

## THE EFFECT OF PROBIOTIC AND ENZYME MIXTURE CONTAINING PHYTASE ON GROWTH PERFORMANCE, CARCASS CHARACTERISTICS, MEAT QUALITY AND BIOCHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS OF PLASMA OF BROILER CHICKS FED DIFFERENT PROTEIN LEVELS

Aggoor, F. A. M.; F. S. A. Ismail and E. A. Shakmak

Poultry Production Dept., Faculty of Agric., Mansouria University, Egypt.

### ABSTRACT

The influence of dietary protein levels and two types of pro-nutrients e.g. enzyme mixture containing phytase or probiotics on growth performance, carcass characteristics, meat quality and plasma biochemical constituents of broiler chicks was studied herein as means of improving protein utilization and decreasing feed cost. A complete randomized factorial design was conducted including two levels of crude protein 22 and 20% CP in the starting and 20 and 18% CP in the growing-finishing periods. Each CP level was fed either without or with enzyme mixture containing phytase or probiotics. Thus, there were 6 dietary experimental treatments, each one was fed to 24 one d-old unsexed broiler chicks divided equally among four replicates of 6 chicks each housed in a battery (30×35×40 cm). At the end of the experiment, 3 chicks of each treatment were slaughtered to determine carcass characteristics and meat quality traits. Furthermore, four plasma blood samples of each treatment were collected to determine some biochemical constituents. Also, nutrients digestibility and economic efficiency of treatments were also calculated.

Increasing protein level significantly increased growth, decreased feed intake thus improved feed conversion ratio (FCR), protein conversion ratio (PCR) and economic efficiency. Pro-nutrients supplementation significantly increased growth, decreased feed intake and improved FCR, PCR and economic efficiency, with probiotics being more efficiently than enzyme mixture containing phytase, and this was clear in each protein level. Enzyme mixture containing phytase or probiotics significantly improved digestibility of dry matter and crude fibre. Increasing protein level significantly increased front part and decreased hind part, meanwhile protein level and /or pro-nutrients did not significantly affect chemical composition and physical characteristics of meat. Enzyme mixture containing phytase increased plasma Ca and inorganic phosphorus (iP), the later was significantly increased by probiotics supplementation, too. Plasma ALT was significantly decreased due to probiotics supplementation, showing the improvement in liver functions.

In conclusion, low crude protein diet e.g. 20 and 18% in the starter and grower-finisher diets, respectively could be fed to broiler chicks when supplemented with probiotics cocktail containing *Bacillus subtilis* fermentation extract, Brewers yeast extract, lactic acid, citric acid, calcium propionate, sodium aluminosilicate and DL-methionine without adverse effects on growth performance and carcass quality, moreover it improved economic efficiency.

**Keywords:** Broilers, protein level, enzymes, probiotics, growth, meat quality.

### INTRODUCTION

Protein nutrition represented a major challenge to poultry production especially in the region where protein rich feedstuffs are limited. One of the possible approaches to reduce the feed cost for poultry is the use of the low crude protein (CP) corn-soybean meal diet supplemented with amino acids

and/or enzymes. Improving corn-soybean low-CP diet by amino acid supplementation/and or enzymes has received great interest, especially after the restriction set on the use of render feeds, environmental pollution and the need to least cost diet formulation, increasing availability of amino acids, and /or pronutrinets (Aletor *et al.*, 2000; Sohail *et al.*, 2003; Jiang *et al.*, 2005; Corzo *et al.*, 2005; Waldroup *et al.*, 2005; Yamazaki *et al.*, 2006; Ghazalah *et al.*, 2006). However, methionine, lysine and /or pro-nutrients may allow low-CP feed to be formulated (Sohail *et al.*, 2003; Corzo *et al.*, 2005) and such approach is valuable for pollution control and decrease heat generated from metabolism of excess amino acids, however remains need further effort (Waldroup *et al.*, 2005). The use of low-CP diet was linked with decreasing growth and increasing fat deposition, and impaired FCR and this depends on chick's age and magnitude of protein restriction.

The use of prebiotics and probiotics in poultry nutrition are widely emphasis as a mean of improving animal health, control pathogens and increased nutrient utilization through keeping healthy gut ecology (Makeld, 1991; Patterson and Burkholder, 2003; FAO, 2006, Ghazalah *et al.*, 2007; Piray *et al.*, 2007;). Multienzymes containing  $\beta$ -glucanase,  $\alpha$ -amylase, cellulase, pectinase, xylanase, hemicellulase without or with protease and phytase could improve feed utilization and overcame the antinutritional factors of feedstuffs, improve gut health and immune response (Makeld, 1991; Jeroch *et al.*, 1995; Attia *et al.*, 2001; Kocher *et al.*, 2002; Saleh *et al.*, 2003; Yonemochi *et al.*, 2003; Choct, 2006). However, studies addressed the impact of enzymes on dietary protein/amino acid utilization is rare (Zanella *et al.*, 1999; Attia *et al.*, 2003; Yonemochi *et al.*, 2003; Selle *et al.*, 2006). Thus, the effect of probiotic and enzyme mixture containing phytase on growth performance, carcass characteristics, meat quality and plasma biochemical constituents of broiler chicks fed different protein levels was investigated herein as means of improving protein utilization and reducing feeding costs of broiler chicks.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **Experimental design, birds and diets**

The experimental design was complete randomized factorial design including two levels of crude protein being 22 and 20% CP in the starter and 20 and 18% CP in the growing- finishing diets, respectively (Table 1) fed without or with enzyme mixture containing phytase (Natuzyme<sup>1</sup>) and probiotics (Nutri-Bio Plus<sup>2</sup>).

---

<sup>1</sup> Natuzyme<sup>®</sup>, (www. Alboraqmisr.com, 33511 Mansoura-Egypt, E-mail info@alboraqmisr.com)) was added at 1 g/kg. It is multifunctional feed enzyme mixture containing cellulase, xylanase,  $\beta$ -glucanase,  $\alpha$ -amylase, protease, pectinase, and phytase. It also contains hemicellulases, amyloglycosidases and pentosanases activities.

<sup>2</sup> Nutri-Bio Plus<sup>®</sup>, is a grower promoter of AMECO-BIOS& CO, 339 W. Lemon Ave, Arcadia, CA 91007, USA, Email:amecobies@gmail.com). It's recommended dose of use is 200-500 g/ton feed. It is composed of *Bacillus subtilis* fermentation extract 130g, Brewers yeast extract 170g, lactic acid 20g, citric acid 10g, calcium propionate 100g, sodium aluminosilicate 550g and DL-methionine 20g.

Thus, there were 6 dietary experimental treatments, each diet was fed to 24 one d-old unsexed broiler chicks divided equally among four replicates of 6 chicks each housed in a battery (45x35x40 cm). The chicks (n=144 of Ross strain) were wing banded and distributed randomly among the experimental diets at day of hatch with keeping similar initial live body weight among replicates and treatments. The diets were based mainly on corn, soybean meal and corn gluten meal and formulated based on NRC (1994) Tables of feedstuffs, and met nutrient requirements of broiler chicks (NRC, 1994) except for protein in the low protein diet. Feed and water were offered *ad libitum* throughout the experiment. Chicks were kept under similar managerial and hygienic conditions and illuminated with 23 h light/d up to 49 d of age.

