and enhancing sugar metabolism (Marschner, 2012). On the contrary, the toxicity may be habits because there is narrow rang among deficiency and toxicity. So, foliar applications may be useful and facilitated to the rapid absorption of mineral elements (Kerin, 2003), foliar doses are strongly differ according to the crop kind. Sweet potato seems to be more susceptible to boron (B) deficiency than many other crops (O'Sullivan et al., 1997). Moreover, storage roots development may be greatly reduced or completely inhibited by severe B deficiency (Pillai et al. 1986). B deficiency mostly decrease storage roots quality. They appear with blunt-ended, short, may split and overgrow, producing cankers and deformities (O'Sullivan et al., 1997). Also, the skin may be rough and may wrinkle towards the ends. Even though it seems there is no available information on soil B in relation to B deficiency in sweet potato. Overview, there has been limited researches

on B application with suitable concentration on sweet potato. Although, frequently B application on different growth stages achieved positive effect (Armin and Asgharipour, 2012; Abdallah and Mekdad, 2015; Dewdar *et al.*, 2015). So, the main goal of this study was to investigate different boron concentrations and times as foliar spray on sweet potato, and explores its effect on growth, yield and quality under clay soil conditions.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study was carried out during the summer seasons of 2017 and 2018 on sweet potato cv. Abees in the farm of the Faculty of Agriculture, Kafrelsheikh University, Egypt. Some physical and chemical properties of the soil characteristics were presented in Tables 1 and 2. The metrological data during the studied periods were presented in Table 3.

The top and sub top stem cuttings of sweet potato cv Abees with 25 cm length were transplanted manually on the 8th of May by using 25 cm spacing between the plants and 70 cm spacing between rows. Each experimental unit consisted of five ridges and was separated by one ridge between each other's. Ammonium nitrate, calcium super phosphate and potassium sulphate were used as sources for N, P and K (respectively) with 20 kg/fed of N, 40 kg/fed of P2O5, and 72 kg/fed of K2O. The other culture practices; e.g., weeds and pests control were applied according to the recommendations of the Ministry of Agriculture. The recommendations of Sharaf-Eldin (2002) in the same experiment site were used for cuttings preparation and transplanting. The plants were harvested after 140 days of transplanting.

Table 1. Some physical properties of the experiment soil (0-30 cm)

Particle distribution,%		Textı	ıre	Bulk d	ensity,	Field capacity,		Wilting point 0/		Avai	Available		
Sand	Silt	Clay	clas	S	Kg/	m <sup>3</sup>	Q	%	winning point, 76		wate	water,%	
21.55	26.91	51.54	Cla	у	129	98	41	.26	5 21.29		19.97		
Table 2.	Some chem	ical proper	ties of th	e experi	ment soi	1							
EC	FSD	 	Soluble cations, (ppm)			Soluble anions, meg/l							
ds/m	E9L	рп	Ca <sup>++</sup>	Mg <sup>++</sup>	Na <sup>++</sup>	$\mathbf{K}^{+}$	Co <sub>3</sub> -	Hco3	СГ	so4	OM%	CaCo <sub>3</sub>	
1.85	5.42	8.1	143.4	65.3	209.4	22.2	0.00	7.90	5.0	11.45	0.87	1.58	
Available			Ν		P K			В					
elements (ppm)			3	30.80		1.6		522.8		0.9	3		

Soil analysis were determined by using soluble soil saturation extent.

B analysis were determined by using hot water- extractable with spectrophotometer using the azomethine-H method.



Table 3. Some metrological data for Kafr El-Sheikh area during 2017 and 2018 seasons

area during 2017 and 2010 seasons								
Manth		T (°C	)		RH%	Pan Evap.		
Month	Max	Min	Mean	Max	Min	Mean	mm/day	
				2017				
May	31.4	21.8	26.6	75.0	45.8	60.4	613	
June	32.4	24.0	28.2	74.6	51.3	62.95	661	
July	32.3	24.3	28.3	79.6	54.7	67.15	611	
Aug.	33.8	24.8	29.3	83.6	60.5	72.05	513	
Sept.	32.5	22.9	27.7	81.0	56.6	68.8	382	
Oct.	27.8	19.4	23.6	76.2	57.4	66.8	287	
				2018				
May	30.5	19.6	25.05	77.2	48.6	62.9	587.1	
June	32.7	20.6	26.65	52.3	86.3	69.3	655.5	
July	33.2	23.6	28.4	83.2	55.1	69.15	772.9	
Aug.	34.1	21.8	27.95	92.4	53.5	72.95	813.5	
Sept.	32.5	20.8	26.65	87.6	52.2	69.9	664.5	
Oct.	29.8	18.8	24.3	80.9	53.4	67.15	451.1	

Source: meteorological station at 'Sakha' 31 07Nlatitude, 30 57 E longitude and with an elevation of about 6 meters above mean sea level.

