# INFLUENCE OF PHOSPHORUS SOLUBILIZING BACTERIA, FARMYARD MANURE AND IRON FERTILIZER SOURCSES ON PHOSPHORUS AVAILABILITY AND ITS REFLECTION ON WHEAT PRODUCTIVITY

El-Etr, Wafaa M .T.

Soils, Water and Environment Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center (ARC), Giza, Egypt

## **ABSTRACT**

At flowering stage, results showed that photosynthetic pigment (chlorophyll a (Chl a), chlorophyll b (Chl b) and carotene ,Car) contents of wheat leaves were superior when 15m³ fed⁻¹ FYM was applied as compared to 10 m³ FYM . Also inoculation with *B.megatherium* positively affected Chl a,Chl b and Car as compared to non inoculation. The highest values of chlorophyll content were recorded when applied 15 m³ fed⁻¹ FYM , inoculation by *B.megatherium* in combination with SP and sprayed Fe-EDTA.

Moreover, dry weight of both shoots and roots, at flowering stage, increased due to 15m<sup>3</sup> fed<sup>-1</sup> FYM combined with high rate of SP application as well as RP was superior when combined with 10 m<sup>3</sup> fed<sup>-1</sup> FYM and inoculation with *B.megatherium*.

In addition, data indicated that FYM applied at a rate 15m³ fed⁻¹ increased N,P & K uptake by both shoots and roots, at flowering stage, of wheat plant as compared to low rate 10m³ fed⁻¹ FYM. Similar trend was obtained when soil inoculated with B.megatherium as compared to no inoculation. Results also revealed that low rate of rock phosphate (RPA) was more effective for N,P&K uptake as compared to the other RB rate (RBP), opposite trend was recorded for high rate of super phosphate (SPP), which, increased N,P&K uptake by both shoots and roots. Generally, foliar application of FeSO₄ was superior for both N,P&K uptake by shoots and roots.

At harvest stage, wheat took the same direction for N,P&K uptake by yield components( straw and grains), in spite of Fe-EDTA was superior as compared to FeSO<sub>4</sub>.

On the other hand, mean values of wheat yield components increased significantly due to the application of high rate of FYM; these increases were 14.0%,11.4% and 3.47% for straw, grains and weight of 1000 grains, respectively. High rates of both RP and SP were more affective as compared to lower rate under spraying with Fe-EDTA. The interaction between tested treatments showed that the highest grain yield of wheat was accompanied with 15 m³ fed¹ FYM application, inoculation with B.megatherium combined with SPB and foliar application with Fe-EDTA.

Keyword: FYM, superphosphate ,rock phosphate , B.megatherium ,Fe-EDTA, FeSO<sub>4</sub>, wheat yield ,macronutrients uptake, micronutrients uptake

## INTRODUCTION

Crop yield is frequently constrained by availability of major nutrients. including phosphorus. Phosphorus is one of essential nutrients required by both plant and microorganisms, their major physiological roles are the accumulation and release of energy during cellular metabolism (Marchner, 1995). Most soils contain substantial reserves of total P, most of it remains relatively inert, and only less than 10% of soil P enters the plant - animal cycle (Kucey et al., 1989), Consequently P deficiency is widespread and P fertilizers are almost universally required to maintain crop production. Although the P in these fertilizers is initially plant available, it is rapidly fixed as water -insoluble calcium phosphate in alkaline soils or iron and aluminum phosphates in acidic soils (Singh and Kapoor ,1994). In addition, phosphorus fertilizers are expensive, so, we have been reduced the amount applied and or solubility the phosphorus in the soils. Also, the supply of P to crops can only be increased by additions of manure, rock phosphate or inorganic fertilizers. The P fertility problems could possibly be decreased by applying P as a rock phosphate (Ayaga et al., 2006)

In Egyptian soils calcium phosphate is present and can be dissolved and made available to plants by soil rhizosphere microorganisms through the production of organic acids (Rodriguez and Fraga,1999). Therefore, the inoculation of soil with phosphate solubilizing microorganisms may alleviate this problem (Illmer et al. 1995 and Johri et al. 1999).

On the other hand, organic matter (FYM) is a source and a sink of plant nutrients in the soil, and is important in maintaining soil tilth, improving aeration and infiltration of water, promoting water retention, reducing erosion, and controlling the efficacy and fate of applied pesticides (Gregorich *et al.* 1993). In addition, FYM improved water holding capacity in soil which leading to more efficient utilization of inorganic P fertilizer. Also, added farmyard manure may block P-absorbing sites in the soil, so increasing P-availability (Ayaga *et al.*, 2006).

Many reports have also revealed various aspects of biology of soils amended with organic matters, including the number of general microorganisms (Nishio and Kusano,1980), biomass of bacteria and fungi (Sakamoto and Oba,1992; Lundquist *et al.*,1999). A further explanation is that the FYM is stimulating the synthesis of soil microbial biomass and labile microbial metabolites. This biomass will have a large demand for P, which will thus be immobilized in labile forms in both the cells of the living soil microorganisms and their associated pool of metabolites. Thus the biomass is acting as a labile pool of P which is protected from fixation but becomes plant—available during biomass turnover (Ayaga *et al.*, 2006).

Recently ,several reports (Dungan *et al.*, 2003; Wada and Toyota,2004; Girvan *et al.*, 2005) have found that organic amendment may enhance soil functional stability mediated by soil microbial community. Hence, combined application of inorganic P with FYM resulted in yields may be significantly greater than if either is applied as a single dressing (Sanchez,1990, Jansen,1993; Smaling and Braun,1996; TSBF,1996).

Concerning the effect of inoculation with phosphate solubilizing bacteria on plant growth (Heggo and Barakah (1993) found that maize inoculation with phosphate dissolving bacteria increased plant growth, N,P and K contents as well as micro-nutrients content. Sharif (1999) added that the plant fertilized with hardly soluble rock phosphate and inoculated with B.megatherium produced significantly higher shoot dry weight as compared to plants treated only with soluble monocalcium phosphate in soil.

On the other hand, interaction of P and Fe leading to Fe chlorosis appears to be caused by an internal immobilization of Fe probably due to formation of Fe phosphate (Ayed, 1970).other mechanisms of Fe reduction by P application may be inhibition of Fe absorption by roots and of Fe transport from roots to shoots, and inactivation of plant. Fe (Elliott and

Lauchli ,1985, and Moraghan and Mascagni ,1991).

The main aim of this work was of this work therefore to test whether addition of different forms and rates of P in combination with FYM and inoculation with some microorganisms on P availability and wheat productivity. A secondary aim was to study the interaction between p treatments and different sources of Fe foliar application on wheat growth.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted in a sandy soil at Ismailia Agric. Res. Station (ARC) for two consecutive winter seasons (2003/2004 and 2004/2005) to study the effect of using different phosphorus combinations with both farmyard manure (FYM) and P-solublizing bacteria (Bacillus megatherium) inoculation on improving soil P-availability and wheat (Triticum aestivum L.,C.V Giza 168) productivity. Phosphorus was applied in two forms and rates; both of them inoculated or non – inoculated with B. megatherium in combination with various rates of farmyard manure (FYM) and different iron sources .Table (1,a) indicates soil analysis of the experimental sites while farmyard manure constituents analysis is described in Table (1,b).

The experiments were laid out in spilt –split plot design with three replications for each experiment unit. The main treatments were FYM applied at two rates (10m³ and 15 m³ fed ¹¹), each treatment was spread over plots and thoroughly incorporated into the surface soil layer, 2 weeks before

planting.

The sub-main treatments were phosphorus fertilizers including two forms (rock phosphate 15 %  $P_2O_5$ , RP) and super phosphate 15.5 %  $P_2O_5$  SP) ;using two P fertilizers rates i.e., 75 Kg  $P_2O_5$  and 150 Kg  $P_2O_5$  which are equal to 50% and 100% of phosphorus recommended dose for wheat crop. In each plot ,inoculation or non-inoculation with *B. megatherium* and mixed with the surface soil layer at sub-sub main treatments. All plots received recommended rates of nitrogen and potassium (100 Kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> as ammonium sulphate 20.5% N ) and 48 Kg  $K_2O$  fed<sup>-1</sup> as potassium sulphate (48%  $K_2O$ ). Nitrogen fertilizer was divided into two equal doses; the first was added before sowing and one month later ,respectively. Both phosphorus treatments and potassium fertilizers were completely added to the soil before planting and combined with farmyard manure. The recommended practices of cultivation were applied till crop maturity.

