Genetic Evaluation for Some Productive and Reproductive Traits in Friesian Cows Raised in Egypt Safaa S. Sanad¹ and M. S. Hassanane² ¹Animal Production Research Institute (APRI), Dokki,, Egypt. ² Cell Biology Department, National Research Center, Dokki, Giza, Egypt Email : dr_safaasalah@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to estimate the genetic parameters (heritability, and breeding values) as well as the effect of non-genetic factors such as (abdominal arrangement, season and year of calving also interactions between these factors) for some productive and reproductive traits. From the studied traits: total milk yield (TMY, kg), 305 day milk yield (305d-MY, kg), Lactation period (LP, day) as milk production traits, number of services per conception (NSC, services) and age at first calving (AFC, month) as reproductive traits. A total number of 1876 lactation records of 586 cows (daughters of 374 dams and 58 sires) in Sakha herd, during the period from 2001 to 2015 in dairy Friesian herd in farm Egypt. The analysis was performed using SAS (2003). The model included the random effects of genetic factors (sire effect) and fixed effects (parity, season and year of calving). In addition Single-trait animal models were used to estimate genetic parameters; Animal model was used to estimate heritability and breeding value. 1- Actual means of TMY, 305d-MY, LP, NSC and AFC were 4038.56kg ; 3734.8 kg; 317.8 day; 1.93 and 32.5 month respectively. 2- Heritability (h²) estimated of TMY, 305d-MY, LP, NSC and AFC were 0.17, 0.25, 0.17, 0.06 and 0.36 respectively. 3- Rank correlation (were computed between predicted breeding values) among TMY, 305d-MY LP, NSC and AFC were highly significant effect, the ranged from -0.17 to 0.59 in production traits. 4- Regression coefficients of estimated breeding values of cow on time were negative and no significant for all studied traits 5- The range of breeding value (BV) for cows was high for most of studied traits. The ranges of breeding values of cows for TMY, 305d-MY, LP, NSC and AFC were 2823, 1744, 2848, 0.754 and 7.68 respectively. In conclusion, The difficulty of genetic improvement of NSC by selection due to the low heritability of this trait but can be improved by increasing good care and improving environmental conditions together. Higher ranges of BV for cows for most of studied traits indicate higher genetic variation and higher opportunity for selection of top cows in breeding value, which would result in rapid genetic progress in the future generations. The study recommends that this be confirmed by molecular genetics to detect the location of quantitative traits that affect milk production and selection of the cows carrying these genes.

Keywords: Productive, reproductive, traits, genetics, heritability, Friesian, Egypt.

INTRODUCTION

Milk production traits of Friesian dairy cattle depends on genetic and environmental factors. Epaphras *et al.*, (2004).

(AFC) has a significant influence on the total cost of raising dairy replacements with older calving heifers being more expensive to raise than younger (Tozer and Heinrichs, 2001). Reducing AFC can also improve the profitability of the enterprise by increasing lifetime milk production (Lin *et al.*, 1988). AFC can be reduced by a combination of increasing prepubertal average daily gain and decreasing age at breeding (Radcliff *et al.*, 2000) or by reducing age at breeding alone (Ettema and Santos, 2004). Estimation of the heritability and breeding values for such milk production traits in dairy cows is necessary for the determination of an optimal breeding strategy (Ahmad *et al.*, 2001 and Javed *et al.*, 2004).

The objective of this study was to estimate phenotypic and genetic parameters of milk production traits in herd cattle raised in Egypt.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data source:

The present study used a total number of 1876 lactation records of 586 cows (daughters of 374 dams and 58 sires for nine parity) during a period from 2001 to 2015 in a Friesian herd of Sakha Research Station which belongs to (APRI), Egypt . Analyzed data is shown in Table 1.

 Table 1. Analyzed data for Friesian herd raised in

 Found

Items	Number		
Records	1876		
Sires	58		
Dams	374		
Cows	586		

Herd management

Animal nutrition depends on concentrate feed mixture along with wheat or rice straw in addition to Egyptian cloves in winter or clover hay during summer. Food was supplied to the cows according to their live weight, milk production and pregnancy status. Free clean water and mineral mixture were available all time. Cow were machine milked twice a day in a parlor at 5 am and at 5 pm. Heifers in farm were served when reaching 18 month of age or 305 kg of live body weight, cows were mated via artificially insemination by using frozen semen from proven sires and pregnancy diagnosis by rectal palpation. The cows were dried off about two months before the next calving. Besides all herd had veterinary consultants for disease management.

Parameter traits studied

The performance traits under study were. (TMY, kg), (305d-MY, kg), (LP, day), (NSC, services) and (AFC, month), the difference (days) between the date of birth and date of first calving.

Statistical analysis:

Data was analyses by using the general linear model (GLM) procedure of (SAS 2003).

