DETOXIFICATION OF AFLATOXIN - CONTAMINATED DIET OF TILAPIA FISH USING DIETARY SUPPLEMENTATION WITH EGG SHELL, BETAFIN, CLAY OR SILICA

Abdelhamid, A. M.; A. I. Mehrim and F. F. Khalil Animal Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, Al-Mansourh, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Nile tilapia fingerlings were fed on a basal diet (control) containing 25% crude protein for 8 weeks at a level of 3% daily of the fish biomass for 6 days a week. Six fish groups were offered either the control diet, control plus 100 ppb aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁) or control plus 100 ppb AFB₁ plus 1% of either egg shell, betafin, clay or silica. The aflatoxic diet led to reductions in final body weight, body gain, daily gain, specific growth rate, survival rate, feed and nutrients utilization, carcass protein and blood hemoglobin and uric acid. But, it increased mortality rate, internal organs indices, carcass fat and ash as well as blood activity of some enzymes. The best feed additives led to significant overcoming these aflatoxic symptoms were egg shell and clay.

Keywords: Nile tilapia – aflatoxin B₁ – clay – egg shell – betaine.

INTRODUCTION

Mycotoxins are the silent enemy. Mycotoxins affect as much as 25% of the world's feed crops each year (Yegany et al., 2002). The most common mycotoxin is aflatoxin. It is firstly identified after the deaths of large number of fish (Linsell, 1979). Aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁) is a wide spreeding hepato carcinogen in fish diets. It is the most toxic mycotoxin which very often occurs all over the world in various commodities causing foodborne intoxications named aflatoxicosis by different animal species (Abdelhamid et al., 1990, 1995 a, b&c and 1996 and Abdelhamid, 2000a) and human being (Fink-Gremmels, 1999). Therefore, it is a major contaminant in aquafeeds and considered as a causative agent for fish mortality, morbidity and low productivity besides its residues in fish carcass leading to economic losses, human toxicity and affects public health especially in Egypt (Hussain et al., 1993; Abdelhamid and Saleh, 1996; El-Fiky and Zaki, 1997 and Abdelhamid et al., 1998 a and 1999).

Abdelhamid (1985) reported that 90.48% of various Egyptian feedstuffs investigated were contaminated with less than 100 ppb total aflatoxins. Moreover, AFB₁ was found with high levels in commercial fish-feeds (749 – 3388 ppb) used in Egypt and in some of the local aquatic fauna, i.e. tilapia fish (246 – 303 ppb), crabs (298 ppb) and shrimp (185 – 372 ppb) (Abdelhamid et al., 1998a). Tilapia fishes particularly *Oreochromis niloticus* are sensitive to aflatoxin (Essa, 1993; Marzouk et al., 1994; El-Fiky and Zaki, 1997; Abdelhamid et al., 1998a and Hemeda, 1999). Different works were attempted to reduce the aflatoxin content in contaminated feeds to the safety levels for animal species using numerous physical, chemical and/or biological

techniques (Abdelhamid et al., 1998b, 2002 b,d&e; Soliman et al., 1999; Ellis et al., 2000 and Hussain et al., 2000).

The aim of the present study was investigating the best adsorbent material, which can detoxify aflatoxin-B₁ contamination of O. niloticus diet fed for 8 weeks.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1- Fish and Management:

The present research was carried out in-door during summer season 2002 in a private fish hatchery, Tolmbat 7, Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate, to decide the best adsorbent material, which can detoxify aflatoxin-B₁ contamination of *O. niloticus* diet fed for 8 weeks. A total number of 180 healthy *O. niloticus* fingerlings were gifted from the same hatchery with an average initial body weight of about 13.00 gram. After an adaptation period of one week, the fishes were randomly divided into 6 treatments, each treatment at three replicates (each contained 10 fingerlings in a 40 L cylindric plastic aquarium). Each aquarium was supplied with 35 L dechlorinated tap water and an air-stone connected with an compressor and covered with fishing net. The replacement of the aquaria water was done partially every 2 days to re-new the water and to remove the wastes. Electric light was used to complete the day light to 14 hours.