The experiment was layout in a split-plot design in three replicates. Two applications times of boron were conducted, one time (S1) after 60 days of transplanting or two times (S2) after 60 and 90 days of transplanting were put in the main-plots. Six boron concentrations; 0 (distilled water only), 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 ppm were arranged in the sub-plots. Boric acid (17.5% B) was used as a source for boron.

Five plants were randomly chosen from each experimental unit to determine vegetative growth parameters, i.e., plant length (cm), number of branches/ plant, plant leaf area  $(m^2)$  and chlorophyll content. Plant leaf area was measured by a portable leaf area meter (LI-3100 -LI-COR, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA), Chlorophyll content was determined by the SPAD-501 (Minolta Corp, Ramsey, N.J.) which used for total greenness measurement in the first fully expended leaves (the fifth leaf from the shoot growing tip) without destroying them. The taken samples for growth analysis parameters were, also, used for calculated the growth attributes according to the formulas of (McCollum, 1978). Leaf area index (LAI) was determined according to the following formula: LAI = Leaf area per plant/land area per plant. However, absolute growth rate (AGR) is defined as the increase in vine dry matter per unit of time (g/day) as follows: AGR=  $(W_2-W_1)/(T_2-T_1)$  Where:  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  refer to dry weight for two samples at time T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>(90-120 days after transplanting).

Also, Net assimilation rate (NAR) is distinct as the increase in vine dry material per unit of leaf area per unit of time: NAR=  $[(W_2-W_1)/(T_2-T_1)] * [(InL_2-InL_1)/(L_2-L_1)]$ Where: W<sub>1</sub> and W<sub>2</sub> are dry weight of vine at time T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>, L<sub>1</sub> and L<sub>2</sub> are leaf area at T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> (90-120 days after transplanting). Relative water content (RWC%) was calculated using the equation:= [(FW-DW/FW)]\*100 where, FW are fresh weight of the vines and DW are dry weight of the vines (Chen *et al.*, 2009).

At harvesting time, all tuberous roots were taken from each experimental unit to determine the total, marketable and non-marketable yields. However, 40 tubers were randomly taken from the marketable roots and used to determine average tuberous root length, diameter and weight. The marketable yield was taken from the roots without damages of insects, disease and mechanical and with suitable size according to the Egyptian market priority.

Statistical procedures were performed using the statistical software MSTAT. Data for both seasons were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and mean comparisons were made using Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### RESULTS

#### 1- Vegetative growth

Data in Table (4) indicate that spraying sweet potato plants by Boron twice at 60 and 90 days after transplanting (DAT) caused significant higher of plant length comparing to one time spray at 60 DAT only at sampling date of 120 DAT. However, the significant differences did not detect at sampling date (140 DAT) in the case of number of plant branches. Increasing B concentration from 0 to 50 ppm significantly increased plant length and number of branches at both sampling dates in both growing seasons. The interaction between spraying numbers and B concentrations was also significant in both growing seasons with noticed high values in the case of two times spray by the highest B concentration (50 ppm), while the control was the lowest.

Spraying B twice increased plant leaf area, however the differences were significant only in the second season (Table 5). The high B levels gained high leaf area comparing the control. Whereas, insignificant interaction between spraying numbers and B concentrations was noticed in both growing seasons.

In the case of leaves chlorophyll content, all studied treatments did not cause any significant changes (Table 5).

It was noticed that regardless spraying time, boron spray significantly decreased relative water content of leaves (Table 5). The control (without B) had the highest value in both growing seasons.

## 2- Growth attributes

The repetition of B application at 60 and 90 DAT significantly increased all studied growth attributes (leaf area index, absolute growth rate and net assimilation rate). Leaf area index was positively increased by increasing B concentration especially when the application was repeated twice with significant interaction in both growing seasons (Table 6).

Sweet potato plants produced more dry matter per day (AGR) when B was sprayed twice at 60 and 90 DAT with steadily increase through increasing B concentrations from 0 to 30 or 40 ppm then decreased at 50 ppm. These results were true in both growing seasons and the interaction was significant. Net assimilation rate took the same trend of absolute growth rate.

#### 3- Yield and its components

Data in Table 7 illustrate the effect of B fertilization on yield parameters. Intermediate concentrations was more effective to produce wide roots especially when the applications were repeated twice at 60 and 90 DAT compering with untreated control. Likewise, average root length had the same trend of root diameter however, spraying numbers had significant effect only in the first season. Two sprays of B significantly increased root shape index only in the first season with progress decreases with increasing B concentrations was which the control gave the highest value with significant interaction.  
 Table 4. Effect of foliar spray by boron on plant length and number of branches/plant of sweet potato

