Post-Traumatic Stress Disorders and Burnout Syndrome among Community Paramedic Staff

Doaa Abd El Salam Amin Yacout^{*}, **Neama Yousef Mohamed^{**}**, **Hanan Hosni El Sherbini^{***}** ^{*}Assistant professor, Community Health Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, Damanhour University, Egypt ^{**}Assistant professor, Community Health Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, Alexandria University, Egypt

Abstract

Background: Community health nursing specialties is continuously changed and updated according to the community needs, ambulance paramedics staff is one of the specialties in community health nursing, and they play a vital role in emergencies even at home, they play a role in advancing home care which reduces the cost in the health care system, they deal with serious conditions such as pandemic situation as in case of COVID-19 pandemic nowadays. So, this group of staff must be studied to understand the occupational problems that might be facing them and help them to deal with it. Aims of the study: the current study aimed to assess the post-traumatic stress disorders among paramedic staff, and to evaluate the burnout syndrome severity among paramedic staff as a community paramedic. Material and Methods: A descriptive exploratory research design was used. Settings: The study was carried out at once out of the seven regions served by the Egyptian Ambulance Organization (EAO) namely Alexandria region which serves three Egyptian governorates (Alexandria, Matrouh and Beheira governorate). Subjects: All paramedic staff working at the chosen settings and meet the inclusion criteria (68) was recruited in the study. Tools: Data was collected through using three tools "Paramedic Staff Health Profile and Sociodemographic Assessment Tool, Maslach Burnout Inventory - Human Services Survey for Medical Personnel (MBI-HSS) Tool and the Clinicianadministered Post Traumatic Stress Disorder Scale for DSM-5 (CAPS-5) Past Month Version. **Results:** The current study indicate that the studied paramedic staff has different degree of burnout syndrome and post-traumatic stress disorder with a significant association with multivariable such as age, marital status level of education, years of experiences, working hours per week, sleeping hours and their evaluation for their health condition. Conclusion and Recommendations: it was concluded that, the paramedic staff burnout inventory scale indicate that around one third of them have high emotional exhaustion level, whereas, more than two fifths of them have low level of personal accomplishment. Around one third have high level of depersonalization. Furthermore, three quarters of the studied paramedic have mild, minimal distress or disruption of activities followed by moderate, distress clearly present but still manageable. It was recommended that, regular screening of paramedic staff should be done for evaluating stress, depression, and anxiety. Proper management must be held at early stage of symptoms of BOS and PTSD. Inservice stress management training especially for invoice community paramedic staff must be executive to raise their awareness regarding the possibility of PTSD and BOS and how to deal with.

Keywords: Post traumatic, paramedic staff, burnout, stressors.

Introduction

Community Paramedic is an evolving field that involves paramedics in more than emergency care and transport. It reduces emergency room visits and filling gaps in health care delivery by reducing the burden on primary care physicians. Additionally, it's being used to improve access to appropriate care for patients who are unable to reach the health care facilities especially when they call the emergency service since they respond to this call and provide immediate care even at home. According to Zavadsky report, 2014, Community Paramedic staff provides in-home and telephone-based support to patients who call the emergency service and work as a part of mobile integrated health care practice as they conduct an in-depth patient's assessment, develop а customized plan of care, and provide needed support for patients and their family. Raynovich (2014), Blacker, Pearson and, Walker (2009) added that Emergency Medical the Services Professionals also participate in disease and injury prevention and they accurately both the patient's clinical assess condition and the environmental context Community in which they live. paramedics have trusted members of the community who focus on outreach, education. and informal counseling, contribute to improved health care outcomes for the underserved populations they serve. There is growing interest in bringing the skills of these workers to specific condition-focused initiatives or patient-centered medical homes Wingrove (2014)and Group (2014). Reforming States World Health Organization (2011)

recommends different training program for community paramedic staff who served population under difficult circumstances.

Community Paramedics facing different occupational hazards at their physical, work either mechanical, biological, or psychological hazards, they face unique mental health challenges, like no other job. One of the main challenges facing them is lacking human resources which make the care provision difficult and put extra load among them to provide safe high-quality care for those in casualty or at home especially in remote marginalized and unserved area. Additionally, paramedic staff is forced to work in critical situations, and they must sustain commitment disaster to preparedness and planning since they deal with major casualties and have to save victim's life. Traumatic events they experienced at work makes them unable to deal effectively and lead to the exposure post-traumatic stress to syndrome disorders and burnout (Viswanathan, Wizemann, and Altevogt, 2011). A study done at Mansoura city, Egypt (2014) concluded that Emergency Medical Responders (EMRs) group had more frequent exposure to both acute and chronic workrelated stressors than the comparative group. Also, community EMRs had higher levels of Emotional exhaustion (EE), depersonalization (DP), and PTSD compared with comparative group. EMRs are in need for stress management program for prevention these of stress related hazards on health and work performance (Khashaba, **El-Sherif**, Ibrahim, and Neatmatallah, 2014). community Further. working as a demanding paramedic be can

psychologically and physically, setting a high pressure on community paramedic in prehospital care all over the world. This pressure may cause a higher risk for them to develop burnout and stress (Auvinen, and Lisitsyn, 2017).

Emergency service workers (Paramedics) are already at high risk of burnout especially due to many occupational hazarders as coronavirus stress now days.

Paramedics are often the first on the scene of major accidents, often involving gruesome deaths. People's lives depend on their quick reaction and care. Add to this the physical stress of the job, and it's not hard to see why paramedics need to be proactive about their own health, mental and physical. All these variables are contributing to burnout and stress among them (**Emergency Service Health Team, 2020**).

Burnout syndrome (BOS) associated with stress has been documented in health care professionals in manv specialties, among them the emergency department and pre-hospital the healthcare services which are highly (Cicchitti, stressful environments Maccaroni. Cannizzaro. Rosi. Menditto, 2014). Burnout has been typically described as a mental health problem that has three key components "personal accomplishment, emotional exhaustion and depersonalization" according to Maslach Burnout Inventory - Human Services Survey for Medical Personnel (MBI-HSS). Burnout has also been described as a stress related illness which can manifest itself physically with typical stress responses leading to serious health and safety concerns thus shed the light on the importance of minimizing its risks among the frontline care providers.

Burnout due to workload in general in paramedics emergency community services in major accidents may leads to consequences serious among the frontline care providers and the paramedic staff (Dubale, Friedman, Chemali, Denninger, Mehta, Alem, Fricchione and Gelaye et al, 2019). The paramedic health team always complain from variant level of anxiety and stress according to workplace variables and overloaded workload as was found to have a positive correlation with Maslach burnout inventory scores (Shah, Chaudhari, Kamrai, Lail, and Patel, 2020, Shah, Kamrai, Mekala, Mann, Desai, Patel, 2020, Christine, Emilie, and Martine, 2019, Patel, Bachu, Adikey, Malik, and Shah, 2018).

Burnout is not occurred alone: it mainly has another side like the coin. The phenomena other that mainly joined with burnout syndrome (BOS) are post-traumatic stress disorders (PTSDs). The co-existence of **PTSDs** and BOS is associated with altered perceptions of work and nonwork-related activities affecting the paramedic's health their productivity and working and performance. The extreme effect of PTSDs and BOS among these workers including loss of their life due to exhaustion and (Mealer. stress Burnham, Goode, Rothbaum, and Moss, 2009).

Clinician-administered The Post Traumatic Stress Disorder Scale for DSM-5 (CAPS-5) was used to assess PTSDs related intrusion symptoms, avoidance symptoms, cognitions, and symptoms, and arousal and mood reactivity symptoms. Also, it used to assess the disturbance characteristics of PTSD "onset, duration. associated

distress or impairment, subjective impairment social distress. in functioning, impairment in occupational or other important areas of functioning. And finally, it assess the incidence of dissociative symptoms (Deperson-Derealization); where alization. depersonalization is known as persistent or recurrent experiences of feeling detached from, and as if one were an outside observer of one's mental processes or body (e.g., feeling as though one were in a dream; feeling a sense of unreality of self or body or of time moving slowly). While derealization indicates persistent or recurrent experiences of unreality of surroundings (e.g., the world around the individual is experienced as unreal, dreamlike, distant, or distorted).