#### **Criteria of response**

Birds were weighed (g) individually at 14, 28 and 49 d of age, and feed intake was recorded by replicate at the same time and FCR ratio was calculated on a replicate basis. Protein conversion ratio was calculated by dividing protein intake by body weight gain for the whole experimental period. Coefficient of apparent digestibility of nutrients of the total gut was calculated according to Attia *et al.* (2007) using three replicates of one male each/treatment. At 7 wk of age, 3 chicks were taken randomly from each treatment, and slaughtered; the remaining carcass after bleed, plucked and eviscerated was weighed (dressed weight) and divided into front and hind parts and weighed. Liver, gizzard, heart and spleen were separated and individually weighed. The carcass parts were expressed as relative to live body weight. A sample of breast meat and thigh meat (1:1; Wt:Wt) and the experimental diets were chemically analyzed for dry matter (DM, crude protein (CP), ether extract (EE) and crude ash (CA) according to AOAC (1990). Meat tenderness and water holding capacity (WHC) were measured according to the method of Volvoinskaia and Kelman (1962). Colour intensity of meat and drip were determined according to the method of Husani *et al.* (1950), whereas pH value was measured by a pH meter as described by Aitken *et al.* (1962) At 49 d of age, four blood samples of each treatment were collected in heparinized tubes. Plasma was separated by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 15 minutes and stored at -20°C until analysis. Concentrations of total protein (Henry *et al.*, 1974), albumin (Doumas *et al.*, 1977), total lipids (Chabrol and Charonnat, 1973), total cholesterol (Watson, 1960), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) (Retiman and Frankel, 1957), Ca (Sendroy, 1944), inorganic P (Gomorri, 1942) were determined of each treatment. Globulin was calculated by difference between total protein and albumin, and albumin to globulin ratio was calculated. Furthermore, economic evaluation for all experimental diets was calculated as described by Zeweil. (1996).

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Data were analyzed using the GLM procedure of Statistical Analysis Software (SAS) version 6.11 (SAS® Institute, 1990, Cary, NC, USA) using two-way factorial design. Mean difference at  $P \leq 0.05$  was tested according to Duncan New multiple range test (Duncan, 1955). When a significant interaction P value was obtained ( $< 0.05$ ), mean differences were compared using LSD, and the values were presented.

**Table (1): Composition and calculated analyses of the experimental diets**

Ingredients,%	Starter diets		Grower-finisher diets	
	22%	20%	20% CP	18%CP
Yellow corn	60.00	63.90	63.89	67.90
Soybean meal (44% CP)	19.00	18.80	18.99	18.99
Corn Gluten meal (60%)	13.78	10.03	10.03	6.25
Commercial oil blend	2.63	2.73	2.72	2.79
Dicalcium phosphate	1.77	1.80	1.32	1.36
Lime stone	1.45	1.50	1.43	1.40
Vit+Min mixture <sup>1</sup>	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
NaCl	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
DL-methionine	0.02	0.12	0.00	0.07
L-lysine Hcl	0.29	0.32	0.22	0.28
Wash building sand	0.46	0.20	0.80	0.36
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>Calculated values</b>				
ME-kcal/kg diet	3192	3192	3186	3191
Crude protein, %	22.1	20.2	20.1	18.3
Methionine, %	0.45	0.50	0.38	0.40
Methionine plus cystine, %	0.84	0.85	0.73	0.72
Lysine, %	1.03	1.02	0.95	0.96
Ca, %	1.00	1.00	0.90	0.90
Available P, %	0.45	0.45	0.36	0.37
<b>Determined values</b>				
Dry matter,%	89.51	89.46	89.71	89.47
Crude protein	21.73	19.72	19.83	17.89
Ether extract, %	5.21	5.34	5.25	5.36
Crude fibre, %	2.43	2.39	2.41	2.38
Crude ash, %	9.81	9.84	9.71	9.78

<sup>1</sup> Vitamins and minerals mixture provide per kilogram of diet vitamin A (as all-trans-retinyl acetate); 12000 IU; vitamin E (all rac- $\alpha$ -tocopheryl acetate); 10 IU; k<sub>3</sub> 3mg; Vit.D<sub>3</sub>, 2200 ICU; riboflavin, 10 mg; Ca pantothenate,10 mg; niacin, 20 mg; choline chloride, 500 mg; vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, 10 $\mu$ g; vitamin B<sub>6</sub>, 1.5 mg; thiamine (as thiamine mononitrate); 2.2 mg; folic acid, 1 mg; D-biotin, 50 $\mu$ g. Trace mineral (milligrams per kilogram of diet) Mn, 55; Zn, 50; Fe, 30;Cu, 10; Se, 0.1 and Ethoxyquin 3mg.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Growth performance:

Results presented in Table (2) indicated that decreased protein level by 2% either in starting or in the growing-finishing diets significantly decreased growth of broiler chicks by 2.7, 5.1, 2.1 and 0.7% during the period from 1-14, 15-28, 29-49 and 1-49 d of age.

This result indicates that decreasing protein level from 22 to 20 % in the starting and from 20 to 18% in the growing-finishing periods significantly impaired growth of broiler chicks. Whereas, the most adverse effects was observed during 15-28 of age period. This may be due to the shortage of available protein/amino acids for muscle protein synthesis during this period. Similar results were reported by Attia *et al.* (1998 and 2001) who found that decreased protein level in broiler diets significantly impaired growth.

However, Ferguson *et al.* (1998) pointed that if essential amino acid requirements are met, dietary CP can be decreased by nearly two percentages before production is adversely affected. In this concern, Gous (1998) reported that amino acid and energy requirements of broilers vary widely with newer genotype, and it is unclear whether these requirements are truly known.

**Table 2. Effect of dietary crude protein level and/or feed additives on growth of broiler chicks (g\bird\period) from 1-49 d of age**

Dietary treatments	BWG (g\bird\period) during				Number of dead chicks
	1-14 d of age	15-28 d of age	29-49 d of age	1-49 d of age	
<b>Effect of dietary crude protein level,%</b>					
22-20	275.7 <sup>a</sup>	556.2 <sup>a</sup>	1399.9 <sup>a</sup>	2231.9 <sup>a</sup>	0
20.18	268.3 <sup>b</sup>	528.0 <sup>b</sup>	1370.7 <sup>b</sup>	2216.5 <sup>a</sup>	0
P-Value	0.01	0.0001	0.01	0.0001	---
Pooled SEM	2.05	3.09	8.17	7.95	---
<b>Effect of feed additives</b>					
Without	243.7 <sup>b</sup>	530.1 <sup>b</sup>	1369.3	2140.9 <sup>c</sup>	0
Natuzyme	287.8 <sup>a</sup>	532.8 <sup>b</sup>	1388.2	2208.8 <sup>b</sup>	0
Probiotics	284.5 <sup>a</sup>	563.5 <sup>a</sup>	1398.5	2246.5 <sup>a</sup>	0
P-Value	0.0001	0.0001	NS	0.0001	---
Pooled SEM	2.51	4.67	10.01	9.74	---
<b>Interaction effect between dietary crude protein and feed additives</b>					
High- (-)	250.7 <sup>b</sup>	539.4 <sup>ab</sup>	1382.5	2172.5	0
High- Natuzyme	282.6 <sup>a</sup>	556.8 <sup>ab</sup>	1404.6	2243.9	0
High- Probiotics	294.0 <sup>a</sup>	572.5 <sup>a</sup>	1412.9	2279.4	0
Low- (-)	236.8 <sup>c</sup>	520.8 <sup>bc</sup>	1356.2	2109.2	0
Low- Natuzyme	293.1 <sup>a</sup>	508.8 <sup>c</sup>	1371.7	2173.6	0
Low- Probiotics	275.0 <sup>ab</sup>	554.5 <sup>ab</sup>	1384.1	2213.6	0
P-Value	0.0001	0.04	NS	NS	---
Pooled SEM	3.55	6.60	NS	NS	---
LSD	24.3	45.2	--	--	--

a, b and c means within the same column within the same treatment not having similar superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05). NS P≥0.05.