-	Plant length (cm) No. of branches/plant					
Traatmonte -		; •				
i reatments	120	140	120	140		
		20	17			
	Spra	ying numb	ers			
S1 (60 DAT)	127.9 b	153.1 b	16.8 b	19.2 a		
S2 (60 &	141.0 a	156.4 a	18.6 a	19.5 a		
90DAT)	D		- ()			
	Boron co	120.2	s (ppm)	12.0.6		
0	99.2 e	130.3 e	12.31	12.91		
10	118.0 d	143.0 d	10.3 e	10.5 e		
20	132.3 c	146.0 d	17.3 d	1/./ d		
30	138.5 C	152.9 c	18./c	20.2 c		
40 50	154.5 b	170.6 b	20.0 ab	22.9 b		
50	164.6 a	186.1 a	21.0 a	25.7 a		
	1	nteraction				
SI x0	99.2 g	130 1	12.3 j	12.9 f		
S1 x 10	111.0 f	141.5 h	15.6 i	16.5 e		
S1 x 20	126.6 de	144.9 gh	16.3 hi	17.6 de		
S1 x 30	134.3 cd	151.1 ef	17.6 fg	19.9 c		
S1 x 40	140.0 c	167.8 d	19.0 de	22.8 b		
S1 x 50	156.6 b	183.4 b	20.3 bc	25.3 a		
S2 x 0	99.2 g	130 i	12.3 j	12.9 f		
S2 x 10	125.0 e	144.5 gh	17.0 gh	16.6 e		
S2 x 20	138.0 c	147.1 fg	13.3 ef	17.8d		
S2 x 30	142.6 c	154.7 e	19.8 cd	20.5 c		
S2 x 40	169.0 a	173.3 c	21.1 b	23.1 b		
S2 x 50	172.6 a	188.8 a	22.5 a	26.2 a		
		2018				
	Spra	ying numb	ers			
S1 (60	129.6 b	155.0 b	16.0 b	20.7 a		
DAT)						
S2 (60 &	150.7 a	160.0 a	18.2 a	21.3 a		
90DAT)						
	Boron co	ncentration	s (ppm)			
0	97.5 e	124.1 f	11.0 e	15.3 d		
10	113.0 d	131.2 e	14.8 d	19.0 c		
20	128.1c	145.6 d	16.4 c	20.0 c		
30	153.0 b	162.1 c	19.3 b	22.9 b		
40	174.6 a	187.1 b	20.5 ab	232 b		
50	175.0 a	196.9 a	21.0 a	25.0 a		
	I	nteraction				
S1 x 0	97.5 g	124.0 g	11.0 f	15.3 f		
S1 x 10	100.3 g	128.5 fg	13.6 e	15.8 f		
S1 x 20	1093 f	1366 e	16.5 cd	18.8 e		
S1 x 30	155 Obc	162.0 c	18.3 bcd	19.3 de		
S1 x 40	156.0 bc	1789h	18.3 bcd	19.7 de		
S1 x 50	160.0 b	1981a	18.6 hc	20 4 d		
$S2 \times 0$	975 σ	124 0 o	110 f	153f		
$S_{2 \times 10}$	1256e	133.9 ef	160d	23.5  hc		
$S_{2} \times 20$	123.0 C	154.6 d	16.5 cd	23.3  bc		
$S_{2} \times 20$ S <sub>2</sub> × 30	151 0 cd	162.5 c	20.3  h	23.300		
$S_{2} \times 40$	193.3 9	102.30	20.5 0	23.1 C 24 7 ah		
$S_2 \times 50$	190.0 a	195.5 a	22.0 a 23.3 a	27.7 au 25 / a		
04 A JU	170.0 a	175.0 d	∠ <i>J.J</i> a	∠J.+ a		

Table 5. Effect of foliar spray by boron on plant leaf
area, leaf Chlorophyll content and relative
water content (RWC%) of sweet potato, 120
DAT

