Egypt. Acad. J. Biolog. Sci., 12(1): 109-123 (2020)

 Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences

 G. Microbiology

 ISSN: 2090-0872

 https://eajbsg.journals.ekb.eg/

Isolation, Characterization, and Insecticidal Activity of Chitinase Gene (*chiA*) From Aspergillus niger (EM77)

Manal F. Abdelall¹, Walaa A. Abdel Wahab² and Mona A. Esawy²

1-Microbial Molecular Biology Department, Agricultural Genetic Engineering Research Institute (AGERI), Agricultural Research Center (ARC), 9 Gamaa St., 12619, Giza, Egypt.
2- Chemistry of Natural and Microbial Products Department, National Research Centre, Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

Email: mona_esawy@hotmail.com- manalfm@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Article History Received: 30/4/2020 Accepted:30/6/2020

Keywords: Aspergillus niger, Chitinase, chiA gene, Insecticidal activity, S. littoralis.

ABSTRACT

Chitin, which is found in the structure of insect cuticles and peritrophic membranes, is degraded by the chitinase enzymes. The degradation decreases the feeding and defenses of the insect and weakens it. Therefore, chitinases are important enzymes that have potential for being used as biological control agents against harmful insects. In the present study, genes encoding exo-chitinases (e.g. chiA) have been isolated from A. niger. The partial sequence includes 1484 bp open reading frame encodes for 493 amino acid. No intron was found in the chiA of A. niger (EM77). The deduced amino acid sequence of chiA of A. niger (EM77) clearly indicated the presence of three conserved domains: ChtBD1 superfamily, chitin recognition protein, and Glyco-18 domain. Chitinase enzyme was partially-purified from the culture filtrate of A. niger (EM77) and was fractionated using ethanol concentrations (25-75%). Thus, the molecular mass of the semi-purified chitinase enzyme (~ 30 kD) was observed after purification compared with unpurified total protein. So, the molecular weight of the protein seemed to be unusual from other fungal chitinases which are around 50-70 kD. The partially-purified chitinase was evaluated as the highest activity (42.39 Units/ml) 0.5 g/l of the chitin substrate concentration at 30°C for six days. Insecticidal activity of partially-purified A. niger EM77 chitinase has been estimated against S. littoralis after 96 hours and the mortality rate of neonate larvae was 38.9%. That was because of the ability of chitinase to disrupting the peritrophic membrane of insect cells. So, chitinase producing ability of A. niger (EM77 could be serving as an effective biopesticide to control harmful pests which destroy importantly economic crops instead of hazardous chemical pesticide.

Citation: Egypt. Acad. J. Biolog. Sci. (G. Microbiolog) Vol.12 (1)pp. 109-123 (2020)

INTRODUCTION

Chitin, an insoluble homopolymer of β -(1, 4)-linked N-acetyl-D-glucosamine (GlcNAc), is an important component of exoskeletons (insects), crustacean shells (marine living) and fungal cell (Brine and Austin, 1981). After cellulose, chitin is the second most abundant component of biomass in nature (Zainol Abidin et al., 2020). Due to of chitinolytic enzymes the presence (chitinases), chitin does not accumulate in the environment and can be degraded to GlcNAc short monomers (Abo Elsoud & El Kady, 2019). Chitinases play significant physiological and ecological roles in chitin metabolism (Souza et al., 2011). Also, it has an important role in the bio-control of pathogenic fungi and insect control as a pesticide (Hamid et al., 2013). Chitinases can be found in a wide range of organisms, including bacteria, fungi, plants, insects and vertebrates (Hamid et al., 2013). Many microorganisms produce chitinase to feed on chitin as a carbon and energy source (Rathore & Gupta, 2015). Some bacterial strains (e.g. Serratia and Bacillus) are known to produce four different types of chitinases. However, most of the filamentous fungi have been recorded to produce about 20 different chitinases with higher activity levels than those of plants and bacteria (Hartl et al., 2012). Many fungi produce chitinase enzyme to degrade the substrate as a defense mechanism, as well as to gather nutrients for their survival under adverse environmental conditions (da Silva et al., 2005). Aspergillus species is a dominant and most potent species for chitinase production. Amongst, Aspergillus terreus and A. niger (LOCK62) were found to be the efficient source of chitinase production having various applications (Brzezinska & Jankiewicz, 2012). Mainly, fungi have several chitinase genes encoding enzymes with diverse chitinase activities (Ramaiah et al., 2000). Chitinase genes from several fungi species have been characterized (Danismazoğlu et encoding chitinase al., 2015). Genes enzymes have been cloned from a wide

range of yeasts and filamentous fungi (Jaques et al., 2003). All cloned chitinase enzymes belong to the glycohydrolase family18 based on the percentage of similarity to family18 (Zhong et al., 2015); two distinct classes can be identified chitinases from plants or bacteria. Chitinase genes have been cloned and characterized many microorganisms, including from Trichoderma artroviridae (Takaya et al., 1998), Aspergillus fumigatus (Xia et al., 2001), Paecilomyces sp (Dong et al., 2007), and Aspergillus nidulans (Shin et al., 2009),

Spodoptera littoralis are serious pests of forest or agricultural crops throughout the world. Chemical insecticides that have a variety of side effects on the environment have been used for several years against these pests. Because of their hazardous effects, these chemicals are no longer agricultural recommended for pest management (Abdel-Aziz et al., 2013). Chitinase is able to effectively break down glycosidic bonds of chitin polymer in the content of Insects' peritrophic membranes (PM) and allow the passage of all kinds of components found in the midgut through this barrier. In this way, some bacteria and their toxic components reach the endothelial cells of the midgut and become a threat to insect life (Bahar et al., 2012). Consequently, any defect in PM reduces feeding and protection against microbial attack (Langer et al., 2000).

