

935 Arab Univ. J. Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo Special Issue, 26(2A), 935 - 950, 2018

EFFECT OF SALINE WATER IRRIGATION AND FOLIAR SPRAYING OF SALICYLIC ACID ON GROWTH, FLOWERING AND CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF POT MARIGOLD (Calendula officinalis L.) PLANT

[70]

Zeinab A. Abou El-Ftouh; Asmaa M. Mohamed and A.K. Ibrahim

Horticulture Dept., Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, Egypt

Keywords: Pot marigold, Salicylic acid, Salinity, Sodium chloride, Calcium chloride, Proline content

ABSTRACT

This study was carried out to investigate the effect of saline water irrigation at 2000, 4000, 6000, 8000 and 10000 ppm by using a mixture of two salts (sodium chloride: calcium chloride, 2:1, w/w) in addition to tap water as control and foliar sprayings of Salicylic acid (SA) at 100, 200 and 300 ppm in addition to tap water as control on growth, flowering and chemical composition of pot marigold plants in the two successive seasons of 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 in the Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, Qalyubia Governorate, Egypt. Results revealed that all tested foliar applications of SA increased all vegetative growth characteristics (plant height, number of leaves /plant, leaf area, number of branches/plant, diameter of stem, fresh and dry weights of vegetative growth and roots, and roots volume), and flowering attributes (number of inflorescences/plant, diameter of inflorescence, fresh and dry weights of inflorescences). While, saline water irrigation decreased growth, flowering parameters, chlorophyll, carotene contents in flowers and some minerals contents of the vegetative growth, i.e. nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium percentages. However, saline water irrigation increased proline and some mineral contents, i.e. calcium, sodium and chloride percentages of the vegetative growth. In most cases, under the same saline water irrigation conditions spraying SA at 100 and 200 ppm concentrations alleviated the salinity effect on the plants, enhanced the plant growth and increased the flowering parameters. The most effective treatments

(Received 22 November, 2017) (Revised 17 December, 2017) (Accepted 26 December, 2017) which enhance growth, flowering parameters, chlorophyll content, carotene contents in flowers, proline and mineral contents were found to be 100 and 200 ppm SA spraying under nonsaline conditions.

INTRODUCTION

Pot marigold (*Calendula officinalis* L.) belongs to *Asteraceae* (*Compositae*) family and is considered one of the most popular winter annual flowering plants, it is grown as an ornamental plant in beds and boarders. The pot marigold is natively from the Mediterranean region and grows widely across Europe and North America as an ornamental and medicinal plant (**Earle et al 1964**). It grows in sunny or partial shade locations and is easy to grow requiring little cultivation practices (**Dole and Wilkins, 2004**). The plants produce colored flowers from bright yellow to orange, which are used as attractive cut flowers. Also, it is an important medicinal and ornamental plant (**Kishimoto et al 2005**).

Salinity is an important environmental factor which limits plant growth and productivity (Allakhverdiev et al 2000 and Kaya et al 2003). Saline soil is the soil which have an electrical conductivity of the saturated paste extract (EC) of 4 dSm⁻¹ (4 dSm⁻¹ ~40 mM NaCl) or more. In most arid and semiarid areas, there is a competition between agriculture and industry for use of high quality water and that accentuated the salinity problem. The use of alternative water sources for irrigation has been promoted by landscape users. Thence, marginal quality water, somewhat saline water, will become important in arid and semiarid areas (Chartzoulakis et al 2002) and can be used for the irrigation of ornamental plants (**Carter et al 2005**). However, the use of low quality water for irrigation affects plants in different ways, depending on the degree of salt tolerance of the species (**Alarcon et al 1994**) and even within a given species (**Sanchez-Blanco et al 2003**). Salt stress can negatively affect plant survival, biomass, plant height, plant morphology, photosynthesis, protein synthesis, energy and lipid metabolism, and the plant's ability to absorb water and nutrients (**Parida and Das, 2005**).

Salicylic acid (SA) is a phenolic derivative and it is distributed in the whole plant kingdom, also it is classified under the group of plant hormones. Salicylic acid has been found to play a key role in the regulation of plant growth, development and in the responses to environmental stresses (Rivas-SanVicente and Plasencia, 2011 and Hara et al 2012). The influence of SA application on plants differ according to the concentration of applied SA and the method of application, such as foliar spray and hydroponic culture. These methods depend on the plant species; therefore, conflicting results can be reported. In general low concentrations of SA enhances plant tolerance to abiotic stresses, while high concentrations of SA stimulate inhibitory effects on plant growth and reduce tolerance of SA (Khan et al 2010 and Nazar et al 2011). SA may impact a range of diverse processes in plants; stomatal closure, ion uptake and transport, membrane permeability, photosynthetic and growth rates. Exogenous application of salicylic acid improved growth and yield of various ornamental plants and vegetable crops.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Pot experiments were carried out during the two successive seasons of 2014/2015 and 2015/2016. Trials took place in the ornamental farm of the Horticulture Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, Shoubra El-kheima, Qalyubia Governorate, Egypt. Local seeds of Pot marigold (*Calendula officinalis* L.) were sown in the nursery on October 1St in both growing seasons. The seedlings were transplanted in the pots after 45 days from sowing, the seedlings were planted individually in black plastic pots 20 cm diameter filled with sand medium.

Treatments were arranged in a completely randomized block design with three replicates, each replicate represented by 120 pots. After 120 days from planting, vegetative and root growth parameters were recorded included plant height, number of leaves per plant, leaf area, number of branches per plant, stem diameter, fresh and dry weight of vegetative and roots growth and roots volume. When inflorescences were full opening, number of inflorescence/plant, diameter of inflorescence, and fresh and dry weights of inflorescence were determined. Minerals content were determined represented in nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, calcium, sodium and chloride percentages in the vegetative growth. Average leaf area (cm²) was estimated using the disk method according to Moursi et al (1968). Total chlorophyll content was measured in leaves and carotene contents were measured in flowers. Total nitrogen was determined using Kieldahl method as described by piper (1950). Phosphorus content was measured spectrophotometrically using the ascorbic acid method (AOAC, 2005). Potassium was measured by flame photometer as described by Page et al (1982). Sodium was measured by flame photometer as described by Brown and Lilleland (1946). Chloride was measured by Mohr's method as described by Kraemer and Stamm (1924). Calcium was measured by the Versenate (EDTA) method as described by Cheng and Bray (1951). Leaf chlorophyll content was determined in the 5th fresh leaf (mg/g fresh weight) according to the method described by Wintermans and De Mots (1965). Carotene contents in flowers were determined as mentioned by Nagata and Yamashita (1992). Proline was estimated colorimetrically in fresh samples as described by Batels et al (1973).

Six concentrations of saline water irrigation were used tap water as control, 2000, 4000, 6000, 8000 and 10000 ppm. The saline water irrigation were prepared by using a mixture of two salts (sodium chloride: calcium chloride, 2:1, w/w) as described by Kandeel (2004).

Four concentrations of foliar sprayings of salicylic acid were used 0, 100, 200 and 300 ppm. Salicylic acid was dissolved in absolute ethanol and then added to water (ethanol: water, 1: 1000, v/v) as described by **Williams et al (2003).** Spraying of SA was done after two weeks from transplanting and was repeated every two weeks. Foliar sprayings were applied during early morning hours using a hand-held sprayer. In order to avoid interferences with different moisture levels, the same amount of distilled water was sprayed to the control plants at a given time. The spray solution was maintained just to cover completely the plant foliage.

Effect of Saline Water Irrigation and Foliar Spraying of Salicylic Acid on Growth, 937 Flowering and Chemical Composition of Pot Marigold (*Calendula officinalis* L.) Plant

The statistical analysis was conducted using the CoStat package program (Version 6.303; Co-Hort Software, USA). Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA). The differences among means of data were compared by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (Waller and Duncan, 1969). All statistical determinations were made at P = 0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Vegetative growth

Data in Tables (1 and 2) show that irrigation by saline water at all concentrations levels significantly decreased all vegetative characters compared with the irrigation with tap water treatment in both seasons. While all tested foliar spraving of salicylic acid treatments significantly increased all vegetative characters compared with the control treatment in both seasons. Foliar spraying of SA at 100 ppm gave the highest values of plant height, number of leaves/plant, leaf area, number of branches/plant, stem diameter, fresh and dry weights of the vegetative growth. In most cases, under the same saline water irrigation concentration, spraying SA alleviated the effect of saline water irrigation on the plants and enhance the plant growth as compared with control treatment in both seasons. The SA spraying treatments which gave the highest alleviation of the effect of saline water irrigation on plants were 100 and 200 ppm. Furthermore, the interactive effect of saline water irrigation and foliar spraying of SA which gave the highest significant value of plant height, number of leaves/plant, leaf area, number of branches/plant, stem diameter, fresh and dry weights of the vegetative growth was obtained from the application of spraying SA 100 ppm with tap water irrigation treatment in both seasons.