Treatments	Plant leaf	Chlorophyll	RWC					
	area (m²)	(SPAD)	%					
2017								
	Spraying	g numbers	07.4					
S1 (60 DAT)	0.83 a	48.9 a	8/.4 a					
52(60&90DAT)	0.86 a	46.7 a	88.3 a					
0	Boron concer	ntrations (ppm)	00.0					
0	0.72 c	48.8 a	89.9 a					
10	0.79  bc	49.7 a	89.2 a					
20	0.80 ab	4/.4 a	87.00 86.4 h					
30	0.87 ab	44.5 a	86.4 D					
40	0.93 a	47.5 a	8/.3 D					
50	0.91ab	49.4 a	8/.5 b					
S1 - 0	0.71 2	44.63 a	<b>00</b> / a					
SI X0	0.71 a	44.03 a	90.4 a 80.4 h					
SI X 10	0.73 a	40.00 a	89.40					
SI x 20	0.64 a	41.55 a	88.0 Cu					
SI x 30	0.89 a	30.43 a	85.0 e					
S1 x 40	0.95 a	49.25 a	85.7 e					
S1 X 30	0.87 a	44.05 a	80.1 C					
S2 X U	0.71 a	40.30 a	89.3 D					
S2 x 10	0.84 a	48.10 a	89.1 DC					
S2 x 20	0.89 a	47.20 a	86.1 e					
S2 x 30	0.85 a	44.50 a	8/.8 d					
S2 x 40	0.92 a	49.56 a	88.8 bcd					
52 X 50	0.95 a	44.63 a	88.9 bcd					
2018								
	Spraying	g numbers	964 -					
SI (60 DAI)	0.83 b	48.8 a	86.4 a					
$S_2(60 \&$	1.02 a	49.7 a	86.9 a					
90DAT)	Domon comoo	atuations (mma)						
0	D 72	nutations (ppm)	<u> </u>					
0	0.72 C	40.1 a	00.9 a					
10	0.7900	43.1 a	80.7 UC 84.7 d					
20	0.00 a0	44.0 d	04.7 u 86.5 ha					
30 40	0.95 a	40.3 a	80.5 bc					
40 50	1 10 2	44.9 a	87.50 85.6 cd					
30	I.IU a Inter	action	85.0 Cu					
S1 x 0	0.70 a	45 90 a	89.2 a					
S1 x 10	0.70 a	46.10 a	86.6  bc					
S1 x 20	0.75 a 0.74 a	40.10 a 42 90 a	80.0 bc					
S1 x 30	0.89 a	47.20 a	86.8  bc					
$S1 \times 30$ S1 x 40	0.02 a	44.60 a	86.7 bc					
S1 x 50	0.95 a	44.90 a	85.9 c					
$S^2 \times 0$	0.70 a	45 90 a	89.2 a					
S2 x 10	0.89 a	46 60 a	86.9 hc					
S2 x 20	0.07 a	44 70 a	857 c					
S2 x 20	1.28 a	45 10 a	863 0					
S2 x 40	1.20 a	44 00 a	88 () ah					
S2 x 50	1.60 a	44.40 a	85.4 c					

Means with the same letter within the same column are not significantly different at ( $P \le 0.05$ ) according to Duncan's multiple range tests.

Means with the same letter within the same column are not significantly different at ( $P \le 0.05$ ) according to Duncan's multiple range tests.

Table 6. Effect of foliar spray by Boron on leaf areaindex (LAI), absolute growth rate (AGR) andnet assimilation rate (NAR) of sweet potato,(90-120 days after transplanting)

	LAI	AGR (g/day)	NAR (g/m <sup>2</sup> /day)						
Treatments		2017							
Spraying numbers									
S1 (60 DAT)	4.48 b	0.51 b	0.64 b						
S2 (60 & 90DAT)	5.02 a	0.66 a	0.79 a						
Boron concentratio	ons (ppm)								
0	3.03 c	0.42 c	0.55 bc						
10	4.25 b	0.51 bc	0.67 bc						
20	4.62 b	0.66 ab	0.81 ab						
30	5.55 a	0.84 a	1.03 a						
40	5.72 a	0.75 a	0.82 ab						
50	5.34 a	0.3 4 c	0.39 c						
Interaction									
S1 x0	3.02 e	0.30 f	0.44 de						
S1 x 10	4.02 d	0.60 cd	0.81 bc						
S1 x 20	4.54 cd	0.62 cd	0.80 bc						
S1 x 30	5.55 b	0.62 cd	0.72 bcd						
S1 x 40	4.77 c	0.62 cd	0.69 b-e						
S1 x 50	4.96 c	0.31 f	0.38 e						
S2 x 0	3.02 e	0.54 cde	0.67 b-e						
S2 x 10	4.47 cd	0.42 def	0.53 cde						
S2 x 20	4.70 c	0.70 bc	0.83 bc						
S2 x 30	5.55 b	1.05 a	1.34 a						
S2 x 40	6.66 a	0.88 ab	0.95 b						
S2 x 50	5.72 b	0.36 ef	0.40 e						
	20	18							
	Spraying	numbers							
S1 (60 DAT)	4.76 b	0.46 b	0.57 b						
S2 (60 & 90DAT)	5.84 a	0.78 a	0.78 a						
	Boron concen	trations (ppm)							
0	3.98 d	0.27 d	0.44 c						
10	4.37 cd	0.46 cd	0.60 bc						
20	4.93 bc	0.65 bc	0.78 ab						
30	6.50 a	0.84 ab	0.78 ab						
40	5.75 ab	0.96 a	0.94 a						
50	6.29 a	0.53 c	0.52 c						
	Intera	action							
S1 x0	3.80 h	0.23 e	0.39 e						
S1 x 10	3.92 h	0.40 cde	0.57 cde						
S1 x 20	4.60 fg	0.66 b	0.81 bc						
S1 x 30	5.65 c	0.50 bcd	0.50 ce						
S1 x 40	5.02 def	0.63 bc	0.74 cd						
S1 x 50	5.56 cd	0.36 de	0.39 e						
S2 x 0	3.80 h	0.31 de	0.48 de						
S2 x 10	4.81 ef	0.52 bcd	0.63 ce						
S2 x 20	5.25 cde	0.65 b	0.74cd						
S2 x 30	7.35 a	1.19 a	1.06 ab						
S2 x 40	6.47 b	1.30 a	1.13 a						
S2 x 50	7.02 a	0.70 b	0.64 cde						

Means with the same letter within the same column are not significantly different at ( $P \le 0.05$ ) according to Duncan's multiple range tests.

