

Effect of Seed Inoculation with Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria on the Growth and Yield of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Cultivated in a Sandy Soil

Samy A. Abd El-Azeem*, Taha A. Mehana, and Ahmed A. Shabayek

Soil and Water Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University, 41522 Ismailia, Egypt



ABSTRACT

A pot experiment was conducted in the greenhouse to evaluate the response of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* var. Giza 168) to inoculation with 46 strains of free-living plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) isolated from the rhizospheric soils of six field crops in Suez Canal region, Egypt. The results showed that growth and yield of the wheat were enhanced by seed inoculation with PGPR. Significant increases in straw, grain and total (straw plus grain) yields were recorded with 35, 33 and 37 strains, respectively, out of the tested isolates. These increases over the control ranged from 33.9 to 70.6% for straw yield, from 26.5 to 57.3% for grain yield and from 27.6 to 64.5% for the total yield. The highest straw and grain yields were obtained with the strain *Micrococcus roseus* SW1 which was isolated from the rhizospheric soil of wheat. From the obtained results, it could be concluded that the use of the tested PGPR as biofertilizers in a sandy soil increased the growth and yield of wheat under greenhouse conditions. These PGPR are recommended for field evaluation before being generalized as biofertilizers.

Keywords: pot experiment, wheat, rhizobacteria, seed inoculation, sandy soil.

INTRODUCTION

The term PGPR is used to describe specific strains of bacteria in the rhizosphere that have the capability to stimulate plant growth (Vessey, 2003; Gray and Smith, 2005; Pallai, 2005). New solutions for plant growth enhancement are required to ease the burden imposed on our environment and other resources. Thus, use of biological application of free-living plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) may help to minimize the amounts of chemical fertilizers to be added, to improve plant growth to decrease the production cost and environmental risks (Lucy *et al.*, 2004).

Plant growth stimulation by PGPR involves diverse mechanisms not entirely elucidated. They may release key hormones for plant development such as indole acetic acid (IAA) (Khalid *et al.*, 2004), iron sequestration (Klopper, 1993), solubilization of mineral phosphate (De Freitas *et al.*, 1997) and enzymatic lowering of plant ethylene level (Glick, 1995).

Wheat is the most important cereal crop in Egypt. Because of increasing human demand for food, attempts are made to cultivate more area with high productivity using the recommended cultural practices. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the effect of 46 rhizobacterial strains isolated from Suez Canal region on growth and yield of wheat plants grown under greenhouse conditions as a prelude for selection of some of those strains to be used as a biofertilizer for production of wheat under field conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Rhizobacterial strains

The tested rhizobacterial strains were isolated from the rhizospheric soils of six field-grown crops (clover, maize, kidney bean, mango, melon and wheat) grown in Port Said, Ismailia and Suez Governorates, Egypt. The

rhizobacteria were identified and tested for some plant growth-promoting traits [siderophores, auxin (IAA like substances) production and phosphate solubilization capacity] by Abd El-Azeem (2006) and Abd El-Azeem *et al.* (2007).

PGPR inoculum preparation and seed inoculation

A total of 46 of rhizobacterial strains belonging to 7 genera (Table 1) were used as inocula. The PGPR strains were cultured in 100 ml flasks containing 40 ml nutrient broth. The flasks were incubated at 30°C for 4 days. At the time of inoculation, the viable cell counts ranged from 28×10^8 - 36×10^8 colony forming unit (CFU) ml⁻¹ in the cell suspensions. For inoculation, 15 grams of wheat seeds were soaked in 40 ml of the cell suspension for 1 h for each PGPR strain.

Greenhouse pot experiment

A greenhouse pot experiment was conducted in the Experimental Farm of the Faculty of Agriculture, Ismailia, Egypt using a virgin sandy soil sample (0-15 cm depth). Biogas manure (BM) was used as an organic fertilizer. The soil and BM were air-dried, crushed and sieved through 2-mm screen and analyzed for the selected properties according to Gee and Bauder (1986) and Sparks *et al.* (1996). Pertinent soil properties were sand, 97.9%; CaCO₃, 16 g Kg⁻¹; pH in soil water suspension (1:2.5), 8.27; EC_e (in soil saturated extract), 1.72 dSm⁻¹; organic C, 0.48 g Kg⁻¹; total N, 0.05 g Kg⁻¹; available N, 8.0 mg Kg⁻¹ and available P, 4.40 mg Kg⁻¹. The selected BM properties were pH in BM-water suspension (1:5), 7.30; EC_e (in BM saturated extract), 3.80 dSm⁻¹; organic C, 140 g Kg⁻¹; total N, 14.8 g Kg⁻¹; available N, 140 mg Kg⁻¹ and available P, 171 mg Kg⁻¹. The soil was uniformly packed in plastic pots each of 17 cm height and 18.6 cm mean diameter at a rate of 4 Kg pot⁻¹ (11cm depth). A drainage hole of about 1 cm