There were significant positive effects of pro-nutrients on growth of broiler chicks during 1-14, 15-28 and 1-49 d of age. The results indicated that Natuzyme and probiotics similarly improved growth of broiler chicks during 1-14 d of age. Meanwhile, only probiotics improved growth of broilers during 15-28 d of age compared to the unsupplemented control (6.3%) and Natuzyme supplemented-group (5.8%) during 15-28 d of age. During 29-49 d of age, there were lacks of significant effect of pro-nutrients on growth of broiler chicks. This may be due to maturation of digestive tract in terms of enzyme secretions, capacity and ecology (Attia *et al.*, 2001; El-Deek *et al.*, 2003).

For the whole experimental period, Natuzyme improved growth by 3.2%, while probiotics improved growth by 4.9% compared to the unsupplemented control. The probiotics supplemented group was also

efficiently ( $p \geq 0.05$ ) better than the enzyme cocktail containing phytase. The improved performance of enzymes supplemented group could be attributed to better digestibility of nutrients, limit antinutritional factors, better gut health and immune response (Jeroch *et al.*, 1995; Zanella *et al.*, 1999; Kocher *et al.*, 2002; Saleh *et al.*, 2003; Yonemochi *et al.*, 2003; Choct, 2006; Selle *et al.*, 2006; Attia *et al.*, 2007; 2008). On the other hand, the effect of probiotics could be explained by the effect of probiotics on gut health and elimination of the harmful substances including the undetectable level of mycotoxins due to its contents of *Bacillus subtilis* fermentation extract, Brewers yeast extract, lactic acid, citric acid, calcium propionate, sodium aluminosilicate and DL-methionine. Similar results were reported by Makled (1991), Patterson and Burkholder (2003), Sun *et al.* (2005), FAO (2006), Piray *et al.* (2007) and Ghazalah *et al.* (2007).

The results indicate that, there was significant interaction between protein level and pro-nutrient supplementations during the early periods of age until 28, and lack of significance thereafter and for the whole period, too. It was found that both Natuzyme and probiotics similarly improved growth of broiler chicks during 1-14 d of age period, when comparison was made in each protein level. On the other hand, during 15-28 d of age period, enzymes had no significant effect within each protein level, while within the low protein diet probiotic was more efficient than Natuzyme, once again this may be due to the multi-nutritional effects of probiotics due to its contents of *Bacillus subtilis* fermentation extract, Brewers yeast extract, lactic acid, citric acid, calcium propionate, sodium aluminosilicate and DL-methionine. There was no significant interaction due to pro-nutrients on growth of chicks during 29-49 and 1-49 d of age, however, it is clear that pro-nutrients improved growth and the effect being constant with each protein level with probiotics was more efficient than Natuzyme. This may indicate that enzyme secretion was stabilized after 28 d of age, while the experimental hygienic condition and quality of the diet continue to contribute to the positive response to probiotics. There were no dead chicks during the experimental period (Table 2), meaning that decreasing protein level and/or pro-nutrients supplementations had no harmful effect on livability. These results are in agreement with those reported by Attia *et al.* (1998, 2001 and 2003).

Results presented in Tables (3) indicate that decreased protein level by 2% in the starting and growing-finishing diets significantly increased feed intake of broiler chicks during the period from 1-14, 15-28, 29-49 and 1-49 d of age. The increased feed intake for the whole experimental period amounted to 1%. This indicates that, however, of low magnitude, birds ate in an attempt to compensate for the decrease in protein and/or amino acid needs. These results are in agreement with those reported by Lipstein *et al.* (1975), Baker (1986) and Attia *et al.* (2001). There were significant negative effects of pro-nutrients on feed intake during all the experimental period.

It was found that probiotics had strong negative effect on feed intake compared to Natuzyme. The decrease for the whole experimental period amounted to 3.7 and 1% respectively compared to the unsupplemented control and Natuzyme supplemented group, respectively. Meanwhile, only Natuzyme decreased feed intake by 2.8% compared to the unsupplemented

control. The results indicate that, there were significant interaction between protein level and pro-nutrients during only 1-14 d of age and lack of significance thereafter and for the whole period, too. Natuzyme and probiotics decreased feed intake in the control and low protein diet by different magnitude, and probiotics had stronger effects within each protein level. Natuzyme and probiotics had also different responses when comparison was made over protein levels. For the whole experimental period, the highest and the lowest feed consumed was for groups fed low protein unsupplemented diets and probiotic-supplemented high protein diet, respectively, with difference (4.8%) was insignificant.

**Table 3. Effect of dietary crude protein level and/or feed additives on feed intake of broiler chicks (g\bird\period) from 1-49 d of age.**

Dietary treatments	Feed conversion ratio ( feed \ gain) during				Economic efficiency
	1-14 d of age	15-28 d of age	29-49 d of age	1-49 d of age	
<b>Effect of dietary crude protein level,%</b>					
22-20	1.458 <sup>b</sup>	1.902 <sup>b</sup>	2.316 <sup>b</sup>	2.108 <sup>b</sup>	18.32 <sup>a</sup>
20-18	1.535 <sup>a</sup>	2.034 <sup>a</sup>	2.385 <sup>a</sup>	2.175 <sup>a</sup>	16.00 <sup>b</sup>
P-Value	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
Pooled SEM	0.009	0.009	0.002	0.0012	0.071
<b>Effect of feed additives</b>					
Without	1.687 <sup>a</sup>	2.103 <sup>a</sup>	2.412 <sup>a</sup>	2.256 <sup>a</sup>	13.1 <sup>c</sup>
Natuzyme	1.399 <sup>b</sup>	1.967 <sup>b</sup>	2.337 <sup>b</sup>	2.113 <sup>b</sup>	17.8 <sup>b</sup>
Probiotics	1.404 <sup>b</sup>	1.834 <sup>c</sup>	2.302 <sup>c</sup>	2.056 <sup>c</sup>	20.5 <sup>a</sup>
P-Value	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
Pooled SEM	0.012	0.012	0.003	0.0015	0.087
<b>Interaction effect between dietary crude protein and feed additives</b>					
High- (-)	1.625 <sup>b</sup>	2.054 <sup>b</sup>	2.379	2.211 <sup>b</sup>	14.7 <sup>d</sup>
High- Natuzyme	1.407 <sup>d</sup>	1.859 <sup>c</sup>	2.298	2.079 <sup>d</sup>	19.0 <sup>b</sup>
High- Probiotics	1.344 <sup>e</sup>	1.792 <sup>d</sup>	2.272	2.032 <sup>e</sup>	21.3 <sup>a</sup>
Low- (-)	1.749 <sup>a</sup>	2.153 <sup>a</sup>	2.445	2.301 <sup>a</sup>	11.5 <sup>d</sup>
Low- Natuzyme	1.392 <sup>d</sup>	2.073 <sup>b</sup>	2.376	2.146 <sup>c</sup>	16.7 <sup>c</sup>
Low- Probiotics	1.464 <sup>c</sup>	1.877 <sup>c</sup>	2.333	2.079 <sup>d</sup>	19.8 <sup>b</sup>
P-Value	0.0004	0.002	NS	0.0001	0.0001
Pooled SEM	0.017	0.017	0.004	0.002	0.123
LSD	0.047	0.049	-	0.006	<b>1.46</b>

a, b and c means within the same column within the same treatment not having similar superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05). NS P≥0.05.

Results presented in Table (4) indicated that increased protein level by 2% in the starting and growing-finishing diets significantly improved FCR of broiler chicks by 5.0, 6.5, 2.9 and 3.1% during the period from 1-14, 15-28, 29-49 and 1-49 d of age, respectively. This result indicates increasing protein level from 20 to 22 % in the starter diet and from 18 to 20% in the growing-finishing diets significantly improved FCR of broiler chicks. Whereas, the best improvement was observed during 15-28 d of age period. Similar results were reported by Attia *et al.* (1998 and 2001) who found that increasing protein level in broiler diets significantly improved FCR.