 $Y_{ijklm} = \mu + S_i + P_j + SE_k + YR_l + e_{ijklm}$

where,

 $\begin{array}{l} Y_{ijklm} = the \ individual \ observation; \ \mu = the \ overall \ means; \ S_i= \ a \\ random \ effect \ of \ i^{th} \ sire; \ P_j= the \ fixed \ effect \ of \ j^{th} \ parity \ of \ calving; \\ SE_k= the \ fixed \ effect \ of \ k^{th} \ season \ of \ calving; \ YR_i= the \ fixed \ effect \ of \ l^{th} \\ year \ of \ calving \ and \ e_{ijklm}= the \ residual \ effect \ with \ e_{ijklm} \sim N \ (0 \ , \sigma^2_e). \\ \end{array}$

The same previous mentioned data was used to estimate of (heritability, and breeding values) for (TMY), (305d-MY), (LP), (NSC) and (AFC) of Friesian cows in Sakha herds.



 (h^2) and BV of studied traits were estimated with derivative-free restricted maximum likelihood (MTDFREML) procedures using the single-trait Animal Model (STAM). According to Boldman *et al.*, (1995) by using Animal Model, The assumed model was:

where.

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{Z}_1\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{Z}_2\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{e} ,$$

y: a vector of observations, b: a vector of fixed effect, a, p and e are the vectors of direct additive genetic effect, permanent environmental effect and the residual effect, respectively, X, Z_1 and Z_2 are incidence matrix relating individual records to b, a and p.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Actual means, standard deviation (SD) and Coefficients of Variations (CV%) for milk production traits are given in Table (2). The present means of TMY, 305d-MY and LP were 4039 kg, 3735 kg and 318 day, respectively. These results were higher than those found by Mostafa et al., (2013). On the other hand is lower than those reported by Khattab and Atil(1999), Salem et al., (2006) and Ihlam(2012). The average (NSC) was 1.93, similar to Niazi and Aleem(2003), Sattar et al. (2005) and Ihlam et al (2012). Average of (AFC) for Friesian heifers was 32.49 months this value is similar to findings of Abou-Bakr et al., (2006) for 1172.3 day. While, this is lower than 39.2 that observed by Tadesse et al., (2010). On the other hand the present value is higher than 27.8 and 25.7 months published by Salem(1998), Afifi et al., (2004) and Ihlam et al (2012). The present means of milk production traits are reasonable if the differences in genotypes, climate conditions, management practices and feeding conditions are taken in account.

Table 2.shows the large CV% values for studied milk traits. The values of coefficients of variation (CV %)

for TMY and 305d MY in the present study are lower than those reported by Amr (2013) and Sanad and Afifi (2016)and higher than those recorded by Abou-Bakr *et al*. (2006). These values reflects a great variation between individuals in such an important traits.

Least square means (LSM) and standard errors (S.E) for factors affecting TMY, 305d-MY, LP, NSC and AFC are shown in table (3).

Results shown in table.3 revealed that milk production in general did not show no clear trend for the effect of year of calving.

Table 2. Actual means, standard deviations (SD) and coefficients of variation (CV %) for milk production traits in Friesian cows.

production traits in Friesian cows.						
Traits	No.	Means	SD	CV %		
T MY (kg)	1876	4039	1572.7	38.9		
305d-MY (kg)	1876	3735	1168.6	31.3		
LP (day)	1876	318	115.5	36.4		
NSC (services)	1876	1.93	0.65	33.4		
AFC (month)	1876	32.49	4.28	13.2		

Table (3) display the effects of parity, season and year of calving on TMY, 305d-MY LP, NSC and AFC. Mean of TMY for autumn and spring had longer than compared summery (table 3) this results agreement Usman *et al* (2011) and Abdel-Gader *et al.*, (2007). Averages of 305d-MY were higher for cows calved in winter and autumn than in spring and summer. This finding points to the importance of green fodder season in improving milk productivity.

The LP tended to increase with advancement of parity up to the 2^{nd} lactation period then declined thereafter. While, LP was longer in spring and summer compared with winter and autumn.

 Table 3. Least square means (LSM) and standard error (SE) for factors affecting milk production traits in Friesian cows raised in Egypt.