Until recently, occupational health as one of community health nursing specialties within the ambulance services has received relatively little attention from researchers. In the past few years, researchers have become increasingly aware that ambulance personnel may be at risk of developing work-related health problems. So, the current study aimed to assess the PTSDs and BOS among paramedic staff working as a community paramedic in Egypt.

Significance of the study

Paramedics have the highest rate of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and burnout syndrome among emergency service workers, higher than police or firefighters. This disorder can be detrimental to their personal and family lives. as well as their careers. Occupational health nurses can influence the triad of factors contributing to PTSD among paramedics by facilitating social

support and emotional expression while advocating for reduced job exposure to traumatic events and burnout (Chun Ma, Hung Chang, Wu, and Lin, 2020, Drewitz-Chesney, 2012).

Aims of the Study

The current study aims to

- Assess the post-traumatic stress disorders among paramedic staff as a community paramedic.
- Evaluate the burnout syndrome severity among paramedic staff as a community paramedic.

Research Questions:

- What are the post-traumatic stress disorders total score among paramedic staff as a community paramedic?
- What is the burnout syndrome severity among paramedic staff as a community paramedic?

Materials and Method

Study Design:

A descriptive exploratory research design was used.

Study Setting:

Egyptian Ambulance Organization (EAO) is a governmental organization affiliated to the Egyptian Ministry of Health and Population (MOHP). It is one of the most important organizations affiliated to the Egyptian Ministry of Health. It starts to work since 1902 to provides responsive, quality emergency clinical care and support for patient transport, rescue and retrieval services that aimed to meet the community needs. The EAO serve seven regions covering the whole country; which are Greater

Cairo region (Cairo, Giza, October, Helwan, and Qalyubia governorates), Alexandria region (Alexandria, Matrouh and Beheira governorates), Delta region (the governorates of Menoufia, Dakahlia, Damietta, Kafr El-Sheikh and Gharbia), Canal region (Suez, Ismailia, Eastern, Port Said, North Sinai, and South Sinai governorates), North Upper Egypt region (Beni Suef. Minya, and Fayoum governorates). Central Upper Egypt region (Assiut, Sohag and New Valley governorates), and South Upper Egypt region (Aswan, Luxor, Qena and Red Sea governorates).

The current study was carried out at one out of the seven regions served by the EAO namely Alexandria region which serve three Egyptian governorates (Alexandria, Matrouh and Beheira governorate).

Study Subjects:

All paramedic staff working at the previously selected settings and meet the inclusion criteria was included in the study. The total number of the selected paramedic is 68 members (30 members working at Alexandria governorate, 30 members working at Beheira governorate and 8 members working at Matrouh governorate). They selected according to the following inclusion criteria:(Working at the EAO since at least one year).

Tools:

In order to collect the necessary data for the study, the following tools were used:

Tool I: Paramedic Staff Health Profile and Sociodemographic Assessment Tool:

This tool was developed by the reviewing after recent researchers literature to collect data about paramedic staff's health profile, sociodemographic occupational history (iob data. description, duration of employment, shift work hours, working experiences in addition to their lifestyle pattern. Also, weight, height and blood pressure were assessed.

Tool II: Maslach Burnout Inventory – Human Services Survey for Medical Personnel (MBI-HSS):

It is a self-reported instrument used to measure the frequency of the three aspects of burnout syndrome namely: emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and personal accomplishment (Maslach, Leiter, Jackson, Maslach, 1996, Jackson, 1981, Maslach, Schaufeli, Leiter, 2001, Lheureux and Borteyrou, 2017). It contains 22 items grouped into three subscales according to the emotional exhaustion following; (9 items) which allows subjects to describe feelings of being emotionally overextended and fatigued; depersonalization (5 items) which measures the extent of unfeeling and impersonal attitudes toward clients, and personal accomplishment (8) items) which describes feelings of competence and successful achievement in relation to working with clients. The responses are 6-point Likert- type scale ranging from never = 0 to every day= 6. The total score of burnout scale is 132. The classification of scores on subscales of the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI) was divided into the following:

Emotional exhaustion (EE): The maximum allowed score was 54 point which categorized into: Low EE (0-18

point), Moderate EE (19-26 point), and High EE (27 point and more)

Personal accomplishment (PA): The maximum allowed score was 48 point which categorized into: Low (40 point or more), Moderate (34-39 point), and High (0-33 point)

Depersonalization (DP): The maximum allowed score was 30 point which categorized into: Low DP (0-5 point), Moderate DP (6-9 point), and High DP (10 point or more).

Tool III: Clinician-administered Post Traumatic Stress Disorder Scale for DSM-5 (CAPS-5) Past Month Version:

The Clinician-administered Post Traumatic Stress Disorder Scale for DSM-5 (CAPS-5) was used to assess the current PTSDs among the clinicians. The past month version of the CAPS-5 was used to evaluate PTSD symptoms over the past month (Weathers, Bovin, Lee, Sloan, Schnurr, Kaloupek Marx et al, **2018).** The CAPS-5 is a 30-item structured interview questions; each question was scored (0)if the respondents didn't have the symptoms or (1) if the respondents have the symptom. CPTSD total score was divided into four levels of distribution which are:

- None, who reported no symptoms of distress.
- Mild, minimal distress or disruption of activities (1-10 points).
- Moderate, distress clearly present but still manageable disruption of activities (11-20 points).
- Severe, distress clearly present with unmanageable disruption of activities (21-30 points).

Methods

Administrative process:

- Official letters from the faculty of Nursing, University of Alexandria were directed to Egyptian Ambulance Organization at Alexandria, Beheira and Matrouh governorate to obtain their permission to collect data from the selected settings.

Development of study tools:

- Tools I was developed by the researchers after reviewing of the recent literature.
- Tool II and III were translated into Arabic language and revised extensively by expertise in language translation.

Content validity

- The content validity of the study tools was tested by a group of (5) experts in the field and their opinions and their suggestions were taken into consideration.

Reliability of the tool

The reliability of the tool II and III was ascertained using the Cronbach Alpha test, where both were more than 80% reliable.

Pilot study

A pilot study was carried out on 5 paramedic staff to test the clarity, feasibility and applicability of the study tools. The necessary modifications were done. These staff were excluded from the total study subjects.

Field work

- Data was collected individually from the paramedic staff after receiving

their written approval to participate in the study.

- An individual interview was carried out in order to collect the necessary data from each subject. The interview took around 20-30 min.
- Weight and height were measured to calculate Body Mass Index (BMI) according to WHO standard. Blood pressure was measured also using mercury sphygmomanometer, while the paramedic staff was setting at a relaxed atmosphere.
- Data was collected since the beginning of October 2019 till the end of January 2020.

Statistical analysis:

- The collected data was coded and feed into the PC using IBM-SPSS version 25.
- Data was analyzed using the suitable statistical analysis where, descriptive statistical methods were used to summarize data as frequencies (n) and percentages (%) for categorical variables.
- Different tests were used. Chi square and Fisher's exact test are used to determine if there are associations between two categorical variables.
- A value of $P \le 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Ethical Considerations

• Written consent was obtained from every participant included in the study after an explanation of its aims and assured them that the collected data will be used only for the study purpose.

- Dealing with the study subjects respectively regardless of their age, sex, religion, and their socioeconomic status.
- Confidentiality and anonymity of individual's response was ensured by statement in the cover page of the tool, and a code number was used instead of the names as well.
- Participation was maintained voluntarily.
- The study subjects assured that they have the right to withdrawal at any time.
- The researchers credit the study subjects and everyone who assisted in the study in the research acknowledgment statement.

Results

Table 1 shows that less than two fifths of the studied subjects aged 30 to less than 40 and 40 to less than 50 years old (36.8% and 38.2% respectively) with the mean age 39.6±9.0. The majority (89.7%) of them were married. A quarter them have finished secondary of education and just half (51.5%) of them finished above average education (technical health institute), compared to around a tenth of them who have bachelor degrees or diploma and fellowship in an emergency (13.2% and 10.3% respectively). Regarding mean years of experience, it was 16.4±9.3 More than years. seventy percent (79.4%) of them work at a long day shift. In this regard, it was noticed that they work around 46.2 hours per week since 35.3% of them work for 36 hour. 54.4 % work for 48 hour and 10.3% of them work for 72 hour as they work as a block working hours and be on call. The majority (91.2%) of them deal with victim directly. Only 11.8% of the paramedic staff was satisfied by their work compared to 51.5% who dissatisfied. Finally, more than a quarter (27.9%) of them has a desire to change their career.