2- Experimental Diet and Feeding Regimes:

The experimental fishes received the tested diets twice daily at 9.00 a.m. and 3 p.m. six days a week for 8 weeks. The daily feeding rate was 3% of the live body weight of the fish. The feed quantity was readjusted biweekly on the basis of the actual average biomass of the fish in each replicate. The ground basal floating diet was obtained from Joe Trade Company, Cairo Governorate. It consisted of fish meal, soybean meal, meat meal, wheat bran, rice bran, yellow corn, fish oil, dicalcium phosphate and vitamins and minerals mixture. Proximate analysis of the experimental diet is shown in Table (2). The diet was supplemented with aflatoxin B₁ at concentration of 0.0 and 100 ppb without or with additives (egg shell, Betafin, clay and silica) at a rate of 1% (10 g/kg diet). Egg shell, clay and silica were purchased from the local market. However, Betafin was gifted from the local distributor of the product produced by Danisco Animal Nut., Finland. Aflatoxin B₁ was extracted from potato dextrose-liquid (PDL) of aflatoxigenic fungal strain of Aspergillus parasiticus (NRRL 2999) after 6-days incubation period (Karunaratne et al., 1990).

3- Criteria Studied:

Body weight of individual fish was measured biweekly to point feed quantity and to calculate growth performance and feed utilization (Abdelhamid, 2000b) in form of:

Average weight gain (g/fish) AWG = Average final weight (g) - Average initial weight (g).

 Average daily gain, (mg/fish/day) ADG = AWG (g)/Experimental period (days) x 1000.

- Specific growth rate (SGR, %/day) = In final weight In initial weight x 100/Experimental period (d).
- Feed conversion ratio (FCR) = Feed intake (g)/Live weight gain (g).
- Protein efficiency ratio (PER) = Live weight gain (g)/Protein intake (g).
- Protein productive value (PPV%) = Retained protein (g)/Protein intake
 (g) x 100.
- Energy utilization (EU%) = Retained energy (Kcal)/Energy intake (Kcal) x 100.
- Survival rate (SR%) = End number of the alive fish/The beginning number of the fish x 100.
- Corrected mortality rate (CMR%) (Abbot, 1925) = Mortality rate in each treatment – Mortality rate in the control group x 100/100 – Mortality rate in the control group.
- At the end of the experiment, fish were sampled from each aquarium and kept frozen for chemical analysis. Blood samples from each fish of the different groups were collected from the caudal peduncle.
- Adequate amounts of whole blood in small plastic vials containing heparin were used for the determination of hemoglobin (Hb) by using commercial kits (Diamond Diagnostic, Egypt).

Other blood samples were collected and transferred for centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 20 min. Plasma was stored in plastic vials at -20°C until biochemical analysis. Uric acid, alkaline phosphatase (ALP, U/L), aspartate aminotransferase (AST, U/L) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT/ U/L) were determined colorimeterically using commercial kits supplied by Diamond, Diagnostic, Egypt.

Thereafter, for all fish, the liver, spleen, kidneys and gonads were removed and weighed at once. The liver, spleen, kidneys and gonads indices were calculated, where:

- Hepato-somatic index (HSI) = Liver weight (g) x 100/Gutted fish weight (g) (Jangaard et al., 1967).
- Spleeno-somatic index (SSI) = Spleen weight (g) x 100/fish weight (g).
- Kidney somatic index (KSI) = Kidneys weight (g) x 100/fish weight (g) (Alabaster and Lioyd, 1982).
- Gonado-somatic index (GSI) = Gonads weight (g) x 100/fish weight (g) (Tseng and Chan, 1982).

