THE REVIVAL OF PYTHAGOREANISM IN THE SECOND-FIRST CENTURY B. C TILL THE SECOND CENTURY

Magdy E1-Sayed Ahmed Kilany

We hardly find any traces of the school of Pythagoras between Aristoxenus and The first Century B.C. Since The first part of this century, however, a stream of Pythagorean pseudepigraphs burtsts forth. (1) Varro always mentiond Pythagoreans, he knows Ocellus and Archytas. Cicro mentions P.Nigidius as the man who restored Pythagoreanism in Rome. (2)

We find the oldest testimony of the revival of Pythagoreanism in Alexander Polyhistor's (3) account of what he found in $\Pi \upsilon \theta \alpha \gamma \circ \rho \iota \kappa \circ s$ and $\Upsilon \iota \psi \circ \tau \circ s$ in Diogenes Laertius:

[Άρχην μεν άπάντων μονάδα . εκ δε της μονάδος άδριστον δυάδα ώς ἄν ΰλην τη μονάδι αιτίω όντι ύπ-

(1) A List of writings is found in Zeller 3, P,116. about ninety are known to us either by fragments or by titles.

(3) Lived in Rome between 80 - 40 B.C.

⁽²⁾ Cicero, Timaeus I,: Multa sunt a nobis et in Academicis conscripta contra physicos et saepe cum P.Nigidio Carneado more et modo disputata. fuit enim vir ille cum ceteris artibus, quae quidem dignae libero essent, ornatus omnibus, tum acer investigator et diligens earum quae a natura involutae videntur ' denique sic indico post illos nobiles Pythagoreos, quorum disciplina exstincta est quodam modo, cum aliquot saecla in Italia Siciliaque viguisset, hunc exstitisse qui illam renovaret.

οστήναι. ἐκ δέ της μονάδος καί της ἀορίστον δυάδος τούς άριθμοῦς. ἐκ δέ των ἀριθμων τα σημεῖα. ἐκ δέ τούτων τάς γραμμάς, ἐξ ὧν τὰ ἐπίπεδα σχήματα. ἐκ δὲ τῶν ἐπιπέδων τὰ στερεὰ σχήματα. ἐκ δέ τούτων τὰ αἰσθητά σώματα. ὧν καὶ τὰ στοιχεῖα εἶναι τέτταρα, πύρ, ΰδωρ, γην, ἀέρα. μεταβάλλειν δέ καί τρέπεσθαι δι' ὅλων, καὶ γίνεσθαι ἐξ αὐτῶν κόσμον ἔμψυχον, νοερόν, σφαιροειδη , μέσην περιέχοντα την γην καὶ αὐτην σφαιροειδη καὶ περιοικονμένην.] (1)

The meaning of the text is as follows:

"The principle of all things is the monad (unit) arising from this monad the undefined "dyad" or tow serves as material substratum to the monad, which is cause; from the monad and the undefined dyad sping numbers from numbers, points, form points, lines; from lines, plane figures; from plane figures solid figures, from solid figures, sensible bodies, the elements of interchange and turn into one another completely, and combine to prouduce a universe animate, intelligent, spherical, with the earth at its center, the earth itself too being spherical and inhabited round about."

I must make some remarks to the text quoted above:

1 - That the earliest pythagoreans were not monists, but

⁽¹⁾ Diog . Laert . v111 25

dualists.

- 2 Aristotle says in his Metaphysics (1) That instead the Pythagorean απειρον (infinte) which is one, Plato adopted the undefined dyad. And we must infer from this that wherever the δύας ἀόριστος (the undefined dyad) appears as a second principle, we are not really dealing with early Pythagorean doctrine.
- 3 Plato, at least in his later years, called his first principle the one. He also assumed a second principle, which he called either by the Pythagorean term ἀπειρον (2) (infinte) or by his own denominations τό μέγα καί μικρόν ((3) (undefined the great and the small) or aoristos duas dyad) .This second principle, however, far from being derived directly form the One, forms the antipole of the scale of being. One wonders how Plato could have traced it back to the first principle . It seems that on this point Alexander's account Shows a later unplatonic conception.
- 4 The doctrine that the elements interchange and turn into one another is stoic Heraclitism (4) We find it also in Philo who views the horos as mediating between the opposites. (5) The statement that the doctrine is found in the

⁽¹⁾ Aristotle, Metaphysics, A6, 9876 - 26 f

⁽²⁾ Plato, Philebus 24-25.