Table (1,a): Some physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil

Soil characteristics			
Particle size distribution		Cations and an sat.extract (meq/L)	ions in
Coarse sand	70.20	SP(water saturation)	23.2
Fine sand	19.70	Ca <sup>++</sup>	2.00
Silt	3.00	Mg <sup>††</sup>	1.30
Clay	7.10	Na <sup>†</sup>	1.40
Texture class	Sandy	K <sup>+</sup>	1.00
CaCO <sub>3</sub>	1.15	CO <sub>3</sub>	-
OM%	0.40	HCO <sub>3</sub>	1.53
pH(1:2.5 soil suspension)	7.80	CL.	1.80
EC dS/m(in pest extract	0.60	SO <sub>4</sub>	2.37
Available macronutrients	(ppm)		
N	P		K
65	25		80

Table (1,b): Main characteristics of the farmyard manure (FYM) used in the experiment

OM %	oc %	pH (1:10)	EC dS m <sup>-1</sup>	mad	Total cronutr (%)	ients	Avail	able ma		trients
				N	P	K	NO <sub>3</sub>	NH <sub>4</sub>	P	K
30.1	17.2	8.15	3.25	0.45	0.44	0.71	154	246	756	1433

After 30 and 45 days from wheat planting, Iron treatments were applied with two sources, ferrous sulphate (3 g L<sup>-1</sup>) and Fe - EDTA (0.5 g L<sup>-1</sup>) were sprayed on the growing plant leaves.

Wheat plant samples were taken from each plot at flowering stage (75 days) and harvest stage (120 days) for both tested growth seasons. At flowering stage, leave samples of wheat were taken from each plot to determine photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll a, b and caroteniod) according to the method of Wattstein (1957).

After wheat maturity ,wheat was harvested and yield components (grains, straw and weight of 1000 grain) of each plot were recorded.

Plant samples of wheat was collected from bulk plot, weighed, oven dried at 70  $^{\circ}$ , ground and prepared for digestion using  $H_2SO_4$  and  $H_2O_2$  using the method described by Black (1982). The digests were then subjected to measurement for macronutrients (N, P and K) using procedures described by Chapman and Pratt (1961).

Obtained results were subjected to statistical analysis according to Snedecor and Cochran (1980) and the treatments were compared by using L.S.D. at 0.05 level of probability.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1-Photosynthetic pigment

Table (2) represents the photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll a, chl a, chlorophyll b, chl b, and Carotenes, car) contents in fresh tissue of the studied wheat leaves at flowering stages. Results clear that applied FYM at a rate 15m³/fed, generally, increased significantly photosynthetic pigments as compared to low rate of FYM 10 m³/fed. Mean percentages of these increases reached to13.1 ,10.9 and 15.8 for Chl a, Chl b and car, respectively.

Data also clear that, inoculation the soil by *B. megatherium* was positively affected on photosynthetic pigments (ChI a ,ChI b , and Car). Mean increases percentages of inoculation treatment were 5.41 ,8.02 and 20.3 for (ChI a ,ChI b , and Car) ,respectively, as compared to non-inoculation.

With respect to phosphorus fertilizers, data indicated that high rate of superphosphate (SPB) was superior as relatively lower rate and / or rock phosphate (RP) with different rates. In addition, mean values of chl a, chl b and car show that no significant effect between RPA (low rate of rock phosphate) and higher rate (RPB).

Moreover, foliar application of Fe-EDTA was positively affected on photosynthetic pigments (chl a ,chl b , and car) as compared to FeSO<sub>4</sub>. Mean values of chlorophyll contents show that chl b was high effected by applied Fe-EDTA ,as compared to Chl a and /or Car; the increases in Chl b reach to 6.20% as well as 3.57% and 5.88% for Chl b and Car ,respectively.

The interaction between studied treatments reveal that, (ChI a, ChI b, and Car) were increased when FYM was applied at a rate of 10m³ /fed in combination with high rate of RP and foliar application of Fe either FeSO<sub>4</sub> and /or Fe-EDTA Such results is true only when applied SPB with FeSO<sub>4</sub> These results are in harmony with Abadia *et al.*(1989) and El-etr and Asmayaw (2003) who found that foliar application of Fe was beneficial for chlorophylls physiologically, such Fe application increases the thylakoidal membrane system in higher plants and increases in photosynthetic pigments (Val *et al.*,1987).

Application FYM at a rate of 15 m $^3$ /fed was decreased ( ChI a ,ChI b , and Car) content when applied high rate of RPB with FeSO $_4$  as well as SPB and foliar application of Fe-EDTA.

Again, applied FYM with 15 m<sup>3</sup> /fed , inoculation with *B. megatherium* in combined with SPB and foliar application of Fe-EDTA was the best treatment affected on ChI a ,ChI b , and Car contents.

Table (2):-Photosynthetic pigment contents (mg/g) fresh weight of wheat leaves as affected by applied FYM, inoculation, P fertilizers and Fe treatments (Average data for two seasons)

F		Fe	F'	YM (10m³ fe	ed 1)		YM (15m³ fed	
Forms	levels	source	Chlorophyll a (mg/g)	(mg/g)	Caroteins (mg/g)	Chlorophyll a (mg/g)	Chlorophyll b (mg/g)	Carotene (mg/g)
Inoculat	ion						1	
RP	Α	Fe1	8.97	6.10	1.30	11.6	7.60	1.79
	В		10.6	6.70	1.61	10.5	7.04	1.53
SP	Α	1	10.0	6.37	1.20	11.2	8.07	1.93
	В		13.1	7.92	1.88	15.0	8.41	1.99
RP	A	Fe2	9.92	7.10	1.27	10.6	7.43	1.53
	В		10.4	7.43	1.77	12.0	7.97	1.83
SP	Α	1	13.3	7.70	1.97	13.8	8.73	2.13
	В		10.0	6.63	1.70	15.7	7.37	2.07
		-		Non-inc	oculation			2.01
RP	A	Fe1	10.2	5.88	1.22	11.1	6.74	1.55
	В		10.3	6.05	1.49	9.87	6.50	1.28
SP	Α	1	9.65	5.69	1.13	11.1	7.05	1.49
	В		11.8	7.18	1.45	13.6	7.56	1.63
RP	Α	Fe2	9.80	6.66	1.04	11.4	6.91	1.19
	В		10.6	6.79	1.11	10.2	7.67	1.43
SP	A	1	12.8	7.69	1.94	13.4	7.77	2.10
	В		9.93	6.38	1.21	11.9	7.30	1.56
Mean of	-		10.7	6.77	1.46	12.1	7.51	1.69
	Mean v	alues		Chlorophyl		lorophyll b		otene
inoculat	ion	inocula	tion	11.7		7.41	1	.72
		Nor		11.1		6.86		43
		inocula	tion					
P - fertili	zers	RP/	A	10.9		6.80	1.	30
		RPI	3	10.1		7.02		56
		SPA	A	12.2		7.34		74
		SPE	3	12.4		7.38		69
e source	ces	Fe1		11.2		6.92		53
		Fe2		11.6		7.35	1.	62
			Chlorophy		LSDa	t 0.05% Fo	r Chlorophyl	
noculatio		=1.14	B*D	=1.18	Inoculation	A =2.09		=0.73
YM	В	=1.50	C.D	=1.66	FYM	B =0.63		=1.04
e fert.		=1.18	A*B*D	=1.66	P fert.	C = 0.73	2 2 2 2 2 2	=1.04
e- source		=1.02	A*B*C		Fe-source	D =0.93		=1.46
A*B		=2.13	A*C*D	=2.35	A*B	=0.89		=1.46
A*C		=1.66	B*C*D	=2.35	A*C	=1.04		=1.46
B*C A*D		=1.66	A*B*C*D	=3.33	B*C	=1.04		=2.07
10		=1.18	10	2 40 000	A*D	=0.73	5	
			LS		For Caroten able, 2)	e:-		

		Cont. (Tab	le, 2)
4FYM B	=0.29	C*D	=0.35
P fert. C	=0.25	A*B*D	=0.35
Fe- source D	=0.28	A*B*C	=0.50
A*B	=0.41	A*C*D	=0.50
A*C	=0.35	B*C*D	=0.50
B*C	=0.35	A*B*C*D	=0.71
A*D	=0.25		

## 2-Wheat growth :-

A:-Dry weight at flowering stage

As indicated in Table (3) dry weight of both shoot and root of wheat plant at flowering stage were increased significantly with increasing FYM application; application of 15 m³/fed FYM was superior for both shoots and roots growth as compared to 10m³/fed.Also mean values of growth parameters (shoots and roots) show that Inoculation with *B. megatherium* was positively affected the dry matter of shoots and roots as compared to non-inoculated treatments. El-Komy (2005) reported that plant dry weight of wheat inoculated with *B. megatherium* significant was higher than no inoculated, such results may be due to that calcium phosphate in alkaline soil dissolved and made available to plant by soil rizosphere microorganisms through the production of organic acids. Therefore, the inoculation of soil with phosphate solubilizing microorganisms may alleviate this problem.

In addition, mean values of dry mater production showed that superphosphate treatment (SP) was superior for both shoots and roots as compared to rockphosphate (RP) treatment. Also, foliar application of FeSO<sub>4</sub> (Fe1) was increased insignificant dry weight of both shoots and roots as compared to Fe-EDTA.