In the current study, an assessment of chitinase producing ability of *A. niger* (EM77) was performed, gene and amino acid sequences were analyzed against other reported chitinase sequences deposited into the NCBI. To the best of our knowledge, this research is the first to isolate chitinase from *A. niger*. Furthermore, an insecticidal assay of *A. niger* chitinase against *S. littoralis* larvae was measured.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Fungal Source and Growth Conditions:

A previously isolated and identified Aspergillus niger (EM77) (Accession no. KF774181) was maintained on potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium at 30°C for six days in a rotary shaker (200 rpm) with a daily cycle consisting of 15 h of light and 9 h of darkness and it was preserved at -80° C in 50% (v/v) glycerol.

Preparation of Fungal Expressed Chitinase:

Fungal mycelia of *A. niger* (EM77) were grown on chitinase inducing medium containing ground chitin (0.5 g/l) in basal salts medium following the procedure of Wang *et al.* (2013).

RNA Isolation:

Grown mycelia were harvested flashfrozen in liquid nitrogen then ground to a fine powder in a porcelain mortar. Total RNA was isolated from homogenized fungal mycelia using the RNeasy® Mini Kit (Qiagen, Netherlands). Concentrations of RNA were determined using the Nanodrop ND-1000 (Nanodrop). First-strand cDNA was prepared using 1 µg of RNA, and following the manufacturer's instructions of SuperScript[®] Π system (Invitrogen) including the reverse trancriptase and the oligo-dT primer.

Specific primers chiF, 5'-ATGTCAGTCATTTCGGCATTTC-3', and chiR, 5'-TCATGGTACCATCATCCAGA-3' were designed to amplify the open reading frame of the 5'termini of chitinase gene. The primers were designed according to the data derived by the automatic computational analysis of the annotated genomic sequence of *A. niger* chitinase that was accessioned as XM-001396587.

PCR was conducted using the Go Taq DNA polymerase (Promega) in a thermocycler machine following the stepwise condition for; 30 cycles, as denaturation step for 60 sec. at 94 °C. annealing step for 90 sec. at 55 °C, extension step for 60 sec. at 72 °C, and a final extension step for 7 min of 70 °C. The amplified fragment was separated by electrophoresis on 1.0% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 μ g/ml) and was visualized on a UV gel documentation system (BioRad, USA). The amplicon was excised from the agarose gel then purified from unincorporated PCR primers and

dNTPs using the QIAquick PCR purification kit following the manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen, Netherlands). The sequence of A. niger chitinase fragment was sent for sequencing to LGC Genomics GmbH on a 3730x1 Analyzer DNA (Applied BiosystemsTM/Thermo Fisher Scientific). Then it was BLAST searched on the Biotechnology National Center for Information (NCBI) database and compared with the obtained sequence on the Sequence® Software. Sequencing runs were performed at the Chitinase gene (chiA) of A. niger (EM77) was submitted to the NCBI database (accession No. MH878942).

Sequence Alignments and Phylogenetic Analysis:

Sequence of chitinase gene (*chiA*) which obtained for our isolate *A. niger* (EM77) was compared to other sequences of other *Aspergillus* species deposited to the NCBI GenBank using the "BLASTN" and "BLASTX" tools at the National Center for Biotechnology Information website (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

Alignment of nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences with selected Chitinase genes from other Aspergillus species were done using T-Coffee Multiple Sequence Alignment Tools (http://www.tcoffee.org/Projects/tcoffee/inde x.html#DOWNLOAD). Box shading of conserved regions was applied using the manipulation sequence suite (http://www.bioinformatics.org/sms2/color a lign_cons.html). The phylogenetic tree was constructed based on the amino acid sequences using the neighbor-joining method of MEGA X software Kumar et al. (2016); www.megasoftware.net). The conserved domains within the amino acid or the coding nucleotide sequence were detected using the website of https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/ wrpsb.cgi.

Exochitinase Crude Enzyme Production:

A culture of *A. niger* (EM77) was grown on a basal medium broth (BM) supplemented with chitin as the following (g/l): chitin 0.5; K2HPO4, 3.0; yeast extract, 3.5; peptone, 2.0; KCL, 3.0; NaNO3, 3.0, MgSO4, 0.5; FeSO4 0.01 (pH 6.2) at 30°C for six days with a daily cycle consisting of 15 h of light and 9 h of darkness. Then 50 ml of the BM broth were inoculated with one ml of spore suspension approximately equal $(1 \times 10^6 \text{ CFU/ml})$. The inoculated flasks were incubated at 30 °C for six days in a rotary shaker (200 rpm). At the end of the incubation period, the cultures were centrifuged for 15 min. using a cooling centrifuge. The culture filtrate was used as the crude enzyme.

Exochitinase Partial Purification:

Exochitinase of *A.niger* (EM77) was fractionated using ethanol concentrations (25-75%). Each fraction has produced a precipitate that was obtained by centrifugation at 10000 xg, for 15 min. at 4°C then assayed for enzymatic activity.