There were significant positive effects of pro-nutrients on FCR of broiler chicks during 1-14, 15-28 and 1-49 d of age. The results indicate that Natuzyme and probiotics similarly (~17%) improved FCR of broiler chicks during 1-14 d of age. Meanwhile, probiotics and Natuzyme improved FCR of broilers during 15-28, 29-49 and 1-49 d of age by 6.5 and 12.8%, 3.11 and 4.6% and 6.3 and 8.9% of the same order, respectively compared to the unsupplemented control. The results demonstrate that probiotics have stronger effect ( $P \geq 0.05$ ) than Natuzyme, and the effect of pro-nutrients decreased with advanced age of chicks. Similarly, Makled (1991), Saleh *et al.* (2003), Yonemochi *et al.* (2003), Meng *et al.* (2005), Sun *et al.* (2005), Choct (2006), Selle *et al.* (2006), Piray *et al.* (2007), Ghazalah *et al.* (2007) and Attia *et al.* (2007; 2008) reported that enzymes and probiotics improved feed utilization, and the effect depends on dietary composition, age and/or strain or type of chicks.

The results indicate that, there were significant interaction between protein level and pro-nutrient supplementations on FCR during the early periods of age until 28 day, and lack of significance thereafter. It was found that both Natuzyme and probiotics improved FCR of broiler chicks during 1-14, 15-28 and 1-49 d of age, however, probiotics had stronger effect within each protein level when comparison was made within or over protein levels. For the whole experimental period, Natuzyme improved feed conversion by 6.0 and 6.7% for the high- and low-protein diet, while the corresponding effect for probiotics was 8.1 and 9.6%, respectively confirming the former conclusion. The present results are in agreement with those reported by Cowan *et al.* (1996) and Zanella *et al.* (1999) who indicated that enzyme supplementation should allow a reduction in CP formulation as well as ME, revealing the improvements in protein and ME utilization. This means that the effect of pro-nutrients was slightly better in the low protein diet than in the high-protein diet. It is interesting to report that feed conversion of any pro-nutrients supplemented group was better than the positive control, meanwhile the feeding unsupplemented low protein diet impaired FCR, however, Natuzyme and probiotics overcame this negative effect and probiotics was more efficient.

Results in Table (4) indicate that economic efficiency was improved with increasing protein level and/or pro-nutrient supplementation, with the effect of probiotics was stronger than enzyme mixture containing phytase within each protein level. The highest economic efficiency was recorded by probiotic supplemented-high protein diet and probiotic supplemented-low protein diet. Whereas, the lowest economic efficiency was recorded by low protein unsupplemented-diet. These results are in agreement with those reported by Attia *et al.* (2001; 2003) and Ghazalah *et al.* (2006).



**Table 4. Effect of dietary crude protein level and/or feed additives on feed conversion of broiler chicks (g\bird\period) and economic efficiency from 1-49 d of age**

Dietary treatments	Feed conversion ratio ( feed \ gain) during				Economic efficiency
	1-14 d of age	15-28 d of age	29-49 d of age	1-49 d of age	
<b>Effect of dietary crude protein level,%</b>					
22-20	1.458 <sup>b</sup>	1.902 <sup>b</sup>	2.316 <sup>b</sup>	2.108 <sup>b</sup>	18.32 <sup>a</sup>
20-18	1.535 <sup>a</sup>	2.034 <sup>a</sup>	2.385 <sup>a</sup>	2.175 <sup>a</sup>	16.00 <sup>b</sup>
P-Value	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
Pooled SEM	0.009	0.009	0.002	0.0012	0.071
<b>Effect of feed additives</b>					
Without	1.687 <sup>a</sup>	2.103 <sup>a</sup>	2.412 <sup>a</sup>	2.256 <sup>a</sup>	13.1 <sup>c</sup>
Natuzyme	1.399 <sup>b</sup>	1.967 <sup>b</sup>	2.337 <sup>b</sup>	2.113 <sup>b</sup>	17.8 <sup>b</sup>
Probiotics	1.404 <sup>b</sup>	1.834 <sup>c</sup>	2.302 <sup>c</sup>	2.056 <sup>c</sup>	20.5 <sup>a</sup>
P-Value	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
Pooled SEM	0.012	0.012	0.003	0.0015	0.087
<b>Interaction effect between dietary crude protein and feed additives</b>					
High- (-)	1.625 <sup>b</sup>	2.054 <sup>b</sup>	2.379	2.211 <sup>b</sup>	14.7 <sup>d</sup>
High- Natuzyme	1.407 <sup>d</sup>	1.859 <sup>c</sup>	2.298	2.079 <sup>d</sup>	19.0 <sup>b</sup>
High- Probiotics	1.344 <sup>e</sup>	1.792 <sup>d</sup>	2.272	2.032 <sup>e</sup>	21.3 <sup>a</sup>
Low- (-)	1.749 <sup>a</sup>	2.153 <sup>a</sup>	2.445	2.301 <sup>a</sup>	11.5 <sup>d</sup>
Low- Natuzyme	1.392 <sup>d</sup>	2.073 <sup>b</sup>	2.376	2.146 <sup>c</sup>	16.7 <sup>c</sup>
Low- Probiotics	1.464 <sup>c</sup>	1.877 <sup>c</sup>	2.333	2.079 <sup>d</sup>	19.8 <sup>b</sup>
P-Value	0.0004	0.002	NS	0.0001	0.0001
Pooled SEM	0.017	0.017	0.004	0.002	0.123
LSD	0.047	0.049	-	0.006	<b>1.46</b>

a, b and c means within the same column within the same treatment not having similar superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05). NS P≥0.05.

**Protein conversion ratio and apparent nutrient digestibility:**

Data for protein conversion ratio and apparent nutrient digestibility are presented in Table (5). It was found that protein conversion ratio was significantly improved by decreasing protein level, and pro-nutrient supplementation, and the effect of pro-nutrient was clear in each protein level. However, the effect of probiotic was stronger than enzymes mixture containing phytase. The best protein conversion ratio was from probiotic supplemented-low protein diet and the poorest was from the unsupplemented high-protein diet. These results are in line with those reported by Kies *et al.* (2001), Attia *et al.* (2001) and Choct (2006).

Digestibility of nutrients was not significantly affected by dietary protein level, nor there a significant interaction between protein level and pro-nutrients supplementation. On the other hand, Natuzyme and probiotics had a significant positive similar effect on only digestibility of DM and CF, however, apparent digestibility of CP and EE and CA were not significantly affected by pro-nutrients supplementations. Similar results were reported by Cowan *et al.* (1996) Choct (2006), Selle *et al.* (2006), Piray *et al.* (2007) and Attia *et al.* (2001; 2007; 2008).