Responses of shoots and roots to interaction treatments reveal that, under FeSO4 treatment, regardless inoculation, application high rate of RP combined with different rates of FYM decreased both shoots and roots dry weights. Similar trend was only obtained with the application of high rates of superphosphate (SP) combined with low rate FYM (10m³/fed) and inoculation with *B. megatherium*. Obtained data may be due to that the P-solubilisers bacteria also produce fungistatic and growth promoting substances, which, influence plant growth. The performance of these microorganisms is affected by availability of carbon source, P concentration, particle size of rock phosphate (El-komy, 2005).

Regarding the application of Fe-EDTA, results show that both shoots and roots dry weight were increased as superphosphate(SP) rate increased; such data was only obtained either with the application of RP combined with 10m<sup>3</sup> /fed and bacterial inoculation or with the application of 15m<sup>3</sup>/fed FYM and no inoculation treatments.

From the above mentioned data ,it can be noted that, the application of SPB combined with inoculation by *B. megatherium* and 15m³/fed FYM, generally, gave the highest values for dry matter content for both shoots and roots at flowering stage of wheat plant.

Table (3):- Dry weight of both shoots and roots (g/plant) of wheat plant at flowering stage as affected by applied FYM, inoculation, P fertilizers and Fe treatments (Average data for two seasons)

	P	Fe	F'	YM (10m <sup>3</sup> /f	ed)		FYM	(15m <sup>3</sup> /	fed)	
forms	level	s sourc	Dry weig shoots (g/pl	ht of Dry	weight	of	Dry weight shoots (g/plant	of Dry roots	weight (g/plant	of
Inoculation	on					-7-				
RP	A	Fe1	7.71		4.33		8.14		5.98	
	В		6.45		3.88		7.81		5.37	
SP	A		7.56		5.47		7.50		4.88	
	В		6.93		3.78		7.67		5.59	
RP	A	Fe2	6.59		3.50		7.54		4.69	
	В		6.65		3.88		7.49		4.08	
SP	A		6.38		4.40		8.17		5.15	
	P A B		8.09		5.01		8.31		6.06	
20	Ta		7.00	Non- ino						
RP		Fe1	7.08		3.33		7.78		5.20	
SP		-	5.92		3.00		6.81		4.23	
SP	A		6.33		3.52		6.68		3.89	
RP	В	5.0	7.42		4.20		7.49		4.88	
RP	A	Fe2	6.17		3.38		6.18		3.82	
SP	B	_	5.85		3.30		6.95		3.84	
SP	B		5.90		3.90		6.89		4.28	
	Mean of	EVM	7.34 6.77		4.56		7.45		5.06	
		n values	6.77	shoots	3.96		7.47	ots	4.81	
inoculation	00	inoculat	ion	7.47		_		20		
moculaus	on	Non-inocu		6.77			4.0			
P - fertiliz	rers	RPA	duon	6.50			3.9			
		RPB		7.03			4.2			
		SPA		7.05			4.3			
		SPB		7.90			5.1			
Fe source	es	Fe1		7.27			4.4			
		Fe2		6.97			4.3			
	LS	D at 0.05% Fo	r shoots :-				LSD at 0.05% For r			
Inoculatio	on A	= 1.03	B*D	= 0.46	Inoculation		= 085	B*D	= 0.4	14
FYM	В	= 0.81	C.D	= 0.65	FYM	В	= 0.72	C.D	= 0.6	
P fert.	C	= 0.46	A*B*D	= 0.65	P fert.	C	= 0.44	A*B*D	= 0.6	
Fe- sourc	e D	= 0.33	A*B*C	= 0.92	Fe- source	D		A*B*C	= 0.8	
A*B		= 1.15	A-C-D	= 0.92	A*B		= 1.02	A°C°D	= 0.8	
A°C B°C		= 0.65	B.C.D	= 0.92	A°C		= 0.62	B.C.D	= 0.8	
A°D		= 0.65 = 0.46	A*B*C*D	= 1.30	B°C			A.B.C.D	= 1.2	24
10		- 0.46			A°D		= 0.44			

# B:-Yield components of wheat

Data presented in Table (4) reveal that, mean values of wheat yield components (straw, grains and weight of 1000 grain) increased significantly due to tested FYM ,inoculation , P- fertilizers and Fe-EDTA treatment.

With respect to FYM application, obtained data reveal that, yield of wheat (straw ,grains and weight of 1000 grain increased significantly by increasing the rate of FYM from 10m³/fed to 15m³/fed. These increases reach to 14.0%,11.4% and 3.47% for both straw ,grains and weight of 1000 grain ,respectively.

Also ,high increment of wheat yield was observed due to inoculation with *B. megatherium rather* than without inoculating, Table (4).Inoculation with *B. megatherium* caused an increase in wheat yield components being 10.3%,15.5% and 8.79% for straw ,grains and weight of 1000 grain, respectively ,as compared to non-inoculated treatments.

Moreover, mean values show that high rate of P fertilizer as superphosphate (SPB) was superior for wheat production as compared to low

rate(SPA) .Similar trend was encountered with high rate of rock phosphate (RPB) which increased straw yield and weight of 1000 grains of wheat, but no -significant effect was recorded in grain yield due high rate of RPB application.

Table (4):Yield components of wheat plant as affected by applied FYM, inoculation, P fertilizers and Fe treatments (Average data for two seasons)

Inocul	P-fer	tilizers	Fe		FYM(10n	n³)	FY	'M(15m <sup>3</sup> )	
ation	form	rate	source	Straw yield (ton fed <sup>-1</sup>	Grains yield (ton fed <sup>-1</sup>	Weight of 1000 grains	Straw yield (ton fed <sup>-1</sup>	Grains yield (ton fed <sup>-1</sup>	Weight of 1000 grains
	RP	A	Fe1	4.50	2.29	43.7	4.97	2.48	44.4
		В	7	4.27	2.15	43.5	4.47	2.37	44.1
	SP	A	7	4.13	2.49	47.1	4.53	2.40	43.8
		В	7 1	4.00	2.32	43.1	4.83	2.70	48.6
nocula	RP	Α	Fe2	4.17	2.24	44.0	4.63	2.36	48.5
tion		В		4.87	2.37	46.6	5.06	2.41	47.9
	SP	A		4.83	2.27	43.7	5.33		45.8
		В		4.97	2.33	48.3	6.03	2.74	49.3
	RP	A	Fe1	4.40	2.09	42.9	4.50	2.09	43.1
		В		4.10	1.53	40.7	4.33	1.84	40.8
	SP	A		3.80	1.65	39.9	4.23	2.24	41.2
Non-		В		3.83	2.28	43.5	4.70	2.40	45.1
nocula	RP	Α	Fe2	3.57	1.81	42.7	4.17	2.03	44.6
ion		В		3.87	1.87	44.3	4.56	2.19	45.9
	SP	Α		4.40	2.02	41.9	4.87	2.24	38.5
		В		4.70	2.26	42.0	5.50	2.56	43.7
	lues of F	YM	4.2	1	2.10	43.2	4.80	2.34	44.7
Mean va	lues of		S	Straw		grains		ight of 100	
inoculation	n	inoculati Non-inoc			.72 .28	2.38		45.8 42.1	o grams
- fertiliz	ers	RPA			.36	2.20		44.0	
		RPB			.44	2.06		44.6	
		SPA			.52	2.16		42.4	
		SPB		4	.69	2.46		44.9	
e source	es	Fe1			35	2.00		43.5	
		Fe2		4	.66	2.24		44.4	

LSD at 0.059						LSD at 0.059	% Fo	r grains	:-	
Inoculation	A	=4.72	B*D		=0.38	Inoculation	A	=0.73	B*D	=0.20
FYM	В	=4.28	C*D		=0.54	FYM	В	=0.45	C*D	=0.29
P fert.	C	=0.38	A*B*D	)	=0.54	P fert.	C	=0.20	A*B*D	=0.29
Fe- source	D	=0.27	A*B*C	;	=0.76	Fe- source	D	=0.14	A*B*C	=0.40
A*B		=0.72	A*C*D	)	=0.76	A*B		=0.41	A*C*D	=0.41
A*C		=0.54	B*C*D	)	=0.76	A*C		=0.29	B*C*D	=0.41
B*C		=0.54			=1.07	B*C		=0.29	A*B*C*D	=0.41
A*D		=0.38				A*D		=0.20	4000	-0.56
LSD at 0.05%	6 Fo	r 1000 grai	in:-					0.20		
		=5.08 B*D		=1.6	4	7				
FYM	В	=1.20 C*D	)	=2.3		**				
P fert.	C	=1.64 A*B	*D	=2.3						
Fe- source		=1.16 A*B		=3.2	-					
A*B		=1.69 A*C		=3.2						
A*C		=2.33 B*C		=3.2						
B*C		=2.33 A*B		=4.6						
A*D		=1.64		1.0						

On the other hand, Fe-EDTA achieved a significant effect in straw yield and weight of 1000 grain as compared to anther tested source (FeSO<sub>4</sub>) but no significant affect was recorded between two Fe sources towards grains yield.