⁽³⁾ Ibid, 24 a - 25 b.

⁽⁴⁾ About this stoic doctrine known as πύρ Τεχνικόν (periodical fire) cf: Diog. Laert., VII 156 and Aetius, Plac. I, 7,33 (SVF 1027). the same term in Cicero ignis articious (Natura Deorum, II,22,57

⁽⁵⁾ Philo, De Opificio Mundi 4,16.

Timaeus of Plato is only partly correct, for Plato says that the four elements only seemed to blend into each other, but that in fact one of them does not change into anything else.

Ifind it necessary to quote the following Passage concerning the doctrine of the opposite qualities and their equilibrium:

['Ισομοιρά τθείναι έν τῷ κόσμῷ φῶς καὶ σκότος, καὶ θέρμον καὶ ψυχρόν, καὶ ξηρὸν καὶ ὑγρόν. ὧν κατ' . ἐπικράτειαν θερμοῦ μὲν θέρος γίνεσθαι, ψυχροῦ δὲ ἐπικράτειαν ξηροῦ δθ ἐαρ, καὶ ὑγροῦ φθινόπωρον. ἐάν χειμῶνα, ξηροῦ δθ ἐαρ, καὶ ὑγροῦ ἐτους, οῦ τό μέν δὲ ἰσομοιρῆ, τὰ κάλλιστα είναι τοῦ ἔτους, οῦ τό μέν θάλλον ἔαρ ὑγιεινόν, τό δέ φθίνον φθινόπωρον νοσερόν.] (2)

"Light and darkness have equal part in the universe, so have hot and cold, and dry and moist, and of these, if hot predominates, we have summer; if cold, we have winter; if dry, spring; if moist, Late autumn, If all are in equilibrium, we have the best periods of the year, of which the freshness of spring constitutes the healthy season, and the decay of late autumn the unhealthy."

⁽¹⁾ Plato, Timaeus 546.

⁽²⁾ Diog. Laert VIII, 26.

Doubtless, the doctrine of the opposite qualities and their equilibrium is found in Pluto. (1) And so our another (Alexander Polyhistor) may have borrowded it though it goes back to Alcmaeon.

Turning to another point dealing with mortal beings and immortal beings I have to quote the following:

[τόν τε περί την γην ἀέρα ἀσειστον καὶ νοσερόν καὶ τὰ ἐν αὐτῷ πάντα θνητά . τόν δέ ἀνωτάτω ἀεικίνητον τغείναι καὶ καθαρόν και ύγιὰ καὶ πάντα τὰ ἐν αὐτῷ ὰθάνατα καὶ διά τοῦτο θεῖα . ἥλιον τε καὶ σελήνην καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους ὰστέρας εἶναι θεούς . ἐπικρατεῖν γάρ τό θερμὸν ἐν αὐτοῖς , ὅπερ ἔστὶ ζωῆς αἴτιον .] (2)

"the air about the earth is stagnant and unwholesome and all within it is mortal; but the uppermost air is ever moved and pure and healthy. and all within it is immortal and consequently divine. The sun, the moon, and the other stars are gods, for in them there is a preponderance of heat, and heat is the cause of life.

" what the text includes comes partly from Plato, partly from Aristotle. The aether theory as it comes here, shows Plata's view in Timaeus where the aether is not yet a fifth

⁽¹⁾ Plato, Symposium 186 d, 188 a - Timaeus 81 e

⁽²⁾ Diog Laert VIII, 26 - 27

element, but is known as the purest air (1)

As to the doctrine that heat is the cause of life Aristotle supposed that the vrtal heat in ammals is something analog ous to the aether, because it generates living beings

In the following Passage I turn to discuss God and man and providence.

