# A COMPARATIVE STUDY ON THE EFFECT OF COLD STORAGE ON SOME PROPERTIES OF GOAT'S AND COW'S MIIK

Neaim, Manal A.

Dairy Chemistry Department, Animal Production Research Institute, Sakha, Kafr El-Sheikh, Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt

## ABSTRACT

The attained results showed slight changes in the acidity, pH and stability to ethanol during the cold storage of goat's milk, whereas the corresponding values in cow's milk were more pronounced. Rennet clotting time significantly decreased during storage of goat's milk, whereas the changes were insignificant in cow's milk.

The proteolysis expressed as NPN/TN was insignificant and significant

during storage of goat's and cow's milk, respectively.

The values of curd tension gradually decreased during storage of goat's milk and increased in case of cow's milk. Advancing of storage period had insignificant effect in this respect and on curd syneresis of both types of milk.

Cold storage of milk had a significant effect on decreasing water and oil absorption capacities of goats casein, whereas the differences in case of cow's casein were insignificant. Casein solubility gradually increased during storage of both types of milk.

## INTRODUCTION

Owing to the limited production of goat's milk in the Egyptian farms, it is quite important to apply the cold storage of milk. This gives an opportunity to collect a reasonable quantity of milk to be suitable for processing. However, storage of milk at lower temperature favours growth of psychrotrophs. Although most of them are heat sensitive, they produce heatresistant proteinases and lipases which may cause defects in the stored milk and its products (Adams et al., 1975 and Law et al., 1976). Such effects, defects and ways of preventing them were comprehensively given by Shah (1994) and Sorhaug and Stepaniak (1997).

On the other hand, differences in composition and properties of milk from different mammals were reported in the literature (Woodward, 1976; Jenness, 1979 and Abd El-Salam et al., 1992). Goat's milk differs from cow's milk in several physico-chemical characteristics. This can explain to a large extent the differences in the technological properties of the two milks. Thus, poor cheesemaking ability of goat's milk is due essentially to its lower casein content and to specific properties of casein micelles as composition, size and

hydration (Remeuf and Lokeshwar, 1992).

Proportion of the four caseins (i.e.  $\alpha_{S1}$ -,  $\alpha_{S2}$ -,  $\beta$ - and K-) are not the same. Goat's casein was reported to contain lower proportion of  $\alpha_{s1}$ -CN and higher proportion of β-CN (Jenness, 1979; Juarez and Ramos, 1986; and Abd El-Salam et al., 1992). However, richness of goat's milk with β-CN which dissociates to some extent at low temperature from the micelles (O'Conner and Fox, 1973 and Jenness, 1979) may cause differences in behaviour of goat's milk during cold storage.

The main target of the present study was to follow the changes in some properties of goat's milk and its prepared casein during the cold storage of milk. Comparison with cow's milk was taken in to consideration.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Herd bulk goat's and cow's milk samples were collected from the herd of Sakha Animal Production Research Station, Kafr El-Sheikh. The milk samples were stored in refrigerator at  $4\pm2^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 72 h. The samples were analysed directly when collected and at 24 h intervals for pH and acidity as described by Ling (1963). Alcohol test was carried out as given by White and Davies (1958). Rennet coagulation time (RCT) was measured according to Berridge (1952) using the same amounts of rennin units. Curd tension was measured at room temperature (25-30°C) according to Chandrasekhar *et al.* (1957), whereas whey syneresis was measured according to Mehanna and Mehanna (1989). Total N, non-protein N soluble in 12% TCA were measured by semi-micro Kjeldahl procedure as given by Ling (1963).

Milk samples was skimmed by centrifugation and rennet casein was obtained by adding suitable amount of rennet to skim milk at room temperature. The collected casein samples were dried by ethanol. Water and oil absorption were measured according to the methods given by Beuchat (1977), whereas the method of Morr (1985) was used for determining the protein solubility.

The attained data were statistically analysed according to Steel and Torrie (1984).

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The pH values, acidity, stability to ethanol and rennet clotting time (RCT) of both goat's and cow's milks are shown in table (1). The attained results showed that the pH values of goat's milk slightly decreased during the storage time and minimum value of 6.70 was recorded at the end of storage period. The decrease of pH values was more pronounced in cow's milk. Thus the recorded values were 6.73 and 6.58 at the beginning and the end of storage period, respectively. However, statistical analysis, (Table 5) showed that the differences in pH values due to storage period were insignificant for both goat's and cow's milk and between them. The opposite trend of these results was observed with respect to acidity values of cow's milk (Table 1). whereas, those of goat's milk were nearly the same. Statistical analysis showed that the differences in acidity of cow's milk were significant (P<0.05). but were insignificant for goat's milk and between them. The gradual increase of acidity and the decrease of pH during cold storage of cow's milk agree with those given in the literature (Moussa et al., 2000 and Saleh, 2001). Stability of milk to ethanol was changed during the storage period. The highest

stability was recorded for both goat's and cow's milks before cooling and then insignificantly decreased. However, goat's milk was less stable than cow's milk at any given storage time. The differences between the mentioned milks were insignificant (Table 5).