Independent variable	ndent variable NNO TMY		305d-MY L P±SE		NSC±SE	AFC±SE
I		Mean ± SE,kg	Mean ± SE,kg	Mean ± SE,d	Mean ± SE	Mean ± SE,mo
		Parity		,		,
1	449	3894.2±123.1	3894.2±91.1	317.2±9.0	1.97 ± 0.05	33.1±0.31
2	320	4126.8±132.9	3863.4±98.4	342.4±9.8	1.87 ± 0.05	33.1±0.34
3	317	4013.8±128.5	3850.7±95.0	323.3±9.4	1.88 ± 0.05	33.3±0.33
4	217	3925.0±150.2	3629.0±111.1	315.6±11.0	1.73±0.06	32.9±0.39
5	150	4014.9±166.7	3713.1±123.4	330.1±12.2	1.71 ± 0.06	32.6±0.43
6	203	4120.6±159.1	3760.9±117.7	320.9±11.7	1.78 ± 0.06	32.9 ± 0.40
7	114	4123.1±187.4	3923.7±138.7	333.9±13.8	1.61 ± 0.06	32.9 ± 0.48
8	58	4185.4±244.5	3552.4±180.9	332.1±17.9	1.87 ± 0.01	34.8 ± 0.63
9	48	4120.3±263.0	3627.5±194.6	326.7±19.3	1.7 ± 0.01	34.4±0.67
		Season of calvin	g			
Autumn	570	4090.1±153.6	3738.3±113.7	326.0±11.3	1.7 ± 0.06	33.4 ± 0.39
Winter	516	4022.1 ± 146.7	3873.1±108.6	313.6±10.7	1.91±0.06	32.9 ± 0.38
Spring	428	4295.8±212.6	3730.3±157.3	332.4±15.6	1.79 ± 0.08	33.3 ± 0.55
Summer	362	3872.6±165.8	3687.1±122.7	335.6±12.17	1.75 ± 0.06	33.74 ± 0.42
		Year of calving				
2001	149	3618.3±163.5	3325.5±121.0	277.3±12.02	1.80 ± 0.07	34.21±0.42
2002	129	3877.5±172.2	3494.9±127.4	280.2 ± 12.6	1.81 ± 0.07	33.3 ± 0.44
2003	137	4261.6±168.8	3797.3±124.9	304.1 ± 12.4	1.8 ± 0.07	33.9 ± 0.4
2004	190	4298.1±144.7	3774.8 ± 107.0	299.1±10.6	1.8 ± 0.06	33.9 ± 0.37
2005	220	4020.8 ± 147.0	3726.3 ± 108.9	315.9 ± 10.8	1.9 ± 0.06	34.13 ± 0.37
2006	223	3775.5 ± 142.2	3447.3 ± 105.2	325.9 ± 10.4	1.8 ± 0.05	32.6 ± 0.37
2007	195	3829.7±155.1	3550.9 ± 114.8	324.8 ± 11.4	1.7 ± 0.06	32.3 ± 0.40
2008	229	3884.6 ± 142.1	385.2 ± 105.2	322.9 ± 10.4	1.73 ± 0.06	32.8 ± 0.37
2009	231	3377.9 ± 145.7	3326.8 ± 107.8	278.6 ± 10.7	1.86 ± 0.06	33.5 ± 0.37
2010	16	4597.6±434.4	4077.8 ± 321.4	381.3 ± 31.9	1.48 ± 0.17	33.65 ± 1.12
2011	22	4396.8±484.8	4049.3 ± 258.7	373.0 ± 35.6	1.94 ± 0.20	32.18 ± 1.25
2012	30	4135.9 ± 315.3	3869.3±233.3	346.7±23.14	1.61 ± 0.13	32.8 ± 0.81
2013	36	3605.4±300.7	3524.6±222.5	321.3 ± 22.07	1.76 ± 0.12	33.1 ± 0.78
2014	35	$3\pm919.9\pm468.6$	4094.6 ± 346.8	328.2 ± 34.4	1.80 ± 0.19	33.7 ± 1.21
2015	34	5452.9±358.6	4613.3±265.4	424.0±26.3	1.70±0.14	33.9 ± 0.9

J. Animal and Poultry Prod., Mansoura Univ., Vol. 8 (8), August, 2017

These results agree with the finding of Sanad and Afifi (2016) reported that, year of calving had significant effect on TMY and LP for Friesian cow. The year of calving showed that no clear trend observed in the mean of milk traits over the year study (table, 3). These results are in agreement with Sanad *et al.* (2013). The difference from year to year of calving may be due to the variation in management practices and change in herd size from year to another.

Table 4. Combined Least Squares Analysis of Variance for different factors affecting milk production traits in Friesian cows.

Source of variation	DF	Mean Squares					
		TMY	305d-MY	LP	NSC	AFG	
Sire	57	3259339.2*	2556260.3***	17389.1*	0.57720698*	94.1***	
Parity	8	1007230.0 ^{n.s}	2186598.2*	18232.2 ^{n.s}	2.07713258***	32.1*	
Season of calving	3	2469339 ^{n.s}	878196.2 ^{n.s}	11975.7 ^{n.s}	0.90186777*	15.7 ^{n.s}	
Year of calving	14	1153956.9***	4045564.4 ^{n.s}	59013.2***	0.48110503 ^{n.s}	38.2**	
parity* Season	24	2774065.2 ^{n.s}	958132.6 ^{n.s}	14396.7 ^{n.s}	0.321086 ^{n.s}	19.5 ^{n.s}	
season* Year	42	2606354.8 ^{n.s}	1627003.5 ^{n.s}	10476.1 ^{n.s}	0.38185632 ^{n.s}	13.1 ^{n.s}	
Residual	1727	2333293	1277444	12567.8	0.3994815	15.56	
* - significant at D<0.05	** - sign	ificant at D< 0.01 **	* - significant at D< (0.001 ns = non sign	ificant		

* = significant at P<0.05, ** = significant at P< 0.01, *** = significant at P< 0.001, ns = non significant

The effect of sire had highly significant (P<0.01) effect on TMY, 305d-MY, LP, NSC and AFC and significant effect on TMY, LP and NSC(P <0.05) (table 4). The results lead to important effective role of sire in improving milk production traits. Similar results were obtained by Amr (2013) and Sanad (2016).