[Καὶ ἀνθρώποις είναι πρός θεούς συγγένεια , κατά το μετεχείν άνθρωπον θερμού . διό και προνοείσθαι τόν θεόν ήμων, είμαρμένην τε των όλων και κατά μέρος αιτίαν είναι της διοικήσεως]. (3)

" Gods and men are akin, inasmuch as man partakes of heat; therefore god takes thought for man, Fate is the cause of things being thus ordered both as a whole and separately "

Here, Alexander's Pythagoreans share Stoic pantheism their god is not transcendent, but by his reason man is of the substance of god, i.e. of the fiery pneuma that penetrates the universe . the statement that ειμαρμενη (Fate) is the cause of things being ordered, both as a whole and in particular. indicates that for these so - called pythagoreans the relation of npovoia (providence) and ειμαρμενη (fate) - much dis-

⁽¹⁾ Plato, Timaeus, 58 d

⁽²⁾ Aristotle, De Caelo I, 3, 270 b 22, also De generatione II, 3, 7366 33 - 737 a 6 .

VIII - 27 (3) Diog Laert

cussed in the second century after christ and later by Plotinus and those who follow him-was already a problem.

The following passage from Diogenes laertius brings us back to the ancient Orphic - Pythagorean sphere:

[τήν δ, άγνείαν είναι διά καθαρμών και λουτρών και περιρραντηρίων και διά τοῦ καθαρεύειν ἀπό τε κήδους και λεχοῦς και μιάσματος παντός και άπεχέσθαι βρωτών θνησειδιών τε κρεών και τριγλών και μελανούρων και ώων και τῶν φοτόκων και ζώων και κυάμων και τῶν άλλων ὧν παρακλεύονται και οί τὰς τελετάς ἐν ταις ἱεροῖς ἐπιτελοῦντες] (1).

"purification is by cleansing, baptism and lustration, and by keeping clean from all deaths and births and all pollution, and abstaining from meat and flesh of animals that have died, mullets, gurnards, eggs and egg-sprung animals, beans, and the other abstinences prescribed by those who perform mystic rites in the temples".

I say that these things bring us back to the ancient Orphic - Pythagorean sphere , for Pythagoras and his opponents had put deep meanings and principles for purification , they also used music for the purification of (soul) ($\psi \dot{\nu} \chi \eta$).

⁽¹⁾ Diog, Laert VIII 33, 34

It is known that Pythogoreanism had some abstinences concerning with food, meat in particular, Pythagoreanism prohibited meat in accordance with his argument that there is a kinship between man and ainmal. Zeller was probably right in thinking that the doctrine expounded in the abovementioned passage did not originate from Rome, where Nigidius Figulus is said to have first restored Pythagoreanism, but from Alxandria (1), where it is known to Arius Didymus in the first century (B.C.) (2).

We have also Ocellus, whose work ($\pi\epsilon\rho\iota$ του $\pi\alpha\nu\tau$ os $\phi\upsilon\sigma\epsilon\omega s$) (about the whole nature) is cited by Censorinus (3) and by Philo (4) and shows mainly peripatetic influence says in this work:

(doket gar moi to pan analebron einal kat agenton aei to gar hn kai estai ei gar egcronon , Ouk an eti hn . outws oun analebron te kai agenton to pan $^{(1)}$

⁽¹⁾ Zeller, outlines of the Htistory of Greek Philosphy p. 119.

⁽²⁾ Arius Odymus of Alexandria (65 B.C - A. D. 10) on account of his enormau industry, was the author of a Commentary on Homer, embodying the opinions of Aristarchus Zenodotus and Aristophanes of Byzantium, parta commentary on Demosthenes by Didymus has survived.

⁽³⁾ Ap . Varro, De Re Rustica II 1.3.

⁽⁴⁾ Philo, De Aeternitate Mundi 12.