Water quality parameters were measured weekly (Abdelhamid, 1996) including temperature (via a thermometer), pH (using Jenway Ltd., Model 350 – pH-meter), dissolved oxygen (using Jenway Ltd., Model 970-dissolved oxygen meter), and conductivity (using Jenway Ltd., Model 470-portable conductivity meter). Proximate analysis of the basal diet and the whole fish body was carried out (A.O.A.C., 1990), besides AFB₁ in the basal diet and fish tissues by thin layer chromatography technique (Abdelhamid, 1981, 1985 and 1996).

4- Statistical Analysis:

The obtained data were statistically analysed using SAS (1996) procedures for personal computer. When F-test was positive, least significant difference (Duncan, 1955) was calculated for the comparisons among means.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1- Rearing water quality and diet analysis:

As shown from Table (1), all tested water quality criteria were suitable for rearing Nile tilapia (*O. niloticus*) fingerlings as cited by Abdelhamid (2000b) and Abd El-Hakim *et al.* (2002). Since water temperature ranged between 25 and 29°C, pH values 7.1 – 7.7, conductivity 243 – 350 mg/l and dissolved oxygen 5.19 – 15.86 mg/l.

Table (1): Means of water quality parameters during (8) weeks of the fish

Weeks	Temperature °C	pH value	Conductivity ms/cm	Dissolved oxygen mg/L
Week 1	28.5	7.7	243.00	5.28
Week 2	29.00	7.4	309.20	5.19
Week 3	28.50	7.6	350.00	5.32
Week 4	26.45	7.5	336.43	12.66
Week 5	26.80	7.7	330.82	10.52
Week 6	26.40	7.6	320.25	14.22
Week 7	25.00	7.5	340.33	15.17
Week 8	25.32	7.4	340.67	15.86

The used basal floating diet contained 25% crude protein (CP), 13% ether extract, 490 Kcal gross energy (GE)/100 g and 51 mg CP/Kcal GE (Table 2). This means that the used diet was sufficient to cover the fish nutrient requirements according to El-Sayed and Teshima (1991) and Abdelhamid et al., (1995 a&b and 1998a). Yet, Soltan et al. (2002) reported that the diet containing 30% CP, 300 Kcal/100 g metabolizable energy and 99 mg CP/Kcal was the best.

Table (2): Chemical analysis (% dry matter basis) of the experimental diet.

Nutrients Composition	%
Dry matter (DM%)	89.52
Crude protein (CP%)	25.14
Ether extract (EE%)	13.09
Ash %	7.18
Carbohydrates	54.59
Gross energy (Kcal/100 g DM) (GE)	489.72
Protein/energy (P/E) ratio (mg CP/Kcal GE)	51.34

GE (Kcal/100 g DM) = CP x 5.64 + EE x 9.44 + Carbohydrates x 4.11 (Macdonald et al. (1973).

P/E ratio (mg protein/Kcal gross energy) = CP/GE x 1000

2- Growth performance and organs indices of the fish:

Table (3) illustrates that there were no significant (P > 0.05) differences among treatments in the initial body weight, survival rate (SR) or corrected mortality rate (CMR) of the fish. Yet, there were marketable

decreasing and increasing effects on SR and CMR, respectively due to the dietary inclusion of AFB₁ (T₂). Moreover, AFB₁ significantly (P < 0.05) lowered final weight (FW), average weight gain (AWG), average daily gain (ADG) and specific growth rate (SGR) of the fish in T2 groups. Yet, the supplementation of clay (T5) followed by egg shell (T3) significantly (P < 0.05) alleviated the toxic effects of AFB1 on FW, AWG, ADG and SGR. However, betafin inclusion synergistically reacted with AFB1, so that T4 was the worst treatment followed by silica addition (T₆). In this context, Abdelhamid et al. (2002 b&c) reported similar negative effects of AFB, on tilapia performance including SGR, SR and indices of internal organs. Moreover, Abdelhamid et al. (2002b) found that dietary Biogen® supplementation was not useful in AFB₁ detoxification. Abdelhamid et al. (2002d) came to the same result that dietary inclusion of tafla and aluminosilicate were not sufficient means for removing AFB, and its toxic effects. Also, Abdelhamid et al. (2002a) found that adsorbents, e.g. Antitox plus, Fix-a-tox and Tafla did not significantly reduce AFB₁. However, egg shell (including egg shell membrane which contains 10% collagen) can be used as an adsorbent (Gittins and Drakley, 2002). Its fibers show the source of the unique and highly valued type of collagen present in the membrane (Healy et al., 2003a). Moreover, prawn and egg shell wastes could be utilized as adsorbents (Healy et al., 2003b).