Table (1): Some properties of goat's and cow's milk as affected by cold storage for 72 h (average of 3 replicates)

0.18

80

72

6.58

0.19

72

Storag	30 101 12 11	avera	ge or	, lehill	ales].					
Property		Goat's milk Storage time (h)					Cow's milk Storage time (h)			
	zero	24	48	72	zero	24	48	Γ		
PH	6.77	6.77	6.73	6.70	6.73	6.73	6.68	Г		

0.18

68

0.18

72

Acidity. %

Stability to ethanol

Rennet clotting time (sec) 1020 842 673 667 851 666 721 724 \* Expressed as the weakest ethanol concentration when added to an equal volume of milk caused clotting.

0 17

68

0 17

68

0.17

88

0.17

84

RCT had wide range of variation during storage of both milks. The maximum RCT value was 1020 sec, with fresh goat's milk and significantly decreased during the storage, reaching the minimum of 667 sec after 72 h. In cow's milk the RCT values gradually decreased up to 48 h and then slightly increased. The differences in this respect were insignificant and also between RCT of both milks. The foregoing results suggest that RCT of goat's milk was almost longer than that of cow's milk. This finding agrees with the results of Remeuf and Lenoir (1985). Such differences in the results might due to differences in composition and physico-chemical properties of both types of milk and between different breeds of goats.

The decrease in RCT during the cold storage might be attributed to the corresponding increase in acidity values. This agrees with the results given by Abdel-Kader (1999) and Ammar (1999).

Table (2) shows that the protein content slightly change during storage of both milks, the highest value was recorded in fresh goat's and cow's milk being 3.63 and 2.88%, respectively., This was followed by the values of 3.43, 3.04 and 3.11% for goat's milk after 24, 48 and 72 h, of storage and corresponding values of 2.83, 2.64 and 2.62% for cow's milk, respectively. Statistical analysis showed that the differences in protein during storage of goat's milk were significant (P<0.05), but were insignificant for cow's milk (Table 5). Such differences were accompanied by a corresponding increase in NPN during storage of cow's milk while those of goat's milk were nearly the same. However, NPN/TN (%) showed a gradual increase for both types of milk and the maximum values were recorded at the end of storage period. Such changes were insignificant in goat's milk and significant in cow's milk (Table 5). The recorded proteolysis agrees with the findings given in the literature (Adams et al., 1975 and Moussa et al., 2000) and could be due to the proteolytic action of some proteases of psychrotrophic bacteria.

Table (2): The changes in protein content (%) and proteolysis expressed as non-protein N/total N (NPN/TN, %) in goat's and cow's milk as affected by cold storage for 72 h (average of 3 replicates).

	Goat's milk				Cow's milk				
Property		Storage time (h)				Storage time (h)			
	zero	24	48	72	zero	24	48	72	
Protein, %	3.63	3.43	3.04	3.11	2.88	2.83	2.64	2.62	
NPN, %	0.049	0.050	0.046	0.047	0.029	0.040	0.040	0.044	
NPN/TN, %	8.63	9.29	9.66	9.66	6.42	9.01	9.66	10.73	

<sup>\*</sup> Protein = TN x 6.38

Table (3) reveals that the trend of curd tension was quite different in both milks. A gradual decrease was recorded in case of goat's milk, whereas corresponding increase was observed for cow's milk during the storage. The differences in curd tension, curd firmness and bahaviour of the milks towards the cold storage could be explained on the basis of the known differences in the physical properties of casein micelles, mean diameter and degree of hydration (Remeuf et al., 1989; Remeuf and Lokeshwar, 1992).

Table (3): Curd tension (g) and curd syneresis (g/15 g curd) of goat's and cow's milk as affected by cold storage for 72 h (average of 9 determination from 3 replicates)

		Goat	s milk			Cow's	milk	
Property		Storage	time (h)	Storage time (h)				
	zero	24	48	72	zero	24	48	72
Curd tension	16.50	15.42	14.55	14.17	17.90	19.37	21.70	22.20
Curd syneresis	after:							
10 min.	6.39	5.93	6.02	7.02	6.71	5.47	6.49	7.53
30 min.	7.45	6.77	7.78	7.72	8.20	7.25	8.05	8.99
60 min.	8.49	7.84	8.54	8.62	9.25	8.27	9.16	9.77
120 min.	8.98	8.44	9.25	9.42	9.55	8.97	9.71	10.02

Curd syneresis was also insignificantly affected by the storage period but the maximum amounts of exudate were recorded for goat's milk (9.42 g) and for cow's milk (10.02 g) after 72 h of storage. Fluctuant figures were noticed during the storage period up to 48 h.