Comparing the effect of P-fertilizer (rate &form)under different rates of FYM and inoculation, Table (4)reveal that under (FeSO<sub>4</sub>) treatment, low rate of rock phosphate (RPA)was favorable to wheat yield components as compared to high rate. An opposite trend was recorded ,generally, with SP treatments under either non-inoculation treatment or 15 m³/fed FYM and inoculation by B. megatherium. treatment. Masto et al.(2006) stated that application of P fertilizer and manure has a significant effect on soil biological indicators with different magnitudes on soil organic matter, microbial quotient and phosphatase activity.

From the above mentioned data it can be noted that high significant values of wheat yield (straw, grains and weight of 1000 grains) were obtained when FYM 15 m³/fed, inoculation by *B. megatherium* and foliar sprayed with Fe-EDTA were applied. These results are in agreement with those of Sanchez(1990); Jansen(1993); Smaling and Braun(1996) and TSBF (1996) who suggested that by combining applications of inorganic P with FYM the resulting yields may be significantly greater than if either is applied as a single dressing.

3- Nutrients status in wheat plant:-

A:-Macronutrients uptake by wheat plant at flowering stage:-

Table (5) shows data representing the N,P and K uptake of shoots and roots at flowering stage of wheat plant. Data reveal that mean values of N,P and K uptake increased significantly in both shoots and roots due FYM application at rate 15m³/fed as compared to low rate of FYM 10m³/fed .These increases reach to 20.9 ,21.0 and 18.5 for shoots as well as 25.5 ,41.4 and 34.7 for roots for N ,P and K uptake ,respectively. In addition, high rate (FYM 15m³/fed ) was more positively effective on macronutrients uptake by roots as compared to shoots.

Hence, similar trend was obtained for soil inoculation with *B. megatherium* as compared to non-inoculated soil. The increases in N uptake reached to 22.1% and 25.1% for both shoots and roots respectively, as compared to non-inoculated soil. These results were also obtained for P uptake which recorded increases of 26.1 for shoots and 41.4% for roots along with K uptake which, recorded increments 25.1 for shoots and 35.3 for roots as compared to non-inoculated treatments. Again, inoculation with *B. megatherium* was more affective on N,P and K uptake by roots as compared to shoots. Heggo and Barakah (1993) reported that maize inoculation with phosphate dissolving bacteria increased plant growth N,P, and K content.

With regard to applied P-forms and levels, Table (5) indicated that mean values of RPA generally gave the highest values of nutrients uptake (N,P and K) by shoots and roots during the flowering stage. An opposite trend was encountered with high rate of superphosphate (SPB) ,which, increased N,P and K uptake especially in roots.

Table (5):-Macronutrients uptake by wheat plant at flowering stage as affected by applied FYM, inoculation , P -fertilizers and Fe

treatments (Average data for two seasons)

FYM	P	Fe Sources	Uptake	of shoo (plant)	ts	lptake of l	Roots (mg/	plant)
	Forms Leve		N	P	K	N	P	K
	RP A	Fe1	222	61.0	215	50.5	27.0	36.8
	RP ABABABABABABAB		155 228 232 194 198 194	20 4	189 179 212 176 193 171 198	50.5 46.5 44.2 61.1 44.3 42.0 51.7 57.6	27.0 25.2 20.0 30.0 25.8 17.3 23.5 30.2	36.8 33.5 28.2 45.1 32.7 36.2 38.1 44.9
	SP A		228	55.3 47.1 40.9 50.4 51.0	179	44.2	20.0	28.2
	RP A	Fe2	194	47.1	176	44.3	30.0	45.1
	B	1 02	198	40.9	193	42.0	17.3	36.2
	SP A		194	50.4	171	51.7	23.5	38.1
10 m <sup>3</sup>	Non- inoculat	ion	242	51.0	198		30.2	44.9
10 m		Fe1	173	50.4	191	35.7 34.3 41.1 47.2 36.5 35.2 44.5	149	21.8
	B		144	50.4 30.2 40.9 44.7 40.3 23.1	121	34.3	13.8	21.4
	SP A		181	40.9	179	41.1	15.7	23.9
	RP A	Fe2	177	44.7	202	47.2	19.8	29.9
	B	rez	139	23.1	140	35.3	15.6	23.3
	ABABABABABABABABABABABABABABABABABABAB		173 144 181 201 177 139 163 215	40.3 23.1 42.4 50.2	191 121 179 202 148 144 137 190	44.5	14.9 13.7 15.7 19.1 165.3 22.3	32.4
			215	50.2	190	48.1	22.3	218 21.4 23.9 29.9 25.3 23.8 32.4 36.1
	RP A	Fe1	254	66.6	770		39.7	
	В		239	66.5 52.6 52.1	239 234	66.4 63.9	31.1	58.0 46.0
	SP A		257	52.1	219 235	57.4	27.9	46.9
	SP A B A B	Fe2	259 249	64.8	235	66.9	38.6	48 8
	B	rez	230	58.9 47.9	234	55.9 48.8	34.2 23.4	47.2 33.1
	SP A B		261	68.4	224	61.8	30.7	48.5
1			267	74.5	261	71.1	42.7	59.4
15 m <sup>3</sup>	Non- inoculatio	Fe1	208	56.6	777	EE O	22.4	11.6
	В	rei	208 201	38.7	223 154	55.9 48.9	32.1	44.5 32.7
	SP A B		215	44.1	206	44.9	21.2 21.5 32.2 19.5	36.3
	В		238	52.6	209	58.1	32.2	39.1
	RP A B	Fe2	194 151	48.7 36.2	183	44.9	19.5	31.3
	SP A		216	52.5	155 165	50.9	18.9 23.9	29.5 38.7
	В		220	52.9	193	55.2	25.9	40.9
Mean	values of FYM	10 m <sup>2</sup>	191 231	45.0 54.5	178	45.0	21.0	31.9
		15 111	231	54.5	211	56.5	29.7	42.8
	alues for		02000					
nocula	tion inocul	ation	232 190	55.5	214 175	56.4 45.1	29.7 21.0	42.9
P - fertil	izers RPA	oculation	207	53.7	198	49.1	21.0	31.7
	RPB		207 185	55.5 44.0 53.7 38.5	179	46.2	25.8 21.2	38.0
Cont. (T	able, 5)		770		207	49.5		
	SPA		239 215	52.3 54.5	196	58.1	22.8 31.6	36.6 42.7
e sour	ces Fe1		215 207	50.1 49.4	205 184	52.2 49.3	26.4 24.3	37.5 37.2
Spain	05% for N in sho	note	207	49.4	184	49.3	24.3	37.2
noculatio	on A =29.8	B.D	=21.8	noculati	0.05% for I	=10.6	B*D	=5.78
YM	on A =29.8 B =21.2 C =21.8	C.D	=21.8 =30.8 =30.8	FYM P fert.	on A B C	=6.51	C*D	=8.18
noculation YM fert. e- source	C =21.8 ce D =15.4	A*B*C	=30.8	Fo- cour	ce D	=6.51 =5.78 =4.08	A*B*D	=8.18
4*B	=30.0	B*D C*B*D A*B*C A*C*D A*B*C	=43.5 =43.5 =43.5 =61.6	A*B	00	=9 19	B*D CA*B*C A*B*C*D A*B*C*D	=8.18 =8.18 =11.6 =11.6
A.C	=30.8	B°C°D	=43.5	A*C		=8.18	B*C*D	=11.6
A-D	=21.8	MBCD	=61.6	A*B A*C B*C A*D		=8.18 =8.18 =5.78	A*B*C*D	=16.4 =5.78
SD at 0.	ee D = 15.4 = 30.8 = 30.8 = 21.8 05% For P in Sh on A = 8.66 B = 6.05 C = 4.64 ee D = 3.27	oots		LSD at 0	.05% For	P in roots		-5.10
noculation YM fert. e- source A*B A*C	n A =8.66	B*D C*D A*B*D	=4.64 =6.56 =6.56 =9.27 =9.27 =9.27 =13.1	Inoculati	on A B C ce D	=9 37	B*D C4*B*C A*B*C*D A*C*D*D A*B*D	=3.82
fert.	C =4.64	A-B-D	=6.56	FYM P fert.	DC	=6.60 =3.82 =2.70	A*B*D	=5.40 =5.40 =7.63 =7.64
e- source	= 3.27	A'B'C	=9.27	Fe- sour	ce D	=2.70	A*B*C	=7.63
1*C	C =4.64 ce D =3.27 =8.55 =6.56	R*C*D	=9.27	A*B		=9.33	A*C*D	=7.64
3*C	=6.56	A*B*C A*C*D B*C*D A*B*C*D	=13.1	A*B A*C B*C A*D		=5.40	A*8*C*D	=7.64 =10.8
V.D	=4.64			A*D		=9.33 =5.40 =5.40 =3.82	B*D	=3.82
SD at 0.		ools	=40 7	LSD at 0.	05% For I	(in roots		
YM	B =26.5	C.D	=18.2 =25.8	Inoculation	n A	=9.27 =11.8 =5.17 =3.65	B.D	=5.17
YM fert.	B =26.5 C =18.2	A*B*D	=25.8	FYM P fert.	BCD	=5.17	A-B-D	=7.31
e- sourc	e D =12.9	A*B*C	=36.5	Fe- source	e D	=3.65	A*B*C	=10.3
i*Č	=25.8	B*C*D	=36.5	A.C		=16.6 =7.31 =7.31	B*D C*D A*B*D A*B*C A*C*D	=5.17 =7.31 =7.31 =10.3 =10.3
e-source \*B \*C \*D	B =26.5 C =18.2 ee D =12.9 =37.4 =25.8 =25.8 =18.2	B*D C*B*D A*B*D A*B*C A*C*D A*B*C*D A*B*C*D	= 10.2 = 25.8 = 25.8 = 36.5 = 36.5 = 36.5 = 51.6	Fe- source A*B A*C B*C		=7.31	A*B*C*D	=14.6
	=10.2	ID-D	=18.2	A*D		=5.17		

Comparing the effect of Fe-sources, at flowering stage, obtained data show that foliar application of Fe SO4 had positively affected the macronutrients uptake by both shoots and roots as compared to Fe-EDTA. These increases were 3.86%,1.42% and 11.4% for shoots along with 3.88%,8.64% and 0.81% for roots, respectively.