**Table 5. Effect of dietary crude protein level and/or feed additives on apparent digestibility of nutrients (%) and protein conversion ratio (g protein intake\ g gain) of broiler chicks**

Dietary treatments	Protein converge -on ratio	Digestibility of nutrients (%)				Dry matter
		Crude protein	Ether extract	Crude fibre	Ash retention	
<b>Effect of dietary crude protein level, %</b>						
22-20	0.464 <sup>a</sup>	78.46	78.23	31.86	30.03	78.97
20.18	0.435 <sup>b</sup>	79.08	78.59	32.70	30.14	78.96
P-Value	0.0001	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Pooled SEM	0.00028	0.630	0.233	0.811	0.678	0.385
<b>Effect of feed additives</b>						
Without	0.473 <sup>a</sup>	76.93	77.08	29.25 <sup>b</sup>	28.84	76.64 <sup>b</sup>
Natuzyme	0.443 <sup>b</sup>	79.56	79.13	33.75 <sup>a</sup>	30.48	80.02 <sup>a</sup>
Probiotics	0.431 <sup>c</sup>	79.81	79.01	33.85 <sup>a</sup>	30.94	80.26 <sup>a</sup>
P-Value	0.0001	0.04	NS	0.01	NS	0.0003
Pooled SEM	0.00034	0.772	0.855	0.993	0.831	0.472
<b>Interaction effect between dietary crude protein and feed additives</b>						
High- (-)	0.486 <sup>a</sup>	76.89	77.13	29.38	29.63	76.75
High- Natuzyme	0.457 <sup>c</sup>	78.89	78.81	32.91	29.98	79.71
High- Probiotics	0.447 <sup>d</sup>	79.61	78.73	33.29	30.50	80.47
Low- (-)	0.460 <sup>b</sup>	76.98	77.04	29.13	28.05	76.53
Low- Natuzyme	0.429 <sup>e</sup>	80.23	79.45	34.59	30.98	80.32
Low- Probiotics	0.416 <sup>f</sup>	80.02	79.28	34.39	31.38	80.04
P-Value	0.0001	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Pooled SEM	0.0005	1.091	1.208	1.405	1.174	0.667
LSD	<b>0.004</b>	---	---	---	---	---

a, b and c means within the same column within the same treatment not having similar superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05). NS P≥0.05.

**Carcass characteristics and meat quality:**

Data for carcass characteristics and body organs are presented in Tables (6 and 7). Results indicate that percentage dressing, inedible parts, liver, gizzard, heart, giblets and spleen were not significantly influenced by dietary protein level, nor there was a significant interaction between protein level and pro-nutrients supplementation in these parameters in addition to front and hind parts of carcasses. Furthermore, pro-nutrients supplementation did not significantly affect these parameters, too. On the other hand, the control diet increased the front part and decreased the hind part significantly. These results are similar to those reported by Piray *et al.* (2007) and Attia *et al.* (2001; 1998; 2007; 2008). They reported that enzymes and probiotics improved availability of nutrients and overcame the anti-nutritional substances.

Data for chemical composition of meat e.g. percentage DM, CP, EE and ash are presented in Table (8), while physical characteristics e.g. pH, color, tenderness and WHC are displayed in Table (9). Results indicate that protein level and/or pro-nutrients supplementations had no significant effect on chemical composition and consequently on physical characteristics of meat. These results are similar to those reported by Attia *et al.* (2001; 2003; 2008). These authors indicated that protein level and enzyme supplementation had no effect on chemical composition and physical characteristics of meat.

**Table 6: Effect of dietary crude protein level and/or feed additives on carcass characteristics (%) of broiler chicks of 49 d old.**

Dietary treatments	Carcass characteristics, %						
	Live dressing	Gizzard	Visible parts	Heart	Frogs	Hindquarters	
<b>Effect of dietary crude protein level</b>							
22-20	2.28	3.02	1.405	32.61	0.682	33.16	37
20-18	2.34	2.71	1.489	32.74	0.718	34.34	55
P-Value	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.06	NS
Pooled SEM	0.05	0.023	0.030	0.023	0.032	0.40	0.082
<b>Effect of feed additives</b>							
Without	2.53	2.65	1.473	32.61	0.729	33.62	74 <sup>a</sup>
Natuzyme	2.19	2.96	1.435	32.71	0.688	33.74	32 <sup>b</sup>
Probiotics	2.20	2.98	1.433	32.70	0.683	33.84	32 <sup>b</sup>
P-Value	0.005	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.01
Pooled SEM	0.06	0.062	0.037	0.062	0.039	0.50	0.100
<b>Interaction effect between dietary crude protein and feed additives</b>							
High- (-)	2.50	2.80	1.405	32.60	0.688	32.83	60
High- Natuzyme	2.23	3.27	1.371	32.44	0.679	33.68	29
High- Probiotics	2.09	3.00	1.439	32.78	0.681	32.96	22
Low- (-)	2.56	2.50	1.541	32.62	0.771	34.42	88
Low- Natuzyme	2.15	2.67	1.500	32.98	0.698	33.83	35
Low- Probiotics	2.30	2.97	1.425	32.61	0.686	34.82	42
P-Value	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Pooled SEM	0.09	0.0979	0.052	0.0979	0.055	0.70	0.141

NS P≥0.05.

**Table 7. Effect of dietary crude protein level and/or feed additives on body organs (%) of broiler chicks of 49 d old**

a, b means within the same column within the same treatment not having similar superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05). NS P≥0.05.

**Table 8. Effect of dietary crude protein level and/or feed additives on chemical composition of fresh muscle of broiler chicks of 49 d old**