Water absorption capacity (WAC) and oil absorption capacity (OAC) of caseins obtained from goat's and cow's milk are shown in Table (4). The mentioned properties were significantly decreased during storage of goat's milk, whereas fluctuant figures were observed in case of cow's casein. Although goat's casein had always lower values for WAC and OAC as compared to cow's casein, the differences in this respect were insignificant (Table 5) and might be attributed to the differences in the protein composition and conformation (Barbut, 1996). The well known effect of cold storage on  $\beta$ -CN might explain such impact on WAC and OAC of the obtained caseins which greatly had different quantity of  $\beta$ -CN.

# J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 27(4), April, 2002

Table (4): Water absorption capacity (WAC), oil absorption capacity (OAC) and protein solubility (PS, %) of goat's and cow's casein as affected by cold storage of milk for 72 h (average of 3 replicates)

	opnous	00).						
THE PARTY	100	Goat'	s milk	No lies	F201	Cow'	s milk	15. X-
Property	roperty Storage time (h)			Storage time (h)				
	zero	24	48	72	zero	24	48	72
WAC	1.60	1.30	1.18	1.00	1.60	1.65	1.55	1.47
OAC	1.35	1.26	1.26	0.90	1.80	1.53	1.32	1.44
PS, %	6.36	7.96	8.03	9.15	1.64	4.76	5.36	6.74

\* WAC expressed as g water /g protein powder.

\*\* OAC expressed as goil/g protein powder.

Solubility of goat's casein (Table 4) was higher at any given storage time than that of cow's casein, and in all cases the solubility gradually increased during storage. Such differences might be explained on the basis of the known differences in composition of the mentioned caseins and their different behaviour towards cooling.

Table (5): Analysis of variance (F-values) for the effect of cold storage

	F-value							
Property	Storage	Type of milk						
	Goat's milk	Cow's milk	Description Taylor					
PH	1.046	4.084	3.530					
Acidity	2.793	6.22	0.089					
Stability to ethanol	2.451	0.098	3.174					
RCT	27.411	0.522	0.360					
Protein	7.349	0.266	7.510					
NPN	0.277	1.530	1.659					
NPN/TN	2.670	5.950°	0.046					
Curd tension	0.182	2.048	4.559					
Curd syneresis	3.293	0.985	0.478					
Water absorption	8.286	1.136	1.132					
Oil absorption	6.950	1.050	1.35					
Solubility	6.010	10.535	0.019					

\* Significant at 0.05 level

### REFERENCES

Abdel-Kader, Y. I. (1999). Effect of cooling or freezing process on some properties of cow's milk and Domiati cheese. Egyptian J. Appl. Sci., 14: 282.

Abd El-Salam, M. H.; S. I. Farag; H. F. El-Dien; M. B. Mahfouz and H. M. El-Etriby (1992). A comparative study on milk proteins of some mammals. Proceeding of the 5<sup>th</sup> Egyptian Conference for Dairy Science and Technology. pp 281-287. Ismailia, 19-21 Oct., 1992.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Significant at 0.01 level

- Adams, D. M.; J. T. Barach and M.L. Speck (1975). Heat resistant proteases produced in milk by psychrotrophic bacteria of dairy origin J. Dairy Sci., 58: 828.
- Ammar, E. M. A. (1999). Composition and properties of Domiati cheese from cold and frozen stored buffalo milk. Egyptian J. Dairy Sci., 27: 109.
- Barbut, S. (1996). Determining water and fat holding. Ch. 7, In Methods of Testing Protein Functionality. Edited by G. M. Hall. 1<sup>st</sup> Ed., Blackie Academemic and Professional.
- Berridge, N. J. (1952). Some observations on the determination of activity of rennet. Analyst, 77: 57.
- Beuchat, L. R. (1977). Functional and electrophoretic characteristics of succinylated peanut flour protein. J. Agric. Food Chem. 35: 258.
- Chandrasekara, M. R.; Bhagawan R. K.; Suaminathan M. and Subrahamanyan, V. (1957). The use of mammalian milk and processed milk foods in the feeding of infants. Indian J. Child Health, 6: 701.
- Fahmi, A. H. and S. N. Amer (1962). A study on the preparation of liquid rennet extract. (in Arabic). Megallet El-Eloum El-Zeraiea, Cairo Univ., 15: 10.
- Jenness, R. (1979). Comparative aspects of milk proteins. J. Dairy Res. 46: 197.
- Juarez, M. and M. Ramos (1986). Physico-chemical characteristics of goat's milk as distinct from those of cow's milk. Proceedings of the IDF Seminar on Production and Utilization of ewe's and goat's milk. Athens, Greece. IDF Bull. No. 202. pp. 54-67.
- Law, B. A.; M. E. Sharpe and H. R. Chapman (1976). The effect of lipolytic Gram- negative psychrotrophs in stored milk on the development of rancidity in Cheddar cheese. J. Dairy Res., 43: 459.
- Ling, E. R. (1963). A Text Book of Dairy Chemistry. Vol. 11 Practical, 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed., Chapman and Hall, London.
- Mehanna, N. M. and A. S. Mehanna (1989). On the use of stabilizers for improving some properties of cow's milk yoghurt. Egyptian J. Dairy Sci., 17: 289.
- Morr, C. V. (1985). Functionality of heated milk proteins in dairy and related foods. J. Dairy Sci., 68: 2773.
- Moussa, M. A. M.; R. I. H. Al-Ahwall and N. M.Mehanna (2000). A comparative study on impact of thermization and activation of LPsystem on quality of milk kept at different temperatures. Egyptian J. Dairy Sci., 28: 13.
- O'Connor, P. and P. F. Fox (1973). Temperature dependant dissociation of casein micelles from the milk of various species. Netherland Milk Dairy J., 27: 199.
- Remeuf, F. and J. Lenoir (1985). Chemico-physical properties of goat's milk and their influence on coagulation by rennet. Revue Laitiers Francaise, 446: 32, 37, 40. C.F. Dairy Sci. Abst., 49 (1859).