However, it is worth to mention that high values of (N,P and K) uptake by wheat plant at flowering stage were recorded when FYM15m³/fed was applied in combination with (SPB), soil inoculation with *B. megatherium* and foliar application Fe-EDTA . Obtained data agree with Ayaga *et al.* (2006) who reported that the combined use of organic and inorganic fertilizers may promote increased biological cycling, enhanced availability and consequently improved plant uptake .

## B:-Macronutrient uptake by wheat yield:

Data in Table (6) indicated that N,P& K uptake in wheat yield responded to the applied tested treatments. High rates of FYM 15m³ fed¹ affected significantly the N,P&K uptake as compared to low rates 10m³ fed¹. These increases were 17.1 , 19.8 & 23.8 (straw) and 20.1 , 21.9 & 15.4 (grains) for N,P&K , respectively. Obtained data are in harmony with those noted by Masto *et al.*(2006) who reported that applying farmyard manure (FYM) plus NPK fertilizer significantly increased soil organic carbon, microbial biomass and phosphatase activities.

Also, inoculation with *B. megatheriun* significantly affected N,P and K uptake by wheat yield as compared to non-inoculation. Mean values of inoculated treatments increased by 16.1%, 27.9% & 20,2% (straw) and 24.1%, 22.9% & 25% for (grains) for N,P&K uptake by wheat yield, respectively. El-Komy (2005) suggested that inoculation of wheat plant with *B. megatheriun* provided more balanced nutrition for the plants and improvement in N and P uptake is the major mechanism of microorganisms and phosphate solubilizing bacteria.

Moreover, application of Fe as Fe-EDTA was favored for nutrients uptake by wheat yield as compared to FeSO $_4$ . Applied Fe-EDTA caused an increase in nitrogen uptake by 6.94% for straw and 1.84% for grains and increased P uptake by both straw and grains being 3.46% and 2.87% , respectively . The highest increases were recorded for K uptake 8.67% and 6.42% for both straw and grains , respectively.

Concerning the effect of P fertilizers (forms and rates), mean values of obtained data how that no significant effect was recorded between two sources of either RP or SP forms; high rate of two forms were superior of N,P&K uptake of straw as relative to lower rate. An opposite trend was observed for grain yield, which, generally decrease N,P&K uptake were observed with increasing P fertilizer rate.

Comparing the interaction effect between tested treatments data reveal that the highest yield of wheat (straw and grains) was obtained due to FYM 15m<sup>3</sup> fed<sup>-1</sup>, inoculation by *B. megatheriun*, in combined with SP as a source of P fertilizer and foliar application of Fe-EDTA.

Table ( 6 ):-Macronutrients uptake by wheat plant (g/plot) as affected by applied FYM, inoculation , P fertilizers and Fe treatments (Average data for two seasons)

FYM rates	P			Fe Sources			Uptake o		W	Uptake of grains					
	Forn	ns Level	S	Gources		N	(g/p		V	-	Al	(g/plot)			
		ulation				14	-		K		N	P	K		
	RP	A		Fe1	_	58.9	30	4	32.4	_	113	22.7			
		В		101	_	58.4			30.4	+	106	23.7	114		
	SP	A			_	58.9			32.1	-	126	22.6 28.6	109		
		В				54.9			30.7	-	105	27.1	117		
	RP	A B		Fe2		60.1			28.8	-	108	25.9	117		
	1	В				66.6			35.4	-	113		124		
	SP	AB				63.2			36.5	-	95.8	26.6	140		
		В				67.6			38.9	-	119		102		
10 m <sup>3</sup>	Non-	inoculatio	n		_	07.0	00.	4	30.3		119	24.6	113		
0 111	RP			Fe1		55.8	25.	6	28.2	_	99.9	40.0	00.0		
	1000	A B				52.8	24.		26.3		59.9	19.2	92.3		
	SP	A B			_	50.7	24.		26.9		66.9	136	80.6		
		В				52.5			29.3			17.5	83.0		
	RP	A		Fe2	_	46.7	19.		23.7		111	24.9	97.2		
	1	A B		102	_	49.5	25.				79.1	16.6	83.4		
	SP	A			_	56.9			24.9		38.6	17.1	92.4		
		B			_	47.5	24.		31.8		113	24.3	107		
	Inoci	ulation				47.5	20.	2	26.8	1 5	93.4	22.0	98.3		
	RP	A		Fe1	_	65.9	24	2	27.5	_	4.40	00 -			
	- 11	В		101	_	63.2	34.		37.5		146	29.6	130		
	SP	A			_		32.4	4	37.5		136	27.1	116		
	2	В				62.2	32.2		34.2		120	32.1	134		
	RP	A		E-2		71.3	38.4		41.5		140	32.6	137		
	N.F	В		Fe2		68.2	32.		36.2		119	27.6	118		
	SP				_	73.8	35.		408		140	27.9	139		
	25	A				71.7	35.7		42.9	-	124	34.2	140		
	Alexan	B				86.3	46.9	9	54.8		148	34.7	147		
0 m <sup>3</sup>		inoculatio	п												
	RP	A		Fe1		58.9	29.5		31.2	-	104	20.5	95.8		
	00	В				56.6	26.7		30.8		9.8	18.5	93.8		
	SP	A				57.7	25.3		31.1		105	24.9	- 110		
	-	В				66.4	29.5	5	37.6		120	27.5	115		
	RP	A		Fe2		55.3	22.6	3	29.7		7.1	19.9	102		
		В		-		60.6	30.3		33.1		107	20.9	105		
						100000000000000000000000000000000000000			30,1			20.3	103		
	SP	A				62.9	27.9		37.3	1	107	26.3	111		
		В				74.3	33.0		42.1		32	30.8	127		
	Mear	values of	FYM	10	m³	56.3	26.8		30.2		9.8	22.3	104		
				15		65.9	32.1		37.4		20.9	27.2			
lean val	ues for					00.0	Va. 1	-	51.4	- 14	20.9	21.2	120		
oculati	on	inoculatio				65.7	33.0		36.9	1	22	27.9	125		
		Non-inoci	ulation	1	]	56.6	25.8		30.7		8.3	21.5	100		
- fertili	zers	RPA				58.7	27.2		30.7		13	22.9	105		
		RPB				60.2	29.8		32.7		9.9	21.7	112		
		SPA				60.0	28.6		33.9		26	28.4	119		
		SPB				65.6	32.1		37.9		02	25.9	113		
e sourc	es	Fe1				59.1	28.9		32.4		09	24.4	109		
		Fe2				63.2	29.9		35.2		11	25.1	116		
D at 0.05%	for N in s	straw			L		% For P in str				-	0.05% For K in s			
											200 81	NO REDEN IN	MPINE		
culation A	=7.45	B*D	=5.73	Inoculation	A	=5.70	B*D	=3.25	Inoculation	n 4	=4.41	De0			
'M E		C.D	=8.11	FYM	В	=4.21	C.D	=4.60		B	=4.41 =4.15	B*D	=3.32		
fert. C		A*B*D	=8.11	P fert.	C	=3.25	A*B*D	=4.60		C	=3.32	A*B*D	=4.69		
- source D		A*B*C	=11.5	Fe- source		=2.30	A*B*C		Fe- source		=2.34	A*B*C	=4.69		
В	=9.98	A°C°D	=11.5	A*B		=5.94	A*C*D	=6.51	A*B	- 0	=5.87	A.C.D	=6.63		
	=8.11	B.C.D	=11.5	A°C		=4.60	B*C*D	=6.51			=4.69		=6.63		
2	=8.11	A*B*C*D	=16.2	B°C		=4.60	A*B*C*D				=4.69	A*B*C*D	=6.63		
)	=5.73			A*D		=3.25			A*D		=3.32	~800	=9.38		
D at 0.05%					LS		For P in gra	ins	T			05% For K in gr	rains		
culation A			=12.2	Inoculation			B°D		Inoculation	n A	=42 8	B*D			
			=17.2			=3.17	C*D	=3 48	EVM	0	-10 5	C.D	=13.5		
ert. C	=12.2		=17.2	P fert.	C	=2.46	A*B*D	=3.48	P fert.	C	=13.5	A*B*D	=19.1		
source D			=24.3	Fe- source	D	=1.74	A*B*C	=4.92	Fe- source	e D	=9.55	A*B*C	=27.1		
			=24.3	A*B		=4.19	A*C*D	=4.92	A*B		=26.1	A.C.D	=27.1		
		B.C.D	=24.3	A°C		=3.48		=4.92	A°C		=19.1	B*C*D	=27.1		
			200												
	=17.2 =17.2 =12.2	A'B'C'D	=34.4	B*C A*D		=3.48	A*B*C*D				=19.1	A*B*C*D	=38.3		

C:-Micronutrients uptake by wheat yield:

Table (7) shows data representing the Fe, Mn, Zn & Cu uptake by wheat yield (straw and grains).