Parity had highly significant effect on NSC Table.4 (P <0.001) and significant effect on 305d-MY and AFC (P < 0.01) but not significant effect on TMY and LP. Gabr (2005) suggested that the differences in TMY and 305d-MY among parities were highly significant. Parity had non-significant effect on TMY Also reported by Amasaib *et al.* (2008) for Friesian while significant effect was stated by Ihlam *et al* (2012) and Khair *et al.*, (2007) that reported a significant effect on TMY. Attaral (2009) and Allam (2011) obtained that parity had a highly significant effect on LP. Sanad and Afifi (2016) had a highly significant effect on TMY, 305d-MY and LP in Friesian cattle raised in Egypt. Hussein (2000) using Friesian cows, found no significant effect of parity on 305d-MY and LP, Also, Gabr (2005) recorded no significant effect of parity on LP.

Season of calving had not significant effect on all milk production traits study (P< 0.05) except that for NSC was significant (P < 0.01) as shown in table (4). Gabr (2005) found that season of calving had highly significant effect on TMY and LP, while no significant effect on 305 day milk yield.

Effect year of calving as reported not was significant affected on 305d-MY and NSC, table. 4 Similar results were obtained by Alattar (2009) and Allam (2011). In this study. Interaction between (parity & season) and the interaction between (season and parity) were not significant on all traits in table. 4. These results were disagreed with those obtained by Abosaq *et al.*, (2016) and Amr (2013) reported that had a highly significant effect on milk production. The differences between results could to the management practices, age of the cows, environmental conditions, and different herd size among farms.

Estimates of heritability (h2) for productive and reproductive traits of dairy Friesian cattle are shown in table (5). Medium heritability estimates were recorded for TMY (0.17 ± 0.0001) and 305-DMY (0.25 ± 0.001) and Very low heritability estimates was recorded for NSC (0.06 ± 0.021), while heritability estimates was high for AFC (0.36 ± 0.0001) (Table 5).The lower estimates of h2 NSC concluded that environmental variation contributed

the major part of the total variation for the reproductive traits, thus management may be an effective factor in improving such traits.

Table	5. Habit	ability (h²), (C	C*),, ar	nd error	(e ²)	for
milk _l	production	traits in	n Fries	ian cov	ws.		

Traits	h²±SE	C ² ±SE	e ± SE		
TMY	0.17 ± 0.0001	0.009±0.001	0.82 ± 0.0001		
305d-MY	0.25 ± 0.001	0.00012 ± 0.0001	0.74 ± 0.0001		
LP	0.17 ± 0.0001	0.0049 ± 0.0001	0.83 ± 0.0001		
NSC	0.06 ± 0.021	0.0004 ± 0.029	0.94 ± 0.034		
AFC	0.36±0.0001	0.000012 ± 0.0001	0.64 ± 0.00001		
$h^{2=}$ heritability , $C^2 = Direct$ permanent environmental variance					
effect and e =residual variance.					

In general the estimates of h2 for productive traits in this study were moderate, so any effort to improve these traits by selection within the herd would be ineffective and the most useful way of improving these traits would be by improving management level. El-Arian *et al.*, (2002 observed that h2 estimates for MY, LP, were 0.32 and 0.07, respectively .Similar result was reported by Sanad (2016); Hammoud (2013); Awed and Afifi (2003).

Direct permanent environmental estimates of 0.009, 0.00012, 0.0049, 0.0004 and 0.000012 were obtained for TMY, 305d-MY, LP, NSC and AFC, respectively. These estimates were lower than those reported by Khattab *et al.*, (2005). These authors obtained that C2 estimates for 305d-MY and AFC were 0.06 and 0.11, respectively. Joao *et al.* (2015) working on dairy Gyr cattle showed that C2 estimates for 305d-MY was 0.19.

Estimation Pearson correlation was computed between predicted BV. Phenotypic correlation among the studied traits are present in (Table 6).