* Corresponding author: sazeem18@gmail.com

Table (1): Effect of seed inoculation with plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on plant height and shoot dry weight of wheat plants harvested after 31 days from sowing.

| PGPR Strains | Plant height | | Shoot dry weight | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------|------------------------|-------|
| | (cm) | %* | (g pot ⁻¹) | %* |
| Control | 22.8 | | 0.45 | |
| <i>Azospirillum brasilense</i> AC1 | 23.7 | 3.95 | 0.47 | 4.44 |
| <i>A. brasilense</i> SM3 | 22.4 | | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| <i>A. brasilense</i> TC1 | 21.3 | | 0.55 | 22.22 |
| <i>A. lipoferum</i> FK1 | 23.9 | 4.82 | 0.49 | 8.89 |
| <i>A. lipoferum</i> SM1 | 24.7 | 8.33 | 0.50 | 11.11 |
| <i>Cellvibrio mixtus</i> KMe5 | 23.3 | 2.19 | 0.51 | 13.33 |
| <i>C. mixtus</i> SM4 | 25.2 | 10.53 | 0.63 | 40.0 |
| <i>C. mixtus</i> SW3 | 24.8 | 8.77 | 0.49 | 8.89 |
| <i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i> AM1 | 21.5 | | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| <i>E. aerogenes</i> AMa2 | 22.5 | | 0.43 | |
| <i>E. aerogenes</i> BM5 | 22.9 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| <i>E. aerogenes</i> BM6 | 23.8 | 4.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| <i>E. aerogenes</i> BM7 | 23.3 | 2.19 | 0.51 | 13.33 |
| <i>E. aerogenes</i> BM8 | 27.2 | 19.30 | 0.60 | 33.33 |
| <i>E. aerogenes</i> BM10 | 22.3 | | 0.47 | 4.44 |
| <i>E. aerogenes</i> BM14 | 23.8 | 4.39 | 0.43 | |
| <i>E. aerogenes</i> BM15 | 24.7 | 8.33 | 0.51 | 13.33 |
| <i>E. aerogenes</i> BM16 | 24.0 | 5.26 | 0.46 | 2.22 |
| <i>E. aerogenes</i> GM3 | 25.0 | 9.65 | 0.54 | 20.00 |
| <i>E. aerogenes</i> KMe3 | 23.2 | 1.75 | 0.47 | 4.44 |
| <i>Micrococcus agilis</i> KMe6 | 25.1 | 10.09 | 0.53 | 17.78 |
| <i>M. agilis</i> KMe7 | 21.9 | | 0.47 | 4.44 |
| <i>M. luteus</i> AMa1 | 21.9 | | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| <i>M. luteus</i> KM2 | 21.7 | | 0.43 | |
| <i>M. luteus</i> SW2 | 23.6 | 3.51 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| <i>M. roseus</i> AMa3 | 21.3 | | 0.40 | |
| <i>M. roseus</i> SW1 | 23.4 | 2.63 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| <i>M. roseus</i> TW1 | 26.3 | 15.35 | 0.59 | 31.11 |
| <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> TC3 | 23.5 | 3.07 | 0.43 | |
| <i>P. fluorescens</i> TW2 | 24.0 | 5.26 | 0.48 | 6.67 |
| <i>P. putida</i> GM2 | 23.8 | 4.39 | 0.51 | 13.33 |
| <i>P. putida</i> KM5 | 24.1 | 5.70 | 0.49 | 8.89 |
| <i>P. putida</i> KMe2 | 23.3 | 2.19 | 0.49 | 8.89 |
| <i>P. putida</i> TK3 | 25.4 | 11.40 | 0.55 | 22.22 |
| <i>Serratia liquefaciens</i> BM4 | 24.9 | 9.21 | 0.50 | 11.11 |
| <i>S. liquefaciens</i> GM5 | 23.2 | 1.75 | 0.47 | 4.44 |
| <i>S. liquefaciens</i> GM6 | 24.8 | 8.77 | 0.50 | 11.11 |
| <i>S. liquefaciens</i> KM4 | 25.8 | 13.16 | 0.49 | 8.89 |
| <i>S. liquefaciens</i> SM2 | 21.9 | | 0.47 | 4.44 |
| <i>S. liquefaciens</i> TC4 | 25.0 | 9.65 | 0.52 | 15.56 |
| <i>S. marcescens</i> BM1 | 22.1 | | 0.41 | |
| <i>Xanthobacter autotrophicus</i> AM2 | 24.9 | 9.21 | 0.51 | 13.33 |
| <i>X. autotrophicus</i> BM3 | 21.7 | | 0.40 | |
| <i>X. autotrophicus</i> BM17 | 22.7 | | 0.46 | 2.22 |
| <i>X. autotrophicus</i> GM4 | 24.1 | 5.70 | 0.49 | 8.89 |
| <i>X. autotrophicus</i> TC2 | 23.5 | 3.07 | 0.50 | 11.11 |
| LSD_{0.05} | 2.54 | | 0.09 | |