As for the saline water irrigation effect on the vegetative growth characteristics, the obtained results are in agreement with those reported by **Bayat et al (2012), Hashish et al (2015b),** and **Nofal et al (2015)** for pot marigold. Also, similar results were reported by **Najafian et al (2009a)** for rosemary, **Najafian et al (2009b)** for thyme, **Fatemi and Aboutalebi (2012)** for sweet basil, **Salachna et al (2015)** for speckled spur-flower. Concerning the effect of foliar spraying of SA on the vegetative growth characteristics, the obtained results are in agreement with those reported by **Bayat et al (2012)**, **Hashish et al (2015b)**, and **Nofal et al (2015)**. In addition, similar findings were reported by **Fathy et al (2003)** for broad

bean, Szepesi et al (2005), He and Zhu (2008) and Mady (2009) for tomato, Yildirim et al (2008) for cucumber, Elwan and El-Hamahmy (2009) for pepper, Karlidag et al (2009) for strawberry.

Data in Table (3) clearly show that all tested saline water irrigation treatments significantly decreased volume of roots, fresh and dry weights of roots compared with the tap water irrigation treatment in both seasons. While all tested foliar spraying of salicylic acid treatments significantly increased volume of roots, fresh and dry weights of roots compared with the control treatment in both seasons. Foliar spraying of SA at 100 and 200 ppm gave the highest values of volume of roots, fresh and dry weights of roots. In most cases, under the same saline water irrigation level, spraying SA alleviated the effect of saline water irrigation on the plants and enhance the plant growth as compared with control treatment in both seasons. The SA spraying treatments which gave the highest alleviation of the effect of saline water irrigation on plants were 100 and 200 ppm. Furthermore, the interactive effect of saline water irrigation and foliar spraying of SA which gave the highest significant value for volume of roots, fresh and dry weights of roots were obtained from the application of spraying SA 100 and 200 ppm with tap water irrigation treatment in both seasons.

Flowering parameters

Data in Table (4) show that all tested saline water irrigation treatments significantly decreased all flowering parameters compared with the irrigation by tap water treatment in both seasons. While all tested foliar spraying of salicylic acid treatments significantly increased all flowering parameters compared with the control treatment in both seasons. Foliar spraying of SA at 100 and 200 ppm gave the highest values of number of inflorescences/plant, fresh and dry weights of inflorescences, while foliar spraying of SA at 300 ppm gave the highest value of diameter of inflorescence. In most cases, under the same saline water irrigation level, spraying SA alleviated the salinity effect on the plants and enhance the flowering parameters as compared with control treatment in both seasons. In addition, there was interactive effect of salinity and foliar spraying of SA on number of inflorescences/plant, diameter of inflorescence and fresh and dry weights of inflorescences, the highest significant values of number of inflorescences/plant and fresh and dry weights of inflorescences in both seasons were obtained by

Table 1. Effect of irrigation by saline water and foliar spraying of salicylic acid on plant height, number of leaves/plant, leaf area and number of branches/plant of *Calendula officinalis* L. plant in 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 seasons

T		Plant hei	ght (cm)		of leaves / ant	Leaf area (cm ²)		Number of branches / plant	
Treatments		1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd
		Season	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season
				Salinit	y level (ppm)			
Control (300)	30.25 a	30.67 a	65.83 a	81.00 a	21.41 a	21.71 a	5.33 a	6.25 a
2000		28.92 ab	27.75 b	60.42 b	66.00 b	20.25 b	20.59 b	4.67 b	5.42 b
4000		27.50 bc	24.83 c	55.67 c	55.42 c	19.53 c	20.13 b	4.25bc	4.25 c
6000		26.50 c	22.17 d	50.75 d	47.00 d	19.03 cd	19.30 c	3.92cd	3.92 c
8000		23.17 d	19.42 e	44.67 e	38.08 e	18.49 d	18.46 d	3.58 d	3.42 d
10000)	17.92 e	16.08 f	35.75 f	31.42 f	17.90 e	17.72 e	2.92 e	3.00 d
				SA conce	entration (pp	om)			
Control	(0)	23.33 c	22.17 c	48.22 c	49.17 c	18.92 b	19.20 b	3.56 c	3.61 c
100		27.50 a	24.72 a	55.83 a	56.89 a	19.62 a	19.69 a	4.94 a	5.00 a
200		26.28 ab	23.94 ab	53.28 ab	54.33 ab	19.55 a	19.96 a	4.11 b	4.78 a
300		25.72 b	23.11 bc	51.39 b	52.22 bc	19.64 a	19.76 a	3.83bc	4.11 b
				Sa	alinity * SA				
	0	26.33 d-h	30.00 ab	58.67 b-e	76.67 a	20.93 a-d	21.14 a-d	4.67 c-f	5.00 b-d
Ormital	100	32.67 a	30.33 ab	70.33 a	85.00 a	22.05 a	21.93 ab	6.33 a	7.00 a
Control	200	31.33 a-c	31.33 a	71.33 a	84.00 a	21.43 ab	22.22 a	5.67 ab	7.33 a
	300	30.67 a-c	31.00 a	63.00 bc	78.33 a	21.22 a-c	21.55 a-c	4.67 c-f	5.67 bc
	0	25.00 f-h	25.00 d	57.00 c-f	62.67 bc	19.95 c-h	20.28 de	4.00 e-h	4.67 с-е
2000	100	32.00 ab	28.67 ab	65.00 ab	67.67 b	20.54 b-e	20.78 b-e	5.67 a-c	6.00 b
2000	200	29.00 b-e	29.33 ab	61.33 b-d	68.33 b	20.17 b-g	20.84 b-e	4.67 a-c	6.00 b
	300	29.67 a-d	28.00 bc	58.33 b-e	65.33 bc	20.33 b-f	20.48 с-е	4.33 d-g	5.00 b-d
	0	25.67 e-h	23.67 de	54.00 d-g	50.00 d-g	19.01 f-k	19.78 e-g	3.67 f-i	3.67 e-h
4000	100	30.67 a-c	26.00 cd	58.67 b-e	58.00 cd	19.74 d-i	20.37 с-е	5.00 c-e	5.00 b-d
4000	200	28.00 c-f	26.00 cd	54.00 d-g	56.33 c-e	19.79 d-h	20.11 d-f	4.33 b-d	4.33 d-f
	300	25.67 e-h	23.67 de	56.00 c-g	57.33 cd	19.58 e-i	20.25 de	4.00 e-h	4.00 d-g
	0	24.67 f-h	20.67 fg	49.00 g-i	42.00 g-i	18.93 g-k	18.76 g-i	3.67 f-i	3.00 gh
6000	100	27.00 d-g	25.33 d	53.33 d-h	52.33 d-f	18.75 h-l	19.01 f-h	4.67 c-f	4.67 с-е
0000	200	28.00 c-f	22.00 ef	48.67 g-i	48.00 e-g	19.03 f-k	19.72 e-g	3.67 f-i	4.33 d-f
	300	26.33 d-h	20.67 fg	52.00 e-h	45.67 f-h	19.39 e-j	19.72 e-g	3.67 f-i	3.67 e-h
	0	20.67 i-k	19.00 gh	41.00 jk	33.67 ij	17.52 lm	17.87 h-j	3.00 h-j	2.67 h
8000	100	25.67 e-h	20.67 fg	49.33 f-i	45.00 f-h	18.44 i-m	18.08 h-j	4.33 d-g	4.00 d-g
0000	200	23.67 gi	18.67 g-i	45.67 h-j	38.33 h-j	18.70 h-l	19.03 f-h	3.33 g-i	3.67 e-h
	300	22.67 h-j	19.33 gh	42.67 i-k	35.33 ij	19.29 e-k	18.86 g-i	3.67 f-i	3.33 f-h
	0	17.67 kl	14.67 k	29.67 l	30.00 j	17.21 m	17.36 j	2.33 j	2.67 h
10000	100	17.00 l	17.33 h-j	38.33 jk	33.33 ij	18.19 j-m	17.96 h-j	3.67 f-i	3.33 f-h
10000	200	17.67 kl	16.33 i-k	38.67 jk	31.00 j	18.17 j-m	17.83 h-j	3.00 g-i	3.00 gh
	300	19.33 j-l	16.00 jk	36.33 kl	31.33 j	18.03 k-m	17.71 ij	2.67 ij	3.00 gh