Exochitinase Activity Assay:

Exochitinase activity was determined following the method of Matsumoto et al (2004)using the chromogenic substrate p-nitrophenyl-β-D-Nacetylglucosaminide (PNP-\beta-GlcNAc) as a substrate. One unit of the enzyme activity was defined as the amount of enzyme releasing 1 µmol of p-nitrophenol per minute under the specified assay conditions.

Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis:

Sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) technique (Laemmli 1970) was performed at a 12% concentration to determine the molecular mass of the exochitinase enzyme that was fractionated with the highest purification fold. The standard protein marker contained a mixture of 11 known proteins that were resolved specific bands with known molecular weight ranged between 10 and 175 kDa.

Insecticidal Activity:

The insecticidal effect using surface contamination bioassay of partially- purified chitinase was applied to the first-instar larvae of the Egyptian cotton leafworm (Spodoptera littoralis). Briefly, castor bean leaves (Ricinus communis) were soaked with partially purified exo-chitinase as 0.5 and 1.0 ml of partially -purified enzyme (42.39 Units/ml). The assay was performed in cups of artificial diet. For the control treatment, water has substituted the exo-chitinase doses in the artificial diet. Three replicates were done for each treatment, where each replicate has contained 30 larvae. The cups were incubated at 26 ± 1 °C, 60 % humidity, and a 12 h light/dark photoperiod (El-Defrawi et al., 1964 and El-barky et al., 2008). Mortality was monitored daily for seven days after treatment by counting the number of dead larvae.

RESULTS

Characterization of Exo-Chitinase cDNA and Sequence Analysis:

A fragment at the size of 1484 bp was amplified from the cDNA synthesized from the RNA of the *A. niger* (EM77) (Fig. 1). The obtained fragment was sequenced and identified as a partial sequence of the chitinase gene using the BLASTx and BLASTn tools of the NCBI GenBank database. The exo-chitinase fragment could not be produced when chitin was not supplemented in the growing medium of *A. niger* (EM77).



Fig. 1: An ethidium bromide stained gel of PCR amplification of *chi*A gene from *A. niger* (EM77). Lane M 1 Kb marker, lane 1 ~ 1484 bp amplified fragment of *chi*A gene.

The nucleotide sequence of the obtained fragment has deduced an ORF of 1484 bp and 493 of amino acid as shown in Fig. (2). No introns were detected in the nucleotide sequence of *chi*A of *A. niger* (EM77). Further analysis of the deduced amino acid sequence of *chi*A of *A. niger* (EM77) has clearly indicated three conserved domains. The first domain was allocated between the amino acids numbered 53 and 94 (42 - aa), which corresponds to ChtBD1

super-family with e-value of 6.67e-09. The second domain was allocated between the amino acids numbered 112 and 134 (20 aa), which corresponds to the chitin recognition protein with e-value 1.17e-09. The third domain was allocated between the amino acids numbered 150 and 491 (440-aa), which corresponds to the Glyco-18 domain (Fig. 2). The third domain is proof that the *chi*A of *A. niger* (EM77) belongs glycosyl hydrolase family18 of chitinases.

1	atgtcagtcatttcggcatttctgctctgcctgttcggttggcaactgacttccagcgct M S V I S A F L L C L F G W Q L T S S A
61	gtettegegeacaatetegettggggagetetteegteeaatetegaeeetgtetateet V F A H N L A W G A L P S N L D P V Y P
121	ttccaatttctttctacttcctttgctagtgatgggtacacctgtgccaaagataag F Q F L S T S F A S D D ¹ G Y T C A K D K
181	ggatgtaagataggatgctgcggacccattgactccactggctcgggttattgtggtttt G C K I G C C G P I D S T G S_G Y C G F
241	ggtcccgagttctgcgggaaaaattgcacctcgaactgcgatcgcaagagcgagtgtgac G P E 📱 C G K N C T S N C D R K S E C D
301	cctggctgggggaaagaatggtctcaagcatccacttgtccgctaaatgtttgtt
361	aagtteggettetgeggaaceaeeaaggaettetgtggegatgeeaeegtegtgteteee K F G F C G T T K D F C G D A T V V S P
421	caatgcagcggcaccagtgccaaagggcggacagtcggatactacgaagggtggaatttg Q C S G T S A K G ³ R T V G Y Y E G W N L
481	gaacgtccatgtggaaaaatgggtccacctgatatccccctcggctactatacacacatc E R P C G K M G P P D I P L G Y Y T H I
541	aactacgcgttcgcttccatcgaccctcagacattccgcatcgctcccatggacaatctc N Y A F A S I D P Q T F R I A P M D N L
601	acagegteattgtaecaaggegtaaeageaeteaagegeeaaeagagteatetegaggtg T A S L Y Q G V T A L K R Q Q S H L E V
661	tggattgccattgggggatgggcattcaatgacccgggtccgacccgaaccactttctct W I A I G G W A F N D P G P T R T T F S
721	gacctggccgcttccgaggctgctcaggatacattcttcggatccttgattagctttatg D L A A S E A A Q D T F F G S L I S F M
781	cagaagaatcggtttgatggtgtagatattgactgggaataccctgtgaccgacgacgg Q K N R F D G V D I D W E Y P V T D E R
841	ggtgggagacccgaggattatgtcaattttgtgactttggtgaaaagactcagagaacag G G R P E D Y V N F V T L V K R L R E Q
901	ttgaatcagacgggggagaaagtatgggatctccatcactttgccgacatcatactggtac L N Q T G R K Y G I S I T L P T S Y W Y
961	ctgagaggattcgacattgtcaatctcgagccgcatgtcgatttccttaacgtcatgtct L R G F D I V N L E P H V D F L N V M S
1021	tatgatattcacggcacttgggactctacgaacaagcagctcggaccgtacgccttcgca Y D I H G T W D S T N K Q L G P Y A F <u>A</u>
1081	cataccaatctaacggagattaacagcgctctagaacttctctggcgcaataacatcaat H T N L T E I N S A L E L L W R N N I N
1141	ccagagagagttaaccttggactaggtttctacggtcgcagtttcacaatgaagaatccc P E R V N L G L G F Y G R S F T M K N P
1201	gggtgcaagaagcctggctgtgagttctctggaggggcacgggcaggtgaatgtaccggc G C K K P G C E F S G G A R A G E C T G
1261	acaccgggcgtgttggctacgtatgaggtcaacaagatcattcagaagggcgggaccgag T P G V L A T Y E V N K I I Q K G G T <u>E</u>
1321	atgaccctggacaaagacgcagcagttaccatcgtaacctgggacaaggatcaatgggtt M T L D K D A A V T I V T W D K D Q W V
1441	tccattgataaccaagagacactacggatgaagatggactatgccaataagcggtgcctt S I D N Q E T L R M K M D Y A N K R C L
1501	gggggaactatggtctgggcaatagatctggatgatggtaccat <u>G G T M V W A I D</u> L D D G T