* The percentage increase over control

in diameter was made in the bottom of each pot. The soil in each pot was thoroughly mixed with 40 g air-dried BM. The experimental design was randomized complete blocks with three replications for each treatment.

After inoculation, five grams of wheat seeds (*Triticum aestivum* var. Giza 168) were immediately sown in each pot and irrigated to approximately field capacity using Ismailia Canal water, which is characterized, by 0.40 dSm⁻¹ and 1.12 sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) value. The seedling were thinned

to be 5 uniform plants pot⁻¹ after 12 days from sowing date. All pots were additionally fertilized with 0.30 g N and 0.30 g K₂O pot⁻¹ in the forms of (NH₄)₂SO₄ and K₂SO₄, respectively, (equivalent to 179 Kg ha⁻¹ for both N and K₂O). These fertilizers were dressed in two splits of 60.0 and 119.0 Kg ha⁻¹, for both N and K₂O, after 32 and 54 days from sowing, respectively. The plants were harvested after 31 and 131 days from sowing date and growth parameters were recorded. These parameters were plant height, shoot dry weight, straw and grain yields.

Statistical analysis

All the obtained results were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using CoHort Program (CoStat Statistical Software, 1990). Arithmetic means were compared by the least significant difference (LSD) test with confidence levels of 0.95.

RESULTS

As shown in Table (1), the plant height and shoot dry weight of the 31-day old wheat plants increased as result of seed inoculation with the most of the tested PGPR relative to the uninoculated control. After 31 days from sowing, 33 out of the tested 46 strains increased plant height by 0.44% - 19.3%, whereas 32 strains increased shoot dry weight by 2.22 - 40.0%, as compared to the uninoculated control. Significant increases in plant height were found with four strains to be in the range of 11.4% with *Pseudomonas putida* TK3 to 19.3% with *Enterobacter aerogenes* BM8. Likewise, significant increases in shoot dry weight were recorded with six strains ranging from 20.0% with *Enterobacter aerogenes* GM3 to 40.0% with *Cellvibrio mixtus* SM4.

Concerning the 131-day old plants, Table (2) indicates that seed inoculation with 15 out of the tested 46 strains resulted in significant increases in plant height ranging from 10.2% with the strain *Serratia liquefaciens* GM6 to 21.3% with the strain *Serratia liquefaciens* KM4. Table (2) also indicates that straw, grain and total (straw plus grains) yields were increased for all strains, but the increases were not all statistically significant compared to the control. That is, the increases in straw, grain and total yields were significant with only 35, 33 and 37 strains out of the tested isolates, respectively. The increases ranged from 33.9 to 70.6% for straw yield, from 26.5 to 57.3% for grain yield and from 27.6 to 64.5% for the total yield. The highest straw, grain and total yields were obtained with the strain *Micrococcus roseus* SW1.

Table (2) also reveals that 43 out of the tested strains decreased grain/straw ratio relative to the uninoculated control by 1 to 17%. The decreases were significant with only 6 out of the tested strains and ranged from 14 to 17% relative to the control.