Table (7):-Micronutrients uptake by wheat yield (g/plot) as affected by applied FYM, inoculation, P fertilizers and Fe treatments (Average data for two seasons)

RP A Fe1 6.78 174 197 31.5 4.59 96.5 484 51.1  RP A Fe1 6.78 174 197 31.5 4.59 96.5 484 51.1  RP A Fe1 6.78 174 197 31.5 4.59 96.5 484 51.1  RP A Fe2 6.31 169 396 74.3 4.78 99.9 408 581.  RP A Fe2 8.31 169 396 74.3 4.78 99.9 408 581.  B B 8 8 8.55 198 332 111 4.54 99.9 408 581.  B B 8 8 8.55 198 332 111 4.54 99.9 408 581.  B B 8 8 8.55 198 332 111 4.54 99.9 408 581.  B B 8 8 8 8 8 9 194 497 86.7 4.62 102 441 4.9 4.9 97.4 388 63.  RP A Fe1 6.50 14 115 170 111 4.49 97.4 388 63.  SP A 8 8.99 194 197 86.1 4.62 97.4 388 63.  RP A Fe1 6.50 14 115 170 111 4.49 97.4 388 63.  RP A Fe2 4.91 135 248 35.5 3.89 55.5 274 31.0 4.1 4.1 4.1 4.1 4.1 4.1 4.1 4.1 4.1 4.1	FYM	FO	rme	Levels	Soul	ces	Fe		Mn	or sti		Cu	Fe		Mn	grain	CU
RP   A   Fe1   6.78   174   197   31.5   4.69   98.6   484   51.5	rates	10	11113	Levels	- Cou	003	(g/pl	ot)	IAIII					ot)			
SP A							131			ulation							
SP A		RP		A	Fe1												51.5
B		FEFT															
RP A Fe2 6.31 169 396 74.3 4.78 93.9 364 52.  B B 8.855 198 332 111 4.54 99.9 408 581  RP A 8.99 194 497 86.7 4.52 102 441 47.  NON-INDICUISION  RP A Fe1 6.53 115 170 29.5 4.23 80.5 209 26.  SP A 16.67 148 140 61.2 2.90 55.8 192 391  RP A Fe1 6.53 115 170 29.5 4.23 80.5 209 26.  SP A 5 6.74 117 130 307 51.3 4.23 80.5 209 26.  RP A Fe2 4.91 135 248 35.5 3.89 55.5 27.4 33.  SP A 6 6.4 26.6 381  RP A Fe2 4.91 135 248 35.5 3.89 55.5 27.4 33.  SP A 6 6.6 7.4 117 151 75.1 3.24 56.1 214 40.  SP A 7 6.6 4 4.49 79.9 264 45.1 8.  B 5 5.37 103 299 77.7 4.33 74.4 188 50.1 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0		SP															
S		00			E-07												
SP   A   8.99   194   497   86.7   4.62   102   441   47.		KP			rez	_											
B		CD		Δ										-	102		
Non-Inoculation	40-3	0		B		_									974		
RP A Fe1 6.53 115 170 29.5 4.23 80.5 209 25.  B	1011			100			0.00						1.10		01.1	000	1 00.2
SP A		RP		A	Fe1		6.53	3	115	170		29.5	4.23		80.5	209	26.
B																	30.9
RP A Fe2 4.91 135 248 35.5 3.88 55.5 274 33:  SP A 6.67 127 317 66.4 4.49 79.9 264 45.1  B 5.37 103 299 77.7 4.33 74.33 74.1 18.5 50.1  RP A Fe1 8.00 204 637 87.9 6.19 180 551 58.  RP A 10.1 161 556 94.5 6.72 173 595 100  SP A 10.1 161 556 94.5 6.72 173 595 100  RP A Fe2 7.89 207 341 93.7 5.80 142 416 100  B 7.84 188 508 117 6.28 15.9 527 100  RP A Fe2 7.89 205 452 105 6.72 173 595 100  B 9.986 226 437 124 5.67 144 423 100  SP A 10.1 161 556 94.5 6.72 173 695 147 628 15.9 527 100  B 9.986 226 437 124 5.67 144 423 100  SP A 10.1 10.1 161 556 94.5 6.70 174 472 61.5  B 9.986 226 437 124 5.67 144 423 100  B 11.1 215 676 144 5.69 174 510 100  SP A 5.57 166 299 82.9 3.5 19.8 36.7 100  B 11.1 1215 676 144 5.69 174 510 100  SP A 8.67 136 366 66.5 5.89 172 317 88 89 85.  RP A Fe2 5.68 147 315 366 66.5 5.89 172 317 88 89 85.  B 8.8 19 176 285 91.4 318 393 40.1 100  B 8 8 10 175 285 91.4 318 393 40.1 100  B 8 8 10 175 285 91.4 318 393 40.1 100  B 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		SP		A													38.9
B		-															
SP   A		RP		A	Fe2												
B		mrs.									_		3.24				
RP   A   Fe1   8.00   204   637   87.9   6.19   180   551   58.5		SP		A					102		-					100	45.0
RP   A		-		D			5.37		Inoci	125101	-	11.1	4.33		14.4	100	1 50.0
SP   A		RP		A	Fe1		8.00	)			_	87.9	6.19		180	551	1 58.4
SP   A		-		В						341							108
B		SP		A			10.1		161	556		94.5	6.72		173	595	108
SP   A   10.4   269   617   96.2   7.08   85   617   100				В					158				6.28			527	107
SP   A		RP		A	Fe2				205								
Sm   Non-Inoculation   RP   A				В					226				5.67				
Sm   Non-Inoculation   RP   A		SP	_	A					269								
Sm		_		В			11.1				ion	144	6.69		1/4	510	101
B	15-3	00		Δ	Fa1		6 05					A2 7	4 28		178	708	136
SP   A   8.67   136   366   66.5   5.89   128   369   85.5	mei	1/1		R	101	_	6.5	7			-		3.61				
B		SP									-						
RP   A		-											5.39				88.6
B		RP			Fe2												40.2
B				В					175	285		91.4			101	252	79.8
Mean values of FYM		SP					8.35	5	149				6.30				98.3
Near values for   Near value						2											62.3
Mean values for   Noculation   Noculation   Roculation	Mea	an v	alues	of FYM		10 m	6.62	2									48.6
							8.39	3	181	473		93.3	5.64		145	419	82.2
Non-inoculation	noculat	ion				es for	277	5	186	454	_	05.3	5 57		121	444	75.7
Part	loculat	1011				2	6.79	5									
RPB	P - ferti	lizer	S	RPA	- CITALIO	_	5.78	5		365	_	56.3	487		116		45 1
Fe SOURCES   Fe2   7.09			7	RPB			7.53	3	177	273		92.2	4.27		98.1	320	67.5
Fe SOURCES   Fe2   7.09				SPA			8.33	3_	161	439		77.8	5.54		123	410	73.5
SD at 0.05% for Fe in straw									147	487	_		5.22		117	341	75.5
SD at 0.05% for Fe in straw	e sour	ces		Fe2									5.03				65.1
	SD at 0.0	5% for	Fein			LSD at										001	00.1
YM B = 0.89 C*D = 1.02 FYM B = 22.6 C*D = 28.5 FYM B = 40.4 C*D = 78.1 FYM B = 40.5 C*D = 40.3 A*B*C = 4					=0.72						20.2					0	=55.2
e-source D =0.51 A*B*C =1.44 Fe-source D =14.2 A*B*C =40.3 Fe-source D =38.9 A*B*C =110 A*B*C =1.26 A*C*D =1.44 A*C =28.5 B*C*D =40.3 A*B =55.9 A*C*D =110 A*B*C*D =1.02 B*C*D =1.44 A*C =28.5 B*C*D =40.3 A*C =78.1 B*C*D =110 A*B*C*D =10.0 A*B*C*D =2.03 B*C =28.5 B*C*D =40.3 A*C =78.1 B*C*D =110 A*B*C*D =15.6 A*C*D =10.0 A*B*C*D =57.0 B*C =78.1 A*B*C*D =156 A*D =15.6 A*D =10.0 S*for Cu in straw	YM B				=1.02	FYM	В	=22.	6 C*1	D :	28.5	FYM	В				=78.1
*** # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #																	
## 158   ##	e- source						urce D						urce D				
## 158   ##	°C																
***D = 0.72	3*C																
SD at 0.05% for Cu in straw	1.D		=0.72			A.D		=20.3	2			A°D		=55.2			
YM B = 9,08 C*D = 15.4 FYM B = 0,55 C*D = 0,70 FYM B = 12.3 C*D = 16.3 A*B*D = 15.4 FYM B = 0,55 C*D = 0,70 FYM B = 12.3 C*D = 16.3 A*B*D = 17.6 A*D	SD at 0.0					LSD at	0.05% F	or Fe	in grains	3		LSD a	t 0.05% Fo	or Mn i	n grain	S	
fert.   C   =10.9   A*B*C   =15.4   Pfert.   C   =0.50   A*B*D   =0.70   Pfert.   C   =11.5   A*B*D   =16.3   e-source   D =7.66   A*B*C   =21.7   Fe-source   D =0.55   A*B*C   =0.99   Fe-source   D =0.55   A*B*C   =0.99   Fe-source   D =0.51   A*B*C   =22.9    **C   =15.4   A*C*D   =21.7   A*C   =0.70   A*C*D   =0.99   A*C   =16.3   B*C*D   =22.9    **C   =15.4   A*B*C*D   =30.7   B*C   =0.70   A*B*C*D   =10.1    **D   =10.1   A*B*C*D   =30.7   B*C   =0.70   A*B*C*D   =16.3   A*B*C*D   =32.5    **D   =10.1   A*B*C*D   =30.5   A*B*C*D   =30.5    **D   =10.1   A*B*C*D   =30.5   A*B*C*D   =30.5    **D   =10.1   A*B*C*D   =45.2   A*B*D   =45.2   Inoculation   A =10.9   B*D   =7.98    **O   =31.9   A*B*C*D   =30.9   Pfert.   C   =7.98   A*B*D   =11.3    **D   =10.1   A*B*C*D   =30.9   Pfert.   C   =7.98   A*B*D   =11.3    **D   =10.1   A*B*C*D   =30.9   Pfert.   C   =7.98   A*B*D   =11.3    **D   =10.1   A*B*C*D   =30.9   Pfert.   C   =7.98   A*B*D   =11.3    **D   =10.1   A*B*C*D   =30.9   Pfert.   C   =7.98   A*B*D   =11.3    **D   =10.1   A*B*C*D   =30.9   Pfert.   C   =7.98   A*B*D   =11.3    **D   =10.1   A*B*C*D   =30.9   Pfert.   C   =7.98   A*B*D   =11.3    **D   =10.1   A*B*C*D   =30.9   Pfert.   C   =7.98   A*B*D   =11.3    **D   =10.1   A*B*C*D   =30.9   Pfert.   C   =7.98   A*B*D   =11.3    **D   =10.1   A*B*C*D   =30.9   Pfert.   C   =7.98   A*B*D   =11.3    **D   =10.1   A*B*C*D   =30.9   Pfert.   C   =7.98   A*B*D   =11.3    **D   =10.1   A*B*C*D   =30.9   Pfert.   C   =7.98   A*B*D   =11.3    **D   =10.1   A*B*C*D   =30.9   Pfert.   C   =7.98																	
e-source D = 7.66  A*B*C = 21.7  Fe-source D = 0.35  A*B*C = 0.99  Fe-source D = 8.11  A*B*C = 22.9  A*B = 0.70  B*C*D = 0.99  A*B = 17.4  A*C*D = 22.9  A*B = 0.70  B*C*D = 0.99  A*B = 17.4  A*C*D = 22.9  A*B = 0.70  B*C*D = 0.99  A*B = 17.4  A*C*D = 22.9  A*B = 0.70  B*C*D = 0.99  A*B = 17.4  A*C*D = 22.9  A*B = 0.70  B*C*D = 0.99  A*B = 17.4  A*C*D = 22.9  A*B = 0.70  B*C*D = 1.40  B*C*D = 16.3  B*C*D = 22.9  B*C*D = 1.40  B*C*D = 16.3  A*B*C*D = 22.9  B*C*D = 1.40  B*C*D = 16.3  A*B*C*D = 32.5  A*B*D = 11.5  B*C*D = 11.3  B*C*D = 11.9  B*C																	
**************************************												Fe- so					
**************************************	*B					A*B						A*B	_ 000				
A*D	*C			B*C*D		A.C			B.0	C*D =	0.99	A°C		=16.3	B*0	C.D	=22.9
SD at 0.05% for Zn in grains  LSD at 0.05% For Cu in grains  loculation A =10.9 B*D =7.98  PM B =5.93 C*D =11.3  Fert. C =45.2 A*B*D =53.9 Pfert. C =7.98 A*B*D =11.3  Fert. D =5.90 A*B*D =11.3  Fert. C =7.98 A*B*D =11.3  Fert	*C			A.B.C.D	=30.7					B.C.D :	1.40					B°C*D	=32.5
Noculation A   #39.9   B*D   #45.2   Noculation A   #10.9   B*D   #7.98				uraine			0.059 5				_	A*D		*11.5			
YM B = 55.2 C*O = 63.9 FYM B = 65.9 C*D = 11.3 FYM B = 65.9 FYM B = 6					m45 2						7.00						
fert. C = 45.2	YM B					FYM											
**B = 79.4 A*C*D = 90.5 A*B = 9.79 A*C*D = 15.9 R*C*D = 15.9 A*C*C = 11.3 B*C*D = 15.9 R*C*D = 1	fert. C																
"C =83.9 B"C"D =90.5 A"C =11.3 B"C"D =15.9 "C =83.9 A"B"C"D =127 B"C =11.3 A"B"C"D =22.6							urce D										
3°C =63.9 A°B°C°D =127 B°C =11.3 A°B°C°D =22.6	4.B																
	7-D		=63.9	A-R-C-D	=12/	B°C				R.C.D :	22.6						