Fig. 2: Nucleotide sequence of chitinase A gene (*Chi* A), its translation from an ATG startcodon (GenBank accession number MH878942). The deduced amino acid sequence is shown in one-letter code under the ORF. The three conserved domains of fungal chitinase are underlined. Domin no. 1 is ChtBD1 super family (from 53 - 94), domin no. 2 is Chitin recognition protein (from 112 - 134) and domain no. 3 is Glyco_18 domain (from150 - 491). carbohydrate binding site (chemical binding) is shown in bold and highlited amino acid letters.

Phylogenetic Analysis:

Phylogenetic analysis of *A. niger* (EM77) chitinase (*chiA*) partial protein sequence with respect to chitinase genes sequences of other *Aspergillus* species (*A. niger* XP_001396624, *A. awamori* GCB27978, *A. neoniger*, XP_025483904, *A. piperis* XP_025521389, *A. kawachii*

GAA86292, A. homomorphus XP_025553913, A. candidus XP_024670775 and A. campestris XP_024688746) was shown in (Fig.3). At the amino acid level, the A. niger (EM77) chitinase (chiA) sequence has produced a 100 % similarity sequence to A. niger (Acc. No. XP_001396624), 95% to that of A. awamori, A. neoniger and A.

Isolation, Characterization, and Insecticidal Activity of Chitinase Gene (chiA) 115

piperis (Acc. No. GCB27978, XP_025483904, XP_025521389 respectively) and 94 % to those of A. kawachii (Acc. No. GAA86292). The phylogenetic relationship was divided into three clusters. The deduced amino acids of A. niger (EM77) Chi protein was clustered with A. niger (XP 001396624), A. awamori (GCB27978), while А. neoniger

(XP 025483904), Α. piperis kawachii (XP_025521389) Α. and (GAA86292) were closer to each other and clustered together in a different clade. Chitinase genes from Α. candidus (XP 024670775) and Α. campestris (XP 024688746) were closer to each other than other chitinases and clustered together in a third clade (Fig.3).



Fig. 3: Phylogenetic analysis of *A. niger* (EM77) chitinase (*chi*) partial protein sequence with *chi* genes from selected Aspergillus species. Tree was constructed with Neighbor-joining method using MEGA X software. The analysis involved 493 amino acid sequences. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. Alignment of the sequences was done with CLUSTALW, bootstrap values (in percent) are calculated from 1000 resampling.

Exo-Chitinase Activity and Molecular Weight:

The chitinase activity was evaluated based on the ethanol fractions methods of Matsumoto *et al.* (2004). Fractions obtained at 50 and 75% of ethanol have scored the heights purification folds 2.9 and 3.4-fold respectively, and were collected together. Also, the maximum activity was observed by using the basal media supplemented with 0.5 g/l chitin then incubates at 30°C for six days. The protein concentration was 42.39 units/ml.



Fig. 4: Multiple amino acid sequence alignment of *A. niger* (EM77) chitinase (*chi*) with some selected chitinase genes from other Aspergillus species showing the highly conserved signature motifs (red underlined). Alignment was done with T-Coffee Multiple Sequence Alignment Tools: http://www.tcoffee.org/Projects/tcoffee/index.html#DOWNLOAD. Box shading of conserved regions done using Sequence Manipulation Suite: http://www.bioinformatics.org/sms2/color_align_cons.html.

Protein Electrophoresis Analysis:

From the SDS-PAGE analysis, the purified protein of chitinase enzyme was

observed at the molecular weight of ~ 30 kD in comparison to the with crud and purified protein (Fig. 5).



Fig. 5: SDS-PAGE analysis of the crud and semi-purified protein. Lane M: pre-stained protein marker; Lane 1: total protein of *A. niger* (EM77) cells; Lane 2: semi-purified chitinase protein (~30 KDa).

