



CT-4

POTENTIAL USE OF AQUEOUS SOLUTION OF TARTARZINE DYE AS LOW-DOSE DOSIMETER FOR GAMMA RADIATION

Ahmed F. Tawfik, Ahmad A. Baraka, Mohammad M. Gobara and Ahmed M. Omar*

ABSTRACT

In this study, Tartarazine aqueous solution was investigated as a simple low-dose dosimeter in the range of 20–500 Gy for the high ionizing radiation, gamma ray. Gradual bleaching of Tartarazine solution was observed with dose by measuring the absorbance of Tartarazine-solutions at specified wavelength, $\lambda_{\max} = 430$ nm. Tartarazine concentration (10^{-4} , 5×10^{-4} and 10^{-3} M) and solution-initial pH value (5, 7 and 9) were considered as factors affecting degree of bleaching. It was found that Tartarazine-solution color was diminished gradually with selected dose range. The rate of bleaching increases with the increase of solution-initial pH. Increase of Tartarazine-concentration causes widening of range to which solution is susceptible. The post-irradiated effect (24 hours) was found to cause more bleaching. The sensitivity of Tartarazine solution is not regular with the Tartarazine-concentration and regular with pH.

Keywords

Gamma radiation, Degradation, sensitivity, Tartarazine, Dosimeter

* Egyptian Armed Forces

1. Introduction

The gamma-induced color bleaching of many organic dyes has been widely investigated. Most of the reported experimental works have been performed in aqueous aerated acidic or alkaline solutions. The decrease of absorbance with irradiation, bleaching, suggests that it can be used as a chemical dosimeter [1-4].

It has been reported that the decolorization could be explained in terms of interaction of radicals H^\cdot and OH^\cdot with the dyes in de-aerated aqueous solutions and in terms of HO_2^\cdot/O_2^- and OH^\cdot interactions in aerated aqueous solutions. H^\cdot is generally associated with the reversible reductive decolorization and the radicals OH^\cdot and HO_2^\cdot/O_2^- are considered to be the cause of the reversible oxidative decolorization [5-6].

Radiation effect at dose range up to 120 kGy on some dyes in non aqueous solvents such as methyl orange, Congo red, phenol red in acetone and ethanol and dimethylformamide was studied [7]. The bleaching of some dyes with different concentrations in non-aqueous solutions indicates that these dyes are promising as chemical dosimeters.

Moreover, aqueous solution of methyl red as a dosimeter for gamma radiation at dose range up to 6 kGy was studied. Bleaching of its alkaline and acidic solutions containing different amounts of ethanol showed its possibility for using these dye as chemical dosimeters [8]. Tartarazine (known as E102 or FDC Yellow 5) is a synthetic lemon yellow azo-dye used as a food coloring. Its structure is shown in Figure 1. It is found in many food stuff, soft drinks, instant puddings, flavored chips, cake mixes, custard powder, soups, sauces, ice cream, candy...etc. It is also found in some pharmaceutical products and cosmetics such as soaps, hair products, moisturizers, crayons, stamp dyes, vitamins, antacids, medicinal capsules and certain prescription drugs [9-12]. It is an available and not expensive material.

In the present work, solutions of Tartarazine were investigated as gamma radiation dosimeter. Tartarazine with different concentrations and pH values were subjected to gamma radiation in the dose range between (20–500 Gy) and then the absorbance of tartarazine dye in the UV-Vis range is determined.

2. Experimental

Tartarazine (from local source, Kamena Co.) and bi-distilled water are used to prepare different solutions of concentrations; 10^{-4} , 5×10^{-4} and 10^{-3} M. The solutions are then, each, placed in 40 ml plastic vial. The vials are then introduced into gamma cell (Gamma Chamber 5000 / India, dose rate= 2.1 kGy/hr) to be exposed to a steady state radiolysis. The dose range studied was 20 – 500 Gy. Prior to irradiation for each vial, the pH value was adjusted using 0.1 M HCl and 0.1 M NaOH aqueous solutions using Hanna pH-211 pH meter.

Tartarazine degradation was detected by measuring the absorbance of irradiated samples using Shimadzu UV-160 spectrophotometer at $\lambda_{max} = 430$ nm. All measurements were carried out at ambient temperature using quartz cells with 1 cm optical path length. The pH value for each sample was also determined after gamma irradiation. Figure 2 showed the absorbance spectrum of tartarazine in UV-Vis. range with a peak at 430 nm which is used to determine the concentration of tartarazine for all samples.