Dietary Treatments	Biochemical constituents								
	Total Moisture (g/dl)	Crude Protein (g/dl)	Albumin (g/dl)	Amino Acids ratio	Cholesterol (mg/dl)	Ether Extract (mg/dl)	Ca (mg/dl)	AS (U)	ALT (U)
22-20	74.74	74.54	19.79	NS	173.8	4.287	1.399	1.330	
20-18	74.74	74.54	19.79	NS	173.8	4.287	1.399	1.330	
Effect of dietary crude protein level	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
22-20	4.79	2.45	2.48	0.931	686	176.6	12.86	6.340	11.98
20-18	4.73	2.28	2.45	0.931	680	173.8	12.87	6.516	11.97
Pooled SEM	0.053	0.120	0.027	0.0048	76.8	3.749	0.124	0.230	0.128
Effect of feed additives	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Without	74.83	74.83	19.57	NS	172.6	4.220	1.390	1.327	
Natuzyyme	74.61	74.61	19.75	NS	172.6	4.240	1.365	1.332	
Probiotics	74.49	74.49	19.91	NS	172.6	4.220	1.322	1.332	
Pooled SEM	0.053	0.120	0.027	0.0048	76.8	3.749	0.124	0.230	0.128
Effect of feed additives	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Without	4.79	2.32	2.47	0.939	676	172.6	11.81	6.123	11.85
Probiotics	4.79	2.32	2.47	0.939	676	172.6	11.81	6.123	11.85
Natuzyyme	4.76	2.29	2.47	0.927	689	178.1	12.75	6.608	12.11
Pooled SEM	4.74	0.237	2.47	0.913	682	174.9	12.93	6.555	11.99
Interaction effect between dietary crude protein and feed additives	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
High	74.83	74.83	19.57	NS	172.6	4.220	1.390	1.327	
High (-)	4.87	2.357	2.50	0.946	686	171.8	11.63	6.078	11.97
High (-)	4.87	2.357	2.50	0.946	686	171.8	11.63	6.078	11.97
High Natuzyyme	4.72	2.281	2.43	0.942	694	177.3	12.81	6.563	11.64
High Probiotics	4.78	2.246	2.51	0.904	678	180.7	12.90	6.380	12.38
Pooled SEM	4.70	0.227	2.43	0.934	666	173.8	11.93	6.168	11.73
Low	74.61	74.61	19.75	NS	172.6	4.240	1.365	1.332	
Low (-)	4.81	2.290	2.51	0.916	683	178.9	12.59	6.653	11.63
Low Natuzyyme	4.72	2.281	2.43	0.942	694	177.3	12.81	6.563	11.64
Low Probiotics	4.78	2.246	2.51	0.904	678	180.7	12.90	6.380	12.38
Pooled SEM	4.70	0.227	2.43	0.934	666	173.8	11.93	6.168	11.73
Interaction effect between dietary crude protein and feed additives	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
High	74.83	74.83	19.57	NS	172.6	4.220	1.390	1.327	
High (-)	4.87	2.357	2.50	0.946	686	171.8	11.63	6.078	11.97
High (-)	4.87	2.357	2.50	0.946	686	171.8	11.63	6.078	11.97
High Natuzyyme	4.72	2.281	2.43	0.942	694	177.3	12.81	6.563	11.64
High Probiotics	4.78	2.246	2.51	0.904	678	180.7	12.90	6.380	12.38
Pooled SEM	4.70	0.227	2.43	0.934	666	173.8	11.93	6.168	11.73
Low	74.61	74.61	19.75	NS	172.6	4.240	1.365	1.332	
Low (-)	4.81	2.290	2.51	0.916	683	178.9	12.59	6.653	11.63
Low Natuzyyme	4.72	2.281	2.43	0.942	694	177.3	12.81	6.563	11.64
Low Probiotics	4.78	2.246	2.51	0.904	678	180.7	12.90	6.380	12.38
Pooled SEM	4.70	0.227	2.43	0.934	666	173.8	11.93	6.168	11.73
Interaction effect between dietary crude protein and feed additives	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
High	74.83	74.83	19.57	NS	172.6	4.220	1.390	1.327	
High (-)	4.87	2.357	2.50	0.946	686	171.8	11.63	6.078	11.97
High (-)	4.87	2.357	2.50	0.946	686	171.8	11.63	6.078	11.97
High Natuzyyme	4.72	2.281	2.43	0.942	694	177.3	12.81	6.563	11.64
High Probiotics	4.78	2.246	2.51	0.904	678	180.7	12.90	6.380	12.38
Pooled SEM	4.70	0.227	2.43	0.934	666	173.8	11.93	6.168	11.73
Low	74.61	74.61	19.75	NS	172.6	4.240	1.365	1.332	
Low (-)	4.81	2.290	2.51	0.916	683	178.9	12.59	6.653	11.63
Low Natuzyyme	4.72	2.281	2.43	0.942	694	177.3	12.81	6.563	11.64
Low Probiotics	4.78	2.246	2.51	0.904	678	180.7	12.90	6.380	12.38
Pooled SEM	4.70	0.227	2.43	0.934	666	173.8	11.93	6.168	11.73
Interaction effect between dietary crude protein and feed additives	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
High	74.83	74.83	19.57	NS	172.6	4.220	1.390	1.327	
High (-)	4.87	2.357	2.50	0.946	686	171.8	11.63	6.078	11.97
High (-)	4.87	2.357	2.50	0.946	686	171.8	11.63	6.078	11.97
High Natuzyyme	4.72	2.281	2.43	0.942	694	177.3	12.81	6.563	11.64
High Probiotics	4.78	2.246	2.51	0.904	678	180.7	12.90	6.380	12.38
Pooled SEM	4.70	0.227	2.43	0.934	666	173.8	11.93	6.168	11.73
Low	74.61	74.61	19.75	NS	172.6	4.240	1.365	1.332	
Low (-)	4.81	2.290	2.51	0.916	683	178.9	12.59	6.653	11.63
Low Natuzyyme	4.72	2.281	2.43	0.942	694	177.3	12.81	6.563	11.64
Low Probiotics	4.78	2.246	2.51	0.904	678	180.7	12.90	6.380	12.38
Pooled SEM	4.70	0.227	2.43	0.934	666	173.8	11.93	6.168	11.73
Interaction effect between dietary crude protein and feed additives	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
High	74.83	74.83	19.57	NS	172.6	4.220	1.390	1.327	
High (-)	4.87	2.357	2.50	0.946	686	171.8	11.63	6.078	11.97
High (-)	4.87	2.357	2.50	0.946	686	171.8	11.63	6.078	11.97
High Natuzyyme	4.72	2.281	2.43	0.942	694	177.3	12.81	6.563	11.64
High Probiotics	4.78	2.246	2.51	0.904	678	180.7	12.90	6.380	12.38
Pooled SEM	4.70	0.227	2.43	0.934	666	173.8	11.93	6.168	11.73
Low	74.61	74.61	19.75	NS	172.6	4.240	1.365	1.332	
Low (-)	4.81	2.290	2.51	0.916	683	178.9	12.59	6.653	11.63
Low Natuzyyme	4.72	2.281	2.43	0.942	694	177.3	12.81	6.563	11.64
Low Probiotics	4.78	2.246	2.51	0.904	678	180.7	12.90	6.380	12.38
Pooled SEM	4.70	0.227	2.43	0.934	666	173.8	11.93	6.168	11.73
Interaction effect between dietary crude protein and feed additives	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

NS P≥0.05.

**Table 9. Effect of dietary crude protein level and/or feed additives on physical characteristics of meat of broiler chicks of 49 d old**

Pooled SEM      0.092 0.047 0.048 0.008 11.8    6.492 0.216 0.189 0.399 0.222

NS P $\geq$ 0.05.

### **Biochemical constituents of blood plasma**

Results for biochemical composition of blood plasma are shown in Table (10). Results indicate that protein level and the interaction between protein level and pro-nutrients had no significant effect on plasma total protein, albumin, globulin, total lipids, cholesterol, liver functions as assayed by AST and ALT enzymes as well as plasma Ca and P. Furthermore, pro-nutrients had only significant effects on plasma Ca and inorganic phosphorus and ALT enzyme. Results indicate that Natuzyme increased plasma Ca and iP and had no significant effect on plasma ALT compared to the control group. Plasma albumin/globulin ratio was only significantly affected by the interaction between protein and pro-nutrient supplementation. It was found that probiotic and enzyme supplementation to the high and low protein-diet significantly increased plasma Glb/Alb ratio compared to the other treatment groups. The increase in plasma Ca and iP due to enzyme supplementations could be due to the presence of phytase in enzyme cocktail which is well known for improving mineral availability (Kies *et al.*, 2001; Choct, 2006; Panda *et al.*, 2007 and Selle *et al.*, 2006). These results are in agreement with those reported by Attia *et al.* (2001 and 2003). On the other hand, probiotics significantly increased plasma iP and decreased plasma ALT compared to the unsupplemented control. The increase in plasma iP due to probiotic supplementation may be due to the presence of citric acid in the cocktail (Brenes *et al.*, 2003; Ebrahimnezhad *et al.*, 2008). They reported that citric acid improved phytate phosphorus utilization by complex with Ca and reduces the formation of more stable Ca-phytate complexes, and/or citric acid may change the intestinal pH for better phytase activity.

Results indicate that decreasing protein level did not affect liver functions and plasma mineral contents, furthermore, supplementation of

**Aggoor, F. A. M. et al.**

enzymes mixture increased plasma iP, showing the positive effect on minerals availability.

In conclusion, low crude protein diet being 20 and 18% in the starting and growing-finishing diets respectively could be fed to broiler chicks when supplemented with probiotics cocktail containing *Bacillus subtilis* fermentation extract, Brewers yeast extract, lactic acid, citric acid, calcium propionate, sodium aluminosilicate and DL-methionine without adverse effect on growth performance and carcass quality, while improved economic efficiency.

**Table (10). Effect of dietary crude protein level and/or feed additives on biochemical constituents of blood plasma of broiler chicks**

Dietary treatments	Physical characteristics of meat			
	pH	Colour	Tenderness	WHC
<b>Effect of dietary crude protein level,%</b>				
22-20	6.494	0.210	2.780	5.551
20-18	6.491	0.184	2.832	5.673
P-Value	NS	NS	NS	NS
Pooled SEM	0.077	0.014	0.041	0.066
<b>Effect of feed additives</b>				
Without	6.361	0.202	2.800	5.677
Natuzyme	6.528	0.189	2.800	5.535
Probiotics	6.588	0.200	2.818	5.625
P-Value	NS	NS	NS	NS
Pooled SEM	0.095	0.017	0.051	0.081
<b>Interaction effect between dietary crude protein and feed additives</b>				
High- (-)	6.387	0.210	2.743	5.643
High- Natuzyme	6.473	0.211	2.797	5.463
High- Probiotics	6.623	0.210	2.800	5.547
Low- (-)	6.337	0.194	2.857	5.710
Low- Natuzyme	6.583	0.169	2.803	5.606
Low- Probiotics	6.553	0.191	2.837	5.703
P-Value	NS	NS	NS	NS
Pooled SEM	0.134	0.025	0.072	0.114

<sup>a,b</sup>. Means in the same column followed by different letters are significantly different at ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). NS = not significantly.