It is known that Pythogoreanism had some abstinences concerning with food, meat in particular, Pythagoreanism prohibited meat in accordance with his argument that there is a kinship between man and ainmal. Zeller was probably right in thinking that the doctrine expounded in the abovementioned passage did not originate from Rome, where Nigidius Figulus is said to have first restored Pythagoreanism, but from Alxandria (1), where it is known to Arius Didymus in the first century (B.C.) (2).

We have also Ocellus, whose work (περί τοῦ παντός φύσεως) (about the whole nature) is cited by Censorinus (3) and by Philo (4) and shows mainly peripatetic influence says in this work:

(δοκεί γὰρ μοι τὸ πᾶν ἀνώλεθρον είναι καὶ άγένητον ἀεὶ το γὰρ ἢν καὶ ἔσται εί γάρ ἔγχρονον , Οὐκ ἄν ἔτι ἢν . οὕτως οὖν ἀνώλεθρον τε καὶ ἀγένητον τό πᾶν $^{(1)}$

⁽¹⁾ Zeller, outlines of the Htistory of Greek Philosphy p. 119.

⁽²⁾ Arius Odymus of Alexandria (65 B.C - A. D.10) on account of his enormal industry, was the author of a Commentary on Homer, embodying the opinions of Aristarchus Zenodotus and Aristophanes of Byzantium, parta commentary on Demosthenes by Didymus has survived.

⁽³⁾ Ap. Varro, De Re Rustica II 1.3.(4) Philo, De Aeternitate Mundi 12.

It seems to me that the universe is imperishable and uncreated, For it had been always and will be for ever, For if it had in time, it would not be, Therefore it is imperishable and uncreated)

In Rome Quintus Sextius founded a school of philosophy which flourished under Augustus and claimed to be Pythagorean, Seneca knows the works of the elder Sextius and cites him often with great esteem (2) But in Seneca's days the school was almost forgotten. (3)

In the first and second century only a few Neo-Pythagoreans Moderatus of Gades lived under Nero or the Flavians.

His eleven books Πυθαγορκών σχολών (pythagorean schools) are mentioned by Eusebius $^{(4)}$.

According to Porphyrius 'account he used pythagorean number theory as a means to explain Plato's metaphysical principles (5)

we have another account of Moderatus ' theory by Sim-

⁽¹⁾ Ocellus I . 27.9

⁽²⁾ Seneca, Epistolae, 59, 7 also 64, 2

⁽³⁾ seneca, Naturales Quaestiones VII 32, 2: Pythagorica illa invidiosa turbae schola praeceptorem non invenit; sextiorum nova et Romani roboris secta inter initia sua, cum magno impetu coepisset, extincta est.

⁽⁴⁾ cf. Eusebius, Praeparatio Evangelica, IV, 19, 8

⁽⁵⁾ Porphyrius, Vita pythag. 48 - 51

plicius who cites a passage of porphyrius' Περί ὅλης (2) pythagoreans were the first to conceive (material) as someting that is distinguished by mass ὅγκω and distance διάστασει and division μερισμώ not measurable by ordinary measures, but only capable of being defined by means of εἰδητικά μέτρα (formal meters) after them Plato conceived it in this way as Moderatus says:

Οδτος γάρ κατά τούς Πυθαγορείους τό μέν πρῶτον εν ύπερ το είναι καί πᾶσαν οὐσίαν ἀποφαίνεται, τὸ δὲ δεύτερον ἕν, ὅπερ ἐστὶ τὸ ὅντως ὄν καί νοητόν. τὰ είδη φησίν είναι, τὸ δὲ τρίτον, ὅπερ ἐστὶ τὸ ψυχικόν, μετέχειν τοῦ ένὸς καὶ τῶν εἰδῶν, τὴν δὲ ἀπό τούτου τελευταίαν φύσιν τὴν τῶν αἰσθητῶν οὖσαν μηδὲ μετέγειν, άλλα κατ' έμφασιν έκείνων 2 κεκοσμήσθαι, τής έν αύτοις ύλης του μή όντος πρώτως εν τῷ ποσῷ όντος ούσης 3 σκίασμα καὶ ἔτι μᾶλλον ὑποβεβηχυίας καὶ ἀπὸ τούτου. καὶ ταῦτα δὲ ὁ Πορφύριος ἐν τῷ δευτέρῳ Περὶ ὕλης τὰ τοῦ Μοδεράτου παρατιθέμενος γέγραφεν ὅτι "βουληθεὶς ὁ ἐνιαῖος λόγος, ὡς πού φησιν ὁ Πλάτων, τὴν γένεσιν ἀφ' ἐαυτοῦ τῶν ὄντων συστήσασθαι, κατὰ στέρησιν αύτοῦ έχώρησε τὴν ποσότητα πάντων αὐτὴν στερήσας τῶν αὐτοῦ λόγων καὶ είδων, τουτο δὲ ποσότητα ἐκάλεσεν ἄμορφον καὶ ἀδιαίρετον καὶ ἀσχημάτιστον, έπιδεχομένην μέντοι μορφήν σχήμα διαίρεσιν ποιότητα πᾶν τὸ τοιοῦτον. ἐπὶ ταύτης έοικε, φησί, τῆς ποσότητος ὁ Πλάτων τὰ πλείω ὀνόματα κατηγορῆσαι ''πανδεχῆ'' καὶ ἀνείδεον λέγων καὶ ''ἀδρατον'' καὶ ''ἀπορώτατα τοῦ νοητοῦ μετειληφέναι" αὐτὴν καὶ "λογισμῷ νόθῳ μόλις ληπτήν" καὶ πᾶν τὸ τούτοις έμφερές, αυτή δὲ ἡ ποσότης, φησί, καὶ τοῦτο τὸ είδος τὸ κατὰ στέρησιν τοῦ ένιαίου λόγου νοούμενον τοῦ πάντας τοὺς λόγους τῶν ὄντων ἐν ἑαυτῷ περιειληφότος παραδείγματά έστι τῆς τῶν σωμάτων ὕλης, ἣν καὶ αὐτὴν ποσὸν καὶ τοὺς Πυθαγορείους καὶ τὸν Πλάτωνα καλεῖν ἔλεγεν, οὐ τὸ ὡς εἶδος ποσόν, ἀλλὰ τὸ κατά στέρησιν καὶ παράλυσιν καὶ ἔκτασιν καὶ διασπασμὸν καὶ διὰ τὴν ἀπὸ τοῦ όντος παράλλαξιν, δι' & καὶ κακὸν δοκεῖ ἡ ὕλη ὡς τὸ ἀγαθὸν ἀποφεύγουσα. καὶ καταλαμβάνεται ὑπ' αὐτοῦ καὶ ἐξελθεῖν τῶν ὅρων οὐ συγχωρεῖται, τῆς μὲν έχτάσεως τὸν τοῦ είδητικοῦ μεγέθους λόγον ἐπιδεχομένης καὶ τούτῳ ὁριζομένης, τοῦ δὲ διασπασμοῦ τῆ ἀριθμητικῆ διακρίσει είδοποιουμένου".

(1) Simplicius, physics, p 230, 41 - 231, 25

From simplicius 'account of Moderatus, doctrine we see for the first time the four stages of the Neoplatonic hierarchy of being clearly outlined.

Dodds recognized it as a Neopythagorean interpretation of Plato's Parminides ⁽¹⁾ of which he finds an earlier trace in a correction made by the Neopythogonean Eudorus of Alexandria in a passage of Aristotle; The text of Aristotle, Metaphysics ⁽²⁾.

Τὰ γάρ εἴδη τοῦ τί ἐστιν αἴτια τοῖs ἄλλοις, τοῖs δ, εἶ-δεσιν τό εν (3). Alexander in Metaphysics says that Eudorus and Euarmostus read: Τοῖs δ, εἴδεσιν τό εν καὶ τῆ τλη. Dodds thinks there is not an omission here, but Eudorus changed the text of Aristotle in the sense of Neopythagorean monism which derived the (ἀπειρον) (infinite) directly from the one.