 Table 2 presents that only around
 one third (33.8%) of the paramedic staff has adequate sleeping hours with a mean sleeping hour 7 ± 1 hour a day. Slightly more than half (51.5%) of them were smokers. It was observed that slightly more than two thirds (67.6%) of them eat regular meals per day. Only 10.3% of them didn't complain of any health problems, compared to less than three quarters (73.5%) who suffered from headache, followed by less than two fifths (39.7%) who have low back pain, and the minorities who have insomnia, hypertension and DM (11.8%, 8.8%, and 4.4% respectively). Regarding blood pressure measurement findings, it was noticed that the mean systolic blood pressure is 127.6±15 mmHg, while the diastolic blood pressure is 82.2±8 mmHg. Body mass index (BMI) classification of them revealed that more than a quarter (26.5%) were overweight, while more than one fifth (22.1%) of them classified as obese class I. Finally, according to their self-evaluation for their health condition self-rating scale it was noticed that only 5.9% of them have a good health condition. two thirds (67.6%) stated that they have a fair health condition and slightly more than a quarter (26.5%) of them have a poor health condition.

Table 3 portrays the paramedic staff burnout based on Maslach burnout inventory-human services survey for medical personnel (MBI-HSS) findings. Regarding emotional exhaustion domain, it was found that around one third (33.8%) of them have high emotional exhaustion level and more than a quarter (27.9%)of them have moderate emotional exhaustion level with a mean of 23.3±10.3 point. Whereas more than two fifths (44.1%) of them have low accomplishment level of personal followed by one fifth (20.6%) who have moderate level of personal accomplishment, with a mean of 37 ± 8 points. Finally, around one third (33.8%) have high level of depersonalization with a mean of 6.6 ± 6.3 points.

Figure 1 portrays that three quarters (70.6%) of them have mild, minimal distress or disruption of activities followed by moderate, distress clearly present but still manageable, some disruption of activities among less than a quarter (23.5%) of them according to the CPTSD total score based on clinician-administered post-traumatic stress disorder scale for DSM-5 (CAPS-5) past month version.

Table 4 shed the light on the significant association between age and emotional exhaustion status of the studied paramedic staff where the high level of exhaustion is prevalent among the older one with p value <0.001. Regarding marital status it was observed that around one third (37.7%) of the married have high level of emotional exhaustion with a significant association between marital status and emotional exhaustion, with p value 0.002. The majorities (88.9%) of those who have

bachelor's degree of education have high level of emotional exhaustion followed by 42.9% among those who have diploma and fellowship in emergency with a significant association (p value <0.001). Furthermore, the majority (81%) of those who have 5 to less than 10 years of experiences have high level of emotional exhaustion compared to 15.4% of those who have 20 years of experience and more with a significant difference (p value <0.001). it also observed that less than half (42.6%) of those who working long day shift a day have high level of emotional exhaustion, with a significant association between working shifts and emotional exhaustion with p value <0.001. Moreover, around half of those who working 48 and 72 working have high level of emotional exhaustion (51.4%) and 57.1% respectively) with significant a association between working hours per week and emotional exhaustion with p value <0.001. More than two fifths (45.7%) of dissatisfied at their work have high level of emotional exhaustion with a significant association (p value 0.005). Slightly less than half (46.7%) of those who have adequate sleeping hours have low emotional exhaustion compared to 30.4% of those who have inadequate sleeping hours who have high emotional exhaustion with a statistically significant association between sleeping hours and emotional exhaustion with p value 0.023. Finally, it was noticed that 61.1% of those who evaluate his health condition as poor condition have high level of emotional exhaustion with p value 0.007.

Table 5shed the light on thesignificant association between age andpersonal accomplishment status of thestudied paramedic staff where the high

level of accomplishment is prevalent among the older one with p value <0.001. Regarding marital status it was observed that around one third (36.1%) of the married have high level of accomplishment compared to 41% who have low accomplishment level with a significant association between marital status and personal accomplishment, with p value 0.035. The majorities (88.9%) of those who have bachelor degree of education have moderate level of accomplishment compared to 82.4% of those who have nursing diplome, while all of those who have diploma and fellowship in emergency have high accomplishment level of with а significant association (p value <0.001). Furthermore, less than two thirds (63.6%) of those who have 10 to less than 15 years of experiences have high level of accomplishment with a significant difference (p value <0.001). It also observed that around one third (31.5%) of those who working long day shifts have high level of accomplishment, with a significant association between working shifts and personal accomplishment with p value <0.001. Moreover, more than two thirds (66.7%) of those who working 36 hours have high accomplishment level of with а significant association between working hours per week and accomplishment with p value <0.001. More than half (54.3%) of dissatisfied at their work have low accomplishment level of with a significant association (p value 0.003). Slightly more than half (56.5%) of those who have inadequate sleeping hours have low personal accomplishment with a significant statistically association between sleeping hours and personal accomplishment with p value 0.011.

Finally, it was noticed that all of those who evaluate his health condition as good condition have high level of accomplishment with p value <0.001.

 Table 6 found significant association
 between age and depersonalization status of the studied paramedic staff where the low level of depersonalization is prevalent among all of those aged 50 years and more compared to the majority (90%) of those aged less than 30 years who have high depersonalization with p value <0.001. Regarding marital status it was observed that around one third (34.4%) of the married have high level of depersonalization compared to 40% of single with a significant association between marital status and depersonalization, with p value 0.022. The majorities (88.9%) of those who have bachelor degree of education have low level of depersonalization compared to 47.1% of those who have nursing diplome, while half (52.9%) of those who have nursing diplome have high level of depersonalization with a significant association (p value <0.001). Furthermore, more than half (53.8%) of those who have 20 years of experiences and more have low level of depersonalization compared to 46.2% of them who have high level depersonalization with a significant difference (p value <0.001). Moreover, majority (85.7%) of those who working 72 hours have high level of depersonalization with a significant association between working hours per week and depersonalization with p value 0.002. Less than one third of dissatisfied and partially satisfied at their work have high level of depersonalization (31.4% and 32% respectively) with a significant association (p value <0.001). Finally, statistically significant there is no association between working shift, sleeping hours, and self-evaluation of health condition and depersonalization.

Table 7 shed the light on the significant association between age and CPTSD total score of the studied paramedic staff where the high level of CPTSD is prevalent among the younger one with p value <0.001. No one of those who have bachelor degree of education and who have diploma and fellowship in emergency and those working as physician and paramedic have high level of CPTSD with a significant association between level of education and CPTSD total scores value < 0.001). (p Furthermore, around one-third (33.3%) of those who have 15 to less than 20 years of experiences having a high level of CPTSD with a significant difference where p value 0.004. It also observed that less than three quarters (70.4%) of those who working long day shifts have high level of CPTSD, with a significant association between working shifts and CPTSD with p value <0.001. Moreover, more than two fifths (42.9%) of those who working 72 hours have high level of CPTSD followed by a quarter (24.3%) of those who working 48 hours per week with a significant association between working hours per week and CPTSD with p value 0.054. Slightly more than a quarter (26.7%) of those who have adequate sleeping hours have high CPTSD compared to 17.4% of those who inadequate have sleeping with а statistically significant association between sleeping hours and CPTSD with p value 0.014. one of the surprising findings revealed that all of those who evaluate his health condition as good condition have high level of CPTSD with p value 0.003. Finally, there is no significant statistically association between marital status, work satisfaction and CPTSD total score.