Although, number of B applications did not significantly effect on average root weight, B concentrations and the interaction were significant in both growing seasons. The heaviest roots were noticed with intermediate concentrations, however, the lowest ones were accompanied with the control or the highest B concentration (50 ppm).

The highest plant yield as number or weight of roots were reported when the plants were sprayed by B twice (60 and 90 DAT). Increasing B concentrations increased number of roots from 3.7 or 3.9 with the control (in the first and second season, respectively) to 4.8 or 4.9 with 50 ppm B. However, plant yield was increased from 0.843 or 0.835 kg with the control to 1.187 or 1.175 kg with 50 ppm. The companied treatment of 50 ppm B and two sprays was the best for high yield (Table 7).

Table 7. Effect of foliar spray by boron at different numbers and concentrations on yield and its components of sweet potato

-	Root	Root	Root	Root	No. of	Plant					
Treatments	diameter	· length	shape	weight	roots/	yield					
i reautients	D, (cm)	L, (cm)	L/D	(g)	plant	(kg)					
			20	17							
Spraying numbers											
S1 (60 DAT)	5.3 b	16.4 b	3.1 b	56.0 a	4.1 b	1.05 b					
<u>S2(60&amp;90DAT)</u>	5.4 a	17.4 a	3.2 a	54.0 a	4.3 a	1.09 a					
	Во	ron conce	ntratior	ıs (ppm)							
0	3.8 e	14.3 e	3.8 a	227.0 c	3.7 c	0.84 e					
10	5.1 d	17.1 c	3.3 b	258.9 ab	3.9 c	1.01 d					
20	5.8 c	17.2 c	2.9 d	264.2a	4.2 b	1.11 c					
30	6.4 a	17.9 b	2.7 e	262.7 ab	4.3 b	1.13 b					
40	6.1 b	19.12 a	3.1 c	256.8 ab	4.4 b	1.13b					
50	5.0 d	15.7 d	3.1 c	245.8 b	4.8 a	1.18 a					
C1 0	Interaction										
SI X0	3./g	14.5 I	3./ a	229.7 a	3./g	0.85 g					
SI X 10 SI x 20	3.8 g	14.3 I 17.5 ho	3./a	260.5 a	3.8 g	0.991					
S1 x 20	5.0 01	166.0	220	204.2 a	4.2 Cl	1.11 u 1.10 d					
S1 x 30 S1 x 40	5.1e	16.0 e	5.2 C	255.8 a	4.5 de	1.10 d					
S1 x 40 S1 x 50	5.7 u	10.0 c 17.5 bc	2.9 U	200.4 a	4.5 Cue	$1.12 \mathrm{cu}$					
S1 x 50	6.0c	17.5 UC	2.9 de	240.0 a 224 3 a	4.50 37σ	0.83 g					
S2 x 10	662	17.9 b	2.0 uc	224.5 a	41f	1.04 e					
S2 x 20	6.3 ab	17.3 cd	2.7 C	255.0 a 266.6 a	4.11 42 ef	1.04 C					
S2 x 20 S2 x 30	60c	20.99 a	34 h	263.6 a	4.4 hcc	1.12 cu					
S2 x 40	4.8 f	14.4 f	29 d	205.0 u 255 5 a	$45 \mathrm{bc}$	1.10 bc					
S2 x 50	51e	169 de	$\frac{2.9}{3.3}$ hc	245 0 a	51a	125 a					
		20	18								
		Spraving	number	S							
S1 (60 DAT)	4.6b	17.4 a	3.7 a	250.0 a	4.2 b	1.05b					
\$2(60&90DAT)	448a	173a	37a	246.6 a	45a	111a					
<u>()</u>	Boro	n concent	rations	(ppm)	u						
0 3.5 6	e 14.8 d	d 4.1a	214	d 3.9	d d	0.83 e					
10 4.6 c	1 16.7	c 3.6 c	256.	0b 4.1	c	1.05 d					
20 5.0 c	2 17.51	b 3.5 c	271.4	1a 4.2	2 c	1.14 c					
30 5.4 a	18.8	a 3.5 c	263.5	ab 4.4	b	1.16b					
40 5.1 b	18.5	a 3.5 c	257.7	ab 4.5	i b	1.16 ab					
50 4.5 d	1 18.01	b 3.9b	239.	0c 4.9	) a	1.17 a					
		Intera	ction								
S1 x 0 3.5	a 14.8 c	1 4.1 a	218.	4a 3.	8 f	0.83 h					
S1 x 10 4.4	a 16.7	c 3.6 bc	d 252.	5a 4.0	) ef	1.01 g					
S1 x 20 4.7	a 17.5 b	c 3.6 bc	d 264.	2 a 4.2	2 de	1.11 e					
S1 x 30 5.1	a 19.8	a 3.8 ab	c 258.	1 a 4.3	cde	1.11 e					
S1 x 40 5.2	a 17.4 b	c 3.4 de	261.	0 a 4.4	bcd	1.15 d					
S1 x 50 4.5	a 18.0 b	c 3.9 abo	250.	0 a 4.4	bcd	1.10 ef					
S2 x 0 3.5	a 14.8	d 4.2 a	218.	4 a 3.	8 f	0.83 h					
S2 x 10 4.7	a 16.6	c 3.6 cd	e 257.	1 a 4.2	2 de	1.08 f					
S2 x 20 5.3	a 17.5 b	c 3.3 de	276.	1 a 4.2	2 de	1.16 cd					
S2 x 30 5.7	a 17.8 b	c 3.2 e	260.	8 a 4.6	5 bc	1.20 b					
S2 x 40 5.1	a 18.9 a	b 3.7 bc	1 251.	0 a 4.	7 b	1.18 bc					
S2 x 50 4.4	a 18.1 ab	oc 4.0 ab	233.	9a 5.	3 a	1.24 a					