Table (3): Effect of afitoxin B₁ (AFB₁) on growth performance of Oreochromis niloticus (means + standard errors).

	Boo	ly weight (g	/flsh)	1	1		
Treat	fnilüal weight	Final weight	AWG	ADG	SGR	SR	CMR
Τ,	13.09a 0.009	25.37a ± 0.154	12.28a : 0.145	<u>+</u> 219.34a <u>+</u> 2.595	1.18a ± 0.008	76.66a ± 3.333	
T ₂	13.10a <u>1</u>	19.15e ± 0.234	6.04e :	± 107.91e ± 4.243	0.68e <u>+</u> 0.023	53.33a ± 8 819	30.95a ± 3.353
Тэ	13.10a ± 0.009	23.82c ± 0.207	10.72c :	± 191.42c ± 3.512		70.00a ±	12.50a <u>+</u>
Γ,	1.1.1.1	18.35f ±	5.25f 0.117			56.66a +12.018	26.19a +13.482
۲,	1	24.63b ±		± 205.71b ± 3.297		73.33a ± 8.819	8 33a ± 0.832
Τ,	1	1	9.36d ; 0.330		0.96d <u>+</u>		30.36a <u>+</u> 3.717

T₁ = Control group

 $T_3 = AFB_1 100 ppb + egg shell 1%$

T₅ = AFB, 100 ppb + clay 1%

AWG = Average weight gain (g/fish) SGR = Specific growth rate (%/d)

CMR = Corrected mortality rate (%)

T₂ = AFB₁ 100 ppb

T₄ = AFB₁ 100 ppb + betafin 1%

Ts = AFB, 100 ppb + silica 1%

ADG = Average daily gain (mg/fish/day)

SR = Survival rate (%)

a-f: Means in the same column having different small letters differ significantly (P < 0.05).

The aflatoxic diet (T_2) elevated significantly (P < 0.05) all organs indices calculated and presented in Table (4) comparing with the control diet (T_1) . This mean that AFB₁ not only reduced growth performance of the tested fish, but also negatively altered internal organs function as a consequence of increasing their relative weights, which may be due to increasing their cells number of volume or elevating their water and/or blood contents (Glaister, 1986). Anyhow, T_3 and T_5 ameliorated the negative effects of AFB₁ (T_2) on

all tested indices through the presence of 1% of either egg shell or clay. respectively in the aflatoxic diet contained 100 ppb AFB₁. However, betafin (T₄) and silica (T₆) seem to be without positive effect on the aflatoxic diet, particularly on HSI and GSI.

Table (4): Effect of aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁) on indices of O. niloticus (means + standard errors)

	- Stail	uai	u ellol	9)								
Treat. Items	T ₁	A1	T ₂	7	T ₃		T ₄		T ₅		T ₆	
HSI %	2.86b 0.137	±	3.95a 0.046	o ±	3.11b 0.055	t	3.06a 0.094	<u>+</u>	3.06b 0.043	÷	3.66a 0.149	<u>+</u>
KSI %	0.22b 0.017	_	0.28a 0.009	-	0.26ab 0.012		0.26ab 0.014	<u>+</u>	0.23b 0.020	<u>+</u>	0.22b 0.008	<u>+</u>
SSI %	0.20c 0.008	_	0.28a 0.006	±	0.22bc 0.008	_	0.24b 0.009	±	0.24b 0.014	<u>+</u>	0.23bc 0.015	<u>+</u>
GSI %	0.95b 0.034	±	1.50a 0.087	±	1.07b 0.053	<u>+</u>	1.34a 0.038	±	1.05b 0.038	±	1.33a +0.046	×.