Table (2): Effect of seed inoculation with plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on growth and yield of wheat plants harvested after 131 days from sowing.

| PGPR strains | Plant height | | Straw yield | | Grain yield | | Total yield | | Grain/straw ratio |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------|---------------------|------|---------------------|------|---------------------|------|-------------------|
| | (cm) | %* | g pot ⁻¹ | %* | g pot ⁻¹ | %* | g pot ⁻¹ | %* | |
| Control | 64.7 | | 10.9 | | 9.41 | | 20.3 | | 0.86 |
| <i>Azospirillum brasilense</i> AC1 | 69.7 | 7.73 | 15.3 | 40.4 | 12.5 | 32.8 | 27.8 | 36.9 | 0.82 |
| <i>A. brasilense</i> SM3 | 71.5 | 10.51 | 18.3 | 67.9 | 13.3 | 41.3 | 31.6 | 55.7 | 0.73 |
| <i>A. brasilense</i> TC1 | 73.0 | 12.83 | 15.3 | 40.4 | 11.3 | 20.1 | 26.6 | 31.0 | 0.74 |
| <i>A. lipoferum</i> FK1 | 73.5 | 13.60 | 16.1 | 47.7 | 12.8 | 36.0 | 28.9 | 42.4 | 0.80 |
| <i>A. lipoferum</i> SM1 | 70.0 | 8.19 | 15.6 | 43.1 | 12.7 | 35.0 | 28.3 | 39.4 | 0.81 |
| <i>Cellvibrio mixtus</i> KMe5 | 68.5 | 5.87 | 13.6 | 24.8 | 11.1 | 18.0 | 24.7 | 21.7 | 0.82 |
| <i>C. mixtus</i> SM4 | 70.0 | 8.19 | 15.0 | 37.6 | 12.3 | 30.7 | 27.3 | 34.5 | 0.82 |
| <i>C. mixtus</i> SW3 | 68.0 | 5.10 | 13.7 | 25.7 | 10.9 | 15.8 | 24.6 | 21.2 | 0.80 |
| <i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i> AM1 | 69.0 | 6.65 | 16.8 | 54.1 | 14.0 | 48.8 | 30.8 | 51.7 | 0.83 |
| <i>E. aerogenes</i> AMa2 | 71.0 | 9.74 | 16.2 | 48.6 | 12.9 | 37.1 | 29.1 | 43.3 | 0.80 |
| <i>E. aerogenes</i> BM5 | 70.0 | 8.19 | 14.1 | 29.4 | 11.6 | 23.3 | 25.7 | 26.6 | 0.82 |
| <i>E. aerogenes</i> BM6 | 71.0 | 9.74 | 15.7 | 44.0 | 11.9 | 26.5 | 27.6 | 36.0 | 0.76 |
| <i>E. aerogenes</i> BM7 | 67.3 | 4.02 | 15.0 | 37.6 | 12.0 | 27.5 | 27.0 | 33.0 | 0.80 |
| <i>E. aerogenes</i> BM8 | 71.0 | 9.74 | 13.8 | 26.6 | 11.5 | 22.2 | 25.3 | 24.6 | 0.83 |
| <i>E. aerogenes</i> BM10 | 71.0 | 9.74 | 16.5 | 51.4 | 11.7 | 24.3 | 28.2 | 38.9 | 0.71 |
| <i>E. aerogenes</i> BM14 | 74.0 | 14.37 | 17.1 | 56.9 | 12.7 | 35.0 | 29.8 | 46.8 | 0.74 |
| <i>E. aerogenes</i> BM15 | 70.0 | 8.19 | 14.1 | 29.4 | 11.8 | 25.4 | 25.9 | 27.6 | 0.84 |
| <i>E. aerogenes</i> BM16 | 70.5 | 8.96 | 16.8 | 54.1 | 13.5 | 43.5 | 30.3 | 49.3 | 0.80 |
| <i>E. aerogenes</i> GM3 | 71.4 | 10.36 | 15.2 | 39.4 | 11.6 | 23.3 | 26.8 | 32.0 | 0.76 |
| <i>E. aerogenes</i> KMe3 | 70.0 | 8.19 | 15.1 | 38.5 | 12.5 | 32.8 | 27.6 | 36.0 | 0.83 |