Table 6.	Pearson predict diagona betwee	correlatio ed bre al) and n milk tra	on was c eding phenot uits (aboy	omputed values ypic co ze diagon	between (below rrelation al).
Traits	TMY	305d	LP	NSC	AFC
TMY		0.43***	0.59***	-0.02***	-0.02***
305d-MY	0.534***		0. 52***	-0.12***	-0.17***
LP	0.526***	0.399***		0.01	-0.07
NSC	0.059*	0.049*	-0.005		0.15***
AFC	-0.012	-0.041	-0.023	0.0196	

The results in Table 6 .Pearson correlations were noted among the breeding values for TMY, 305d-MY, LP, NSC and AFC were positive and highly significant (P< 0.001) among except TMY,NSC,305-dMY and AFC were negative and highly significant. The pearson correlation

(rg) between TMY,305d-MY and LP positive, while (rg) between the productive traits and reproductive traits (NSC and AFC) was negative (rg) ranged from (-0.17 to 0.59), while Phenotypic correlation (rp) were (-0.041 to 0.53) in table 6 . Positive genetic associations were estimated between TMY and 305d-MY(0.53) and LP(0.53).While (rg) were estimates negative TMY and AFG(-0.02) similar to the findings Mostafa *et al* (2013); Sanad and Afifi (2016) ; Çilek et al (2008) observed that Pearson correlations between the estimated BV for 305-d and TMY for cows was high significant (P<0.01) and as 0.876. However, Kaygisiz (2013) observed that Pearson correlations between the estimated BV for 305-dMY and TMD for cows and sires were notably high with 0.78(P<0.01) and 0.90 (P<0.01) respectively.

Rank correlation coefficients among breeding value for productive and reproductive traits are given in table 7. Rank correlation estimates between TMY, 305d-MY, LP, NSC and AFC were positive and highly significant, ranged from -0.15 to 0.59. On the other hand all rank correlation coefficients between reproductive traits were negative and ranged between -0.02 and -0.17. A nearly similar result was found by Mostafa *et al.* (1999) and Nazem *et al.* (2001).

Table	7.	Rank	correlatio	n co	oefficients	among
		breeding	value	for	productiv	e and
		reproduc	ctive traits	in Fr	riesian cows	5.

Traits	Rank correlation coefficients					
	305d	LP	NSC	AFC		
TMY	0.52***	0.43***	-0.13***	-0.17***		
305d-MY		0. 59**	0.01 ^{ns}	-0.03*		
LP			-0.02*	-0.02^{ns}		
NSC				0.15***		

* = significant at P< 0.05, ** = significant at P< 0.01, *** = significant at P<0.001, ns = non-significant

Regression coefficients (b \pm S.E)) of cows on AFC for Productive and reproductive traits in cows were negative or positive significant and non-significant for all studied traits (Table 8). This might be attributed to lack of cows' selection or to use of cows with variable genetic background from different sources. Abdel-Glil (1996) obtained regression coefficients of estimated breeding values of Holstein sires on time of -12.20 ± 8.4 kg/year, -4.5 ± 3.8 kg/year and 1.89 ± 0.94 day/year for TMY, 305-DMY and LP, respectively. Also, Elshalmani (2011) reported regression coefficients of estimated breeding values of Friesian sires on time of -7.030 kg/year, 0.001 day/year and -0.096 day/year for MY, LP and DO, respectively, with no apparent specific genetic trend which reflected the lack of genetic progress achieved over time. Hammoud (2013) found that regression coefficients of estimated breeding values of Friesian sires on time of -50, 6, -50.4 and -0.83 for TMY, 305d and LP, respectively.

Table 8. Regression coefficients ($b \pm S.E$)) of cows onAFC for Productive and reproductivetraits in Existing course

traits in Frieslan cows.			
Traits	b ±SE		
TMY	-2.65 ± 5.27		
305d-MY	-6.88 ± 3.92		
LP	-0.38 ± 0.38		
NSC	0.002 ± 0.002		

Cow BV minimum(min) ,maximum(maxi) , Range , Standard error (S.E) and accuracy of cow BV for milk productive traits (TMY, 305-d MY and LP and reproductive traits (NSC and AFC) are given in table.9 . The ranges of cow BV for (TMY), (305d-MY), (LP), (NSC) and (AFC) of Friesian cows in Sakha herds are 2822.59 kg, 1743.37kg, 2847.45 day , 0.75 and 7.68 months, respectively table.9, The wider the range the wider the genetic variation that gives the chance for improvement of the considered trait through selection of superior cows in BV. The ranges of estimates for traits higher than those recorded by Amr (2013) and Sanad (2016).

The accuracy of min and max estimates of cow BV Table .9 for TMY, 305d-MY, LP, NSC and AFC ranged from 0.63 to 0.95. Ismail (2006) showed that the accuracy of those traits ranged from 0.43 to 0.80.

Table 9. Min, maxi, Range, S.E and accuracy of predicted BV of studied traits.