Bioassay of Insecticidal Activity:

Different concentrations of partiallypurified *A. niger* EM77 chitinase were tested for its efficiency on first instar *Spodoptera littoralis* larvae. Death of *S. littoralis* larvae has begun after 48 h. using only 1.0 ml of (42.39 Units/ml) partially -purified chitinase. The highest mortality rate was after 96 hours (hrs). The mortality rates were increased from 48 to 96 hrs post-treatment. Noticeable mortality rates were 6.7 %, 23.3 % and 38.9% at 48, 72, and 96 hrs respectively (Table 1, and Fig.6).

 Table 1: The mortality % of semi-purified A. niger EM77 chitinase treated larvae of S. littoralis

Mortality	Treatments			
Time (hrs.)	Water (Control)	0.5 ml (42.39 U/ml chitinase)	1.0 ml (42.39 U/ml chitinase)	<i>P</i> value
48	0 ± 0.0	2.0 ± 1.15	13.30 ± 1.20	0.004 **
72	0.33 ± 0.3	14.0 ± 2.08	23.33 ± 2.96	0.007 **
96	0.67 ± 0.3	19.0 ± 0.58	33.33 ± 2.02	< 0.001 **



Fig.6: The mortalities rates of *S. littoralis* at different time post *A. niger* EM77 chitinase treatments.

DISCUSSION

Chitin oligosaccharide exists naturally in many organisms including of insects and fungi. exteriors Upon degradation of chitin by a number of organisms, severe damage and even death may occur in pathogens and pests whose external surfaces contain this polymer. fungicides Currently, chemical and insecticides the major are means of controlling these disease-causing agents (Veliz et al., 2017). However, due to the potential harm that these chemicals cause to the environment and to human and animal health, new strategies are being developed to replace or reduce the use of fungal- and pestkilling compounds in agriculture. Thus, microbes producing chitinases have attained great attention for the last few decades. Microorganisms producing chitinolytic enzymes were considered as bio-control agents (Farag et al., 2016), amongst were the Aspergillus species (Ni et al., 2015 and Stoykov et al., 2015), that more specifically have included the A. niger that produces the chitinase with the highest activity (Awad et al., 2017).

In the current study, an ORF (1484 bp) of *chi*A gene was isolated and encodes as a

chitinase enzyme of fungal class A. niger (EM77). The ORF was submitted to the NCBI database (accession No. MH878942) and analyzed using bioinformatics tools, which showed no previous record with a sequence of chiA gene from A. niger. All published sequences of chitinase genes from some Aspergillus species that occur in NCBI were derived database by automatic computational analysis using the gene prediction method for genomic sequences. This suggests that the ORF sequence is the first record of chiA gene from A. niger.

The deduced amino acid sequence of *chi*A for *A. niger* (EM77) was found to have 100 % identity with the deduced sequence of *chi*A, a fungal/plant chitinase from *A. niger* (XP_001396624) (Pel *et al.*, 2007) and 95 % identity with the deduced sequence for the *A. awamori* (GCB27978) (Kusuya et al., 2018), *A. neoniger* (XP_025483904) (Vesth *et al.*, 2018) and *A. piperis* (XP_025521389) fungal/plant chitinase (Mondo *et al.*, 2018).

Based on the similarity percentages of amino acid sequences, most chitinase genes that have been characterized to date are classified into two families of glycosyl hydrolases (family18 and 19) (Meng *et al.*, 2015). Thus, the deduced amino acid sequence of chitinase (chiA) of the isolate EM77 has included the characteristic substrate-binding site and the catalytic motifs cited by Vocadlo and Davies (2008). Therefore, it can be denoted as a member of the family18 of glycosyl hydrolases. The characterized chitin-binding domain (ChtBD1) was found important to specifically bind chitinases to insoluble chitin (Limón, et al., 2004). Despite the fact that some fungal chitinases may lack the presence of ChtBD domain (Duo-Chuan, 2006), however, the chitinase in the current study has included the ChtBD.

Chitinase enzyme produced by A. niger (EM77) was partially purified using ethanol fraction due to the insolubility of precipitated proteins. Coagulation of chitinase proteins with ethanol fractions agreed with Tandjaoui et al. (2015) who reported that precipitation of enzymes by ethanol and acetone was not stable in most enzymes and insoluble in water and buffer solutions that in turn would hinder the purification processes. On the other side, other workers preferred precipitation of chitinase with ammonium sulphate, for **Streptomyces** instance; Bacillus cereus, griseorubens and A. tereus (Liang et al., 2014; Karthik et al., 2015; and Rashad et al., 2017). The chitinase exhibited was maximum activity (42.39 Units/ml). These results were in agreement with those other chitinase preparation obtained from B. thuringiensis (25.11 U/ml) (Gomaa and El-Mahdy, 2018).

Chitinases enzyme is glycosyl hydrolases with sizes ranging from 20 kDa to about 90 kDa (Bhattachrya et al., 2007). Great varieties of chitinase can be found among fungal species, and each species can produce different chitinase isomers, which have different catalytic properties (Homthong et al., 2016). In the present study, a single band at ~ 30 KDa was determined as the molecular weight of the partially purified, which is approximately close to that of the chitinase produced from Aspergillus flavus (30 kDa); Monacrosporium thaumasium (30KDa),

Bacillus cereus IO8 (30 KDa), Lecanicillium lecanii 43H (33 KDa), Bacillus licheniformis strain JS (22 KDa) and Streptomyces sp.(40 KDa), A. nidulans (44 kDa) (Beltagy et al., 2018, Karthik et al., 2015; Nguyen et al., 2015; Soares et al.,2015; Hammami et al., 2013; Waghmare and Ghosh, 2010; Yamazaki et al., 2007). Our finding does not in agreement with the results of identified purified 45 kDa chitinase from A. fumigatus (Jaques et al., 2003).