Obtained data revealed that values were positively affected by high rate of FYM 15m<sup>3</sup> fed<sup>-1</sup> as compared to 10m<sup>3</sup> fed<sup>-1</sup>.

The increases of micronutrients uptake were 26.7% , 28.4% , 53.1% & 32.5% for straw and 30.9% , 75.1% , 39.2 &69.1% for grains for Fe , Mn , Zn & Cu uptake , respectively.

Furthermore, inoculation with *B. megatheriun* increased the micronutrients uptake by wheat plants. These increases ranged between 21.1% to 39.3% for straw along with 18.9% to 40.4 % for grains. (Heggo and Barakah,1993)

Also, Fe sources had affected micronutrients uptake by wheat; Fe-EDTA positively affected all micronutrients uptake as compared to FeSO<sub>4</sub>. Obtained results are in harmony with Ayed (1970) who reported that interaction of P and Fe led to Fe chlorosis, which, appeared to be caused by internal immobilization of Fe probably due to formation of Fe phosphate.

With respect to P fertilizer, mean values in Table (7) show that, except for high rates of RP in straw, the application of high rate of P fertilizer (RP or SP) decreased Fe uptake for both straw and grains. Similar trend was obtained with Zn uptake in spite of the application high SP rate increased Zn uptake in straw. Cu uptake had taken an opposite trend which increased as a result of the applied high rates of either SP or RP. Elliott and Lauchli (1985) and Moraghan and Mascagni (1991) reported that the mechanisms of Fe reduction by P application may be the inhibition of Fe absorption by roots and of Fe transport from roots to shoots and inactivation of plant Fe.

Generally, applied 15m<sup>3</sup> fed<sup>-1</sup> FYM, inoculation by *B. megatheriun*, applied SP and Fe-EDTA was the best treatments for micronutrients uptake by wheat yield.

## Concision

Wheat Plants inoculated with by *B. megatherium* combined with either 15 m  $^3$ / fed FYM and Fe – EDTA ,proved with the full dose of super – phosphate gave higher values of total N , P and K in grains than those provided with the half dose of P – fertilizer . Also, rock phosphate as cheap Source of phosphorus , could substitute superphosphate for wheat fertilization in the presence of phosphate solubizing microorganisms .

# Acknowledgment

The authoress wishes to express her sincere gratitude and appreciation to *Prof.Dr. A. Sayed A.* for his assistance and cooperation as well as introducing all facilities needed for accomplishing this study.