Effect of Saline Water Irrigation and Foliar Spraying of Salicylic Acid on Growth, 939 Flowering and Chemical Composition of Pot Marigold (*Calendula officinalis* L.) Plant

Table 2. Effect of irrigation by saline water and foliar spraying of salicylic acid on stem diameter, fresh and dry weights of the vegetative growth of *Calendula officinalis* L. plant in 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 seasons

				Drv we	eight of			
Treatments		Stem diar	neter (cm)		veight of e growth (g)	vegetative growth (g)		
		1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	
		Season	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season	
				ity level (ppm				
Control (300)	0.52 a	0.53 a	82.08 a	, 84.32 a	13.28 a	14.16 a	
2000	-	0.47 b	0.43 b	71.51 b	76.69 b	12.21 b	13.19 b	
4000		0.43 c	0.41 bc	66.49 c	71.21 c	11.50 c	12.36 c	
6000		0.41 c	0.38 cd	59.67 d	66.22 d	10.62 d	11.80 d	
8000		0.38 d	0.36 d	52.71 e	59.97 e	9.60 e	10.73 e	
1000)	0.34 e	0.32 e	46.76 f	48.73 f	8.93 f	9.14 f	
			SA cond	centration (pp	om)			
Control	(0)	0.38 c	0.37 c	55.14 c	62.25 c	9.67 c	10.95 b	
100		0.46 a	0.45 a	68.69 a	71.83 a	11.92 a	12.42 a	
200		0.44 b	0.41 b	67.14 a	69.88 ab	11.63 a	12.17 a	
300		0.42 b	0.39 bc	61.85 b	67.45 b	10.87 b	12.05 a	
			S	Salinity * SA				
	0	0.46 d-f	0.44 c-f	76.73 bc	80.64 a-e	12.60 cd	13.76 b	
Control	100	0.58 a	0.63 a	87.73 a	89.23 a	13.96 a	15.06 a	
Control	200	0.53 ab	0.55 b	85.28 a	85.65 ab	13.62 ab	14.08 ab	
	300	0.52 bc	0.51 bc	78.58 b	81.74 a-c	12.96 bc	13.74 b	
	0	0.42 e-g	0.41 d-g	63.53 hi	71.90 e-g	11.09 e-h	12.43 c-e	
2000	100	0.50 b-d	0.46 c-e	75.03 b-d	81.50 a-d	13.03 bc	13.93 b	
2000	200	0.47 c-f	0.37 f-j	77.35 bc	79.82 b-e	13.01 bc	13.30 bc	
	300	0.48 b-e	0.48 b-d	70.14 d-f	73.54 c-g	11.73 d-f	13.10b-d	
	0	0.37 g-i	0.40 d-h	58.77 ij	66.00 g-j	10.46 g-j	11.55 e-h	
4000	100	0.47 b-f	0.41 d-g	71.95 c-e	76.37 c-f	12.39 cd	13.02b-d	
	200	0.47 c-f	0.44 c-f	69.77 d-g	72.69 d-g	12.17 cd	12.39 c-e	
	300	0.42 f-h	0.40 d-h	65.45 f-h	69.78 f-h	10.99 f-h	12.48 c-e	
	0	0.37 g-j	0.35 g-k	49.23 lm	61.64 h-k	8.81 I	10.91 f-i	
6000	100	0.44 d-f	0.41 d-g	68.69 e-h	68.90 f-i	11.92 de	12.08 d-f	
	200	0.42 e-g	0.40 d-h	64.28 gh	69.23 f-i	11.26 e-g	12.51 c-e	
	300	0.41 f-h	0.37 e-j	56.47 jk	65.10 g-j	10.47 g-j	11.69 e-g	
	0	0.32 ij	0.32 h-k	43.75 no	54.71 k-m	7.57 m	9.88 ij	
8000	100	0.42 e-g	0.40 d-h	57.32 j	65.62 g-j	10.58 g-i	11.32 e-h	
0000	200	0.41 f-h	0.39 e-i	56.58 jk	60.43 i-k	10.25 h-k	10.92 f-i	
	300	0.35 h-j	0.32 h-k	53.20 j-l	59.14 j-l	9.99 i-k	10.81 g-i	
	0	0.31 j	0.29 jk	38.79 o	38.65 n	7.49 m	7.14 k	
10000	100	0.37 g-j	0.38 e-i	51.43 k-m	49.37 m	9.63 j-l	9.12 j	
	200	0.33 ij	0.31 i-k	49.58 lm	51.48 lm	9.50 kl	9.83 ij	
	300	0.33 ij	0.28 k	47.25 mn	55.43 k-m	9.09 l	10.47 hi	

		Fresh weight	of roots (g)	Dry weight	of roots (g)	Volume of	roots (cm ³)
-		1 st	2nd	1 st	2nd	1 st	2nd
Treatm	ents	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season
			Salinity	level (ppm)			
Control	(300)	8.739 a	10.38 a	1.441 a	1.69 a	7.31 a	6.33 a
200	0	6.415 b	7.30 b	1.159 b	1.28 b	6.17 b	5.50 b
400	0	4.620 c	5.80 c	0.877 c	1.09 c	5.17 c	4.67 c
600	0	3.709 cd	5.01 d	0.696 d	0.96 d	4.29 d	3.75 d
800	0	2.791 de	3.76 e	0.544 de	0.73 e	2.96 e	2.92 e
1000	00	2.408 e	3.35 e	0.490 e	0.69 e	2.29 f	2.25 f
		·	SA concer	tration (ppm)			
Contro	ol (0)	3.642 c	5.26 b	0.670 c	0.94 b	3.70 c	3.36 c
100		5.576 a	6.53 a	1.017 a	1.17 a	5.61 a	5.03 a
200)	5.366 ab	6.02 a	0.964 a	1.09 a	5.25 a	4.75 a
300)	4.538 b	5.92 a	0.819 b	1.09 a	4.22 b	3.81 b
		•		ity * SA	I		I
	0	6.400 c-f	9.17 b	1.131 c-e	1.46 cd	5.89 c-e	5.17 cd
• • •	100	9.585 b	11.68 a	1.578 ab	1.90 a	8.50 a	7.00 a
Control	200	11.750 a	11.45 a	1.890 a	1.80 ab	7.50 ab	6.83 a
	300	7.220 cd	9.23 b	1.164 c-e	1.60 bc	7.33 ab	6.33 ab
	0	4.650 e-j	6.95 c-e	0.849 e-h	1.16 ef	4.67 e-h	4.50 d-g
	F100	8.460 bc	7.37 cd	1.478 bc	1.26 de	7.50 ab	6.50 a
2000	200	7.060 c-e	6.84 c-e	1.307 bd	1.28 de	7.00 bc	6.17 ab
	300	5.490 d-g	8.06 bc	1.002 d-f	1.42 cd	5.50 d-f	4.83 c-f
	0	4.000 f-l	5.13 f-j	0.750 e-i	0.93 f-h	4.33 f-i	4.00 f-h
4000	100	4.945 d-i	6.55 c-f	0.987 d-f	1.27 de	6.33 b-d	5.50 bc
4000	200	4.400 f-k	5.57 e-i	0.802 e-h	1.05 e-g	5.67 de	5.00 c-e
	300	5.135 d-h	5.95 d-g	0.971 d-g	1.11 ef	4.33 f-i	4.17 e-h
	0	3.050 g-l	4.04 i-k	0.561 g-i	0.77hi	3.17 i-m	2.67 i-k
6000	100	4.160 f-l	5.88 d-h	0.821 e-h	1.11 ef	5.00 e-g	4.50 d-g
6000	200	4.245 f-l	5.49 e-i	0.778 e-i	1.05 e-g	5.17 d-f	4.50 d-g
	300	3.380 g-l	4.63 g-k	0.623 f-i	0.91 f-i	3.83 g-j	3.33 hi
	0	1.950 kl	3.30 k	0.373 i	0.65 i	2.33 l-n	2.17 j-l
8000	100	3.390 g-l	4.25 h-k	0.657 f-i	0.80 g-i	3.50 h-l	3.83 gh
0000	200	2.525 i-l	3.56 jk	0.531 hi	0.70 hi	3.67 h-k	3.33 hi
	300	3.300 g-l	3.92 i-k	0.614 f-i	0.75 hi	2.33 l-n	2.33 j-l
	0	1.800	2.98 k	0.357 i	0.66 i	1.83 n	1.67
40000	100	2.915 h-l	3.47jk	0.581 f-i	0.70 hi	2.83 j-n	2.83 ij
10000	200	2.215 j-l	3.21 k	0.479 hi	0.66 i	2.50 k-n	2.67 i-k
	300	2.700 h-l	3.74 jk	0.541 hi	0.74 hi	2.00 mn	1.83 kl