Means with the same letter within the same column are not significantly different at ( $P \le 0.05$ ) according to Duncan's multiple range tests.

#### 4- Marketable and nonmarketable yields:

Data in Fig. 1 clear that there were significant differences between one and two sprays of B in total and

marketable yields (ton/fed). Two sprays treatment recorded higher total yield (26.4 and 26.4 ton/fed) and marketable yield (24.1 and 24.6 ton/fed with 91.2 and 93.1% of the total yield in 2017 and 2018, respectively) with lower percentage of non-marketable yield (2.2 and 1.8 ton/fed with 8.3 and 6.8% of the total yield) comparing one spray. However, the treatment of one spray produced 23.1 and 23.2 ton/fed of the total yield with 87.5 and 87.8% of the marketable yield in 2017 and 2018, respectively.



Fig. 1. Effect of boron spraying number on total, marketable (small case letters) and nonmarketable (capital case letters) yields of sweet potato.

Means with the same letter within the same case are not significantly different at ( $P \le 0.05$ ) according to Duncan's multiple range tests.

Concerning boron concentration, data in Fig. 2 illustrate that all concentrations increased the total and marketable yields (ton/fed) comparing with the control. The highest percentages of marketable yield were recorded with 50 ppm (98.8 and 97.7% in 2017 and 2018 seasons, respectively), while they were 81% and 85% with the control. The highest percentages of unmarketable yield were 16.1 and 12.5 % which resulted by the control.



Fig. 2. Effect of boron concentration on marketable (small letters), nonmarketable (capital letters) and total yields of sweet potato during.

Means with the same letter within the same case are not significantly different at ( $P \le 0.05$ ) according to Duncan's multiple range tests

There were significant interaction between number of sprays and boron concentrations as for total yield, marketable and unmarketable yields in both growing seasons (Fig. 3). Plants sprayed with 50 ppm at 60 and 90 DAT gave the highest total and marketable yields. However, the differences were insignificant in the case of nonmarketable yield in both growing seasons.



Fig. 3. Effect of the interaction between number of boron sprays (S1 and S2) x boron concentrations (0, 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 ppm) on marketable (small letters) and nonmarketable (capital letters) yields of sweet potato.

Means with the same letter within the same case are not significantly different at ( $P \le 0.05$ ) according to Duncan's multiple range tests.

#### Discussion

Boron element has vital for shoot and root growth development because it involves in cell wall and plasma membrane structure and function (Brown et al., 2002), but it is not sufficient in the soil solution as well as the plant which it was recorded less than 2 ppm B in soil solution at the experiment site of North River Nile Delta (Table 2 & Abido, 2012). Sweet potato plant has a huge vine which could cover the soil after almost two months of transplanting (Sharaf-Eldin et al., 2017). To get this target, boron foliar was reported as a facility practice for supported plant growth (Haytova, 2013). Moreover, no evidence or references to know what the range of dosage which sweet potato endured it for boron, although the narrow range between boron deficiency and toxicity was reported (Gupta, 2014). Acharya et al. (2015) obtained a positive effect of boron on onion on plant growth. The positive effect of add boron at 60 and 90 DAT on vegetative growth is shown in higher plant length, number of branches, plant leaf area and growth attributes more than add boron at 60 DAT (Tables, 4& 5). With add boron at 60 and 90 DAT, the soil area that covered by plant (LAI) was enhanced and the leaves produced high dry matter (AGR and NAR) as shown in Table 5. RWC results suggest that B might increase photosynthesis, dry matter, nutrient uptake which might decrease RWC of vines and moisture content of sweet potato vines.