# J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 27(4), April, 2002

Remeuf, F.; J. Lenoir and C. Duby (1989). A study of the relations between physico-chemical characteristics of goat milks and their renneting properties. Lait Lyon, 69: 499. C. F. Dairy Sci., Abst., 52 (6151).

Remeuf, F. and R. R. Lokeshwar (1992). Physico-chemical properties of goat milk in relation to processing characteristics. Pre-conference Proceedings. Inv. Papers. Vol. 2, Part 2, PP 374-381. Int. Conf. On Goats. March 1992, Mathura, India.

Saleh, Th. M. (2001). A comparative study on controlling the proteolytic and lipolytic activities of psychrotophs in cold stored milk. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 26: 1539.

Shah, N. P. (1994). Psychrotrophs in milk. A review. Milchwissenschaft, 49: 432.

Sorhaug, T. and L. Stepaniak (1997). Psychrotrophs and their enzymes in milk and dairy products: Quality aspects. Trends in Food Sci. and Technol., 8: 35.

Steel, R. G. and Torrie (1984). Principles and Procedures of Statistics. A biometrical Approach. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., McGraw-Hill Int. Book Comp.

White, J. C. D. and Davies, D. T. (1958). The relation between the chemical composition of milk and the stability of the caseinate complex. 1. General introduction, description of samples, methods and chemical composition of samples. J. Dairy Res., 25: 236.

Woodward, D. R. (1976). The chemistry of mammalian caseins. A review. Dairy Sci. Abst., 38: 137.

دراسة مقارنة عن تأثير التخزين المبرد على بعض خواص لبن الماعز ولبن الابقار

منال على نعيم

قسم كيمياء الالبان ، معهد بحوث الانتاج الحيواني - وزارة الزراعة - مصر

أوضحت نتائج الدراسة التى اهتمت بتتبع التغيرات فى بعض خواص لبن الماعز ولبسن الابقار خلال الحفظ مبردا لمدة ٧٢ ساعة حدوث تغيرات بسيطة فى الحموضة والرقم الهيدروجينى والثبات ضد الايثانول فى حالة لبن الماعز بينما كانت الزيادة معنوية فى حموضة اللبن البقرى مع تناقص الرقم الهيدروجينى والثبات ضد الايثانول بتقدم فترة التخزين وواكب ذلك حدوث تناقصا معنويا فى وقت تجبن لبن الماعز بالمنفحة ، بينما كانت التغيرات غير معنوية احصائيا فى حالسة اللبن البقرى.

حدث تحلل بروتيني معبر! عنه بالنتروجين غير البروتيني منسوبا للنتروجين الكلى عند تخزين اللبن مبردا وكانت التغيرات غير معنوية في حالة لبن الماعز ومعنوية في حالة لبن الابقار. اما عن الجذب الخثري فقد تناقصت القيم تدريجيا بتقدم فترة تخزين لبن المساعز وزادت تدريجيا في حالة اللبن البقرى ولم تكن التغيرات معنوية احصائيا في كلتا الحالتين وايضا لم تكسن معنوية عند تقدير معدل طرد الشرش من الخثرة.

ادى تخزين اللبن مبردا الى الانخفاض المعنوى فى مقدرة كازين لبن الماعز على المتصاص الماء والزيت بينما كانت التغيرات غير معنوية فى حالة الكازين المحضر من اللبن اللبقرى وزادت ذائبية الكازين فى كلتا الحالتين بتقدم فترة تخزين اللبن.