T1 = Control group

T3 = AFB, 100 ppb + egg shell 1%

Ts = AFB, 100 ppb + clay 1%

HSI % = Hepato somatic index.

SSI % = Spleeno somatic index.

T₂ = AFB₁ 100 ppb

T4 = AFB, 100 ppb + betafin 1%

T₆ = AFB₁ 100 ppb + silica 1%

KSI % = Kidney somatic Index. GSI % = Gonado somatic index.

a-c: Means in the some row not followed by the same letter differ significantly (P < 0.05).

In this concern, Hussein et al. (2000) and Abdelhamid et al. (2002c) reported that AFB1 negatively affected fish body weight and their internal organs indices. Castro et al. (1998) mentioned that betafin showed lower mortality among salmon. Additionally, Magouz (2002) registered positive effects of betafin on growth parameters of tilapia. Although, Vieira et al. (2001) found that betaine did not substitute choline effectively in tilapia diets.

3- Feed and nutrients utilization:

Table (5) shows that AFB₁ (T₂) elevated (P < 0.05) FCR and lowered (P < 0.05) each of PER, PPV and EU comparing with the control (T1). Yet, clay and egg schell addition (T₅ and T₃, respectively) in the afitoxic diets improved these parameters of feed and nutrients utilization. This negative effect of AFB₁ may be attributed to its causative pathological alterations in the gastro-intestinal tract (Murjani, 2003). Similar results were obtained by Abdelhamid et al. (2002 b &d). Moreover, Kasper et al. (2002) found that betaine did not significantly change feed efficiency. Although, it was said that betafin improves absorption of the nutrients (Anon., 2002) and reduces epithelial damage of the villous (Ombabi, 2002). The better results of clay and egg shell using may be due to their adsorbative characteristics as mentioned before, so prevent or reduce absorption of AFB1 and hence hide its negative effects.

4- Chemical analyses of fish and blood:

Aflatoxin B1 significantly reduced DM and CP contents of the fish carcass and blood Hb and uric acid. It significantly increased EE and ash contents of the fish and ALP, GOT and GPT activities of their blood (Tables 6 and 7).

Table (5): Effect of aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₃) on feed and nutrients utilization of O. niloticus (means + standard errors).

Treat	FI	FI		FCR		Protein utilization				
Treat.	g/fish		FCR		PER		PPV %		EU %	
T	21.42a	+	1.74c	<u>+</u>	2.28a	<u>+</u>	47.03a	+	19.65a	<u>+</u>
T ₁	0.611		0.045		0 054		1.196		0.494	
-	20,51ab	+	3.41a	<u>+</u>	1.18c	+	22.84d	<u>+</u>	10.41d	+
T ₂	0.628		0.215		0.081		1.333		0.576	
-	20.21ab	±	1.89c	±	2 11a	+	41.56b	+	17.61b	±
Т3	0.316		0.062		0.069		0.702		0.538	
т.	19 56b	<u>+</u>	3.73a	<u>+</u>	1.07c	+	22.64d	+	9.94d	+
T ₄	0.219		0.114		0.035		0.522		0.241	
7	20.75ab	<u>+</u>	1.80c	+	2.21a	+	45.67a	<u>+</u>	19.11a	+
T ₅	0.349		0.060		0.072		0.134		0.569	
T	20.85ab	+	2.23b	+	1.79b	+	33.34c	<u>+</u>	14.71c	+
T ₆	0.662		0.027		0.020		0.306		0.147	
T, = Control	group				T2 = A	FB, 1	00 ppb			

T, = Control group

Table (6): Effect of aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁) on carcass composition of O. niloticus (means + standard errors).