## REFERENCES

- Aitken A, J. C. Casey, I. F. Penny and C. A. Volys (1962). Effect of drying temperature in the accelerated freezes drying of pork. *Journal Science Food Agriculture*, 13: 439.
- Aletor, V.A., I. I.Hamid, E. Niess and E. Pfeffer (2000). Low-protein amino acids-supplemented diets in broiler-chickens: effects on performance, carcass characteristics whole-body –composition and efficiencies of nutrient utilization. *J. Sci. Food Agric*, 80, 547-554.
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists AOAC (1990). *Official methods of analysis*. 15<sup>th</sup> edition, Arlington, Virginia, USA.
- Attia Y. A., S.A. Abd El-Rahman and E. M.A. Qota (2001). Effects of microbial phytase without or with cell-wall splitting enzymes on the performance of broilers fed marginal levels of dietary protein and metabolizable energy. *Egypt Poultry Science*, 21: 521-547.
- Attia, Y. A., M. E.Nawar, Mona Osman, and Salwa B. Abd El -Hady (1998). Optimum levels of metabolizable energy and crude protein in the rations for Avian-34 broiler chicks. pp 223-241 in: *Proc. 10th Conf. of The Egyptian Society of Animal Production*, Assiut University, Egypt.
- Attia, Y. A., Barbara M. Böhmer, Dora A. Roth-Maier (2006). Responses of broiler chicks raised under constant relatively high ambient temperature to enzymes, amino acid supplementations, or diet density. *Archiv Für Geflügelkunde*, 70 (2): 80-91.

- Attia, Y.A., Abd EL-Razak E. Tag El-Din, Hassan S. Zeweil, Ahmed S. Hussein and Mohamed A. Arafat (2008). The effect of supplementation of enzyme on laying and reproductive performance in Japanese Quail hens fed nigella seed meal. *J. of Poult. Sci.* 45:110-115.
- Attia, Y.A., E. M. A.Qota, A. E. Abd El-Hamid and T. A. Sadaka (2007). The response of slow growing meat type chicks to the supplementations of different methionine levels and/or two types of enzymes. *Emir J. Food Agric* 19 (2):48-63.
- Attia, Y.A., E. M. A.Qota, F. A. M. Aggoor, and A. K. Kies (2003). Value of rice bran, its maximal utilization, and upgrading by phytase and other enzymes and diet- formulation based on available amino acid for broiler chicks. *Archiv Für Geflügelkunde*, 67 (4):157-166.
- Baker, D. M. (1986). Problems and pitfalls in animal experiments designed to establish dietary requirements for essential nutrients. *J. Nutr.*, 116: 2339 - 2349.
- Brenes A, A.Viveros, I. Arija, C. Centeno, M. Pizarro and C. Braro (2003). The effect of citric acid and microbial phytase on mineral utilization in broiler chicks. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 110:201-219.
- Chabrol, E. and R. Charonnat (1973). Determination of total lipids. *Press medical*, 45: 1713-1720.
- Choct M. (2006). Enzymes for the feed industry: past, present and future. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, 62: 5-15.
- Corzo, A., C. A. Fritts, M. T. Kidd, B. J. Kerr (2005). Response of broiler chicks to essential and non-essential amino acid supplementation of low crude protein diets. *Animal Feed Sci. and Tech.*, 118:319-327.
- Cowan, W.D., A. Korsbak, T. Hastrup and P.B. Rasmussen (1996). Influence of added microbial enzymes on energy and protein availability of selected feed ingredients. *Animal Feed Science Technology*, 60:311-319.
- Doumas B.T., D. Watson and H.G. Biggs (1977). Albumins standards and the measurement of blood albumin with bromocrisol green. *Clinical Chemist Acta*, 31: 87.
- Duncan, D. B. (1955). The multiple range and F-test. *Biometrics*, 11:1-42.
- Ebrahimnezhad, Y., M. Shivazad, R. Taherkhani and K. Nazeradl (2008). Effects of citric acid and microbial phytase supplementation on performance and phytate phosphorus utilization in broiler chicks. *J. Poult. Sci.*, Vol. 45: 20-24.
- El-Deek, A. A., Y. A. Attia and Amal A. Soliman (2003). Productivity response of broiler breeder hens when fed practical or vegetable diets containing high levels of barley, sunflower meal or barley and sunflower meal without or with enzyme mixture supplementation 1-Barley. *Egyptian Poultry Sci.*, 23:239-257.
- FAO (2006). Probiotics in food, health and nutritional properties and guidelines for evaluation, FAO, Food and nutrition Paper 85. World Health Organizations and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Roma, 2006.



- Ferguson, N. S., R. S. Gates, J. L. Taraba, A. H. Cantor, A. J. Pescatore, M. J. Ford and D. J. Burnhams (1998). The effect of dietary crude protein on growth, ammonia concentration, and litter composition in broilers. *Poultry Sci.*, 77:1481-1487.
- Ghazalah A.A.; F.S. K.Y. El-Nagmy and A.S. Bahakim (2007). Response of broiler chicks to low protein diet supplemented with prebiotics preparations. *Egypt. Poult. Sci. J.*, 26(11):609-627.
- Ghazalah A.A.; F.S. Khalil and Amal A. Bayoumi (2006). Effect of dietary levels of protein and phytase on performance and nutrients digestibility of broiler chicks. *J Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ.*, 31(4) : 1949-1960
- Gous, R.M. (1998). Making progress in the nutrition of broilers. *Poultry Sci.*, 77:111-117.
- Gomorri, G. (1942). Determination of inorganic phosphorus in plasma. *J. Laboratory Clinical Medical*, 27: 955.
- Henry R and Cannon D. Winkelman, J (1974). *Clinical chemist principles and techniques*, 2<sup>nd</sup>Edn. Haper and Row.
- Husani SA, F. B. Deatherage and L. E. Kunlke (1950). Studies on meat. 11: Observations on relation of biochemical factors to change in tenderness. *Feed Technology*, 4: 366-369.
- Jeroch H, S. Danicke and J. Brufau (1995). The influence of enzyme preparations on the nutritional value of cereals for poultry: a review. *Journal of Animal and Feed Science*, 4: 263-285.
- Jiang, Q., P.W. Waldroup and C. A. Fritts (2005). Improving the utilization of diets low in crude protein for broiler chickens. 1. Evaluation of special amino acid supplementation to diets low in crude protein. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 4:115-122.
- Kies A.K., K. H. F. Van Hemert and W. C. Sauer (2001). Effect of phytase on protein and amino acid digestibility and energy utilization. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, 57: 109-126.
- Kocher A, M. Chcot, M. D. Propter and J. Broz (2002). Effects of feed enzymes on nutritive value of soybean meal fed to broilers. *British Poultry Science*, 43: 54-63.
- Lipstein, B., S. Bornstein and I. Bartov (1975). The replacement of some of the soybean meal by the first limiting amino acids in practical broiler diets. 3. Effects of protein concentration and amino acid supplementation in broiler finisher diets on fat deposition on the carcass. *Br. Poult. Sci.*, 16:627-635.
- Makled, M. N. (1991). The potentials of probiotics in poultry feeds- A review, pp. 54-71, In *Proceedings of the 3<sup>rd</sup> scientific symposium for animal, poultry and fish nutrition*. Sakha, Egypt.
- Meng, X., B. A. Slominski, C. M. Nyachoti, L. D. Campbell, and W. Guenter (2005). Degradation of cell wall polysaccharides by combinations of carbohydrase enzymes and their effect on nutrient utilization and broiler chicken performance *Poultry Sci.* 84:37-47
- National Research Council (1994). *Nutrient Requirements of Poultry*. 9th revised ed., National Academy Press. Washington DC., USA.