The potential use of chitinase for controlling insect pests has been demonstrated in several studies. In our study, partially-purified exo-chitinase of A. niger EM77 had insecticidal activity against S. littoralis larvae after 96 hours (38.9%). That was because of the ability of chitinase to disrupting peritrophic membrane of insect cells (Zhong et al., 2015). As well as chitinase of family18 and 19 were known for its catalytic activity similar to lysozyme and chitosanase in their activities (Sahai and Manocha, 1993), which could be serving as an effective biopesticide to control harmful pests which destroy importantly economic hazardous crops instead of chemical pesticide.

Conclusion

Several reports have extensively highlighted the importance of chitinases for various biotechnological and environmental applications. So, the increasing number of databases describing and chitinase genes and protein structure and function can help to streamline the engineering of chitinases in a more rational manner to yield chitinases with improved activity and stability specifically for the various biotechnological applications. In our study, gene encoding exo-chitinases (chiA) were characterized by the isolate EM77 as the first to be published in Aspergillus niger. Characterization of the new chitinase has included molecular, biochemical. and bioassay techniques. Results indicated that the A. niger EM77 is a fungal strain that can produce amounts of chitinase (42.39 Units/ml) within six days. In the partial-purified chitinases addition. enzyme has shown a promising insecticidal activity (~39 % mortality in four days) against *S. littoralis* larvae.

Acknowledgements:

The authors would like to express their special thanks to Dr. Ahmed E. El-Doliefy, Plant Molecular Biology Department, Agricultural Genetic Engineering Research Institute (AGERI), for the valuable effort during writing this manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Aziz, H.S., Osman, H.H., Sayed, S.Z. and El-Gohary, E.G.E. (2013). Effect of certain plant oils on some biological and biochemical aspects on the cotton leaf worm Spodoptera littoralis. *Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences.* (A, *Entomology*), 6(3), pp.69-80.
- Abo Elsoud, M.M.A. and El Kady, E.M. (2019). Current trends in fungal biosynthesis of chitin and chitosan. Bulletin of the National Research Centre, 43(1), pp.59.
- Awad, G.E., Wahab, W.A.A., Hussein, M., El-diwany, A. and Esawy, M.A. (2017). Sequential optimizations of *Aspergillus awamori* EM66 exochitinase and its application as biopesticide. *Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science*, 7(02), pp.67-75.
- Bahar, A.A., Sezen, K., Demirbağ, Z. and Nalçacioğlu, R. (2012). The relationship between insecticidal effects and chitinase activities of Coleopteran-originated entomopathogens their and chitinolytic profile. Annals of Microbiology, 62(2), pp.647-653.
- Beltagy, E. A., Rawway, M., Abdul-Raouf, U. M., Elshenawy, M. A. and Kelany, M. S. (2018). Purification and characterization of theromohalophilic chitinase producing by halophilic *Aspergillus flavus* isolated from Suez Gulf. *The Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research*, 44(3), pp.227-232.
- Bhattacharya, D., Nagpure, A. and Gupta, R. K. (2007). Bacterial chitinases:

properties and potential. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology*, 27(1), pp.21-28.

- Brine, C.J. and Austin, P.R. (1981). Chitin variability with species and method of preparation. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Comparative Biochemistry*, 69(2), pp.283-286.
- Brzezinska, M.S. and Jankiewicz, U. (2012). Production of antifungal chitinase by *Aspergillus niger* LOCK 62 and its potential role in the biological control. *Current Microbiology*, 65(6), pp. 666-672.
- Danişmazoğlu, M., Demir, I., Sezen, K., Muratoğlu, H. and Nalçacioğlu, R. (2015). Cloning and expression of chitinase A, B, and C (*chiA*, *chiB*, *chiC*) genes from Serratia marcescens originating from *Helicoverpa armigera* and determining their activities. *Turkish Journal of Biology*, 39(1), pp.78-87.
- Dong, L.Q., Yang, J.K. and Zhang, K.Q. (2007). Cloning and phylogenetic analysis of the chitinase gene from the facultative pathogen *Paecilomyces lilacinus. Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 103(6), pp.2476-2488.
- Duo-Chuan, L. (2006). Review of fungal chitinases. *Mycopathologia*, 161(6): pp.345-360.
- El-barky, N.M., Dahi, H.F., and El-Sayed, Y.A. (2008). Toxicicological evaluation and biochemical impacts for radient as a new generation of spinosyn on Spodoptera littoralis (Boisd.) larvae. Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences (A. Entomology), 1(2):85–97.
- El-Defrawi, M., Toppozada, A., Mansour, N. and Zeid, M. (1964). Toxicological studies on the Egyptian cotton leaf worm, *Prodenia litura* 1-Suceptibility of different larval instars to insecticides. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 57: 591-593.