			% On Dry matter basis								
Treat.	DM%	110	CP % EE % Ash %		Ash %		EC (Kcal/100 g				
At the star	t of the experi	m	ent				-				
	20.93		60.24		19.30		20.46		521.95		
At the end	of the experir	ne	ent							_	
T,	25.33a 0.355	<u>+</u>	65.02a 0.989	<u>+</u>	18.67c 0.330	<u>+</u>	16.32b 0.025	±	542.96a 2.469	<u>+</u>	
T ₂	23.93c 0.390	<u>+</u>	61.69b 0.429	_	20.34a 0.204	<u>+</u>	17.99a 0.665		539.89a 0.490	<u>+</u>	
T ₃	24.90ab 0.295	_	62.91b 0.369	_	19.09bc 0.109	<u>+</u>	18.00a 0.265	+	535.03a 3.125	±	
T₄	24.27bc 0.295	<u>+</u>	61.95b 0.204	<u>+</u>	19.51b 0.034	<u>+</u>	18.54a 0.104	+	533.56a 0.825	<u>+</u>	
T ₅	25.20ab 0.219	_	64.95a 0.707	_	18.69c 0.089	<u>+</u>	16.36b 0.104	+	542.76a 4.855	<u>+</u>	
T ₆	24.38abc 0.054	±	62.01b 0.140	<u>+</u>	19.70b 0.034	<u>+</u>	18.29a 0.07 <u>5</u>	<u>+</u>	535.66a 1.120	±	

T, = Control group

T4 = AFB, 100 ppb + betafin 1% T₆ = AFB₁ 100 ppb + silica 1%

FCR = Feed conversion ratio

EU = Energy utilization (%)

T₃ = AFB₁ 100 ppb + egg shell 1% T₅ = AFB₁ 100 ppb + clay 1%

FI = Feed intake (g/fish)

PER = Protein efficiency ratio

PPV = Protein productive value (%)

a-d: Means in the same column having different small letters differ significantly (P < 0.05).

T₃ = AFB₁ 100 ppb + egg shell 1%

T₅ = AFB, 100 ppb + clay 1%

DM = Dry matter (%)

EE = Ether extract (%)

T2 = AFB; 100 ppb

T4 = AF8, 100 ppb + betafin 1%

T₆ = AFB₁ 100 ppb + silica 1%

CP = Crude protein (%)

EC = Energy content (Kcal/100 g)

a-c: Means in the same column having different small letters are significantly different (P < 0.05).

Meanwhile, dietary addition of clay or shell egg to the AFB, including diets improved this picture. However AFB, residues were not detectable in the fish Similar results were recorded by Hussein et al. (2000) and Abdelhamid et al. (2002 b&d) concerning fish and blood analyses. Anyhow, Abdelhamid et al. (2002 c) confirmed that adsorbents still neither obstacle nor sufficient mean for removing AFB1 and its toxic effects. However, Magouz (2002) came to the conclusion that betafin did not influence fish composition.

Table (7): Effect of aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁) on some blood parameters of O. niloticus (means + standard errors).

	•	means + standard errors).									
Treat.	Hb g/dl			Uric acid mg/dl		ALP U/L		GOT U/L			
Τ1	15.38ab 1.889	<u>+</u>	3.48a 0.278	±	15.46c 3.277	+	10.00c 1.732	<u>+</u>	38.33b 6.983	4	
T ₂	7.10d 1.282	<u>+</u>	1.57b 0.170	±	50.90a 17.412	+	20.67a 3.283	<u>+</u>	76.00a 9.451	1	
Т3	12.94abc 1.221	_	2.29b 0.539	_	20.91c 3.633	<u>+</u>	15.00abc 1.999	±	48.33ab 14.835	4	
T ₄	11.33bcd 0.804	_	1.90b 0.312	_	35.45abc 5.456	±	15.00abc 2.645	±	49.33ab 10.268	-	
T ₅	16.17a 0.480	_	2.62ab 0.315	_	15.45c 2.404	±	12.00bc 1.999	_	40.00ab 6.658	1	
T ₆	8.93cd 1.999	_	2.36b 0.115	_	44.91ab 2.363	_	19.33ab 2.027	<u>+</u>	66.00ab 14.00	2	