| <i>Micrococcus agilis</i> KMe6 | 73.0 | 12.83 | 14.8 | 35.8 | 12.3 | 30.7 | 27.1 | 33.5 | 0.83 |
| <i>M. agilis</i> KMe7 | 68.7 | 6.18 | 16.4 | 50.5 | 11.9 | 26.5 | 28.3 | 39.4 | 0.73 |
| <i>M. luteus</i> AMa1 | 72.7 | 12.36 | 16.6 | 52.3 | 12.8 | 36.0 | 29.4 | 44.8 | 0.77 |
| <i>M. luteus</i> KM2 | 69.0 | 6.65 | 17.4 | 59.6 | 13.7 | 45.6 | 31.1 | 53.2 | 0.79 |
| <i>M. luteus</i> SW2 | 65.3 | 0.93 | 13.2 | 21.1 | 10.1 | 7.33 | 23.3 | 14.8 | 0.77 |
| <i>M. roseus</i> AMa3 | 69.0 | 6.65 | 17.9 | 64.2 | 14.0 | 48.8 | 31.9 | 57.1 | 0.78 |
| <i>M. roseus</i> SW1 | 73.0 | 12.83 | 18.6 | 70.6 | 14.8 | 57.3 | 33.4 | 64.5 | 0.80 |
| <i>M. roseus</i> TW1 | 72.3 | 11.75 | 15.3 | 40.4 | 12.4 | 31.8 | 27.7 | 36.5 | 0.81 |
| <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> TC3 | 67.5 | 4.33 | 16.3 | 49.5 | 13.6 | 44.5 | 29.9 | 47.3 | 0.83 |
| <i>P. fluorescens</i> TW2 | 67.7 | 4.64 | 13.3 | 22.0 | 11.2 | 19.0 | 24.5 | 20.7 | 0.84 |
| <i>P. putida</i> GM2 | 70.0 | 8.19 | 14.7 | 34.9 | 12.3 | 30.7 | 27.0 | 33.0 | 0.84 |
| <i>P. putida</i> KM5 | 71.0 | 9.74 | 13.1 | 20.2 | 11.1 | 18.0 | 24.2 | 19.2 | 0.85 |
| <i>P. putida</i> KMe2 | 68.7 | 6.18 | 15.5 | 42.2 | 12.7 | 35.0 | 28.2 | 38.9 | 0.82 |
| <i>P. putida</i> TK3 | 70.3 | 8.66 | 15.0 | 37.6 | 13.3 | 41.3 | 28.3 | 39.4 | 0.89 |
| <i>Serratia liquefaciens</i> BM4 | 70.7 | 9.27 | 14.0 | 28.4 | 12.0 | 27.5 | 26.0 | 28.1 | 0.86 |
| <i>S. liquefaciens</i> GM5 | 70.3 | 8.66 | 14.2 | 30.3 | 10.9 | 15.8 | 25.1 | 23.6 | 0.77 |
| <i>S. liquefaciens</i> GM6 | 71.3 | 10.20 | 14.6 | 33.9 | 12.1 | 28.6 | 26.7 | 31.5 | 0.83 |
| <i>S. liquefaciens</i> KM4 | 78.5 | 21.33 | 18.1 | 66.1 | 12.8 | 36.0 | 30.9 | 52.2 | 0.71 |
| <i>S. liquefaciens</i> SM2 | 73.0 | 12.83 | 16.2 | 48.6 | 13.2 | 40.3 | 29.4 | 44.8 | 0.81 |
| <i>S. liquefaciens</i> TC4 | 68.3 | 5.56 | 13.7 | 25.7 | 11.7 | 24.3 | 25.4 | 25.1 | 0.85 |
| <i>S. marcescens</i> BM1 | 70.0 | 8.19 | 18.3 | 67.9 | 13.8 | 46.7 | 32.1 | 58.1 | 0.75 |
| <i>Xanthobacter autotrophicus</i> AM2 | 68.0 | 5.10 | 15.2 | 39.4 | 12.7 | 35.0 | 27.9 | 37.4 | 0.84 |
| <i>X. autotrophicus</i> BM3 | 72.7 | 12.36 | 15.1 | 38.5 | 11.9 | 26.5 | 27.0 | 33.0 | 0.79 |
| <i>X. autotrophicus</i> BM17 | 71.5 | 10.51 | 15.6 | 43.1 | 12.8 | 36.0 | 28.4 | 39.9 | 0.82 |
| <i>X. autotrophicus</i> GM4 | 70.3 | 8.66 | 15.3 | 40.4 | 13.1 | 39.2 | 28.4 | 39.9 | 0.86 |
| <i>X. autotrophicus</i> TC2 | 73.0 | 12.83 | 15.7 | 44.0 | 13.3 | 41.3 | 29.0 | 42.9 | 0.85 |
| LSD_{0.05} | 6.54 | | 3.34 | | 2.44 | | 5.52 | | 0.11 |