Two set of measurements were performed, the first one immediately after irradiation (within about 10 minutes) and the second one, same samples, on a longer term (after 24 hours). The long term samples were kept after irradiation under normal laboratory conditions in dark.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Degradation reactions

The azo compounds, such as tartarazine, are usually intensely colored because the diazenediyl linkage ($-N=N-$) brings the two aromatic rings into conjugation. This gives an extended system of delocalization of π electrons and allows absorption of light in the visible region [13]. When a reproducible relationship between the absorbance of dye solution and the irradiation dose is observed, the use of this as chemical dosimeter may be proposed. Gamma irradiation causes gradual color bleaching of organic dyes, hence gives a chance for such application. For non de-aerated solution, the bleaching may be due to oxidative decolorization reaction with $-N=N-$ group (the chromophore moiety in Tartarazine compound) by HO_2/O_2^- and $\cdot OH$ produced by gamma irradiation [7]. Figure 2 shows an example of such degradation of Tartarazine (initial concentration = 5×10^{-4} M, pH = 9 and doses from 0 to 500 Gy).

The exact mechanism of bleaching needs an intensive investigation of intermediates during reaction and pulse radiolysis technique is recommended which was not available for this study. However, a preliminary suggestion may be given based on that the azo bonds were broken by the oxidation processes [6].

3.2 Degradation of tartarazine (measurement 10 minutes after irradiation)

Figure 3, 4 and 5 shows a gradual decrease of Tartarazine solution absorbance of different concentrations for different pH values. The samples of initial concentration 10^{-4} M can only withstand dose up to 100 Gy. After this dose, the Tartarazine residual concentration was hardly detected by the spectrophotometer. The other two higher concentrations (5×10^{-4} and 10^{-3} M) can survive up to 500 Gy. The response to dose with respect to pH is similar for all concentrations studied. It can be observed that as initial pH increases, bleaching increases. I.e., degradation oxidative processes are more efficient as solution becomes more alkaline. However, the before- irradiation and after-irradiation pH values for each sample have not significantly changed. This means that pH parameter is a catalytic one and does not involve in the oxidative reaction. This important phenomenon will be studied latter.

Linear regressions of data give the equations that represent the linear fitted absorbance response to dose (Abs. against dose). It is summarized in Table 1. To select the best conditions at which this dosimeter properly work, the correlation factor (r) was regarded. By revising the different values of r , it can be concluded that the sample of concentration of 10^{-3} M with pH 5 is the preferred one to apply. Although this pH condition is less sensitive to radiation compared to the other two pH conditions, its stability with doses (higher r) still prefer it to apply especially as the Abs. response is not that much different from the other two pH conditions.

The sensitivity of solutions (sensitivity: the absorbance change, $\Delta Abs.$, per unit dose, Gy) is an important factor to discuss. For each sample, the sensitivity is the slope of its equation, Table 1. Change of sensitivity with respect to change of concentration and pH are given in Figures 9 and 10 respectively. From Figure 9, the change of sensitivity is not regular which direct the user to carefully study the concentration to be used as an independent case, i.e. each

concentration has its behavior towards irradiation. On the contrary, from Figure 10, the change of sensitivity is regular and this suggests that solution behavior can be predicted for different pH values other than studied ones. It is worthy to mention, in general, that sensitivity represents the rate of degradation with respect to dose and this rate increases with pH increase. This suggests a faster degradation at ultimately higher pH values (12-14) which enable user to apply this dosimeter for very low gamma doses.

3.3 Post irradiation degradation (measurement 24 hours after irradiation)

Determination of degradation of after a lag time is a stability test. It is performed to find to what degree the solution change with time that may affect the measurements. The degradation of samples after 24 hours is shown in Figures 6, 7 and 8. In general, all post-irradiation samples show more bleaching compared to after-irradiation. Sample prepared at pH 9, for all concentrations studied, still shows more bleaching than those prepared at pH 7 and 5 and this observation is similar to after-irradiation measurements. For concentrations 5×10^{-4} M and 10^{-3} M which subjected to doses up to 500 Gy, the former shows more degradation.