- Farag, A. M., Abd-Elnabey, H. M., Ibrahim, H. A. and El-Shenawy, M. (2016).
 Purification, characterization and antimicrobial activity of chitinase from marine-derived Aspergillus terreus. The Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research, 42(2), pp.185-192.
- Gomaa, E. Z. and El-Mahdy, O. M. (2018). Improvement of chitinase production by *Bacillus thuringiensis* NM101-19 for antifungal biocontrol through physical mutation. *Microbiology*, 87(4), pp.472-485.
- Hamid, R., Khan, M.A., Ahmad, M., Ahmad, M.M., Abdin, M.Z., Musarrat, J. and Javed, S. (2013). Chitinases: an update. *Journal of Pharmacy and Bioallied Sciences*, 5(1), p.21.
- Hammami, I., Siala, R., Jridi, M., Ktari, N., Nasri, M. and Triki, M. (2013).
 Partial purification and characterization of chiIO8, a novel antifungal chitinase produced by *Bacillus cereus* IO8. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 115, 358–366
- Hartl, L., Zach, S. and Seidl-Seiboth, V. (2012). Fungal chitinases: diversity, mechanistic properties and biotechnological potential. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 93(2), pp.533-543.
- Homthong, M., Kubera, A., Srihuttagum, M. and Hongtrakul, V. (2016). Isolation and characterization of chitinase from soil fungi, *Paecilomyces* sp. *Agriculture and Natural Resources*, 50(4), pp.232-242.
- Jaques, A. K., Fukamizo, T., Hall, D., Barton, R. C., Escott, G. M., Parkinson, T., Hitchcock, C. A. and Adams, D. J. (2003). Disruption of the gene encoding the ChiB1 chitinase of *Aspergillus fumigatus* and characterization of a recombinant gene product. *Microbiology*, 149(10), pp.2931-2939.
- Karthik, N., Binod, P. and Pandey, A. (2015). Purification and characterisation of an acidic and antifungal chitinase produced by a

Streptomyces sp. Bioresource Technology, 188, pp.195-201.

- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C. and Tamura, K. (2018). MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 35(6), pp.1547-1549.
- Kusuya, Y., Shimizu, M., Takahashi, H. and Yaguchi, T. (2018). Direct submission of acidic mammalian chitinase *Aspergillus awamori* on NCBI under accession no. GCB27978.https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih . gov/protein/GCB27978
- (1970). Laemmli, Cleavage U.K. of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T_4 Nature, 227(5259, pp.680-685.
- Langer RC, Hayward RE, Tsuboi T, Tachibana M, Torii M, Vinetz JM (2000) Micronemal transport of *Plasmodium ookinete* chitinases to the electron–dense area of the apical complex for extracellular secretion. *Infection and Immunity*, 68:6461– 6465
- Liang, T. W., Hsieh, T. Y., Wang, S. L. (2014). Purification of a thermostable chitinase from *Bacillus cereus* by chitin affinity and its application in microbial community changes in soil. *Bioprocess and Biosystems Engineering*, 37, 1201–1209.
- Limón, M.C., Chacón, M.R., Mejías, R., Delgado-Jarana, J., Rincón, A.M., Codón, A.C. and Benítez, T. (2004). Increased antifungal and chitinase specific activities of *Trichoderma harzianum* CECT 2413 by addition of a cellulose binding domain. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 64(5), pp.675-685.
- Matsumoto, Y., Castañeda, G. S., Revah, S., Shirai, K. (2004). Production of β -Nacetylhexosaminidase of *Verticillum lecanii* by solid state fermentation and submerged fermentation utilizing shrimp waste silage as substrate and

inducer *Process Biochemistry*, 39: 655–671 DOI, 101016/S0032-9592(03)00140-7

- Meng, H., Wang, Z., Meng, X., Xie, L. and Huang, B. (2015). Cloning and expression analysis of the chitinase gene Ifu-chit2 from *Isaria fumosorosea*. *Genetics* and *Molecular Biology*, 38(3), pp.381-389.
- Mondo, S., Kuo, A., Riley, R., Clum, A., Nolan, M., Lipzen, A., Salamov, A., Vesth, T. C., Nybo, J., Theobald, S., Brandl, J., Frisvad, J. C., Nielsen, K.
 F., Lyhne, E. K., Kogle, M. E., Henrissat, B., Wiebenga, A., De vries, R. P., Mortensen, U. H., Andersen, M. R., Baker, S. E., Grigoriev, I. V., Nordberg, H. P., Cantor, M. N. and Hua, S. X. (2018). Direct submission of chitinase of *Aspergillus piperis* under accession no. XP_025521389.https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/protein/XP_025521389
- Nguyen, H. Q., Quyen, D. T., Nguyen, S. L. T., Van Hanh, V. (2015). An extracellular antifungal chitinase from *Lecanicillium lecanii*: purification, properties, and application in biocontrol against plant pathogenic fungi. *Turkish Journal of Biology*. 39, 6–14.
- Ni, H. Zeng, S. Qin, X. Sun, X. Zhang, S. Zhao, X. Yu, Z. Li, L. (2015). Molecular docking and site-directed mutagenesis of a *Bacillus thuringiensis* chitinase to improve chitinolytic, synergistic lepidopteran larvicidal and nematicidal activities. *International Journal of Biological Sciences*, 11, pp.304–315.
- Pel, H. J., De Winde, J. H., Archer, D. B., Dyer, P. S., Hofmann, G., Schaap, P. J., Turner, G., De Vries, R. P., Albang, R., Albermann, K. and Andersen, M. R. (2007). Genome sequencing and analysis of the versatile cell factory Aspergillus niger CBS 513.88. Nature Biotechnology, 25(2), pp.221-231.