In The Third Century Philostratus wrote a kind of saint's life of Apollonius, as an example of the perfectus sapiens (perfect wise man) in Pythagonean style, The story abounds with miracles, but the author takes great pains to explain that they are not produced by magic art but spring from a superior wisdom and intimate connection with God (or the

⁽¹⁾ The Parminides of Plato and the origin of the Neoplatonic (One) in class, Quart 1928 p 129 - 142.

⁽²⁾ Aristotle, Metaph A 988 a 10 - 11

⁽³⁾ Alexander Aphrod, Metaphysics I, 31 - 59.

gods) (1) Dio Cassius mentions Apollonius and His life of Pythagorus is cited by Porphyrius and by Iamblichus, of his books περί Θυσιών a fragment is preserved by Eusebius.

Nicomachus of Gerasa elaborated the early Pythagorean Theory of number as a cosmic principle.

Nicomachus says that of the mathematical sciences arithmetic is concerned with absolute quantity, music with relative quantity; two other sciences deal with size, geometry with the part that is at rest, astronomy with that which moves, These sciences, are indispensable for the knowledge of things and a Condition of wisdom so he means that Mathematics a condition of wisdom.

Nicomachus speaks of μονάς άρσενόθηλυς as follows :

[Λέγει δέ Τήν μονάδα άλλα τε ούκ όλίγα τῶν πλασμάτων τῆ περὶ αὐτήν άληθεῖα, καί τοῖς προσοῦσι φυσικοῖς ίδιώμασι, καταυιγνύς, καί ώς νούς τε εἰη, εἶτα καὶ ἀρσενόθηλυς, καὶ θεός, καὶ ὕλη δέ πῶς, πάντα χρήματα μιγνύς ώς άληθως, καὶ πανδοχεύς λοιπόν καὶ χωρητική καὶ χαὸς, σύγχυσις σύγκρασις, άλαμπία, σκοτωδία, χάσμα, τάρταρος].

⁽¹⁾ Philostratos, I 2; V 12, VIII 7,9.

That the first principle is at once υλη - not only that υλη derives from it - is not yet in Alexander polyhistor's account of Pythagorean doctrine mentioned in Diog Laert ⁽¹⁾ but it is found in the Stoa ⁽²⁾

⁽¹⁾ Diog , Laert . , VIII 25 . (2) Stobaeus (SVF I 87) : Ζήνωνος οὐσιαν δέ είναι την τῶν ὄντων πάντων πρώτην ὑλην , Ταὐτην δε πασάν αίδιον καὶ οὐτε πλείω γιγνομέν οὖτε έλάττω , Τὰ δὲ μέρη ταὐτης οὐκ ἀει ταὐτά διαμένει ἀλλά διαιρείσθαι και συγχεῖσθαι . διά ταὐτης δε διαθείν τὸν τοῦ παντός λόγον ὅν ἔνιοι είμαρμένην καλοῦσιν οἱονπερ καὶ ἐν τῆ γονῆ τὸ σπέρμα .

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Texts : Aristotle, Motaphysics (Loeb)
 - : """ De Caelo
 - : """""" De Generatione
 - :: Diogenes Laertius I , II (Loeb)
 - :: Eusebius, Praeparatio Evangelica (Loeb)
 - :: Philo , De Aetermitate Mundi
 - :: Philo , De Opificio Mundi
 - :: Plato , Philebus
 - :: Plato , Symposium
 - :; Plato , Timaeus
 - :: Seneca, Epistolae Morales (Loeb)
 - :: Seneca, Quaestiones Maturales (Loeb)
 - :: Stobneus, Ocellus, Porphyrius and Simplicius Ap:
 - :: J. Von Armim, Stoicorum Veterum Fragmenta (Lipsiae)
 - :: Refrences /

Zeller, Outlines of the History of Greek Philosophy, (Oxford 1931.)