- Panda, AK, V. S. Rama Rao, M. V. L. N. Raju, S. S. Gajula and S. K. Bhanja (2007). Performance of broiler chickens fed low non phytate phosphorus diets supplemented with microbial phytase. *J. Poultry Sci.*, 44:25-264.
- Patterson, J.A. and K. M. Burkholder (2003). Application of prebiotics and probiotics in poultry production. *Poultry. Sci.*, 82:627-631.
- Piray, A. H., H. Kermanshahi, A. M. Tahmasbi and J. Bahrampour (2007). Effects of cecal and aspergillus meal prebiotic (Fermacto) on growth performance and organ weights of broiler chickens. *Int. J. Poultry Science* 6:340-344.
- Retiman S and S. Frankel (1957). Calorimetric method for the determination of blood, aminotransferase enzymatic activities. *American Journal of Clinical Pathology*, 28: 56- 63.
- Saleh, F. H., A. Ohtsuk, T. Tanak and K. Hayashi (2003). Effect of enzymes of microbial organs on *in vitro* digestibilities of dry matter, and crude protein of maize. *Journal of Poultry Science*, 40: 274-281.
- SAS Institute (1990). SAS® User's Guide: Statistics. Version 6<sup>th</sup> ed., SAS Institute Inc., NC. USA.
- Selle P. H, V. Ravindran V, W. L. Bryden and T.Scott (2006). Influence of dietary phytate and exogenous phytase on amino acid digestibility in poultry: A review. *Journal of Poultry Sci.*, 43:89-103.
- Sendroy, J. JR. (1944). Determination of Calcium in plasma. *J. Biological Chemistry*, 152: 539.
- Sohail, S. S., M. M. Bryant and D.A. Roland. (2003). The effect of glycine supplementation on performance of broilers fed sub-marginal protein with adequate synthetic methionine and lysine. *Int. Poult. Sci.*, 2 (6):394-397.
- Sun, X., A. A McElroy, K. E. Webb, Jr., A. E. Sefton and C. Novak (2005). Broiler performance and intestinal alterations when fed drug-free diets. *Poultry Science* 84:1294-1302.
- Volovinskaia V. P. and B. Y. Kelman (1962). Modification of the water holding capacity method of meat F.D. industry, 11: 80 Moscow.
- Waldroup, P. W., Q. Jiang and C.A. Fritts (2005). Effects of supplementing broiler diets low in crude protein with essential and non essential amino acids. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 4 (6):425-431.
- Watson D. (1960). Determination of cholesterol in blood. *Clinical Chemist Acta*, 5: 637.
- Yamazaki, M., H. Murakami, K. Nakashima, H. Abe and M. Takemasa (2006). Effects of excess amino acids in low protein diet on abdominal fat deposition and nitrogen excretion of the broiler chicks. *J. of Poult. Sci.*, 43:150-155.
- Yonemochi C, Fujisaki H and Takagi H (2003). Effects of amino acid, enzyme mixture and phytase added to low protein and low phosphorus diet on performance and excretion of nitrogen and phosphorus in broilers. *J. of Poultry Sci.*, 40: 14-120.
- Zanella, I., N. K. Sakomura, F. G. Silversides, A. Figueirido and M . Pack (1999). Effect of enzyme supplementation of broiler diets based on corn and soybeans. *Poultry Science* 78:561-568.

Zeweil, H.S. (1996). Enzyme supplements to diets of growing Japanese quails. Egypt. Poul. Sci. J., 16: 535-557.

**تأثير إضافة البروبيوتك و مخلوط الإنزيمات المحتوي علي الفيتيز علي الصفات الإنتاجية و جودة اللحم و بعض المكونات البيوكيميائية في بلازما الدم لدجاج اللحم المغذي علي مستويات مختلفة من البروتين**  
فؤاد عبد الواحد عجور، فوزي صديق إسماعيل و السيد أحمد شقمق  
قسم إنتاج الدواجن-كلية الزراعة-جامعة المنصورة

أجريت هذه الدراسة بهدف تحسين الاستفادة من مستويات البروتين المختلفة (٢٠ أو ٢٢%) في مرحلة البادي و (٢٠ و ١٨%) في مرحلة النامي-الناهي) في علائق دجاج اللحم عند إضافة مخلوط الإنزيمات التجاري المحتوي علي الفيتيز و البروبيوتك و ذلك في علائق نباتية مكونه طبقا لتوصيات المجلس القومي لبحوث بأمريكا ١٩٩٤، و بهذا تكونت ٦ معاملات تجريبية في تصميم عاملي يتكون من مستويين من البروتين الخام و ثلاث معاملات تحت كل مستوي و غذيت العلائق في الفترة من ١-٤٩ يوم من العمر و عند نهاية التجربة ذبحت ثلاث طيور من كل معاملة و أجريت تجربة لدراسة كل من صفات النمو و معاملات الهضم و خصائص الذبيحة و جودة اللحم بعض مكونات بلازما الدم البيوكيميائية

**وأظهرت النتائج الآتي:-**

- ١- زاد معدل النمو معنويا نتيجة التغذية علي مستوي البروتين الموصي به، بينما نقص استهلاك العلف و تحسنت الكفاءة التحويلية للغذاء و معدل تحويل البروتين و الكفاءة الاقتصادية، زادت منشطات النمو من معدلات النمو و خفضت من استهلاك العلف و حسنت من الكفاءة التحويلية للغذاء و معدل تحويل البروتين و الكفاءة الاقتصادية و كانت كفاءة البروبيوتك أفضل من مخلوط الإنزيمات المحتوي علي الفيتيز، كما أدي إضافة مخلوط الإنزيمات أو البروبيوتك الي العلائق المنخفضة في البروتين الخام إلي تحسين معدلات النمو و الكفاءة التحويلية للغذاء و معدل تحويل البروتين و الكفاءة الاقتصادية بحيث أصبحت أفضل من مجموعة الكنترول الايجابي و كانت كفاءة البروبيوتك أفضل من مخلوط الإنزيمات في كلا مستوي البروتين.
  - ٢- أدت إضافة البروبيوتك و مخلوط الإنزيمات إلي تحسنا معنويا في معامل هضم المادة الجافة و الألياف الخام بالمقارنة بمجموعة الكنترول.
  - ٣- أدي زيادة مستوي البروتين إلي زيادة معنوية في النسبة المئوية للجزء الأمامي للذبيحة و نقص في نسبة الجزء الخلفي و الصدري، ولم تتأثر جودة اللحم من حيث التحليل الكيماوي و الصفات الطبيعية للحوم بالمعاملات تحت الدارسة.
  - ٥- أدي مخلوط الإنزيمات إلي زيادة معنوية في محتوى بلازما الدم من الكالسيوم و الفسفور، بينما أدي إضافة البروبيوتك إلي زيادة معنوية في محتوى بلازما الدم من الفسفور و نقص في محتوى بلازما الدم من أنزيم ALT مما يظهر التحسن في وظائف الكبد.
- ومن هذا يتضح إمكانية استخدام العلف النباتي المحتوي علي ٢٠% بروتين خام في علائق البادي و ١٨% بروتين خام في علائق النامي و الناهي عند تدعيمه بالبروبيوتك دون نتائج سلبية علي معدلات النمو و الكفاءة التحويلية للغذاء و الكفاءة الاقتصادية مع تحسن الكفاءة الاقتصادية مما يستدعي إجراء مزيد من البحوث لخفض تكلفة العلف.