## REFERENCES

- Abadia, A.; Sanz, M.; Rivas, J.de las and Abadia, J. (1989). Pear yellowness: an atypical form of iron chlorosis. Acta Hortic. 256:177-181.
- Ayaga,G.; Todd, A. and Brookes,P.C.(2006). Enhanced biological cycling of phosphorus increases its availability to crops in low –input sub-Saharan farming systems. Soil Biochemistry .38:81-90.
- Ayed, I.A.A.(1970). Study of the mobilization of iron in tomato roots by chelate treatments. Plant Soil .32:19-26.
- Black, C. A. (1982). Methods of Soil Analysis. Amer. Soc. Of Agron. Madison, Wisconsin, U. S. A. pp. 595-616.
- Chapman, D. H. and Pratt, P.E. (1961). Methods of Analysis for Soils, Plants and Waters. Univ. of Calif., Div. Agric. Sci., U.S.A. pp. 172-178.
- Dungan, R.S., Ibekwe,A.M. and Yates ,S.R.(2003). Effect of propargyl bromide and 1,3- dichloropropene on microbial communities in an organically amended soil. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.43:75-87.
- El-Etr,W.M. and El-Asmayaw,H.S.(2003).Physiological responses of com-
- El-Komy ,H.M.A (2005) . Coimmobilization of Azospirillum !ipoferum and Bacillus megaterium for successful phosphorus and nitrogen nutrition of wheat plants. Plant nutrition ,Food Technol,Biotechnol.43:19-27.
- Elliott,G.C. and Lauchli,A.(1985).Phosphorus efficiency and phosphate iron interaction in maize. Agron.J.77:399-403.
- Girvan,m.S.; Campbell, C.D.; Killham . K.; Prosser ,J.I. and Glover,L.A.(2005). Bacterial diversity promoted community structure stability and functional resilience after perturbation. Environ. Microbiol. 7:301-313.
- Gregorich, E.G.; Monreal, C.M., Ellert, B.H.; Angers, D.A. and Carter, M.R. (1993). Evaluating changes in soil organic matter .In: Acton, D.F. (Ed.), A Program to Assess and Monitor Soil Quality in Canada: Soil Quality Evaluation Program Summary (interim). Center Land and Biological Research Control. 93-49. Agricultural Research Branch, Agriculture Canada, Ottawa, pp.10-17.
- Heggo,A.M.and Barakah,F.N.(1993).Proto-cooperation effect of VA. mycorrhizal fungi and phosphate dissolving bacteria on phosphatase activity and nutrient uptake by maize plants grown in calcareous soils .Annals of Agric. Sci, Cairo, 38:711-77.
- Illmer,P.; Barbato,A. and Schinner.F.(1995).Solubilization of hardly soluble AIPO<sub>4</sub> with P-solubilizing microorganisms, Soil Biol.Biochem.27:265-270.
- Jansen, B.H.(1993) .Integrated nutrient management :the use of organic and mineral fertilizers.In Van Reuler, ..., Prins, H.(Eds.),The role of plant nutrients for sustainable food-crop production in sub- Saharan Africa.
- Johri, J.K. Surange, S. Nautiyal , C.S. (1999). Nautical, occurrence of salt ,pH and temperature-tolerant, phosphate-solubilizing bacteria in alkaline soils, Curr. Microbiol. 39:89-93.
- Keucey, R.M.N.; Janzen, H.H. and Leggett, M.E. (1989). Microbially mediated increases in plant available phosphorus. Adv. Agron. 42:199-225.

- Lundquist,E.J., Jackson,L.E.,Scow,K.M. and Hsu,C. (1999). Changes in microbial biomass and community composition and soil carbon and nitrogen pools after incorporation of rye into three California agricultural soils. Soil Biol. Biochem. 31:221-236.
- Marchner,H. (1995).Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants ( 2<sup>nd</sup> ed.), Academic Press ,London.
- Masto ,R.E. ;Chhonkar,P.K. ;Singh,D. and Patra ,A.K (2006).Changes in soil biological and biochemical characteristics in long –term field trial on sub-tropical inceptsol. Soil Biochemistry .Article in press.
- Moraghan, J.T. and Mascagni,H.J.(1991). Environmental and soil factors affecting micronutrient deficiencies and toxicities. In Micronutrients in Agriculture,2<sup>nd</sup> Ed; Luxmoore, R.J.Ed.; Soil Science Society of America:Madison,WI, pp. 371-425.
- Nishio,M. and Kusano,S (1980). Fluctuation patterns of microbial numbers in soil applied with compost. Soil Sci.Plant Nutr.26, 581-593.
- Rodriguez, H. and Fraga, R.(1999). Phosphate solubilizing bacteria and their role in plant growth promotion, Biotechnol.Adv.17:319-339.
- Sakamoto,K. and Oba,Y.(1992).Relationship between the amount of organic material applied and soil biomass count .Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.37:387-398.
- Sanchez,P.A (1990). Deforestation reduction initiative: an imperative for world sustainability in the twenty first century ,In:Bouwman,A.F. (ed.)Soils and the Greenhouse Effect.Wiley.New York.USA.
- Sharif, M., (1999) Interaction among phosphate solublizing bacteria, VAM fungus and associative N-fixing bacteria and their effects on growth and N and P uptake of pearl millet. Pak. J. Soil Sci., 16:53-62.
- Singh,S. and Kapoor, K.K. (1994). Solubilization of insolubilization of insoluble phosphate by bacteria isolated from different sources. Environ. Ecol.12:51-55.
- Smaling, E.M.A. and Braun, A.R., (1996) . Soil fertility research in sub-Saharn Africa : new challenges. Communication in Soil Science and Plant Analysis. 27:365-386.
- Snedecor, G. W. and W. G. Cochran (1980). Statistical Methods. 7<sup>th</sup> Edition. lowa State status .J .Plant Nutr.10:995-1001.
- TSBF(1996) The biology and fertility of tropical soils. Report of the Tropical Soil Biology and Fertility Program .T.S.B.F., Nairobi, Kenya. Univ. Press., Ames., IA., U.S.A.
- Val, J.;E.Monge; Heras L. and Abadia J. (1987). Changes in photosynthetic Wada.S. and Toyota, K. (2004). Effect of organic amendment on the resisance and resilience of fumigated soils. In: Proceeding of EUROSOIL 2004. Freiburg, Germany.pp.4-12.
- Wattstein, D. (1957). Chlorophyll-lethal under sub microstopiche fromwechel der plastidem. Expt. cell Res. 12:427-433.

تأثير البكتيريا المذيبة للفوسفات والسماد البلدى ومصادر التسميد بالحديدعلى تيسر الفوسفور وانعكاس ذلك على انتاجية القمح وفاء محمد طه العتر

معهد بحوث الاراضى والمياه والبيئة -مركز البحوث الزراعية

اجريت تجارب حقلية في موسمين شتويين زراعيين متنالين ١٠٠٤/٢٠٠٣ عمل المحتوية المحتوية

علاوة على ذلك زادت المادة الجافة للجذور والساق في مرحلة التزهير عند اضافة ١٥ م٣ من السماد البلدى مخلوط مع السوبر فوسفات بينما تفوق الصخر الفوسفاتيعند اضافته مخلوطا مع ١٠ م٣ سمادبلدى والتلقيح ببكتريا Bacillus megatherium.

اكدت النتائج ايضا أن أضافة ١٥م / فدان من السماد البلدى ادت الى زيادة امتصاص النتروجين و الفوسفور والبوتاسيوم في كل من الساق والجنر في مرحلة التزهير لنبات القمح بالمقارنة باضافة ١٠ م / فدان سماد بلدى. ولقد اعطى التلقيح ببكتريا Bacillus فس الا تجاه بالمقارنة بعدم التلقيح. بالنسبة للتسميد الفوسفاتي اوضحت

النتائج ان المعدل الاقل من الصخر الفوسفاتي (RPA) كان اكثر تأثيرا في امتصاص NPK لكل من الساق والجذر وكانت اضافة كبريتات الحديدوز ذات تأثيرا معنويا على امتصاص NPK لكل من الساق والجذر.

اظهرت النتائج ان امتصاص NPK في مرحلة الحصاد كان مشابها لمرحلة التزهير وذلك لكل من القش والحبوب بالرغم من تفوق المعاملات المضاف اليها الحديد المخلبي بالمقارنة للمعاملات المضاف اليها ١٩٥٥.

من جهة اخرى سجلت القيم المتوسطة للمكونات المحصوليه للقمح زيادة نتيجه اضافة المعنل الاعلى من السماد البلدى وهذه الزيادة وصلت الى ١٤ % ، ١١,٤ % و ٣,٤٧ % لكل من القش والحبوب ووزن ١٠٠٠ حبة على التوالى وكان المعدل الاعلى من كل السوبر فوسفات والصخر الفوسفاتى اكثر كفاءة من المعدل الاقل مع اضافة Fe EDTA رشاً.

اظهرت النتائج ان التفاعل بين المعاملات المتغيرة الى ان اعلى محصول للحبوب تراكب المحصول عليه عند اضافة ١٥ م، من السماد البلدى والتلقيح ببكتيريا B . megatheriun واضافة المعدل الاعلى من السوبر فوسفات واضافة المحديد المخلبي EDTA -Fe رشاً.