Table 3. Effect of irrigation by saline water and foliar spraying of salicylic acid on roots fresh and dryweights and roots volume of *Calendula officinalis* L. plant in 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 seasons

Means within a column in the same group followed by the same letter are not significantly different (P = 0.05) according to Duncan's multiple range test

940

Effect of Saline Water Irrigation and Foliar Spraying of Salicylic Acid on Growth, 941 Flowering and Chemical Composition of Pot Marigold (*Calendula officinalis* L.) Plant

Table 4. Effect of irrigation by saline water and foliar spraying of salicylic acid on number of inflorescences/plant, diameter of inflorescence, fresh and dry weights of inflorescences of *Calendula officinalis* L. plant in 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 seasons

inflores pl		inflores	per of cences / ant		Diameter of inflorescence (cm)		Fresh weight of inflorescences (g)		eight of scences
		1 st	2 nd	1 st	2nd	1 st	2nd	1 st	2nd
Treatme	ents	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season
					v level (ppr				
Control(300)	12.51 a	11.18 a	3.35 a	3.00 a	, 38.65 a	46.46 a	6.20 a	7.42 a
2000		9.59 b	9.32 b	3.12 b	2.91 b	33.02 b	37.83 b	5.33 b	5.84 b
4000)	8.78 c	7.78 c	3.06 c	2.80 c	29.33 c	34.00 c	4.70 c	5.45 b
6000)	7.54 d	5.98 d	2.87 d	2.62 d	24.55 d	30.15 d	3.95 d	4.54 c
8000)	6.06 e	4.10 e	2.70 e	2.46 e	17.83 e	20.58 e	2.87 e	3.60 d
1000	0	3.96 f	3.17 f	2.37 f	2.38 f	10.87 f	10.39 f	2.00 f	1.98 e
					ntration (p	pm)			
Control	(0)	5.31 d	5.00 c	2.69 d	2.59 c	20.99 c	22.22 c	3.38 c	3.75 c
100		10.09 a	8.20 a	2.77 c	2.69 b	28.18 a	33.80 a	4.63 a	5.38 a
200		9.85 b	8.21 a	3.06 b	2.68 b	28.22 a	34.33 a	4.69 a	5.58 a
300		7.05 c	6.26 b	3.12 a	2.82 a	25.45 b	29.25 b	4.00 b	4.51 b
				Sali	nity * SA				
	0	9.40 g	8.93 e	3.11 f	2.87 с-е	34.89 b	41.17 cd	5.62 cd	6.70 b-d
Control	100	15.78 a	13.19 a	3.06 g	3.10 ab	40.93 a	47.17 bc	6.67 ab	7.14 ab
	200	14.27 b	12.61 ab	3.48 b	2.98 a-c	42.21 a	54.94 a	6.83 a	8.80 a
	300	10.60 f	10.00 de	3.75 a	3.12 a	36.58 b	42.55 b	5.68 cd	7.05 b
	0	6.75 j	7.25 f	2.80 j	2.75 d-f	29.97 c	32.29 e-g	4.78 ef	4.84 d-h
2000	100	11.78 cd	11.41 bc	3.01 h	2.93 a-d	34.62 b	40.14 cd	5.62 cd	6.47 b-e
2000	200	12.22 c	11.48 bc	3.30 d	2.92 a-d	36.80 b	41.01 cd	6.07 bc	6.81 bc
	300	7.60 hi	7.13 f	3.35 c	2.98 a-c	30.70 c	37.86 с-е	4.85 ef	5.24 b-g
	0	4.87 l	4.47 hi	2.70 i	2.67 e-g	26.45 de	29.05 g	4.21 f-h	4.54 e-i
4000	100	11.25 de	9.42 de	2.98 h	2.83 с-е	30.87 c	37.93 с-е	5.03 de	6.28 b-f
4000	200	11.00 ef	10.25 cd	3.24 e	2.80 с-е	31.20 c	36.53 c-f	5.04 de	6.02 b-f
	300	8.00 h	7.00 f	3.32 cd	2.90 b-d	28.80 cd	32.47 e-g	4.53 e-g	4.93 c-h
	0	4.83 I	4.33 hi	2.63 m	2.55 f-h	19.19 f	18.30 hi	3.14 jk	3.13 h-j
6000	100	9.33 g	6.96 f	2.76 k	2.53 gh	26.93 de	35.16 d-g	4.49 e-g	5.35 b-g
	200	9.12 g	6.61 f	3.20 e	2.58 f-h	26.45 de	35.66 d-f	4.17 f-h	5.30 b-g
	300	6.89 j	6.00 fg	2.90 i	2.82 с-е	25.63 e	31.48 fg	4.01 g-i	4.39 f-i
	0	3.33 m	2.67 j	2.60 mn	2.42 h-j	9.75 h	8.42 jk	1.64 I	2.38 jk
8000	100	7.60 hi	4.67 hi	2.56 n	2.42 h-j	21.20 f	29.35 g	3.49 h-j	4.71 e-i
	200	7.30 ij	4.00 hi	2.83 j	2.42 h-j	19.55 f	22.60 h	3.04 jk	3.71 g-j
	300	6.00 k	5.05 gh	2.82 j	2.58 f-h	20.83 f	21.96 h	3.31 ij	3.61 g-j
	0	2.67 n	2.33 j	2.33 o	2.32 ij	5.70 i	4.12 k	0.89 m	0.89 k
10000	100	4.80 l	3.60 ij	2.23 p	2.30 j	14.53 g	13.04 ij	2.45 k	2.35 jk
	200	5.18 I	4.33 hi	2.33 o	2.37 h-j	13.08 g	15.25 i	2.97 jk	2.84 ij
	300	3.20 m	2.40 j	2.57 n	2.52 g-i	10.17 h	9.15 jk	1.62 I	1.85 jk

the application of SA foliar sprayings at concentrations 100 and 200 ppm with tap water irrigation treatment, while the highest significant value of diameter of inflorescence was obtained by spraying SA at 300 ppm with tap water irrigation treatment.

As for the salinity and SA effects on the flowering parameters, the obtained results agree with those reported by **Bayat et al (2012)**, **Pacheco et al (2013)**, **Hashish et al (2015a)**, and **Nofal et al** (2015) on pot marigold.

Leaf total Chlorophyll, carotene contents in flowers and proline content measurements

Data in Table (5) show that all tested saline water irrigation treatments significantly decreased carotene contents in flowers and leaf total chlorophyll, while proline content increased compared with the tap water irrigation treatment in both seasons. On the other hand, all tested foliar spraying of salicylic acid treatments significantly increased carotene contents in flowers, leaf total chlorophyll and content of proline compared with the control treatment in both seasons. Foliar spraying of SA at 100 and 200 ppm gave the highest values of flower carotenes and proline contents. In most cases, under the same saline water irrigation level, spraying SA alleviated the salinity effect on the plants and enhance the plant growth as compared with control treatment in both seasons. In addition, there was interactive effect of salinity and foliar spraying of SA on carotene contents in flowers, leaf total chlorophyll and content of proline, the highest significant values of carotene contents in flowers and leaf total chlorophyll were obtained by the application of SA foliar sprayings at concentrations 100, 200 ppm with tap water irrigation treatment in both seasons. While, the highest significant values of proline content in both seasons were obtained by the application of SA foliar sprayings at concentrations 100, 200 ppm with 8000 and 10000 ppm saline water irrigation treatments, and foliar spraying of SA at 300 ppm with 8000 ppm saline water irrigation treatment in both seasons.

These results coincide with those reported by **Moharekar et al (2003)** and **Syeed (2008)** who found that the total carotenes increased significantly in wheat and mungbean by increasing SA concentration. Concerning chlorophyll content in leaves, **Ghai et al (2002)** reported that foliar spraying of SA with concentration (20 mg/ml) on *Brassica napus* plants improved the chlorophyll content, while **Karlidag et al (2009)** mentioned that strawberry plants treated with SA exhibited greater growth, as did higher chlorophyll concentrations under salt stress. Also, **Pesci**, **1987**, and **Kuznetsov & Shevyakova**, **1999** revealed that proline accumulation is effective cell osmoprotectant; the application of exogenous SA increase the proline content in the plant cells as reported by **Shakirova et al 2003** on wheat and **Bandurska and Stroinski**, **2005** on barley. In addition, similar findings were reported by **Syeed and Khan (2010)**, **Bayat et al (2012)**, and **Pacheco et al (2013)**.