- Ramaiah, N., Hill, R.T., Chun, J., Ravel, J., Matte, M.H., Straube, W.L. and Colwell, R.R., (2000). Use of a *chiA* probe for detection of chitinase genes in bacteria from the Chesapeake Bay. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 34(1), pp.63-71.
- Rashad, Y. M., Al-Askar, A. A., Ghoneem, K. M., Saber, W. I., Hafez, E. E. (2017). Chitinolytic Streptomyces griseorubens E44G enhances the biocontrol efficacy against Fusarium wilt disease of tomato. *Phytoparasitica*, 45, pp.227–237.
- Rathore, A.S. and Gupta, R.D. (2015). Chitinases from bacteria to human: properties, applications, and future perspectives. *Enzyme Research*, 2015, pp.1–8, (Article ID 791907) https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/791907
- Sahai, A. S., Manocha, M. S. (1993). Chitinases of fungi and plants: their involvement in morphogenesis and host–parasite interaction. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 11: 317–338.
- da Silva, M.V., Colodel, E.M., da Costa, A.M., Driemeier, D., Santi, L., Schrank, A., Staats, C.C., Vainstein, M.H. (2005). Cuticle-induced endo/exoacting chitinase CHIT30 from *Metarhizium anisopliae* is encoded by an ortholog of the *chi*3 gene. *Research in Microbiology*, 156(3), pp. 382–392.
- Shin, K.S., Kwon, N.J., Kim, Y.H., Park, H.S., Kwon, G.S. and Yu, J.H. (2009). Differential roles of the ChiB chitinase in autolysis and cell death of *Aspergillus nidulans*. *Eukaryotic Cell*, 8(5), pp.738-746.
- Soares, F. E., De Queiroz, J. H., De Araújo, J. V., Queiroz, P. V., Gouveia, A. D., Hiura, E., Braga, F. R. (2015). Nematicidal action of chitinases produced by the fungus *Monacrosporium thaumasium* under laboratorial conditions. *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, 25(3), pp. 337–344.

- Souza, C.P., Almeida, B.C., Colwell, R.R. and Rivera, I.N. (2011). The importance of chitin in the marine environment. *Marine Biotechnology*, 13(5), pp.823.
- Stoykov, Y. M., Pavlov, A. I., Krastanov, A. I. (2015). Chitinase biotechnology: production, purification, and application. *Engineering in Life Sciences*, 15(1), pp.30–38.
- Takaya, N., Yamazaki, D., Horiuchi, H., Ohta, A. and Takagi, M. (1998). Cloning and characterization of a chitinase-encoding gene (chiA) from Aspergillus nidulans, disruption of which decreases germination frequency and hyphal growth. Bioscience. *Biotechnology* and Biochemistry, 62(1), pp.60-65.
- Tandjaoui, N., Tassist, A., Abouseoud, M., Couvert, A., Amrane, A. (2015).
 Preparation and characterization of cross-linked enzyme aggregates (CLEAs) of *Brassica rapa* peroxidase. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology*, 4(2), pp. 208–213.
- Veliz, E.A., Martínez-Hidalgo, P. and Hirsch, A.M. (2017). Chitinaseproducing bacteria and their role in biocontrol. *AIMS Microbiology*, 3(3), pp.689.
- Vesth, T. C., Nybo, J., Theobald, S., Brandl, J., Frisvad, J. C., Nielsen, K. F., Lyhne, E. K., Kogle, M. E., Kuo, A., Riley, R., Clum, A., Nolan, M., Lipzen, A., Salamov, A., Henrissat, B., Wiebenga, A., De Vries, R. P., Grigoriev, I. V., Mortensen, U. H., Andersen, M. R. and Baker, S. E. Direct submission (2018).of chitinase of Aspergillus neoniger on under accession **NCBI** no. XP_025483904.https://www.ncbi.nl m.nih.gov/protein/XP 025483904

- Vocadlo, D. J., Davies, G. J. (2008). Mechanistic insights into glycosidase chemistry. *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology*,12(5): pp.539 - 55.
- Waghmare, S. R., Ghosh, J. S. (2010). Chitobiose production by using a novel thermostable chitinase from *Bacillus licheniformis* strain JS isolated from a mushroom bed. *Carbohydrate Research*, 345(18), pp. 2630–2635.
- Wang, Z. X., Meng, H.M., Zhuang, Z.L., Chen, M.J., Xie, L. and Huang, B. (2013). Molecular cloning of a novel subtilisin-like protease (Pr1A) gene from the biocontrol fungus *Isaria farinosa*. Applied Entomology and Zoology, 48(4), pp.477-487.
- Xia, G., Jin, C., Zhou, J., Yang, S., Zhang, S. and Jin, C. (2001). A novel chitinase having a unique mode of action from *Aspergillus fumigatus* YJ-407. *European Journal of Biochemistry*, 268(14), pp.4079-4085.
- Yamazaki, H., Yamazaki, D., Takaya, N., Takagi, M., Ohta, A. and Horiuchi, H. (2007). A chitinase gene, *chi*B, involved in the autolytic process of *Aspergillus nidulans. Current Genetics*, 51(2), pp.89.
- Zainol Abidin, N.A., Kormin, F., Zainol Abidin, N.A., Mohamed Anuar, N.A.F. and Abu Bakar, M.F. (2020). The Potential of Insects as Alternative Sources of Chitin: An Overview on the Chemical Method of Extraction from Various Sources. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 21(14), pp.4978.
- Zhong, W., Ding, S. and Guo, H. (2015). The chitinase C gene PsChiC from *Pseudomonas* sp. and its synergistic effects on larvicidal activity. *Genetics and Molecular Biology*, 38(3), pp.366-372.