The higher plant growth with boron at 40 or 50 ppm than the lower levels or the control (Tables, 4 & 5) may be due to its role in cell elongation, (Reid *et al.*, 2004; Gobarah and Mekki, 2005), which led to an increase in growth characters. Also, repeated the same application cause an increase in vegetative growth Tariq and Mott (2006) it's often reflected to Auxins that increased with increasing B rates (Abou EL-Yazied and Mady, 2012) or regarding to the regular work of stomata (Muller *et al.*, 2011). Similar results were mentioned by Abido (2012) and Dewdar *et al.* (2015) on sugar beet.

Summing up the previous results in Table (5), it is evident that the highest values of plant growth characters were recorded with stepping boron and dosage at 60 and 90 DAT compared with the other treatments at most sampling dates in both growing seasons. The increment in plant growth by number of application either with 40 ppm may be due to the role of boron in increasing of leaf area (Bogiani *et al.*, 2013), which improve plant growth as well as the important role of photosynthetic efficiency for achieving physiological processes in plant.

The highest values of average tuberous root weight, No. of tuberous roots/ plant, root diameter, root length, plant yield (kg) and total yield (ton/fed) were obtained with boron application (Table 7). These increases may be due to the role of boron in photosynthetic efficiency and increasing mineral nutrients uptake as well as dry matter translocation and accumulation toward the roots, which in turn reflected for high yield (Tariq and Mott, 2006). These findings are in the line with those obtained by (Abido, 2012; Farag and Fang, 2014; El-Tohamy *et al.*, 2014).

The lower yield and quality with the control (Table 7 & Fig. 2) are force indicator to the direct relationship between the low yield and insufficient of boron. These results are in harmony with those obtained by (Ferweez *et al.*, 2011).

# CONCLUSION

Fertilizing sweet potato plant by 40 or 50 ppm boron as a foliar application at 60 and 90 DAT reflected in high growth, yield and quality with regular and reduced the nonmarketable roots under North River Nile Delta conditions.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdallah, A. and A. Mekdad (2015). Sugar beet productivity as affected by nitrogen fertilizer and foliar spraying with boron. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci 4 (4): 181-196.
- Abido, W. A. E. (2012). Sugar beet productivity as affected by foliar spraying with methanol and boron. Inter. J. Agric. Sci. 4 (7): 287-292.
- Abou EL-Yazied, A. and M. A. Mady (2012). Effect of boron and yeast extract foliar application on growth, pod setting and both green pod and seed yield of broad bean (*Vicia faba* L.). J. Applied Sci. Res. 8 (2): 1240-1251.
- Acharya, U.; S. R. Narayan.; K. Venkatesan; T. Saraswathi and K. S. Subramanian (2015). Response on growth, yield and quality parameters of multiplier onion (*Allium cepa* L. var. aggregatum Don.) var. CO (On)5 with different doses and method of zinc and boron application. Inter. J. Agro. Agri. Res. (IJAAR) 6 (4): 59-67.
- Armin, M. and M. Asgharipour (2012). Effect of time and concentration of boron foliar application on yield and quality of sugar beet. American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Enviro. Sci. 12 (4): 444-448.
- Bogiani, J.; A. Amaro; C. Rosolem (2013). Carbohydrate production and transport in cotton cultivars grown under boron deficiency. Scientia Agricola 70 (6): 442-448.

- Brown, P. H. and H. Hu (1996). Phloem mobility of boron is species dependent: evidence for phloem mobility in sorbitol-rich species. Ann Bot. (77): 497-506.
- Brown, P. H.; N. Bellaloui.; M. A. Wimmer.; E.S. Bassil.; J. Ruiz.; H. Hu.; H. Pfeffer.; F. Dannel and V. Römheld (2002). Boron in plant biology. Plant bio. 4 (2): 205-223.
- Chen, J.; S. Shiyab.; F. X. Han.; D. L. Monts.; C. A. Waggoner.; Z. Yang and Y. Su (2009). Bioaccumulation and physiological effects of mercury in *Pteris vittata* and *Nephrolepis exaltata*, Ecotoxicology, 18(1): 110–121.
- Dewdar, M. D. H.; M. S. Abbas.; E. I. Gaber and H. A. Abd El-Aleem (2015). Influence of time addition and rates of boron foliar application on growth, quality and yield traits of sugar beet. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci, 4(2): 231-238.
- Duncan, B.D. (1955). Multiple range and multiple F test. Biometrics, 11: 1- 42.
- El-Tohamy, W. A .; H. M. El-Abagy.; S. D. Abou-Hussein.; S. M. Singer and S.O. El-Abd (2014). Responses of some sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* L.) cultivars to foliar application of micronutrients under sandy soil conditions. Research J. Agri. Bio. Sci, 10(2): 87-92.
- Farag, M. and Z. M. Fang (2014). Effect of boron toxicity stress on seed germination, root elongation and early seedling development of watermelon *Citrullus lanatus* Thumb. J. Animal & Plant Sciences, 21(2): 3313-3325.
- Ferweez, H.; M.F.M. Ibrahim and A.M. Allan (2013). Improving yield and quality of sugar beet using boron at different levels of nitrogen fertilizer. Alexandria Science Exchange Journal, 32 (1): 51-57.
- Gobarah, M.E. and B. B. Mekki (2005): Influence of boron application on yield and juice quality of some sugar beet cultivars grown under saline soil conditions. Journal of Applied Sciences Research, 1: 373–379.
- Gupta, U.; P. Verma and H. Solanki (2014). Impact of high boron concentration on plants. An inter j. bio & life sci, 2(2):610-614.
- Hall, D. (2008). Boron Fact sheet, http://www.soilquality .org.au/factsheets/boron
- Haytova, D. (2013). A Review of Foliar fertilization of some vegetable crops. Annual Review & Res. in Bio 3(4): 455-465.
- Imtiaz, M.; A. Rashid.; P. Khan.; M. Y. Memon and M. Aslam (2010). The role of micronutrients in crop production and human health. Pakistan J. Botany, 42: 2565-2578.
- Kaur, G.; and K. A. Nelson (2015). Effect of foliar boron fertilization of fine textured soils on corn yields. Agronomy, 5: 1-18.
- Kerin, V and M. Berova (2003). Foliar fertilization in plants. Videnov & Son, Sofia.; Bulgarian.
- Marschner, P. (ed.) (2012). Marschner's mineral nutrition of higher plants. 3th ed. Elsevier, Oxford, UK.