Mineral analysis of leaves and survival percentage

Data in Tables (6 and 7) show that all tested saline water irrigation treatments significantly decreased nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium. While, calcium, sodium and chloride percentage significantly increased in both seasons. On the other hand, all tested foliar spraying of salicylic acid treatments significantly increased nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, calcium and survival percentages compared with the control treatment in both seasons, while it decreased sodium and chloride percentages. Foliar spraying of SA at 100 and 200 ppm gave the highest values of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, calcium survival percentages, while it gave the lowest sodium and chloride percentages. . In most cases, under the same saline water irrigation level, spraying SA alleviated the salinity effect on the plants and enhanced the plant growth as compared with control treatment in both seasons. In addition, there was interactive effect of salinity and foliar spraying of SA on number of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and calcium percentages, the highest significant values of nitrogen and potassium percentages in both seasons were obtained by the application of SA foliar sprayings at 100 and 200 ppm with control salinity treatment. While, the highest significant values of phosphorus percentage in both seasons were obtained by the application of SA foliar sprayings at 100, 200 and 300 ppm with control and 2000 ppm salinity treatments. However, the highest significant values of calcium percentage in both seasons were obtained by the application of SA foliar spraying at 200 ppm with 10000 ppm salinity treatment. Moreover, the highest significant values of survival percentage in both seasons were obtained by the application of all foliar sprayings treatments with control and 2000 ppm salinity treatments, also obtained by SA foliar spraying at 100 and 200 ppm with 4000 ppm salinity treatment in both seasons. These results agree with those reported by Bayat et al (2012), and Pacheco et al (2013).

Effect of Saline Water Irrigation and Foliar Spraying of Salicylic Acid on Growth, 943 Flowering and Chemical Composition of Pot Marigold (*Calendula officinalis* L.) Plant

Table 5. Effect of irrigation by saline water and foliar spraying of salicylic acid on carotene contents in flowers, proline content and leaf total chlorophyll of *Calendula officinalis* L. plant in 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 seasons

		carotene c flow (mg/g	/ers f. wt.)	(µg/g	of proline g f.w.)	Leaf total chlorophyll (mg/g f. wt.)	
Treatments		1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd
ireatin	lents	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season
			Salinity le	vel (ppm)			
Control	(300)	1.137 a	1.169 a	153.41 e	150.22 e	0.984 a	1.071 a
200	0	0.953 b	0.944 b	246.98 d	240.63 d	0.665 b	0.527 b
400	0	0.865 c	0.854 bc	349.87 c	344.61 c	0.652 bc	0.344 c
600	0	0.828 cd	0.822 c	528.49 b	497.41 b	0.609 bc	0.268 cd
800	0	0.782 d	0.784 c	639.73 a	534.83 a	0.526 c	0.217 d
1000	00	0.711 e	0.675 d	583.60 ab	545.55 a	0.294 d	0.192 d
			SA concent	ation (ppm)			
Contro	ol (0)	0.774 c	0.755 b	309.33 c	313.68 c	0.489 b	0.353 b
100		0.911 ab	0.907 a	489.70 a	410.45 ab	0.658 a	0.482 a
200	0	0.949 a	0.949 a	451.52 ab	430.68 a	0.719 a	0.461 a
300	0	0.884 b	0.888 a	417.50 b	387.35 b	0.622 a	0.451 a
		•	Salinit	y * SA			
	0	1.080 a-c	1.100 a-d	109.67 j	108.56 I	0.902 bc	0.911 b
Control	100	1.178 a	1.200 ab	181.66 ij	146.92 kl	0.977 ab	1.167 a
Control	200	1.197 a	1.263 a	207.16 h-j	171.57 jk	1.214 a	1.129 a
	300	1.093 ab	1.114 a-c	115.17 j	173.83 jk	0.843 b-d	1.076 ab
	0	0.800 g-l	0.742 h-k	180.60 ij	197.21 i-k	0.587 d-g	0.373 d-f
2000	100	1.003 b-e	0.983 c-g	303.66 f-i	271.33 gh	0.684 b-f	0.616 c
2000	200	1.030 b-d	1.053 b-e	236.03 h-j	279.92 g	0.736 b-f	0.598 c
	300	0.979 b-f	0.997 b-f	267.60 g-i	214.04 h-j	0.654 c-f	0.523 cd
	0	0.737 i-l	0.721 h-k	307.50 f-i	255.89 g-i	0.495 e-h	0.260 e-g
4000	100	0.895 d-h	0.860 e-j	436.04 d-f	374.33 ef	0.640 c-f	0.325 e-g
4000	200	0.942 c-g	0.918 c-h	324.67 f-h	402.61 ef	0.697 b-f	0.349 d-g
	300	0.886 e-h	0.917 c-h	331.29 f-h	345.62 f	0.775 b-e	0.442 c-e
	0	0.729 j-m	0.714 h-k	429.17 ef	388.42 ef	0.432 f-h	0.233 fg
6000	100	0.860 e-j	0.845 e-j	612.58 a-c	562.70 ab	0.607 c-g	0.313 e-g
0000	200	0.883 e-i	0.902 d-i	566.15 b-d	562.33 ab	0.737 b-f	0.253 e-g
	300	0.841 f-l	0.828 f-j	506.06 c-e	476.20 cd	0.661 c-f	0.274 e-g
	0	0.704 lm	0.689 i-k	427.33 ef	432.33 de	0.289 h	0.188 fg
8000	100	0.778 h-l	0.788 f-j	666.53 ab	546.65 ab	0.701 b-f	0.243 f-g
0000	200	0.854 f-k	0.887 e-j	746.35 a	576.67 a	0.644 c-f	0.238 fg
	300	0.793 h-l	0.773 g-k	718.70 a	583.67 a	0.472 e-h	0.199 fg
	0	0.597 m	0.566 k	401.72 e-g	499.67 bc	0.227 h	0.152 g
10000	100	0.749 h-l	0.765 h-k	737.73 a	560.77 ab	0.341 gh	0.227 fg
10000	200	0.790 h-l	0.673 jk	628.76 a-c	591.00 a	0.284 h	0.200 fg
	300	0.710 k-m	0.697 h-k	566.20 b-d	530.75 a-c	0.325 gh	0.189 fg

		Nitro	•	-	ohorus		sium	Calc	
		perce			entage		ntage 2 nd	perce	ntage 2 nd
Treatm	ents	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	1 st		1 st	-
		Season	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season
				Sal	inity level (p	pm)			
Control	(300)	1.689 a	1.889 a	0.195 a	0.185 a	1.794 a	1.703 a	1.861 f	1.889 d
200	0	1.434 b	1.623 b	0.179 b	0.167 b	1.583 b	1.423 b	2.006 e	2.022 c
400	0	1.351 c	1.492 c	0.166 c	0.141 c	1.414 c	1.269 c	2.072 d	2.056 c
600	0	1.223 d	1.362 d	0.147 d	0.124 d	1.117 d	1.100 d	2.122 c	2.078 c
800	0	1.105 e	1.168 e	0.129 e	0.111 e	1.106 d	0.943 e	2.200 b	2.183 b
1000	00	1.038 e	1.022 f	0.106 f	0.085 f	0.889 e	0.800 f	2.294 a	2.289 a
				SA co	ncentration	(ppm)			
Contro	ol (0)	1.192 c	1.250 b	0.131 c	0.104 c	1.208 b	1.088 b	2.026 c	2.019 c
100)	1.413 a	1.504 a	0.163 a	0.151 a	1.366 a	1.263 a	2.137 a	2.119 ab
200)	1.358 a	1.484 a	0.167 a	0.150 a	1.350 a	1.265 a	2.141 a	2.148 a
300)	1.263 b	1.467 a	0.154 b	0.137 b	1.345 a	1.210 a	2.067 b	2.059 bc
					Salinity * SA				
	0	1.540 cd	1.770 b	0.189 a-c	0.181 a-c	1.600 a-d	1.623 a-c	1.756 k	1.822 g
Control	100	1.881 a	1.967 a	0.199 a	0.190 a	1.886 ab	1.669 ab	1.978 h-j	1.933 e-g
Control	200	1.727 ab	1.897 a	0.198 ab	0.184 ab	1.931 a	1.794 a	1.911 j	1.956 d-g
	300	1.610 bc	1.923 a	0.197 ab	0.183 ab	1.760 ab	1.726 a	1.800 k	1.844 fg
	0	1.274 f-h	1.471 fg	0.163 d-f	0.152 d-f	1.406 c-f	1.314 d-h	1.978 h-j	1.933 e-g
2000	100	1.610 bc	1.727 bc	0.184 a-c	0.178 a-c	1.669 a-c	1.463 c-e	2.067 f-h	2.044 b-g
2000	200	1.465 с-е	1.654 cd	0.188 a-c	0.171 a-d	1.623 a-d	1.520 b-d	2.044 g-i	2.111 b-e
	300	1.386 d-f	1.638 ce	0.182 a-d	0.168 b-d	1.634 a-c	1.394 d-f	1.933 ij	2.000 c-g
	0	1.237 f-h	1.309 h	0.140 g	0.089 j	1.291 d-h	1.166 g-j	2.044 g-i	2.067 b-f
4000	100	1.563 bc	1.570 d-f	0.175 с-е	0.162 c-e	1.406 c-f	1.314 d-h	2.089 f-h	2.111 b-e
4000	200	1.344 e-g	1.548 ef	0.178 b-d	0.158 d-f	1.383 c-g	1.349 d-g	2.067 f-h	2.000 c-g
	300	1.260 f-h	1.542 ef	0.170 c-e	0.155 d-f	1.577 b-e	1.246 f-i	2.089 f-h	2.044 b-g
	0	1.120 h-j	1.120 jk	0.111 hi	0.071 k	1.074 f-j	0.891 k-m	2.022 g-j	2.000 c-g
6000	100	1.255 f-h	1.428 g	0.163 d-f	0.146 e-g	1.246 e-i	1.280 e-h	2.133 d-g	2.067 b-f
	200	1.316 e-g	1.469 fg	0.173 c-e	0.156 d-f	1.166 f-j	1.120 h-j	2.222 b-e	2.178 a-d
	300	1.199 g-i	1.430 g	0.142 g	0.122 hi	0.983 h-j	1.109 h-j	2.111 e-g	2.067 b-f
	0	1.059 i-k	0.987 l	0.093 ij	0.068 k	1.051 g-j	0.789 lm	2.133 d-g	2.111 b-e
8000	100	1.050 i-k	1.242 hi	0.145 fg	0.131 gh	1.086 f-j	1.051 i-k	2.244 a-d	2.200 a-c
	200	1.199 g-i	1.254 hi	0.157 e-g	0.141 f-h	1.074 f-j	0.971 j-l	2.244 a-d	2.267 ab
	300	1.111 h-j	1.189 ij	0.121 h	0.105 ij	1.211 f-i	0.960 j-l	2.178 c-f	2.156 а-е
	0	0.924 k	0.840 m	0.090 j	0.063 k	0.823 j	0.743 m	2.222 b-e	2.178 a-d
10000	100	1.120 h-j	1.089 j-l	0.114 h	0.097 j	0.903 ij	0.800 lm	2.311 ab	2.356 a
10000	200	1.097 h-j	1.079 kl	0.108 h-j	0.091 j	0.926 ij	0.834 lm	2.356 a	2.378 a
	300	1.013 jk	1.081 kl	0.110 hi	0.090 j	0.903 ij	0.823 lm	2.289 а-с	2.244 ab