Socio-Demographic Data and Working Experiences	No. (68)	%
Age (Years)		
Less than 30	10	14.7
30 to less than 40	25	36.8
40 to less than 50	26	38.2
50 and more	7	10.3
Mean±SD	39.6±9.0)
Marital status		
Single	5	7.4
Married	61	89.7
Divorced	2	2.9
Level of Education		
Secondary level of education (Diplome)	17	25.0
Above average education (Technical Health Institute)	35	51.5
High level (Bachelor)	9	13.2
Post-graduate (Diploma and Fellowship in Emergency)	7	10.3
Years of experience		
5 to less than 10 years	21	30.9
10 to less than 15 years	22	32.4
15 to less than 20 years	12	17.6
20 years and more	13	19.1
Mean+SD	16.4+9.3	3
Working shift		
Morning	10	14.7
Evening	4	5.9
Long day	54	79.4
Number of working hours a week		
36.00	24	35.3
48.00	37	54.4
72.00	7	10.3
Mean±SD	46.2±10.	4
Deal with victim directly		
No	6	8.8
Yes	62	91.2
Work satisfaction (Self-rating scale)		,
Satisfied	8	11.8
Partially satisfied	25	36.8
Dissatisfied	35	51.5
Desire to change the career (Career shift desire)	20	2110
No	49	72.1
Yes	19	27.9

Table 1. Distribution of the Studied Paramedics According to Their Socio-
Demographic Data and Working Experiences (n.68)

Lifestyle pattern and health profile	No. (68)	%
Number of sleeping hours		
Inadequate (less than 6hours)	23	33.8
Adequate (6hours and more)	45	66.2
Mean ±SD	7.0±	1.0
Smoking habit		
No	33	48.5
Yes	35	51.5
Regular eating of meals		
No	22	32.4
Yes	46	67.6
Health complains #		
None	7	10.3
Headache	50	73.5
Low back pain	27	39.7
Insomnia	8	11.8
Hypertension	6	8.8
DM	3	4.4
Blood pressure assessment		
Mean ±SD of Systole blood pressure	127.6±15.	0 mmHg
Mean \pm SD of Diastole blood pressure	82.2±8.0	mmHg
BMI		
Average weight	35	51.5
Overweight	18	26.5
Obese class I	15	22.1
Self-evaluation for health condition (Self-rating scale)		
Good	4	5.9
Fair	46	67.6
Poor	18	26.5

 Table 2. Distribution of the Studied Paramedics According to Their Lifestyle Pattern and Health Profile (n.68)

Multiple response.

 Table 3. Distribution of the Studied Paramedics According to Their Burnout Syndrome Related

 Symptoms (Emotional exhaustion, Personal accomplishment, and Depersonalization) (n.68)

Maslach Burnout Inventory – Human Services Survey for Medical Personnel (MBI-HSS) Domains	No. (68)	%
Emotional exhaustion		
Low (0-18)	26	38.2
Moderate (19-26)	19	27.9
High (27 and more)	23	33.8
Mean \pm SD (Maximum allowed score is 54 point)	23.2	±10.3
Personal accomplishment		
Low (40 or more)	30	44.1
Moderate (34-39)	14	20.6
High (0-33)	24	35.3
Mean \pm SD (Maximum allowed score is 48 point)	37.0	0±8.0
Depersonalization		
Low (0-5)	34	50.0
Moderate (6-9)	11	16.2
High (10 or more)	23	33.8
Mean \pm SD (Maximum allowed score is 30 point)	6.6	±6.3



Figure 1. Distribution of the Studied Paramedics According to Their Clinician Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (CPTSD) Total Score

 Table 4. The Association between the Studied Paramedics Socio-Demographic Data and Working Experiences and Their Emotional Exhaustion (n.68)

	Emotional exhaustion						
Variables	Low			lerate	High		
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	Sig.
Age							
Less than 30	1	10.0	3	30.0	6	60.0	
30 to less than 40	18	72.0	4	16.0	3	12.0	FET:43.794
40 to less than 50	0	0.0	12	46.2	14	53.8	P:<0.001*
50 and more	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Marital status							
Single	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	EET-16.061
Married	24	39.3	14	23.0	23	37.7	FE1:10.901
Divorced	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	P: .002**
Level of Education							
Secondary level of education (Diplome)	0	0.0	12	70.6	5	29.4	
Above average education (Technical Health Institute)	21	60.0	7	20.0	7	20.0	FET:39.861
High level (Bachelor)	1	11.1	0	0.0	8	88.9	P:<0.001*
Post-graduate (Diploma and Fellowship in Emergency)	4	57.1	0	0.0	3	42.9	
Years of experience							
5 to less than 10 years	1	4.8	3	14.3	17	81.0	
10 to less than15 years	18	81.8	4	18.2	0	0.0	FET:53.668
15 to less than 20 years	0	0.0	8	66.7	4	33.3	P:<0.001*
20 years and more	7	53.8	4	30.8	2	15.4	
Working shift							
Morning	7	70.0	3	30.0	0	0.0	FFT-24 756
Evening	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	121.24.750 D < 0.001*
Long day	19	35.2	12	22.2	23	42.6	F.\0.001
Number of working hours a week							
36.00	20	83.3	4	16.7	0	0.0	EET. 24 655
48.00	6	16.2	12	32.4	19	51.4	P < 0.001 *
72.00	0	0.0	3	42.9	4	57.1	1.<0.001
Work satisfaction							
Satisfied	4	50.0	4	50.0	0	0.0	FFT: 15 022
Partially satisfied	15	60.0	3	12.0	7	28.0	D: 005*
Dissatisfied	7	20.0	12	34.3	16	45.7	1005
Sleeping hours							
Inadequate	5	21.7	11	47.8	7	30.4	X2: 7.510
Adequate	21	46.7	8	17.8	16	35.6	P: .023*
Self-evaluation for health condition							
Good	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	EET: 14.044
Fair	18	39.1	16	34.8	12	26.1	FE1:14.044 P:007*
Poor	4	22.2	3	16.7	11	61.1	1007

FET: Fisher Exact Test X²: Chi square test

P: P value of test of significance *Significant at P value ≤0.05.

-

 Table 5. The Association between the Studied Paramedics Socio-Demographic Data and Working Experiences and Their Personal Accomplishment (n.68)