- McCollum, R.E. (1978). Analysis of potato growth under differing P regimes. II. Time by P-status interactions for growth and leaf efficiency. Agron. J. 70(1): 57-66.
- Müller, T.; T. Eichert.; J. Müller.; A. Fangmeier and S. Will (2011). Boron foliar fertilization: Impacts on absorption and subsequent translocation of foliar applied Boron. PhD., Faculty of agricultural sciences, Institute of Crop Science, University of Hohenheim.
- O'Sullivan, J.N., C. J. Asher, and F. P. C. Blame, (1997). Nutrient disorders of sweet potato. ACIAR Monograph No 48, 136p.
- Pillai, N.G., Mohankumar, B., Kabeerathumma, S. and Nair, P.G. (1986). Deficiency symptoms of micronutrients in sweet potato (*Ipomoea patatas* L.). Journal of Root Crops, 12(2): 91-95.
- Reid, R. J.; J. E. Hayes.; A. Post.; J. C. Stangoulis and R. D. Graham (2004). A critical analysis of the causes of boron toxicity in plants. Plant Cell and Enviro, 27: 1405–1414.

- Sharaf-Eldin, M. A. (2002). Effect of fertilization and planting methods on growth, yield and quality of sweet potato. MSc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Tanta Univ., Egypt.
- Sharaf-Eldin, M. A.; A. A. Etman and M. E. Attalla. (2017). Comparative study between drip irrigation with soil mulch and surface irrigation on sweet potato grown under north River Nile delta conditions. The 1<sup>st</sup> International Conference on Applied Agricultural Sciences and Prospective Technology" 23-26 February 2018 in Luxor, Egypt.
- Tariq, M. and C. J. B. Mott (2006). Effect of Boron supply on the uptake of micronutrients by radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.). J. Agri. Bio. Sci. 1(2): 1-8.

تطبيق الرش الورقى بالبورون وعلاقته بانتاجية البطاطا محمد على شرف الدين' ، منال عبدالرحمن عبد الله' ، شوقى على مصطفى' و وليد الشحات منتصر' 'قسم البساتين ـ كلية الزراعة ـ جامعة كفر الشيخ 'معهد بحوث البساتين ـ مركز البحوث الزراعية

تم اجراء هذه الدراسة لتقييم تاثير الرش بالبورون بتركيزات مختلفة (٥ و ١٠ و ٢٠ و ٣٠ و ٤٠ و ٥٠ جزء فى المليون) رشة واحدة (بعد ٢٠ يوم من الشتل) أو رشتين (بعد ٢٠ و ٩٠ يوم من الشتل) على نبات البطاطا، صنف أبيس. تم اجراء التجربة فى محافظة كفر الشيخ والتى تعتبر محافظة زراعة المحصول الرئيسية فى مصر الشارت النتائج الى أن تكرار رش البورون بعد ٢٠ و ٩٠ يوم من الشتل بتركيز ٤٠ أو ٥٠ جزء فى المليون تسبب فى زيادة خصائص النمو الخضرى (طول النبات، وعدد الأفرع، ومساحة النبات الورقية) وخصائص المستر الورقية، ومعد النمو المطلق، ومعدل النمو الحضرى (طول النبات، وعدد الأفرع، ومساحة النبات الورقية) وخصائص النمو (دليل المساحة علاوة على تحسين المحصول وزيادة نصائص المو الخابة تحسين الجودة المور فولوجية للجذور المتدرنة (قطر، وطول، ومعامل شكل الجذر)