Table 6. Effect of irrigation by saline water and foliar spraying of salicylic acid on nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and calcium percentages of *Calendula officinalis* L. plant in 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 seasons

Means within a column in the same group followed by the same letter are not significantly different (P = 0.05) according to Duncan's multiple range test

944

Effect of Saline Water Irrigation and Foliar Spraying of Salicylic Acid on Growth, 945 Flowering and Chemical Composition of Pot Marigold (*Calendula officinalis* L.) Plant

s		Sodium P	ercentage	Chloride p	percentage	Survival percentage		
-		1 st	2nd	1 st	2nd	1 st	2nd	
Treatme	ents	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season	
			Sali	inity level (pp	m)			
Control(300)	0.505 f	0.586 f	0.237 f	0.331 f	100.00 a	100.00 a	
2000)	0.638 e	0.842 e	0.431 e	0.530 e	95.00 a	98.33 a	
4000)	0.826 d	0.986 d	0.757 d	0.738 d	85.00 b	93.33 a	
6000)	0.989 c	1.076 c	0.890 c	0.928 c	68.33 c	75.00 b	
8000)	1.130 b	1.173 b	1.098 b	1.136 b	51.67 d	55.00 c	
1000	0	1.314 a	1.294 a	1.198 a	1.188 a	35.00 e	41.67 d	
			SA co	ncentration (ppm)			
Control	(0)	0.973 a	1.074 a	0.839 a	0.871 a	66.67 b	70.00 c	
100		0.864 b	0.954 c	0.754 b	0.805 b	76.67 a	81.11 ab	
200		0.881 b	0.941 c	0.723 c	0.783 b	76.67 a	82.22 a	
300		0.884 b	1.002 b	0.757 b	0.776 b	70.00 b	75.56 bc	
				Salinity * SA	I			
	0	0.544 j-l	0.626 i	0.265 l	0.360 k	100.00 a	100.00 a	
Control	100	0.524 kl	0.551 i	0.227	0.303 k	100.00 a	100.00 a	
Control	200	0.452 l	0.566 i	0.208 l	0.341 k	100.00 a	100.00 a	
	300	0.501 kl	0.599 i	0.246 l	0.322 k	100.00 a	100.00 a	
	0	0.669 i	0.796 h	0.492 j	0.549 j	86.67 a-c	93.33 a	
2000	100	0.576 jk	0.896 gh	0.417 jk	0.568 ij	100.00 a	100.00 a	
2000	200	0.684 i	0.848 h	0.360 k	0.492 j	100.00 a	100.00 a	
	300	0.624 ij	0.828 h	0.454 j	0.511 j	93.33 ab	100.00 a	
	0	0.891 fg	1.090 de	0.909 fg	0.757 g	80.00 b-d	86.67 a	
4000	100	0.798 h	0.978 fg	0.795 h	0.852 f	86.67 a-c	100.00 a	
	200	0.776 h	0.866 h	0.644 i	0.701 gh	93.33 ab	93.33 a	
	300	0.841 gh	1.008 ef	0.682 i	0.644 hi	80.00 b-d	93.33 a	
	0	1.083 cd	1.245 bc	0.966 f	1.060 e	66.67 d-f	60.00 bc	
6000	100	0.936 ef	1.013 ef	0.852 gh	0.890 f	73.33 c-e	86.67 a	
	200 300	0.996 de 0.941 ef	0.981 fg 1.065 ef	0.871 gh 0.871 gh	0.890 f 0.871 f	73.33 c-e 60.00 ef	86.67 a 66.67 b	
	0	0.941 er 1.267 b	1.065 er	1.155 b-d	1.212 ab		46.67 c-e	
	100	1.267 D	1.290 bc 1.100 de	1.155 b-0 1.060 e	1.212 ab 1.079 de	40.00 gh 60.00 ef	46.67 C-e 53.33 b-d	
8000	200	1.078 cd	1.100 de 1.108 de	1.000 e 1.098 c-e	1.117 c-e	53.33 fg	66.67 b	
	300	1.003 cu	1.100 de 1.193 cd	1.030 c-e 1.079 de	1.136 b-e	53.33 fg	53.33 b-d	
	0	1.385 a	1.397 a	1.250 a	1.287 a	26.67 h	33.33 e	
	100	1.270 b	1.185 cd	1.174 a-c	1.136 b-e	40.00 gh	46.67 c-e	
10000	200	1.297 ab	1.105 cd 1.275 bc	1.155 b-d	1.155 b-d	40.00 gh	46.67 c-e	
	300	1.305 ab	1.320 ab	1.212 ab	1.174 bc	33.33 h	40.00 de	

 Table 7. Effect of irrigation by saline water and foliar spraying of salicylic acid on sodium, chloride and survival percentages of Calendula officinalis L. plant in 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 seasons

Means within a column in the same group followed by the same letter are not significantly different (P = 0.05) according to Duncan's multiple range test

DISCUSSION

The SA spraying applications increased the vegetative and roots growth characters, these increments may be attributed to the increment in leaf

total chlorophyll as found in **Table (5)** or the increment of the plant nutrients, i.e. nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium percentages in the vegetative growth as mentioned in **Table (6)**. These nutrients play roles in the development of the plant; nitrogen increase the vegetative growth of the plant, phosphorus play role in roots formation and flowering of the plants, while potassium play role in the translocation of carbohydrates in the plant. Also, salicylic acid has been found to play a key role in the regulation of plant growth, development and in the responses to environmental stresses (Raskin, 1992 a, b, Popova et al 1997, Senaratna et al 2000 and Shakirova et al 2003). The stimulating effect of SA on the plant growth may be attributed to the influence of SA on a range of diverse processes in plants, including stomatal closure, ion uptake and transport (Harper and Balke, 1981, Khan et al 2003, Gunes et al 2005 and Aftab et al 2010), membrane permeability (Barkosky and Einhellig, 1993), photosynthetic and growth rates (Khan et al 2003), as well as nitrogen metabolism, proline metabolism, production of glycinebetaine, antioxidant defense system, and plant water relations under stress conditions and thereby provides protection in plants against abiotic stresses (Khan et al 2003, Nazar et al 2011 and Miura & Tada, 2014). In addition to facilitating plant growth, SA has been shown as an important signal molecule which can induce particular enzyme catalyzing biosynthetic reactions.