Variables $Ior Mo High Fig. Age No. % No. % No. % Age 30.0 3 30.0 3 30.0 30 to less than 30 8 32.0 0 0.0 17 68.0 FET: 34.027 40 to less than 50 18 69.2 8 30.8 0 0.0 P<<0.01* 50 and more 0 0.0 3 42.9 4 57.1 Marital status Single 5 100.0 0 0.0 2.2 36.1 FET: 134.027 Divorced 0 0 0 0.0 2.2 36.1 FET: 10.311 Divorced 0 0 0 0 0 1 11.1 8.8 8.9 0 0.0 P: 0.001* Acce average education (Technical Health Institute) 15 42.9 3 8.6 17 48.6 FET: 54.545 High level $	Personal accomplishment							
No. γ_6 N	Variables Low Moderate		derate High		ligh	~		
Age Image: Constraint of the set of the s		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	Sig.
Less than 30440.0330.0330.030 to less than 40832.000.01768.0FET: 34.02740 to less than 501869.2830.800.0P<<0.001*	Age							
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Less than 30	4	40.0	3	30.0	3	30.0	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30 to less than 40	8	32.0	0	0.0	17	68.0	FET: 34.027
50 and more00.0342.9457.1Marital statusSingle5100.000.000.0Married2541.01423.02236.1FET:10.311Divorced00.000.02100.0P:.035*Level of Education1482.4317.600.00.0Above average education (Technical Health Institute)1542.938.61748.6FET:54.545High level (Bachelor)111.11888.900.0P:<0.001*	40 to less than 50	18	69.2	8	30.8	0	0.0	P:<0.001*
Marital statusSingle5100.000.00.00.0Married2541.01423.02236.1FET:10.311Divorced000002100.0Level of Education1482.4317.600.00Above average education (Deplome)1482.4317.600.00.0Above average education (Technical Health Institute)1542.938.61748.6FET:54.545High level (Bachelor)111.1888.900.00.07100.0Years of experience00.00.00.000.000.07100.0Years of experience836.400.0000.000.00.00.010 to less than 10 years419.01152.4628.6FET:38.73415 to less than 20 years836.400.0000.000.020 years and more646.2330.0770.0FET:21.817Evening4100.000.00.00.00.01.5FET:21.817Evening200330.0770.0FET:21.817It to less than 20 years20034.2.9450.010 day200330.07 <td>50 and more</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>3</td> <td>42.9</td> <td>4</td> <td>57.1</td> <td></td>	50 and more	0	0.0	3	42.9	4	57.1	
Single5100.000.000.00PET: 10.311Married2541.01423.02236.1PET: 10.311Divorced0000002100.0Level of Education317.600.0Above average education (Technical Health Institute)1542.938.61748.6FET: 54.545High level (Bachelor)111.11888.900.0P:<0.001*	Marital status							
Married2541.01423.02236.1 $PET:10.311$ P:.035*Divorced00.000.02100.0 $PET:10.311$ P:.035*Level of Education1482.4317.600.0Secondary level of education (Diplome)1482.4317.600.0Above average education (Technical Health Institute)1542.938.61748.6FET:54.545High level (Bachelor)111.1.1888.900.0P:<0.001*	Single	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Divorced 0 0.0 0 0.0 2 100.0 P:0.035" Level of Education Secondary level of education (Diplome) 14 82.4 3 17.6 0 0.0 Above average education (Technical Health Institute) 15 42.9 3 8.6 17 48.6 FET:54.545 High level (Bachelor) 1 11.1 8 88.9 0 0.0 P:<0.001*	Married	25	41.0	14	23.0	22	36.1	FET:10.311
Level of EducationLevel of education (Diplome)1482.4317.600.0Above average education (Technical Health Institute)1542.938.61748.6FET:54.545High level (Bachelor)111.11888.900.0P:<0.001*	Divorced	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	P: .035*
Secondary level of education (Diplome)1482.4317.600.0Above average education (Technical Health Institute)1542.938.61748.6FET:54.545High level (Bachelor)111.1.1888.900.0P:<0.001*	Level of Education							
Above average education (Technical Health Institute)15 42.9 3 8.6 17 48.6 FET:54.545High level (Bachelor)111.1.18 88.9 00.0P:<0.001*	Secondary level of education (Diplome)	14	82.4	3	17.6	0	0.0	
High level (Bachelor)111.11888.900.0 $P<0.001^*$ Post-graduate (Diploma and Fellowship in Emergency)00.000.07100.0Years of experience5to less than 10 years419.01152.4628.610 to less than 10 years836.400.0%1463.6FET: 38.73415 to less than 20 years12100.000.000.0P<0.01*	Above average education (Technical Health Institute)	15	42.9	3	8.6	17	48.6	FET:54.545
Pot-graduate (Diploma and Fellowship in Emergency) Years of experience00.000.07100.0Years of experience5 to less than 10 years419.01152.4628.610 to less than 15 years836.400.0%1463.6FET: 38.73415 to less than 20 years12100.000.000.0 $P:<0.001^*$ 20 years and more646.2323.1430.8Working shift00.0330.0770.0FET:21.817Evening4100.000.000.0P:0.001*Long day2648.11120.41731.5Number of working hours a week36.00833.300.01666.736.00833.300.01666.7FET: 27. 74872.0000.0342.9457.1FET: 27. 748Work satisfaction2259.51129.7410.8FET: 16.086Satisfied450.000.0450.0FET: 16.086P:0.001*1131.4514.311.331.4514.3Work satisfied1956.500.01043.5FET: 9.027Adequate1356.500.01043.5FET: 9.027Adequate1356.500.01043.5 <td>High level (Bachelor)</td> <td>1</td> <td>11.1</td> <td>8</td> <td>88.9</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>P:<0.001*</td>	High level (Bachelor)	1	11.1	8	88.9	0	0.0	P:<0.001*
Years of experience5 to less than 10 years419.011 52.4 628.610 to less than 15 years8 36.4 0 0.0% 14 63.6 FET: 38.734 15 to less than 20 years12100.000.000.0P:<0.001*	Post-graduate (Diploma and Fellowship in Emergency)	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	100.0	
5 to less than 10 years419.01152.4628.610 to less than 15 years8 36.4 0 0.0% 14 63.6 FET: 38.734 15 to less than 20 years12 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 P:< 0.001^* 20 years and more6 46.2 3 23.1 4 30.8 Working shift 0 0.0 3 30.0 7 70.0 FET:21.817Evening4 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 P: 0.001^* Long day26 48.1 11 20.4 17 31.5 Number of working hours a week 36.00 8 33.3 0 0.0 16 66.7 36.00 8 33.3 0 0.0 16 66.7 FET: 27.748 72.00 0 0.0 3 42.9 4 57.1 Work satisfaction 7 28.0 3 12.0 15 60.0 Partially satisfied 7 28.0 3 12.0 15 60.0 Partially satisfied19 54.3 11 31.4 5 14.3 Dissatisfied19 54.3 11 31.4 5 14.3 Sleeping hours13 56.5 0 0.0 10 43.5 FET: 9.027 Adequate13 56.5 0 0.0 10 43.5 FET: 9.027 Adequate13 56.5 0 0.0 4 10	Years of experience							
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5 to less than 10 years	4	19.0	11	52.4	6	28.6	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10 to less than 15 years	8	36.4	0	0.0%	14	63.6	FET: 38.734
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15 to less than 20 years	12	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	P:<0.001*
Working shift00.0330.0770.0FET:21.817Evening4100.000.000.0P:0.001*Long day2648.11120.41731.5Number of working hours a week2648.11120.41731.536.00833.300.01666.7FET: 27. 74872.0000.0342.9457.1FET: 27. 748Work satisfaction00.0342.9457.1Satisfied450.000.0450.0Partially satisfied728.0312.01560.0Dissatisfied1954.31131.4514.3Sleeping hours1356.500.01043.5FET: 9.027Adequate1356.500.04101.1P:.011*Self-evaluation for health condition00.000.04100.0Good00.000.04100.0ETE: 21.477	20 years and more	6	46.2	3	23.1	4	30.8	
Morning00.0330.0770.0FET:21.817Evening4100.000.000.0P:0.001*Long day2648.11120.41731.5Number of working hours a week36.00833.300.01666.736.00833.300.01666.7FET: 27. 74872.0000.0342.9457.1FET: 27. 748Work satisfaction00.0342.9457.1Satisfied450.000.0450.0Partially satisfied728.0312.01560.0Dissatisfied1954.31131.4514.3Sleeping hours1356.500.01043.5FET: 9.027Adequate1356.500.04101.1P:.011*Self-evaluation for health condition00.000.04100.0Good00.000.04100.0ET: 01.477	Working shift							
Evening 4 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 P:0.001* Long day 26 48.1 11 20.4 17 31.5 Number of working hours a week 36.00 8 33.3 0 0.0 16 66.7 36.00 22 59.5 11 29.7 4 10.8 P:<0.001*	Morning	0	0.0	3	30.0	7	70.0	FET:21.817
Long day2648.11120.41731.5Number of working hours a week 36.00 8 33.3 00.01666.7 48.00 22 59.5 11 29.7 4 10.8 $P:<0.001^*$ 72.00 00.03 42.9 4 57.1 $P:<0.001^*$ Work satisfaction4 50.0 00.04 50.0 Partially satisfied7 28.0 3 12.0 15 60.0 $P::003^*$ Dissatisfied19 54.3 11 31.4 5 14.3 $P::003^*$ Sleeping hours13 56.5 0 0.0 10 43.5 $FET: 9.027$ Adequate13 56.5 0 0.0 10 43.5 $FET: 9.027$ Adequate00.00 0.0 4 100.0 $P::011^*$ Good00.00 0.0 4 100.0 $PT: 21.477$	Evening	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	P:0.001*
Number of working hours a week 8 33.3 0 0.0 16 66.7 48.00 22 59.5 11 29.7 4 10.8 P:<0.001*	Long day	26	48.1	11	20.4	17	31.5	
36.00 8 33.3 0 0.0 16 66.7 48.00 22 59.5 11 29.7 4 10.8 P:<0.001*	Number of working hours a week							
48.00 22 59.5 11 29.7 4 10.8 FET: 27.748 72.00 0 0.0 3 42.9 4 57.1 Work satisfaction Satisfied 4 50.0 0 0.0 4 50.0 Partially satisfied 7 28.0 3 12.0 15 60.0 PET: 16.086 Dissatisfied 19 54.3 11 31.4 5 14.3 P:<003*	36.00	8	33.3	0	0.0	16	66.7	
72.00 0 0.0 3 42.9 4 57.1 Work satisfaction Satisfied 4 50.0 0 0.0 4 50.0 Partially satisfied 7 28.0 3 12.0 15 60.0 FET: 16.086 Dissatisfied 19 54.3 11 31.4 5 14.3 Sleeping hours 13 56.5 0 0.0 10 43.5 FET: 9.027 Adequate 17 37.8 14 31.1 14 31.1 P:.011* Self-evaluation for health condition 0 0.0 0 0.0 4 100.0	48.00	22	59.5	11	29.7	4	10.8	FET: 27.748
Work satisfaction Satisfied 4 50.0 0 0.0 4 50.0 Partially satisfied 7 28.0 3 12.0 15 60.0 PET: 16.086 Dissatisfied 19 54.3 11 31.4 5 14.3 Sleeping hours 13 56.5 0 0.0 10 43.5 FET: 9.027 Adequate 17 37.8 14 31.1 14 31.1 P:.011* Self-evaluation for health condition 0 0.0 0 0.0 4 100.0	72.00	0	0.0	3	42.9	4	57.1	P:<0.001*
Satisfied 4 50.0 0 0.0 4 50.0 Partially satisfied 7 28.0 3 12.0 15 60.0 PET: 16.086 Dissatisfied 19 54.3 11 31.4 5 14.3 Sleeping hours 13 56.5 0 0.0 10 43.5 FET: 9.027 Adequate 17 37.8 14 31.1 14 31.1 P:.011* Self-evaluation for health condition 0 0.0 0 0.0 4 100.0	Work satisfaction							
Partially satisfied 7 28.0 3 12.0 15 60.0 FET: 16.086 Dissatisfied 19 54.3 11 31.4 5 14.3 Sleeping hours 13 56.5 0 0.0 10 43.5 FET: 9.027 Adequate 17 37.8 14 31.1 14 31.1 P:.011* Self-evaluation for health condition 0 0.0 0 0.0 4 100.0	Satisfied	4	50.0	0	0.0	4	50.0	
Dissatisfied 19 54.3 11 31.4 5 14.3 Sleeping hours Inadequate 13 56.5 0 0.0 10 43.5 FET: 9.027 Adequate 17 37.8 14 31.1 14 31.1 P:.011* Self-evaluation for health condition Good 0 0.0 0 0.0 4 100.0	Partially satisfied	7	28.0	3	12.0	15	60.0	FET: 16.086
Sleeping hours 13 56.5 0 0.0 10 43.5 FET: 9.027 Adequate 17 37.8 14 31.1 14 31.1 P:.011* Self-evaluation for health condition 0 0.0 0 0.0 4 100.0	Dissatisfied	19	54.3	11	31.4	5	14.3	P:.005*
Inadequate 13 56.5 0 0.0 10 43.5 FET: 9.027 Adequate 17 37.8 14 31.1 14 31.1 P:.011* Self-evaluation for health condition 0 0.0 0 0.0 4 100.0	Sleeping hours							
Adequate 17 37.8 14 31.1 14 31.1 P:.011* Self-evaluation for health condition 0 0.0 0 0.0 4 100.0	Inadequate	13	56.5	0	0.0	10	43.5	FET: 9.027
Self-evaluation for health conditionGood00.000.04100.0	Adequate	17	37.8	14	31.1	14	31.1	P:.011*
Good 0 0.0 0 0.0 4 100.0	Self-evaluation for health condition							
EET. 21 477	Good	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	
Fair 26 56.5 3 6.5 17 37.0 FET: 31.4/	Fair	26	56.5	3	6.5	17	37.0	FET: 31.477
Poor 4 22.2 11 61.1 3 16.7	Poor	4	22.2	11	61.1	3	16.7	P:<0.001*