T₁ = Control group

CONCLUSION

From the foregoing results and their discussion it could be emphasized that aflatoxin contamination of fish diets is very dangerous from the view point of fish production and public health. Attempting to alleviate aflatoxicosis by fish could be achieved by dietary supplementation of adsorbents, particularly chicken hatchery by-products (egg shelf) and clay at an appropriate level to the level of AFB, in the contaminated diet.

REFERENCES

Abbot, W.S. (1925). A method of computing effectiveness of an insecticid. J.Econ. Entomol., 18: 265-267.

Abd El- Hakim, N. F.; Bakeer M.N. and Soltan M.A. (2002). Water Environment for Fish Culture. Deposition No.: 4774, ISBN: 977-298-228-5.

T3 = AFB1 100 ppb + egg shell 1%

Ts = AFB, 100 ppb + clay 1%

T2 = AFB1 100 ppb

T4 = AFB1 100 ppb + betafin 1% T6 = AFB, 100 ppb + silica 1%

Hb = Hemoglobin (g/dl) ALP = Alkaline phosphatase (U/L)

GOT = Glutamic oxalocetic transaminase (U/L) GPT = Glutamic pyruvic transaminase (U/L) or

GOT = AST → Aspartate aminotransferase

GPT = ALT → Alanine aminotransferase

a - d: Mean in the same columns having different small letters are significantly different (P < 0.05).

- Abdelhamid, A. M. (1981). Mycotoxine in oesterreichischen Futtermitteln. Diss. Univ. Boku. Wien.
- Abdelhamid, A. M. (1985). Detection of aflatoxin in Egyptian feedstuffs. Annals of Agricultural Science Moshtohor, 23: 649-657.
- Abdelhamid, A. M. (1996). Field and Laboratorial Analysis. Dar Anashr for Universities, Cairo.
- Abdelhamid, A. M. (2000a). Fungi and Mycotoxins, 1st Ed. Dar Anashr for Universities, Cairo, Deposition No. 13738/97, ISBN: 977/5526180/9, 539p.
- Abdelhamid, A. M. (2000b) Scientific Fundamentals of Fish Production and Husbandry. 2nd. Ed., Mansoura Faculty of Agriculture.
- Abdelhamid, A. M. and Saleh, M. R. M. (1996). Are aflatoxin and ochratoxin endemic mycotoxin's in Egypt? Proc. Conf. Food Brone Contamination & Egyptians Health, Mansoura Univ., Nov. 26-27, pp. 51-59.
- Abdelhamid, A.M., Ahmed A.M. and El-Meleigy Kh.M. (2002a). Detoxification of aflatoxins contaminated diet by some physical and chemical means. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 27: 8213 8224.
- Abdelhamid, A. M.; El-Mansoury, A. M.; Osman, A. I. and El-Azab, S. M. (1999). Mycotoxins as causative for human food poisoning under Egyptian conditions. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 24: 2751-2757.
- Abdelhamid, A. M.; El-Sadaney, H. H.; El-Shinnawy, M. M. and Dorra, T. M. (1995a). Effect of dietary graded levels of ascorbic acid on performance and chemical composition of tilapia fingerlings. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 20: 2731-2742.
- Abdelhamid, A. M.; El-Sadaney H.H.; El-Shinnawy M.M. and Dorra T.M. (1995b). Effect of dietary levels of crude protein, crude fat, and ascorbic acid on Nile tilapia Oreochromis niloticus fingerlings performance. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 20: 2743-2766.
- Abdelhamid, A. M.; El-Shawaf, I.; El-Ayoty, S. A.; Ali, M. M. and Gamil, T. (1990). Effect of low level of dietary aflatoxins on Baladi rabbits. Arch. Anim. Nutr., Berlin 49: 517-537.
- Abdelhamid, A. M.; Kandil, W. M.; Arief, H. S. M. and Dorra, T. M. (1995 c). Effect of some dietary supplements to aflatoxic diets of chickens. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ. 20: 3251-3259.
- Abdelhamid, A. M.; Khalil F.F. and El-Barbary M.I. (1998a). Effect of using graded levels of gibberellic acid in diets differing in the crude protein levels on performance and chemical composition of Nile tilapia fingerlings. Egypt. J. Aquat.Biol.&Fish., 2(4) 221-233.
- Abdelhamid, A. M.; Khalil, F. F. M.; El-Barbary, M. I.; Zaki, V. H. and Hussein, H. S. (2002b). Feeding Nile tilpaia on Biogen® to detoxify aflatoxic diets. Proc.1st Conf. Animal & Fish Prod., Mansoura, 24&25, Sept., pp:207-230.
- Abdelhamid, A. M.; Khalil, F. F. and Ragab, M. A. (1996). Survey of aflatoxin and ochratoxin occurrence in some local feeds and foods. Proc. Conf. Food Borne Contamination & Egyptian's Health, Mansoura 26-27 Nov., pp. 43-50.
- Abdelhamid, A. M.; Khalil, F. F. and Ragab, M. A. (1998b). Problem of mycotoxins in fish production. Egypt. J. Nutr. and Feeds, 1: 63-71.