Concerning the flowering parameters, SA spraying applications increased the flowering parameters. These increments in the flowering parameters may be considered as a result to the increment in the vegetative growth characters, i.e. plant height, number of leaves/plant, leaf area, fresh and dry weights of the vegetative growth and roots as found in Tables (1, 2 and 3) or may be attributed to the increase in leaf total chlorophyll as found in Table (5) or the increment in plant nutrients as mentioned in Table (6), i.e. nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium percentages in vegetative growth. Moreover, SA has been found that it promotes flowering, enhances flowers longevity, inhibits ethylene biosynthesis and reverses the ABA impacts (Raskin et al 1992a and Martinez et al 2004).

Concerning the effect of saline water irrigation on plant growth and chlorophyll content, salinity leaded to decrease chlorophyll content that is because of the plant digress toward producing nitrogen compound such as proline. While, SA increased photosynthesis under salt stress by decreasing cellular Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions and increasing the content of nutrients (**Gunes et al 2007**). Under salinity stress, an increase in NaCl in plants leads to an increase in sodium and chloride ions but may result a decrease in the other nutrients such as N, P, K and Ca. Also, salinity affects the nutritional balance of NaCl result in higher levels of Na⁺/Ca²⁺, Na⁺/K⁺, Na⁺/Mg²⁺, Cl⁻/NO₃⁻ and Cl⁻/H₂PO₄⁻, thus causing plant growth retardation, Sodium and chloride can influence the uptake of nutrients by competing with nutrients or affecting the ion permeability of membrane (Grattan and Grieve 1999).

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrated that foliar spraying of SA under saline water irrigation conditions induced positive effects on the plant growth, flowering parameters, and the contents of chlorophyll, flowercarotene, proline and minerals of vegetative growth of pot marigold plants. Moreover in most cases, under the same saline water irrigation conditions spraying SA at 100 and 200 ppm concentrations alleviated the salinity effect on the plants, enhanced the plant growth and increased the flowering parameters. The most effective treatments which enhance growth, flowering parameters, chlorophyll content, carotene contents in flowers, proline and mineral contents were found to be 100 and 200 ppm SA sprayings under nonsaline conditions. Further studies are required in order to determine the effect of SA under saline conditions on the net photosynthetic rate, water relations, antioxidant compounds, enzyme activity and endogenous phytohormones.

REFERENCES

- Aftab, T., Khan, M.M.A., Idrees, M., Naeem, M. and Moinuddin 2010. Salicylic acid acts as potent enhancer of growth, photosynthesis and artemisinin production in *Artemisia annua* L.. J. Crop Sci. Biotech., 13(3), 183-188.
- Alarcon, J.J., Sanchez-Blanco, M.J., Bolarin, M.C. and Torrecillas, A. 1994. Growth and osmotic adjustment of two tomato cultivars during and after saline stress. Plant and Soil, 166, 75-82.
- Allakhverdiev, S.I., Sakamoto, A., Nishiyama, Y., Inaba, M. and Murata, N. 2000. Ionic and osmotic effects of NaCl-induced inactivation of photosystems I and II in *Synechococcus* sp.. Plant Physiol., 123(3), 1047-1056.
- AOAC, 2005. Association of Official Analytical Chemists-International, Official Methods of Analysis, 18th, Horwitz W. and Latimer G.W. (eds.), 107 p., AOAC-Int. Suite 500, 481 North Frederick Avenue, Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA.

Effect of Saline Water Irrigation and Foliar Spraying of Salicylic Acid on Growth, 947 Flowering and Chemical Composition of Pot Marigold (*Calendula officinalis* L.) Plant

- Bandurska, H. and Stroiński, A. 2005. The effect of salicylic acid on barley response to water deficit. Acta Physioligae Plantarum, 27(3), 379-386.
- Barkosky, R.R. and Einhellig, F.A. 1993. Effects of salicylic acid on plant water relationship. J. Chem. Ecol., 19(2), 237-247.
- Bates, L.S., Waldren, R.P. and Teare, I.D. 1973. Rapid determination of free proline for water stress studies. Plant and soil, 39, 205-207.
- Bayat, H., Alirezaie, M. and Neamati, H. 2012. Impact of exogenous salicylic acid on growth and ornamental characteristics of calendula (*Calendula officinalis* L.) under salinity stress. J. stress Physiol. & Biochem., 8(1), 258-267.
- Brown, J.D. and Lilleland, O. 1946. Rapid determination of potassium and sodium in plant material and soil extract by flame photometery. Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci., 48, 341-346.
- Carter, C.T., Grieve, C.M., Poss, J.A. and Suarez, D.L. 2005. Production and ion uptake of *Celosia argenta* irrigated with saline wastewaters. Scientia Horticulturae, 106(3), 381-394.
- Chartzoulakis, K., Loupassaki, M., Bertaki, M. and Androulakis, I. 2002. Effects of NaCl salinity on growth, ion content and CO2 assimilation rate of six olive cultivars. Scientia Horticulturae, 96, 235-247.
- Cheng, K.L. and Bray, R.H. 1951. Determination of Calcium and Magnesium in Soil and Plants materials. Soil Sci., 72(6), 449-458.
- Dole, J.M. and Wilkins, H.F. 2004. Floriculture: Principles and species, (2nd Ed.). 226 p., Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, USA.
- Earle, F.R., Mikolajczak, K.L., Wolff, I.A. and Barclay, A.S. 1964. Search of new industrial oils X Seed oils of the Calenduleae. J. Amer. Oil Chem. Soc., 41(5), 345-347.
- Elwan, M.W.M. and El-Hamahmy, M.A.M. 2009. Improved productivity and quality associated with salicylic acid application in greenhouse pepper. Scientia Horticulturae, 122(4), 521-526.
- Fatemi, R. and Aboutalebi, A. 2012. Evaluation the interaction of salinity and salicylic acid on Sweet basil (*ocimum basilicum*) properties.
 Annals Bio. Res., 3(11), 5106-5109.
- Fathy, E.L.E, Abd-Rahman, A.M.M. and Khedr, Z.M.A. 2003. Response of broad bean to foliar spray of different K-sources and energy related organic compounds (EROC) to induce better internal K and sugars case towards better

growth and productivity. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 28(4), 2935-2954.

- Ghai, N., Setia, R.C. and Setia, N. 2002. Effects of paclobutrazol and salicylic acid on chlorophyll content, hill activity and yield components in *Brassica napus* L. (cv. GSL-1). Phytomorphol., 52, 83-87.
- Grattan, S.R. and Grieve, C.M. 1999. Mineral nutrient acquisition and response by plants grown in saline environments. In: Pessarakli, M. (ed.) Handbook of plant and crop stress, (2nd ed.). pp. 203-229, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, USA.
- Gunes, A., Inal, A., Alpaslan, M., Cicek, N., Guneri, E., Eraslan, F. and Guzelordu, T.
 2005. Effects of exogenously applied salicylic acid on the induction of multiple stress tolerance and mineral nutrition in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Arch Agron. Soil Sci., 51(6), 687-695.
- Gunes, A., Inal, A., Alpaslan, M., Eraslan, F., Bagci, E.G. and Cicek, N. 2007. Salicylic acid induced changes on some physiological parameters symptomatic for oxidative stress and mineral nutrition in maize (*Zea mays* L.) grown under salinity. J. Plant Physiol., 164(6), 728-736.
- Hara, M., Furukawa, J., Sato, A., Mizoguchi, T. and Miura, K. 2012. Abiotic stress and role of salicylic acid in plants. In: Ahmad P. and Prasad M.N.V. (eds.), Abiotic stress responses in plants. pp. 235-251, Springer, New York, USA.
- Harper, J.R. and Balke, N.E. 1981. Characterization of the inhibition of K⁺ absorption in oat roots by salicylic acid. Plant Physiol., 68(6), 1349-1353.
- Hashish, KH., Mazhar, A., Zaghloul, S., Abdel Aziz, N., Mahgoub, M. and Eid, R. 2015b. Application of salicylic acid on *Calendula officinalis* L. is to alleviate the adverse effects of salinity stress. Intern. J. Chemtech Res., 8(6), 379-388.
- Hashish, KH.L., Mazhar, A., Abdel Aziz, N. and Mahgoub, M. 2015a. The influence of different levels of foliar-application SA on the flowering and some chemical compositions of *Calendula* officinalis L. under salinity irrigation. Intern. J. Chemtech Res., 8(6), 890-897.
- He, Y. and Zhu, Z.J. 2008. Exogenous salicylic acid alleviates NaCl toxicity and increases antioxidative enzyme activity in *Lycopersicon esculentum*. Biologia Plantarum, 52(4), 792-795.