FET: Fisher Exact Test P: P value of FET

*Significant at P value ≤0.05

 Table 6. The Association between the Studied Paramedics Socio-Demographic Data and Working Experiences and Their Depersonalization (n.68)

Depersonalization							
Variables	Variables Low Moderate		derate	e High			
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	Sig.
Age							
Less than 30	0	0.0	1	10.0	9	90.0	
30 to less than 40	11	44.0	10	40.0	4	16.0	FET: 39.929
40 to less than 50	16	61.5	0	0.0	10	38.5	P:<0.001*
50 and more	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Marital status							
Single	3	60.0	0	0.0	2	40.0	
Married	31	50.8	9	14.8	21	34.4	FET: 11.420
Divorced	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	P:0.022*
Level of Education							
Secondary level of education (Diplome)	8	47.1	0	0.0	9	52.9	
Above average education (Technical Health Institute)	11	31.4	10	28.6	14	40.0	FET: 23.659
High level (Bachelor)	8	88.9	1	11.1	0	0.0	P:0.001*
Post-graduate (Diploma and Fellowship in Emergency)	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Years of experience							
5 to less than 10 years	11	52.4	1	4.8	9	42.9	
10 to less than 15 years	8	36.4	10	45.5	4	18.2	FET:21 624
15 to less than 20 years	8	66.7	0	0.0	4	33.3	P:0.001*
20 years and more	7	53.8	0	0.0	6	46.2	
Working shift							
Morning	7	70.0	0	0.0	3	30.0	
Evening	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	FET:11.339
Long day	27	50.0	11	20.4	16	29.6	P:0.078
Number of working hours a week							
36.00	12	50.0	8	33.3	4	16.7	
48.00	21	56.8	3	8.1	13	35.1	FET: 16. 792
72.00	1	14.3	0	0.0	6	85.7	P:0.002*
Work satisfaction							
Satisfied	4	50.0	0	0.0	4	50.0	
Partially satisfied	6	24.0	11	44.0	8	32.0	FET: 25.417
Dissatisfied	24	68.6	0	0.0	11	31.4	P:<0.001*
Sleeping hours							
Inadequate	15	65.2	1	4.3	7	30.4	FET: 4.734
Adequate	19	42.2	10	22.2	16	35.6	P:0.094
Self-evaluation for health condition							
Good	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Fair	19	413	10	217	17	37.0	FET: 7.410
Poor	11	61.1	1	56	6	32.2	P:0.116
FUUI	11	01.1	1	5.0	0	55.5	

FET: Fisher Exact Test

P: P value of FET

*Significant at P value ≤ 0.05

Original Article

Table 7. The Association between the Studied Paramedics Socio-Demographic Data and Working Experiences and Their CPTSD Total Score (n.68)