Minimum	S.E	Accuracy	Maximum	S.E	Accuracy	Range
-902.97	464.27	0.69	1919.62	485.62	0.65	2823
-748.34	326.06	0.67	995.02	343.37	0.63	1744
-906.64	465.79	0.69	1940.81	487.78	0.66	2847
-0.39	0.16	0.70	0.364	0.15	0.75	0.75
-1.88	0.61	0.95	5.8	0.79	0.92	7.68
	Minimum -902.97 -748.34 -906.64 -0.39 -1.88	Minimum S.E -902.97 464.27 -748.34 326.06 -906.64 465.79 -0.39 0.16 -1.88 0.61	Minimum S.E Accuracy -902.97 464.27 0.69 -748.34 326.06 0.67 -906.64 465.79 0.69 -0.39 0.16 0.70 -1.88 0.61 0.95	Minimum S.E Accuracy Maximum -902.97 464.27 0.69 1919.62 -748.34 326.06 0.67 995.02 -906.64 465.79 0.69 1940.81 -0.39 0.16 0.70 0.364 -1.88 0.61 0.95 5.8	Minimum S.E Accuracy Maximum S.E -902.97 464.27 0.69 1919.62 485.62 -748.34 326.06 0.67 995.02 343.37 -906.64 465.79 0.69 1940.81 487.78 -0.39 0.16 0.70 0.364 0.15 -1.88 0.61 0.95 5.8 0.79	Minimum S.E Accuracy Maximum S.E Accuracy -902.97 464.27 0.69 1919.62 485.62 0.65 -748.34 326.06 0.67 995.02 343.37 0.63 -906.64 465.79 0.69 1940.81 487.78 0.66 -0.39 0.16 0.70 0.364 0.15 0.75 -1.88 0.61 0.95 5.8 0.79 0.92

Range = Maximum minus Minimum.

CONCLUSION

High and moderate heritability estimates suggest genetic improvement through selection to achieve higher productivity in future generations. The results of the study also indicate Low heritability (NSC) in Friesian cattle raised in Egypt, which means that it is difficult to improve this trait through direct selection, which can be improved by improving environmental conditions and good care. The study also identified the possibility of selecting superior cows based on the breeding value (BV) of genetic improvement for the following generations.

The study recommends that these superior cows should be selected on the basis of BV by detecting the location of the traits that affect the milk production of these cows through molecular genetics.

REFERECES

- Abdel-Gader A.Z, Khair M, Ahmed A; Lutfi M.A, Peters K.J. (2007). Milk yield and reproductive performance of Friesian cows under Sudan tropical conditions. Arch Tierz Dum. 50:155-164.
- Abdel-Glil, M.F. (1996) Estimation of genetic parameters and genetic trends for some milk traits in a herd of Friesian cows in Egypt. J.Agric. Mansoura Univ.; 21:3479.
- Abosaq, F. M.; Zahran, S. M.; Khattab, A. S.; Zeweil, H. S. and Sallam, S. M.(2016)Improving reproductively and productivity traits using selection indices in Friesian cows J Alex. Univ. Fac. Agric. (Saba Basha), Alex.Univ.Egypt.

- Abou-Bakr, S.; Alhammad, H. O. A.; Sadek, R. R. and Nigm, A. A. (2006). Productive and reproductive characteristics of Holstein cows raised under intensive farming system in Egypt. Egypt. J. Anim. Prod. 43: 91.
- Afifi .,E.A.,AbdelGlil, M.F. ; Salem.M.A; A. Arafa and Safaa . S.S. I (2004) Genetic Aspects of age at first calving and longevity traits in a commercial Holstein herd raised under Egyptian condition. Annals of Agric .Sci ., Moshtohor, 42 (3); 1027-1038.
- Ahmad, M.; Van Der Werf, J. H. J. and Javed, K. (2001).Genetic and phenotypic correlation for some economic traits in dairy cattle.Pakistan Vet. J. 21: 81.
- Allam, A.A.F. (2011). Evaluation of productive and reproductive performance of Friesian cattle under Egyptian condition. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Of Agric. Damanhur Univ. Egypt
- Amasaib, E. O, Abu Nikhaila, A. M, FadelElseed, A.N.M.A and Mohamed H E. (2008).Effect of Season of Calving and Parity on Some Productive Traits in Pure and Crossbred Cattle in Sudan.*Research Journal of Dairy Sciences*,2 (1), 5-8, 2008.
- Amr .M . A. (2013) Evaluation of performance of some dairy herds in Egypt PhD .Thesis Face .Agaric . Alexandria Universe . Egypt
- Awad, S. H.and Afifi .A.A (2003) Relationships between milk production and some reproductive performance in Friesian cows Egypt .J .Appl.Sci , 18(6) 2003 .
- Çilek, S., Orhan, H. Kaygisiz A.and Sahin E. H. (2008).Estimation of Breeding Values of AnatolianPopulation of Simmental cows using monthly test day milk yield. Arch. ootech .,11: 79 -85
- Boldman, K. G.; Kriese L. A.; Van Vleck L. D. and Kachman S. D. 1995.A manual for use of MTDFREML.A set of programs to obtain estimates of variances and covariances (DRAFT). ARS, USDA, Washington, D. C.
- El-Arian, M.N.,El-Alwady, N.G and Khattab, A.S (2002) Predicting breeding values of milk production traits using an animal model of Friesian cows in Egypt . 53rd Annual Meeting of the European.
- El-Attar, S.M. (2009) .Breeding value of Holstein-Friesian cattle in Egypt .M.SC .Thesis .Fac. Of Agric .Alex .Univ. Egypt .
- El-Shalmani, A.F. (2011) Evaluation of production performance in relation to genetic structure of some economical traits in Friesian cows. Ph.D. Fac of Agric Saba Basha Alex Univ Egypt.
- Epaphras, A., Karimuribo E.D. and Msellem S.N. (2004) Effect of season and parity on lactation of crossbred Ayrshire cows reared under coastal tropical climate in Tanzania. Livest.Res. Rural. Dev. 6 (16) Online: URL: http://www.cipa v. org.co/lrrd/lrrd16/6/epap16042.