- Kandeel, Y.M. 2004. Effect of salinity and growth regulators on growth and chemical compositon of *Hibiscus rosasinensis* L. transplants. J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ., 30(4), 944-963.
- Karlidag, H., Yildirim, E. and Turan, M. 2009. Salicylic acid ameliorates the adverse effect of salt stress on strawberry. Sci. Agric. Piracicaba, Braz., 66(2), 180-187.
- Kaya, C., Higgs, D., Ince, F., Amador, B.M., Cakir, A. and Sakar, E. 2003. Ameliorative effects of potassium phosphate on salt stressed pepper and cucumber. J. of Plant Nutrition. 26(4), 807-820.
- Khan, N.A., Syeed, S., Masood, A., Nazar, R. and Iqbal, N. 2010. Application of salicylic acid increases contents of nutrients and antioxidative metabolism in mungbean and alleviates adverse effects of salinity stress. Int. J. Plant Biol. 1(1), 1-8.
- Khan, W., Prithiviraj, B. and Smith, D.L. 2003. Photosynthetic responses of corn and soybean to foliar application of salicylates. J. of Plant Physiology, 160(5), 485-492.
- Kishimoto, S., Maoka, T., Sumitomo, K. and Ohmiya, A. 2005. Analysis of Carotenoid Composition in Petals of Calendula (*Calendula* officinalis L.). Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 69(11), 2122-2128.
- Kraemer, E.O. and Stamm, A.J. 1924. Mohr's Method for the Determination of Silver and Halogens in other than Neutral Solutions, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 46(12), 2707- 2709.
- Kuznetsov, VI.V. and Shevyakova, N.I. 1999. Proline under stress conditions: Biological role, metabolism and regulation. Russian J. of Plant Physiol., 46, 321-336.
- Mady, M.A. 2009. Effect of foliar application with salicylic acid and vitamin E on growth and productivity of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) plant. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 34(6), 6735-6746.
- Martínez, C., Pons, E., Prats, G. and León, J. 2004. Salicylic acid regulates flowering time and links defence responses and reproductive development. Plant J., 37(2), 209-217.
- Miura, K., and Tada, Y. 2014. Regulation of water, salinity, and cold stress responses by salicylic acid. Front. Plant Sci. 5(4),1-12.
- Moharekar, S.T., Lokhande, S.D., Hara, T., Tanaka, R., Tanaka, A. and Chavan, P.D. 2003. Effect of salicylic acid on chlorophyll and carotenoid contents of wheat and moong seedlings. Photosynthetica, 41(2), 315-317.

- Moursi, M.A., Tawfik, H.A. and Abdel-Gawad, A. 1968. Principles of agricultural researches (in Arabic). 418 p., Dar El-Hanna printing House, Cairo, Egypt.
- Nagata, M. and Yamashita, I. 1992. Simple method for simultaneous determination of chlorophyll and carotenoids in tomato fruit. J. Japan. Soc. Food Sci. Technol., 39 (10), 925-928.
- Najafian, S., khoshkhui, M. and Tavallali, V. 2009a. Effect of Salicylic Acid and Salinity in Rosemary (*Rosmarinus officinalis* L.): Investigation on Changes in Gas Exchange, Water Relations, and Membrane Stabilization. Advances in Environmental Biology, 3(3), 322-328.
- Najafian, S., khoshkhui, M., Tavallali, V. and Saharkhiz, M.J. 2009b. Effect of Salicylic Acid and Salinity in Thyme (*Thymus Vulgaris* L.): Investigation on Changes in Gas Exchange, Water Relations, and Membrane Stabilization and Biomass Accumulation. Australian J. Basic and Appl. Sci., 3(3), 2620-2626.
- Nazar, R., Iqbal, N., Syeed, S. and Khan, N.A. 2011. Salicylic acid alleviates decreases in photosynthesis under salt stress by enhancing nitrogen and sulfur assimilation and antioxidant metabolism differentially in two mungbean cultivars. J. Plant Physiol., 168(8), 807-815.
- Nofal, F.H., El-Segai, M.U. and Seleem, E.A. 2015. Response of *Calendula officinalis* L. Plants to Growth Stimulants under Salinity Stress. American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci., 15(9), 1767-1778.
- Pacheco, A.C., Cabral, C., Fermino, E. and Aleman, C. 2013. Salicylic acid-induced changes to growth, flowering and flavonoids production in marigold plants. J. of med. Plants Res., 7(42), 3158-3163.
- Page, A.L., Miller, R.H. and Keeney, D.R. 1982. Methods of soil analysis-chemical and microbiology properties, Part 2. 228 p., SSSA Inc., Mad., WI., USA.
- Parida, A.K. and Das, A.B. 2005. Salt tolerance and salinity effects on plants: A review. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety. 60(3), 324-349.
- Pesci, P. 1987. ABA-induced proline accumulation in barley leaf segments: dependence on protein synthesis. Physiol. Plant., 71(3), 287-291.
- Piper, C.S. 1950. Soil and plant analysis, (1st Ed.). pp. 30-59, Inter. Science Publishers Inc., New York, USA.

Effect of Saline Water Irrigation and Foliar Spraying of Salicylic Acid on Growth, 949 Flowering and Chemical Composition of Pot Marigold (*Calendula officinalis* L.) Plant

- Popova, L., Pancheva, T. and Uzunova, A. 1997. Salicylic acid: Properties, biosynthesis and physiological role. Bulg. J. Plant Physiol., 23, 85-93.
- Raskin, I. 1992a. Role of salicylic acid in plants. Annual Review of Plant Physiology & Plant Molecular Biology, 43, 439-463.
- Raskin, I. 1992b. Salicylate, a new plant hormone. Plant Physiol., 99, 799-803.
- Rivas-SanVicente, M. and Plasencia, J. 2011. Salicylic acid beyond defence: its role in plant growth and development. J. Exp. Bot., 62(10), 3321-3338.
- Salachna, P., Piechocki, R., Zawadzińska, A. and Wośkowiak, A. 2015. Response of speckled spur-flower to salinity stress and salicylic acid treatment. J. Eco. Eng., 16(5), 68-75.
- Sánchez-Blanco, M.J., Rodríguez, P., Morales, M.A. and Torrecillas, A. 2003. Contrasting physiological responses of dwarf sea-lavender and marguerite to simulated sea aerosol deposition. J. of Environment Quality. 32(6), 2238-2244.
- Senaratna, T., Touchell, D., Bunn, E. and Dixon, K. 2000. Acetyl salicylic acid (Aspirin) and salicylic acid induce multiple stress tolerance in bean and tomato plants. Plant Growth Regulation, 30(2), 157-161.
- Shakirova, F.M., Sakhabutdinova, A.R., Bezrukova, M.V., Fatkhutdinova, R.A. and Fatkhutdinova, D.R. 2003. Changes in the hormonal status of wheat seedlings induced by salicylic acid and salinity. Plant Science, 164(3), 317-322.

- Syeed, S. 2008. Physiological basis for salicylic acid-mediated salinity tolerance of mungbean (*Vicia radiata*) and mustard (*Brassica juncea*).51 p., PhD thesis, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India.
- Syeed, S. and Khan, N.A. 2010. Physiological aspects of salicylic acid-mediated salinity tolerance in plants. Plant stress. 4(1), 39-46.
- Szepesi, A., Csiszár, J., Bajkán, S., Gémes, K., Horváth, F., Erdei, L., Deér, A.K., Simon, M.L. and Tari, I. 2005. Role of salicylic acid pre-treatment on the acclimation of tomato plants to salt- and osmotic stress. Acta Biologica Szegediensis, 49, 123-125.
- Waller, R.A. and Duncan, D.B. 1969. A Bayes rule for the symmetric multiple comparison problem. J. of the American Statistical Association, 64, 1484-1503.
- Williams, M., Senaratna, T., Dixon, K. and Sivasithamparam, K. 2003. Benzoic acid induces tolerance to biotic stress caused by *Phytophthora cinnamomi* in Banksia attenuata. Plant Growth Regul., 41(1), 89-91.
- Wintermans, J.F. and De Mots, A. 1965. Spectrophotometric characteristics of Chlorophylls a and b and their Phaeophytins in ethanol. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 109(2), 448-453.
- Yildirim, E., Turan, M. and Guvenc, I. 2008. Effect of foliar salicylic acid applications on growth, chlorophyll and mineral content of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) grown under salt stress. J. of Plant Nutrition, 31, 593-612.