Variables No. Nill Mode No. No. No. Sig. Age Less than 30 0 0.0 4 40.0 6 60.0 30 to less than 40 0 0.00 21 84.0 4 16.0 FET: 45.635 50 and more 4 57.1 3 42.9 0 0.0 Marital status Sigle 0 0.0 5 100.0 0 0.0 Married 4 6.6 41 67.2 16 26.2 PET: 32.51 Divorced 0 0.0 2 100.0 0 0.0 Level of Education User of Education User of Education EET: 41.686 11 31.4 FET: 41.686 High level (Bachelor) 0 0.0 12 70.6 5 29.4 Above average education (Diplome) 0 0.0 12 70.6 5 29.4 High level (Bachelor) 0 0.0 15 <td< th=""><th></th></td<>	
No.No.%No.%No.%Sig.AgeLess than 3000.0440.0660.030 to less than 4000.02076.9623.1 $P:<0.01*$ 50 and more457.1342.900.0 $P:<0.01*$ Single00.05100.000.0 $P:<0.01*$ Marital statusSingle00.02100.000.0Marited46.64167.21626.2 $P:0.517$ Divorced00.02100.000.012Secondary level of education (Diplome)00.01270.6529.4Above average education (Technical Health Institute)00.01270.6529.4Above average education (Technical Health Institute)00.01571.4628.6High level (Bachelor)00.01571.4628.61113.4Pe:<001*00.01881.8418.2FET: 19.212Sto less than 10 years00.01881.8418.2FET: 19.21215 to less than 20 years00.01881.8418.2FET: 19.21220 years and more430.8753.8215.4FET: 19.212Morring440.0660.000.0FET: 3.9	
AgeLess than 3000.0440.0660.030 to less than 4000.02184.0416.0FET: 45.63540 to less than 5000.02076.9623.1P:<0.01*50 and more457.1342.900.01Marital status5100.000.00.0Marited46.64167.21626.2P:0.517Divorced00.01270.6529.4P:0.517Secondary level of education (Diplome)00.01270.6529.4Above average education (Technical Health Institute)00.01270.6529.4Post-graduate (Diploma and Fellowship in Emergency)457.1342.900.0Years of experience51.1342.900.0Years of experience71.4628.6FET: 19.21215 to less than 10 years00.01881.8418.2FET: 19.21215 to less than 20 years00.04100.000.0FET: 3.8952Vorking shift3753.8215.4Morning440.0660.000.0FET: 9.302Scold aly00.0416.71626.7FET: 3.952 <td< th=""><th></th></td<>	
Less than 3000.0440.0660.030 to less than 4000.02184.0416.0FET: 45.63540 to less than 5000.02076.9623.1P:50.01*50 and more457.1342.900.01Marital status5100.000.00.000.012Single00.02100.000.00.012Divored00.01270.6529.4P.0.517Divored00.01270.6529.4P.0.517Above average ducation (Diplome)00.01270.6529.4Above average ducation (Technical Health Institute)00.02468.61131.4FET: 41.686High level (Bachelor)00.01571.4628.6115.4P:0.001*Years of experience7753.8215.4FET: 19.21215 to less than 10 years00.0866.7433.3P: 0.004*20 years and more430.8753.8215.4FET: 38.952P:-0.01*Morning shift71666.7416.7P:-0.01*P:-0.01*Morning fuer of working hours a week36.000.02875.7924.3P:-0.01*36.00416.716<	
30 to less than 40 0 0.0 21 84.0 4 16.0 FET: 45.635 40 to less than 50 0 0.0 20 76.9 6 23.1 P:<0.01*	
40 to less than 50 0 0.0 20 76.9 6 23.1 P:<0.001*	35
50 and more 4 57.1 3 42.9 0 0.0 Marital status Single 0 0.0 5 100.0 0 0.0 Married 4 6.6 41 67.2 16 26.2 PET: 3.251 Divorced 0 0.0 2 100.0 0 0.0 Level of Education Secondary level of education (Diplome) 0 0.0 12 70.6 5 29.4 Above average education (Technical Health Institute) 0 0.0 24 68.6 11 31.4 FET: 41.686 High level (Bachelor) 0 0.0 9 100.0 0 0.0 P:<0.001*	*
Marital statusSingle00.05100.000.0Married46.64167.21626.2 $PET: 3.251$ Pt.0.517Divorced00.02100.000.0Level of Education00.01270.6529.4Secondary level of education (Diplome)00.02468.61131.4FET: 41.686High level (Bachelor)00.09100.000.0P:<0.001*	
Single00.05100.000.0Married46.64167.21626.2FET: 3.251Divorced00.02100.000.012Level of Education00.01270.6529.4Above average education (Technical Health Institute)00.02468.61131.4FET: 41.686High level (Bachelor)00.09100.000.0P:<001*	
Married46.64167.21626.2FET: 3.251Divorced00.02100.000.0Level of Education00.01270.6529.4Above average education (Technical Health Institute)00.02468.61131.4FET: 41.686High level (Bachelor)00.09100.000.0P:<0.01*	
Divorced00.02100.000.0Level of Education00.01270.6529.4Above average education (Technical Health Institute)00.02468.61131.4FET: 41.686High level (Bachelor)00.09100.000.0P:<0.001*	1
Level of Education00.01270.6529.4Secondary level of education (Technical Health Institute)00.02468.61131.4FET: 41.686High level (Bachelor)00.09100.000.0P:<0.001*	
Secondary level of education (Diplome)00.01270.6529.4Above average education (Technical Health Institute)00.02468.61131.4FET: 41.686High level (Bachelor)00.09100.000.0P:<0.001*	
Above average education (Technical Health Institute)00.02468.61131.4FET: 41.686High level (Bachelor)00.09100.000.0 $P:<0.001*$ Post-graduate (Diploma and Fellowship in Emergency)457.1342.900.0Years of experience71.4628.61113.4FET: 19.2125 to less than 10 years00.01571.4628.610 to less than 10 years00.01881.8418.215 to less than 20 years00.0866.7433.3P: 0.004*20 years and more430.8753.8215.4Working shift900.04100.000.0Long day00.03870.41629.6Number of working hours a week36.00416.71666.7416.748.0000.02875.7924.3FET: 9.30272.0000.0457.1342.9P:0.054*Work satisfaction00.0457.1342.9Work satisfaction00.0450.0450.02.0000.0450.0450.0FET: 7.2863.0000.0450.0450.0FET: 7.2863.0100.0450.0<	
High level (Bachelor)000.09100.000.0 $P:<0.001^*$ Post-graduate (Diploma and Fellowship in Emergency)457.1342.900.0 $P:<0.001^*$ Years of experience00.01571.4628.6 $P:<0.001^*$ $P:<0.001^*$ Years of experience00.01571.4628.6 $P:<0.001^*$ $P:<0.001^*$ Yo be set shan 10 years00.01881.8418.2 $P:<0.004^*$ 20 years and more430.8753.8215.4 $P:<0.004^*$ Working shift00.04100.000.0 $P:<0.001^*$ Morning440.0660.000.0 $P:<0.001^*$ Long day00.03870.41629.6 $P:<0.001^*$ Number of working hours a week36.00416.71666.7416.784.0000.02875.7924.3 $P:0.054^*$ 72.0000.0450.0450.0 $P:0.054^*$ Work satisfaction00.0450.0450.0 $P:<1.286$ Satisfied00.0450.0450.0 $P:<1.286$ Partially satisfied(0.02080.0520.0 $P:<1.286$	36
Post-graduate (Diploma and Fellowship in Emergency)4 57.1 3 42.9 00.0Years of experience5to less than 10 years00.015 71.4 6 28.6 10 to less than 15 years00.018 81.8 4 18.2 FET: 19.21215 to less than 20 years00.08 66.7 4 33.3 P: 0.004*20 years and more4 30.8 7 53.8 2 15.4 Working shift 4 40.0 6 60.0 0 0.0 Evening00.0 38 70.4 16 29.6 Long day00.0 28 75.7 9 24.3 $PET: 9.302$ Number of working hours a week 4 16.7 16 66.7 4 16.7 30.0 4 16.7 16 66.7 4 16.7 12.00 0 0.0 28 75.7 9 24.3 $PET: 9.302$ 72.00 0 0.0 4 50.0 4 50.0 4 72.00 0 0.0 4 50.0 4 50.0 72.00 0 0.0 4 50.0 4 50.0 $8atisfied$ 0 0.0 4 50.0 4 50.0 $8atisfied$ 0 0.0 4 50.0 4 50.0 $8atisfied$ 0 0.0 20 80.0 5 20.0 <td>*</td>	*
Years of experience00.015 71.4 6 28.6 5 to less than 10 years00.018 81.8 4 18.2 FET: 19.21210 to less than 20 years00.08 66.7 4 33.3 P: 0.004*20 years and more4 30.8 7 53.8 2 15.4 Working shift4 40.0 6 60.0 00.0Evening00.04 100.0 00.0Long day00.038 70.4 16 29.6 Number of working hours a week4 16.7 16 66.7 4 16.7 80.00 4 16.7 16 66.7 4 16.7 16 20.00 4 57.1 3 42.9 $P:0.054*$ $Varch satisfied$ 0 0.0 4 50.0 4 50.0 Partially satisfied0 0.0 4 50.0 4 50.0 FET: 7.2860 0.0 4 50.0 5 20.0 FET: 7.2860 0.0 5 20.0 $FET: 7.286$	
5 to less than 10 years00.015 71.4 6 28.6 10 to less than 15 years00.018 81.8 4 18.2 FET: 19.21215 to less than 20 years00.08 66.7 4 33.3 P: 0.004*20 years and more430.87 53.8 2 15.4 Working shift	
10 to less than 15 years00.018 81.8 4 18.2 FET: 19.21215 to less than 20 years00.08 66.7 4 33.3 P: 0.004*20 years and more4 30.8 7 53.8 2 15.4 Working shift	
5 to less than 20 years00.08 66.7 4 33.3 P: 0.004^* 20 years and more4 30.8 7 53.8 2 15.4 Working shift4 40.0 6 60.0 0 0.0 Worning4 40.0 6 60.0 0 0.0 Evening0 0.0 4 100.0 0 0.0 Long day0 0.0 38 70.4 16 29.6 Number of working hours a week86.004 16.7 16 66.7 4 16.7 80.00 0 0.0 28 75.7 9 24.3 FET: 9.302 12.00 0 0.0 4 57.1 3 42.9 Work satisfaction 0 0.0 4 50.0 4 50.0 Partially satisfied (0.0) 20 80.0 5 20.0 FET: 7.286	12
20 years and more4 30.8 7 53.8 2 15.4 Working shift4 40.0 6 60.0 00.0Evening00.04 100.0 00.0Evening00.038 70.4 16 29.6 Long day00.038 70.4 16 29.6 Number of working hours a week4 16.7 16 66.7 4 16.7 80.00 4 16.7 16 66.7 4 16.7 20.00 00.0 28 75.7 9 24.3 FET: 9.302 72.00 00.0 4 57.1 3 42.9 Work satisfaction 60.0 4 50.0 4 50.0 Satisfied0 0.0 4 50.0 4 50.0 Partially satisfied(0.0 20 80.0 5 20.0	¢
Working shift4 40.0 6 60.0 00.0Evening00.04 100.0 00.0FET: 38.952Long day00.038 70.4 16 29.6 Number of working hours a week4 16.7 16 66.7 4 16.7 8.00 00.028 75.7 9 24.3 FET: 9.302 2.00 00.04 57.1 3 42.9 Work satisfaction 20.0 4 50.0 4 50.0 2 atially satisfied0 0.0 4 50.0 4 50.0 2 atially satisfied (0.0) 20 80.0 5 20.0 FET: 7.286	
Morning4 40.0 6 60.0 0 0.0 FET: 38.952 Evening0 0.0 4 100.0 0 0.0 $FET: 38.952$ Long day0 0.0 38 70.4 16 29.6 Number of working hours a week 4 16.7 16 66.7 4 16.7 8.00 0 0.0 28 75.7 9 24.3 $FET: 9.302$ 22.00 0 0.0 4 57.1 3 42.9 Work satisfaction 0 0.0 4 50.0 4 50.0 Partially satisfied(0.0 20 80.0 5 20.0 FET: 7.286	
Evening00.04100.000.0FET: 38.952Long day00.03870.41629.6Number of working hours a week36.00416.71666.7416.748.0000.02875.7924.3FET: 9.30272.0000.0457.1342.9Work satisfactionSatisfied00.0450.0450.0Partially satisfied(0.02080.0520.0FET: 7.286	
Long day 0 0.0 38 70.4 16 29.6 Number of working hours a week 36.00 4 16.7 16 66.7 4 16.7 36.00 4 16.7 16 66.7 4 16.7 48.00 0 0.0 28 75.7 9 24.3 FET: 9.302 72.00 0 0.0 4 57.1 3 42.9 Work satisfaction Satisfied 0 0.0 4 50.0 FET: 7.286 Partially satisfied (0.0 20 80.0 5 20.0 FET: 7.286	52 *
Number of working hours a week 4 16.7 16 66.7 4 16.7 86.00 4 16.7 16 66.7 4 16.7 18.00 0 0.0 28 75.7 9 24.3 FET: 9.302 12.00 0 0.0 4 57.1 3 42.9 Work satisfaction 5 0 0.0 4 50.0 FET: 7.286 Partially satisfied (0.0 20 80.0 5 20.0 FET: 7.286	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
48.00 0 0.0 28 75.7 9 24.3 FET: 9.302 72.00 0 0.0 4 57.1 3 42.9 Work satisfaction Satisfied 0 0.0 4 50.0 4 50.0 Partially satisfied (0.0 20 80.0 5 20.0 FET: 7.286	
V2.00 0 0.0 4 57.1 3 42.9 Work satisfaction Satisfied 0 0.0 4 50.0 4 50.0 Partially satisfied (0.0 20 80.0 5 20.0	2
Work satisfaction 0 0.0 4 50.0 4 50.0 Satisfied 0 0.0 20 80.0 5 20.0 FET: 7.286 Partially satisfied (0.0 20 80.0 5 20.0 FET: 7.286	P:0.054*
Satisfied00.0450.0450.0Partially satisfied(0.02080.0520.0FET: 7.286	
Partially satisfied (0.0 20 80.0 5 20.0 FET: 7.286	
	FET: 7.286 P:0.122
Dissatisfied 11.4 24 68.6 7 20.0	
Sleeping hours	
nadequate (17.4 15 65.2 4 17.4 FET: 8.525	5
Adequate (0.0 33 73.3 12 26.7 P:0.014*	P:0.014*
Self-evaluation for health condition	
Good (0.0 0 0.0 4 100.0	
Fair (8.7 33 71.7 9 19.6 FET: 15.768	58
P:0.003*	P:0.003*