- Ettema, J. F. and Santos, J. E. P.(2004). Impact of age at calving on lactation, reproduction, health, and income in first-parity Holsteins on commercial farms. J. Dairy Sci. 87:2730-2742.
- Gabr, A.A. (2005) .Evaluation of some economic traits of Friesian cows in Egypt .M.Sc. Thesis, Fac . Agric. Mansoura Univ. Egypt .
- Ismail (2006) .Phenotypic and Genetic parameters of milk production and reproductive performance of Holstein cattle under the intensive production system in Egypt . Ph.D. Thesis , Fac. Agric . Banha Univ. Egypt.
- Hammoud, M.H., (2013). Genetic aspects of some first lactation traits of Holstein cows in Egypt.Alexandria Journal Agriculture Research, 58: 295-300.
- Hussein ,K.E.(2000). Environmental and genetically factors affecting milk production of Friesian breed . Ph.D. Thesis, Face of Agric., Mansoura Univ., Egypt .
- Ihlam, I.E; Mohmed, O.E; and Ibrahim . S.Y. (2012) Estimation of Genetic and Non-Genetic Parameters of Friesian Cattle under Hot Climate Journal of Agricultural Science Vol. 4, No. 4; 2012
- Javed K, Akhtar M, and Afzal M (2004). Phenotypic and genetic correlations between first lactation milk yield and some performance traits in Sahiwal cattle.Pakistan Vet. J. 24(1):9-12.
- João Cruz Reis Filho, Rui da Silva Verneque, Robledo de Almeida Torres, Paulo Sávio Lopes, Fernanda Santos Silva Raidan and Fabio LuizBuraneloToral (2015).Inbreeding on productive and reproductive traits of dairy Gyr cattle R. Bras. Zootec., 44(5):174-179.
- Kaygisiz. A (2013). Estimation of genetic parameters and breeding values for dairy cattle using test-day milk yield records. The Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences, 2 3(2): 2013, 345-349.
- Khattab, A. S., and H. Atil. (1999). Genetic study of fertility traits and productive in a local born Friesian cattle in Egypt. Pakistan J. Bio. Sci. 2(4):1178-1183.
- Khattab, A. S., hulya Atil and Lila Badawy (2005) Variances of direct and maternal genetic effects for milk yield and age at first calving in a herd of Friesian cattle in Egypt. Arch. Tierz., Dummerstorf 48: 1, 24-31.
- Lin, C. Y., McAllisterA. J., Batra, T. R. Lee, A. J. Roy, G. L. Vesely, J. A. Wauthy, J. M. and WinterK. A.(1988). Effects of early and late breeding of heifers on multiple lactation performance of dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 71:2735-2743.
- Mohamed Khair, A. A.; Ahmed, B. T.; Lutfi, M. A and Kurt J B. (2007).Milk production and reproduction traits of different grades of zebu x Friesian crossbreds under semi-arid conditions. Cited in http:// arch-anim breed. fdummerstorf. de/Pdf/2007/at07p240.pdf.

Safaa S. Sanad and M. S. Hassanane

- Mostafa, M. A., Oudah, E.Z.M. and Shalaby, N.A.(1999) Estimation of genetic parameters and sire transmitting abilities for some milk production traits in a herd of Holstein-Friesian cattle raised in Hungary .J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., Egypt ., 24:5475.
- Mostafa, M. A. ;Enas A. Bader and khattab, A. S. (2013) variances of direct and maternal genetic effects for milk yield and its composition in a herd of Friesian cows in Egypt J. Animal and Poultry Prod., Mansoura Univ., Vol.4 (8): 493 499
- Nazem N. A., El-Said.Z.M.Oudah and Mahmoud Abdel-Mamin. (2001). Genetic analysis of some productive and reproductive traits and sire Evaluation in imported and Locally Born Friesian cattle Raised in Egypt . Pakistan journal of Biological Sciences 4 (7) : 893-901.
- Niazi A. A. K and Aleem M. (2003).Comparative Studies on the Reproductive Efficiency of Imported and Local Born Friesian Cows in Pakistan.*Biological Sciences*, 3 (4), 388-395. http://dx.doi.org/10.3923/jbs.2003.388.395.
- Radcliff, R. P., VandeHaar, M. J. Chapin, L. T. Pilbeam, T. E. Beede, D. K. Stanisiewski, E. P. and Tucker H. A. (2000). Effects of diet and injection of bovine somatotropin on prepubertal growth and firstlactation milk yields of Holstein cows. J. Dairy Sci. 83:23-29.
- Sanad,S.S.; Set-El-Habaieb S. Awad; Rashwan S.T and Afifi A.A. (2013) Genetic evaluation for lifetime milk yield traits of Friesian cattle commercial herd raised in Egypt ., Egypt . J. of Apple.Sic., 28 (6). ISSN 1110-1571.
- Sanad,S.S. (2016) Genetic improvement using the selection indices for some productive and reproductive traits in Friesian cattle raised in Egypt J. Anim. and poultry Prod ., Mansoura Univ., Vol.7 (12): 475-482.