* The percentage increase over control.

DISCUSSION

The rhizosphere of cultivated plants is usually occupied by both PGPR and deleterious rhizobacteria, which may inhibit plant growth. In this study, 46 PGPR strains were evaluated for their effects on growth and yield of wheat under greenhouse conditions. Forty-one out of the tested strains were reported to produce siderophores and all strains were found to be able to produce IAA and solubilize inorganic phosphate (Abd El-Azeem *et al.*, 2007). These plant growth promoting traits could partially explain the positive effects of these bacteria on wheat growth and yield at different growth stages. In this respect, Cattelan *et al.*

(1999) reported that the mechanisms by which PGPR promote plant growth and yields of many crops are not fully understood, but are thought to include production of phytohormones, solubilization of mineral phosphates and mineralization of other nutrients, production of siderophores, and fixation of N₂. Several investigators reported significant correlations between auxin production by PGPR *in vitro* and some plant growth and yield parameters. For instance, Khalid *et al.* (2004) reported that seed inoculation with 30 bacterial strains isolated from rhizospheric soils of wheat plants cultivated at different sites significantly increased length and weight of wheat roots and shoots. Linear positive

correlation between *in vitro* auxin production by these bacteria and increases in the measured growth parameters was observed. Abd El-Azeem (2006) reported a highly significant positive linear correlation between the *in vitro* auxin production by the tested PGPR strains and each of grain yield, straw and total yield (grain plus straw) as well as the number of tillers of wheat plants. Auxin production is considered a way in which microbes promote plant growth by stimulating enzymological reactions. IAA influences plant processes, such as initiation of cell division and promotes vascular differentiation (Gaspar *et al.*, 1996). Besides its hormonal functions, IAA is involved in the stimulation of ethylene synthesis, which is produced, by higher plants and microorganisms (Glick, 1995). Ethylene plays several active roles in plants including germination of root and shoot and the response of plants to stress (Davies, 1995).

Bacteria that solubilize phosphate in soil and promote its uptake by plants are referred as phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) or phosphobacteria and are included within PGPR. Their counts in the rhizosphere comprise a considerable share of the rhizospheric microorganisms and vary depending on the soil location and type as well as the cultivated plants (Gand and Gaur, 1991; Abd El-Azeem, 1998). Inoculating the soil or seeds with PSB individually or in combination with other microorganisms, especially the nitrogen-fixing bacteria increased the availability of P, Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu for plants and consequently increased crop yield (Ibrahim *et al.*, 1995; Mehana and Farag, 2000).

Iron is an essential element for the growth, metabolism, and survival of the majority of plants and soil microorganisms. Plant roots prefer to absorb iron as the more reduced ferrous (Fe^{2+}) ion. Fortunately, PGPR play an important role in producing siderophores, which enhance the availability of soil Fe to higher plants. Siderophores are iron-transporting compounds with high Fe^{+3} affinity. These compounds solubilize Fe^{+3} for uptake by the organisms. The iron is released from the siderophore *via* reduction of Fe^{+3} to Fe^{+2} . The Fe^{+2} is then transported into the cell and the siderophore is released to complex more Fe^{+3} . Many investigators confirm the vital role of siderophores compounds produced by PGPR in increasing plant growth and yield through increasing availability of iron for plants and suppression of plant disease. For example, Kumar and Dube (1992) reported that inoculation of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) and soybean seeds with a siderophore-producing fluorescens pseudomonad resulted in increased seed germination, growth, and yield of the plants. Scher and Baker (1982) reported that the addition of a siderophore-producing *Pseudomonas putida* converted a *Fusarium*-conductive soil into a *Fusarium*-suppressive soil for the growth of different plants. De Freitas and Germida (1991) found that the three PGPR strains *Pseudomonas cepacia* R55 and R85 and *Pseudomonas putida* R104 produced fluorescent siderophores and significantly increased biomass at 50-

day old wheat plant in the soil infested with *Rhizocotina solani* AG-1. They concluded that biocontrol of phytopathogens is a key mechanism by which these pseudomonads stimulate wheat growth. In this case, suppression of plant disease by these bacteria may involve secretion of siderophores or antibiotics and/or aggressive root colonization by organisms that displace or exclude deleterious rhizosphere microorganisms.

Inoculation of wheat seeds with most of the tested PGPR enhanced growth parameters of the 31-day old plants. This early positive response of wheat to inoculation with PGPR was also previously reported by several investigators. For example, Egamberdiyeva and Höflich (2003) reported that inoculation of wheat seeds with the strains *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Ps IA12 and *Pantoea agglomerans* 050309 caused significant increases in shoot dry mass of plants harvested after 28 days from seed emergence. The strain *Micrococcus roseus* SW1, which was isolated from the rhizospheric soil of wheat, proved to be the most efficient strain on straw, grain and total yields of wheat. This result may reflect the specificity observed in some crops. In some instances, specific strains of bacteria may promote plant growth only in certain crops. In this regard, Fages and Arzac (1991) reported that the maximum increases in germination and yield often occur in crops inoculated with PGPR strains isolated from the plants native rhizosphere.