Again, linear regressions of data give the equations representing absorbance against dose. It is summarized in Table 1. By revising the different values of r , the best sample to apply is that of concentration of 10^{-4} M with pH 5. The sensitivities are the slopes of equations, Table 1. Change of sensitivity with respect to change of concentration and pH are given in Figures 11 and 12 respectively.

From figure 11, the change of sensitivity is not regular (reasonably as in the previous case) and careful selection of concentration has to be considered. From Figure 12, the change of sensitivity is regular and indicates a good prediction of degradation as pH changes.

Percentages difference, PD%, of Abs. between samples of "directly after irradiation" and "post irradiation, 24 hours" are given in Table 2. From table, no consistency or a trend can be observed. This suggests careful dealing with "post irradiation" data with respect to "directly after irradiation". However, in general an extra degradation of 5-6%, on average can be considered for the 24 hours lag effect.

4. Conclusion

Tartarazine aqueous solution with different concentrations (10^{-4} , 5×10^{-4} and 10^{-3} M) at different pH values (5, 7 and 9) were subjected to gamma irradiation. The results showed that tartarazine degraded linearly, to some extent, with increasing gamma dose. In general, as solution pH increases, the rate of bleaching increases. Moreover the pH values did not change after irradiation due to degradation of Tartarazine and consequently the stability of this solution can be suggested. Increase of Tartarazine-concentration causes more span of dose within which tartarazine solution is susceptible to gamma radiation. The post-irradiation effect (24 hours) causes 5-6% more bleaching on average. The change of sensitivity of tartarazine solution is regular with pH values but Tartarazine-concentration does not show the same regularity. According to sensitivity study, faster degradation of Tartarazine (i.e. with low doses) is probable at high pH values (e.g. in 12-14 range). Tartarazine is a promising dosimeter when concentration is considered with care.

References

- [1] C.M. Foldvary, L. Wojnarovits, "The effect of high-energy radiation on aqueous solution of Acid Red 1 textile dye" *Radiation Physics and Chemistry* 76 (2007) 1485–1488
- [2] A.S. Ozen , V. Aviyente , R.A. Klein "Modeling the oxidative degradation of azo dyes: a density functional theory study" *Journal of Physical Chemistry Vol 17* (2003) 4898–4907.
- [3] W.L. McLaughlin, "Radiation chemistry of anionic diazo dyes in Cellophane films applications for high-dose dosimetry" *Radiation Physics and Chemistry vol 67* (2003) 561–567.
- [4] M.A. Rauf, S. Salman Ashraf. "Radiation induced degradation of dyes An overview" *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 166 (2009) 6–16
- [5] Hinda Lachheb ,Eric Puzenat, Ammar Houas, Mohamed Ksibi, Elimame Elaloui, Chantal Guillard, Jean-Marie Herrmann "Photocatalytic degradation of various types of dyes (Alizarin S, Crocein Orange G, Methyl Red, Congo Red, Methylene Blue) in water by UV-irradiated titania" *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental vol 39* (2002) 75–90
- [6] Zaki ajji "usability of aqueous solutions of methyl red as high-dose dosimeter for gamma radiation" *Radiation Measurements* 41 (2006) 438–442
- [7] M.F. Barakata , K. El-Salamawya , M. El-Bannaa , M. Abdel-Hamida,A , Abdel-Rehim Taha "Radiation effects on some dyes in non-aqueous solvents and in some polymeric films" *Radiation Physics and Chemistry* 61 (2001) 129–13
- [8] J.H. Ramirez, C.A. Costa, L.M. Madeira, "Experimental design to optimize the degradation of the synthetic dye Orange II using Fenton's reagent" *Catalysis Today* (2005) 68–76.
- [9] J.H. Sun, S.P. Sun, G.L.Wang, L.P. Qiao "Degradation of azo dye Amido black 10B in aqueous solution by Fenton oxidation process" *Dyes and Pigments vol 74* (2007) 647–652.
- [10] R. Liu, H.M. Chiu, C.S. Shiau, R.Y.L. Yeh, Y.T. Hung, "Degradation and sludge production of textile dyes by Fenton and photo-Fenton processes" *Dyes and Pigments vol 73* (2007) 1–6.
- [11] J. He, W. Ma, J. He, J. Zhao, J.C. Yu, "Photooxidation of azo dye in aqueous dispersions of H₂O₂ / FeOOH" *Applied Catalysis B Environmental vol 39* (2002) 211 - 220.
- [12] C.C. Liu, Y.H. Hsieh, P.F. Lai, C.H. Li, C.L. Kao, "Photodegradation treatment of azo dye wastewater by UV/TiO₂ process" *Dyes and Pigments vol 68* (2006) 191–195
- [13] T.W.G Solomons,, *Organic Chemistry*, 1996, 6th Edition, New York, John Wiley and Sons,