- Sanad, S.S.I and Afifi, A.A. (2016) Comparing genetic parameters of Friesian milk production traits in commercial and state farms in Egypt .J .Agricultural Research Center. N. 4. 2016.
- Salem, M.A. (1998) Evaluation of milk production traits for cows of commercial dairy herds in Egypt .Ph.D. Thesis, Fac., Agaric., Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ., Banha Branch, Egypt.
- Salem, M.A, Esmail H.M, Sadek R.R, and Nigm A.A. (2006) Phenotypic and Genetic parameters of milk production and reproductive performance of Holstein cattle under the intensive production system in Egypt. Egypt J Anim Prod 43: 1-10.
- SAS, 2003.User's guide: Statistics, version 9. 4th Ed. SAS Ins., Inc., Cary., NC, USA.
- Sattar, A. R. H, Mirza, Niazi AA K, and Latif M. (2005). Productive and reproductive performance of Holstein Friesian cows in Pakistan. Pakistan Vet. J., 25(2), 75-81.
- Tadesse M. J, Thiengtham J, Pinyopummin A and Prasanpanich S. (2010).Productive and reproductive performance of Holstein Friesian dairy cows in Ethiopia.*Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 22 (2) 2010 cited in http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd22/2/tade22034.htm.
- Tozer, P. R. and A. J. Heinrichs. (2001). What affects the costs of raising replacement dairy heifers: a multiple-component analysis. J. Dairy Sci. 84:1836-1844.
- Usman T, Guo G, Suhail S.M, Ahmed S, Qiaoxiang L, Qureshi M.S, Wang Y. (2011) . Performance traits study of Holstein Friesian cattle under subtropical conditions. J Anima Plant Sci. 21:961.

التقييم الوراثي لبعض الصفات الانتاجية والتناسلية لأبقار الفريزيان المرباة في مصر صفاء صلاح سند و محمد صابر حسانين ` ` معهد بحوث الانتاج الحيواني ، الدقي ، مصر . ` قسم بيولوجيا الخلية، المركز القومي للبحوث

أجريت الدراسة الحالية بهدف معرفة تأثير بعض العوامل الور اثية (المكافئ الور اثي والقيم الور اثية ابي مالحنيا، موسم الولادة وسنة الولادة والتفاعلات بين هذه العوامل) على بعض الصفات الانتاجية والتناسلية (انتاج اللين الكلى، ور اثية مثل (ترتيب موسم الحليب، عددالتلقيحات اللازمة لحدوث اخصاب، العمر عند أول ولادة). تم استخدام عند ١٨٧٦ سجل إنتاجي من ٨٦ بقرة بنات ٢٧٤ أم نسل ٨٨ طلوقة للمواسم التسعة الانتاجية أثناء الفترة من ٢٠١٠ إلى ٢٠٥ في قطيع إنتاج اللين محطة سخا (محطة حكومية) تابعة لوزارة بنات ٢٧٤ أم نسل ٨٨ طلوقة للمواسم التسعة الانتاجية أثناء الفترة من ٢٠٠ إلى ٢٠١٥ في قطيع إنتاج اللين محطة سخا (محطة حكومية) تابعة لوزارة الزراعة، مصر . تم اجراء التحليل باستخدام (2003 SAS) وتضمن النموذج (تأثير الاب) والتأثيرات الثابتة (ترتيب الموسم، موسم الولادة وسنة الولادة) بهدف دراسة العوامل الثابتة، بينما تم تقدير المعالم الوراثية والمظهرية بواسطة برنامج نموذج الحيوان أحدي الموسم، موسم الولادة وسنة الولادة) بهدف دراسة العوامل الثابتة، بينما تم تقدير المعالم الوراثية والمظهرية بواسطة برنامج نموذج الحيوان أحدي المعهم . عام معالم الوراثية والمظهرية بواسطة برنامج نموذج الحيوان أحدي الموسم، موسم الولادة وسنة الولادة) بهدف دراسة العوامل الثابتة، بينما تم تقدير المعالم الوراثية والمظهرية بواسطة برنامج نموذج الحيوان أحدي الموسم، متوسط قيم معات الولادة) بهدف دراسة اليوامل التياب التي الى معرفة عنه على التوارانة والعادي والما بعن عد أول ولادة كانت ٢٠٦٦، ٢٦، ٢٠