The seed inoculation with most of the tested PGPR decreased grain/straw ratio of wheat as compared to the control. This may be attributed to the high nitrogen supply to the soil by the different free-living nitrogen fixing strains, which encourages the vegetative growth and delays flowering of the crop and consequently decreases the grain/straw ratio. It was reported that the soil rich in nitrogen over the satisfactory range will tend to keep down the carbon/nitrogen ratio which delays flowering in nitronegative crops such as wheat (Martin *et al.*, 1976). Similar result and conclusion were reported by Ali (1999) and Ahmed (2008).

The foregoing results confirm the beneficial effects of the tested rhizobacteria on the growth and yield of wheat under greenhouse conditions. These PGPR strains are recommended for field evaluation under different soils and environmental conditions before being practicable for agriculture as biofertilizers.

REFERENCES

- ABD EL-AZEEM, S.A.M. 1998. Influence of Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria in Soil and Rhizosphere on Phosphorus Availability. M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt.
- ABD EL-AZEEM, S.A.M. 2006. Studies on Plant Growth Promoting Rhizosphere Microorganisms. PhD. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt.
- ABD EL-AZEEM, S.A.M., T.A. MEHANA, AND A.A. SHABAYEK. 2007. Some plant growth promoting traits of rhizobacteria isolated from Suez Canal Region,

- Egypt. African Crop Science Conference Proceedings **8**: 1517-1525.
- AHMED, K.G.E. 2008. Use of Nitrification Inhibitors as a Means for Improving Nitrogen Fertilizer Efficiency in Soils. M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt.
- ALI, M.S.M. 1999. Use of Sewage Sludge and Chicken Manure for Improving Productivity of a Sandy Soil. M.Sc Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt.
- CATTELAN, A.J., P.G. HARTEL, AND J.J. FUHRMANN. 1999. Screening for plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria to promote early soybean growth. Soil Science Society of American Journal **63**: 1670-1680.
- Costat Statistical Software. 1990. CoStat Manual Revision 4.2.
- DAVIES, P.G. 1995. The plant hormones: their nature, occurrence, and functions. In Davies, P.J. (Ed.), plant Hormones, Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- DE FREITAS, J.R., AND J.J. GERMIDA. 1991. *Pseudomonas cepacia* and *P. putida* as winter wheat inoculants for biocontrol of *Rhizoctonia solani*. Canadian Journal of Microbiology **37**: 780-784.
- DE FREITAS, J.R., M.R. BANERJEE, AND J.J. GERMIDA. 1997. Phosphate solubilizing rhizobacteria enhance the growth and yield but not phosphorus uptake of canola (*Brassica napus* L.). Biology of Fertility Soils **24**: 358-364.
- EGAMBERDIYEVA, D., AND G. HÖFLICH. 2003. Influence of growth-promoting bacteria on the growth of wheat in different soils and temperatures. Soil Biology and Biochemistry **35**: 973-978.
- FAGES, J., AND J.F. ARSAC. 1991. Sunflower inoculation with *Azospirillum* and other plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. Plant and Soil **137**: 87-90.
- GAIND, S., AND A.C. GAUR. 1991. Thermotolerant phosphate solubilizing microorganisms and their interaction with mungbean. Plant and Soil **133**: 141-149.
- GASPAR, T., C. KEVERS, C. PENEL, H. GREPPIN, D.M. REID, AND T.A. THORPE. 1996. Plant hormones and plant growth regulators in plant tissue culture. In Vitro cellular and Developmental Biology **32**: 272-289.
- GEE, G.W., J.W. BAUDER. 1986. Particle-Size Analysis. In Klute A. (Ed.) Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 1 2nd ed. Agronomy Monographs. ASA and SSSA INCS., Madison, Wisconsin USA.
- GLICK, B.R. 1995. The enhancement of plant growth by free-living bacteria. Canadian Journal of Microbiology **41**: 109-117.
- GRAY, E.J., AND D.L. SMITH. 2005. Intracellular and extracellular PGPR: commonalities and distinctions in the plant-bacterium signaling processes. Soil Biology and Biochemistry **37**: 395-412.
- IBRAHIM, A.N., A.H.H. MAHMOUD, AND M.B. EL-KATKAT. 1995. *In vivo*, study the effect of phosphate solubilizing bacteria and superphosphate on the productivity of broad bean and wheat plants. Menofiya Journal of Agriculture Research **20**: 2361-2377.
- KHALID, A., M. ARSHAD, AND Z.A. ZAHIR. 2004. Screening plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria for improving growth and yield of wheat. Journal Applied Microbiology **96**: 473-480.
- KLOPPER, J.W. 1993. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biological control agents. In Meeting, F.B. Jr. Soil Microbial Ecology. Applications in Agricultural and Environmental Management. Marcel Dekker, New York.
- KUMAR, B.S.D., AND H.C. DUBE. 1992. Seed bacterization with a fluorescent pseudomonads for enhanced plant growth, yield and disease control. Soil Biology and Biochemistry **24**: 539-542.
- LUCY, M., E. REED, AND B.R. GLICK. 2004. Applications of free-living plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek **86**: 1-25.
- MARTIN, J.H., W.H. LEONARD, AND D.L. STAMP. 1976. Principles of Field Crop Production, 3rd ed., Macinillan publishing Co.; Inc. New York.
- MEHANA, T.A., AND F.M. FARAG. 2000. Influence of phosphate-dissolving microorganisms and elemental sulphur on phosphorus and micronutrient availability in a calcareous soil treated with rock phosphate. Mansoura University, Journal of Agricultural Sciences **25**: 2983-2993.
- PALLAI, R. 2005. Effect of Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria on Canola (*Brassica napus* L.) and Lentil (*Lens culinaris* medik) Plants. M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Applied Microbiology and Food Science, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Canada.
- SCHER, F.M., AND R. BAKER. 1982. Effect of *Pseudomonas putida* and a synthetic iron chelator on induction of soil suppressiveness to *Fusarium* wilt pathogens. Phytopathology **72**: 1567-1573.
- SPARKS, D.L., A.L. PAGE, P.A. HELMKE, R.H. LOEPPERT, P.N. SOLTANPOUR, M.A. TABATABAI, C.T. JOHNSTON, AND M.E. SUMNER (EDS.). 1996. Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 3 Chemical Methods, Agronomy Monographs 5. ASA and SSSA INCS., Madison, Wisconsin USA.
- VESSEY, K.J. 2003. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers. Plant and Soil **255**: 571-586.