Figure 1: Chemical structure of Tartarazine




Figure 2: UV-Vis Tartarazine absorbance spectra for different doses (0 to 500 Gy), concentration = 5×10^{-4} M and pH = 9.




Figure 3: Tartarazine of concentration 10^{-4} M at pH (5, 7 and 9).




Figure 4: Tartarazine of concentration 5×10^{-4} M at pH (5, 7 and 9).




Figure 5: Tartarazine of concentration 10^{-3} M at pH (5, 7 and 9).




Figure 6: shows that Tartarazine of concentration 10^{-4} M is more bleached after 24 hours of primary irradiation especially at pH 9




Figure 7: shows that Tartarazine of concentration 5×10^{-4} M is more bleached after 24 hours of primary irradiation especially at pH 9




Figure 8: shows that Tartarazine of concentration 10^{-3} M is more bleached after 24 hours of primary irradiation especially at pH 9




Figure 9: Dependence of sensitivity on the concentration of Tartarazine solution.




Figure 10: Dependence of sensitivity on the pH value of Tartarazine solution.

Table 1: Linear equations represent the linear absorbance response to dose for different initial concentrations and pH values.

Initial concentration (M)	Initial pH	Directly after irradiation		Post irradiation, 24 hours	
		Linear equation *	r^2	Linear equation *	r^2
10^{-4}	5	$y = -0.0025x + 0.7946$	0.9886	$y = -0.0026x + 0.7812$	0.9928
	7	$y = -0.0028x + 0.7913$	0.9890	$y = -0.0030x + 0.7863$	0.9863
	9	$y = -0.0031x + 0.7894$	0.9859	$y = -0.0033x + 0.7746$	0.9763
5×10^{-4}	5	$y = -0.0018x + 1.3462$	0.9815	$y = -0.0019x + 1.3257$	0.9742
	7	$y = -0.0018x + 1.3104$	0.9588	$y = -0.0019x + 1.2733$	0.9527
	9	$y = -0.0018x + 1.2627$	0.9576	$y = -0.0020x + 1.2402$	0.9561
10^{-3}	5	$y = -0.0021x + 1.9976$	0.9949	$y = -0.0024x + 1.9249$	0.9747
	7	$y = -0.0023x + 1.9678$	0.9909	$y = -0.0026x + 1.9054$	0.9728
	9	$y = -0.0024x + 1.9402$	0.9907	$y = -0.0026x + 1.8521$	0.9635

* y represents Abs. and x represents dose (Gy).

Table 2: Percentages difference, PD%, of Abs. between samples of "directly after irradiation" and "post irradiation, 24 hours".

Initial concentration (M)	Initial pH	PD%								
		20 Gy	40 Gy	60 Gy	80 Gy	100 Gy	200 Gy	300 Gy	400 Gy	500 Gy
10^{-4}	5	2.5	3.3	2.2	5.5	0.2	–	–	–	–
	7	1.2	2.4	2.4	3.7	2.6	–	–	–	–
	9	3.7	4	3.8	0.5	3.7	–	–	–	–
5×10^{-4}	5	–	–	–	–	2.9	3.3	3.5	4.9	3.0
	7	–	–	–	–	8.3	2.2	2.3	6.1	5.6
	9	–	–	–	–	5.6	3.9	3.0	8.6	7.2
10^{-3}	5	–	–	–	–	5.4	8.8	9.8	10.0	5.5
	7	–	–	–	–	2.4	8.6	11.9	9.3	3.4
	9	–	–	–	–	3.5	9.4	15.3	9.9	1.0

**Military Technical College
Kobry El-Kobbah,
Cairo, Egypt**



**5th International Conference
on
Chemical & Environmental
Engineering
25 - 27 May, 2010.**