Vieira, I., Cyrino J.E.P. and Pezzato L.E. (2001). Choline and betaine in purified diets for Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). Sci. Agric. Piracicaba, 58(4) 1 – 10.

Yegany, M.; Butcher G.; Nilipour A.H.; Miles R.D. and Karegar R. (2002). Feed hygiene and poultry health. World Poultry- Elsevier, 18(7) 17-19

إزالة سمية عليقة أسماك البلطى الملوثة بالأفلاتوكسين باستخدام إضافات غذائية من قشر البيض أو البيتافين أو التربة أو السليكا .

عبد الحميد محمد عبد الحميد، أحمد إسماعيل محرم وفتحى فتوح خليل قسم إنتاج الحيوان، كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنصورة، المنصورة - مصر ·

تم تغذية اصبعيات أسماك بلطى نيلى على عليقة طافية بها ٢٥% بروتين بدون أفلاتوكسين، أو مع ١٠٠ جزء/بليون أفلاتوكسين Β١ بدون اضافات أو مع ١٠٠ من أى من قشر البيض أو البيتافين أو التربة أو السليكا، وذلك لمدة ٨ أسابيع بمعدل ٣٣ من وزن الجسم يوميا لمدة ٢ أيام/أسبوع في أحواض بلاستيكية سعة ٤٠ لترا في ٣ مكررات/معاملة ٠

خفصت العليقة الملوثة بالأفلاتوكسين من وزن السمك النهائي والزيادة في الوزن الكليسة واليومية ومعدل النمو النوعي والحياتية وكفاءة التحويل الغذائي والمغذيات وبروتين الجسم والمهيموجلوبين وحمض اليوريك في الدم، ورفعت من نسبة نفوق السمك والأوزان النسبية (دلائل) للاعضاء الداخلية ودهن الجسم ورماده والأنشطة الإنزيمية في الدم وكانت أفضل الإضافات تخفيفا لحدة التلوث الأفلاتوكسيني على هذه القياسات كلها هي قشر البيض أو التربة التربة والمناسبة على المناسبة المناسبة المناسبة المناسبة التربة التلوث المناسبة الم