Received June 24, 2008

Accepted September 12, 2008

تأثير تلقيح التقاوى ببكتيريا منطقة الجذور المنشطة لنمو النبات على نمو ومحصول القمح المنزرع فى تربة رملية

سامى عبد الملك محمد عبد العظيم ، طه عبد الحميد مهنا ، أحمد عبد القوى شبايك
قسم الأراضى والمياه، كلية الزراعة، جامعة قناة السويس، الإسماعيلية، مصر

الملخص العربى

أجريت تجربة أصص تحت ظروف الصوبة لتقييم تأثير إستجابة نبات القمح (صنف جيزة 168) للتلقيح بعدد 46 سلالة بكتيرية يُطلق عليها "بكتيريا منطقة الجذور المنشطة لنمو النبات" والتي تعيش بالتربة معيشة حره، وقد تم عزلها من منطقة جذور سنة محاصيل حقلية بمنطقة قناة السويس، مصر.

أوضحت النتائج التأثير الإيجابى والمنشط للتلقيح بالسلالات البكتيرية المختبره على نمو وإنتاج محصول القمح، وقد بلغ عدد السلالات التى أعطت زيادات معنوية فى محصول القش والحبوب والمحصول الكلى (القش + الحبوب) 35 و 33 و 37 سلالة بكتيرية من السلالات المختبره على التوالى. وقد تراوحت نسبة هذه الزيادة من 33.9 إلى 70.6% لمحصول القش و من 26.5 إلى 57.3% لمحصول الحبوب ومن 27.6 إلى 64.5% للمحصول الكلى وذلك بالمقارنة بالنباتات غير الملقحة (التجربة الضابطة). كما أوضحت النتائج أن أقصى محصول من القش والحبوب تم الحصول عليه نتيجة التلقيح بالسلالة البكتيرية *Micrococcus roseus* SW1 والتي تم عزلها من منطقة جذور نبات القمح.

من النتائج السابقة يمكن إستنتاج أن إستخدام بكتيريا منطقة الجذور المنشطة لنمو النبات "PGPR" المختبره فى هذه الدراسة كتسميد حيوى للتربة الرملية يزيد من نمو ومحصول القمح تحت ظروف الصوب. وتوصى الدراسة بإعادة تقييم هذه السلالات البكتيرية فى الحقل فى أراضى مختلفة قبل تعميم إستخدامها كأسمدة حيوية.