FET: Fisher Exact Test

P: P value of FET ★Significant at P value ≤0.05.

Discussion

Despite the authority's efforts to fight the accidents and its consequences, the front-line paramedics involved directly in handling these patients are at greater risk than others consequently they may suffer from the psychological consequences due

to the type of care they provide and the type of victim's problem they deal with. The reasons for such adverse psychological outcomes in them range from excessive workload/work hours, inadequate personal protective equipment, young age or lack of experience, over- enthusiastic media news. and feeling inadequately supported. The mental health issue must be not underestimated during this critical (Spoorthy, phase Pratapa, and Mahant, 2020).

The number of medical and paramedic staff suffering from burnout and stress has increased over the years, possibly causing negative effects on patient care, working environments and staffing shortages (Holdren, Paul, and Coustasse, 2015), furthermore, Mealer, Burnham, Goode, Rothbaum, and Moss (2009) identified that PTSD and BOS are common in nurses and those with PTSD will almost uniformly have symptoms of BOS. Co-existence of PTSD and BOS has a dramatic effect on work and nonwork-related activities and perceptions. Creating a healthy work environment in which nurses feel supported by their coworkers and management, in addition, to make available stress management programs that address symptoms of burnout and assure safe nurse staffing patterns are in place are important in minimizing BOS and PTSDs (Holdren, Paul, and Coustasse, 2015). The current study confirmed that only one-tenth of the paramedic staff was satisfied by their work and more than a quarter of them have a desire to change their career. These findings go in line with Duffy, Avalos, and Dowling (2015) who study the secondary traumatic stress among

emergency nurses who reported that they have a strong desire to change their carrier.

Regarding health profile and lifestyle pattern of the studied paramedic staff in the current study, only around one-third of the paramedic staff has adequate sleeping hours. Slightly less than half of those who have adequate sleeping hours have low emotional exhaustion compared to one-third of those who have inadequate sleeping hours who have high emotional exhaustion with a statistically significant association between sleeping hours and emotional exhaustion. Slightly more than half of those who have inadequate sleeping hours have low accomplishment personal with а statistically significant association between sleeping hours and personal accomplishment. Slightly more than two thirds of them eat regular meals per day. Less than three quarters of them suffered from headache, followed by less than two fifths have low back pain, and the minorities have insomnia. In contrast Belotto (2017) indicated that their qualitative study among the EMS providers revealed that they have adequate sleeping especially at night without any sleeping disturbance and they eat well with no problems. This discrepancy may be due to other factors affecting sleeping hours of research subjects as worrying about their life, lack in the protective measures used or due to working multiple shifts especially night, which can affect on their circadian rhythm and sleep quality. According to the studied paramedic self-evaluation for their health condition it was noticed that only 5.9% of them have good health condition. In this regard, Blau (2011) indicate that sleep deprivation affects the

general health perception of the medical emergency service (EMS) providers. Moreover, Navback (2009) stated that PTSD may cause a wide symptoms including spectrum of flashbacks and nightmares of the event, anger, anxiety, depression, irritability, and impaired concentration, and added that it also causes difficulty in sleeping, panic attacks, hyper vigilance and an exaggerated startle response.

Paramedic's healthy lifestyle and positive health status perception mainly affect their responses in critical situations. A relaxed paramedic staff that sleep well and have good health condition can control the psychological and emotional outburst arises from dealing with stressful conditions like major accidents and catastrophe.

The current study portrayed the staff burnout based paramedic on Maslach burnout inventory-human services survey for medical personnel Regarding findings. (MBI-HSS) emotional exhaustion domain, it was found that around one third of them have high emotional exhaustion level. Whereas, more than two-fifths of them have low level of personal around one accomplishment. Finally, third have high level of depersonalization. These findings confirm Cicchitti et al findings who declared that, BOS-related symptoms have been identified in at least half of the nurses, half of them suffered a mediumhigh emotional exhaustion, there quarters had a medium-high depersonalization and the majority had a medium-high reduced professional accomplishment.

The current study documented that three quarters of the paramedic staff have

mild minimal distress or functional impairment of the global severity of symptoms. Also, three quarters of them have mild, minimal distress or disruption of activities followed by moderate, distress clearly present but still manageable. This may be attributed to the ever-increasing number of casualties, overwhelming workload, depletion of personal protection equipment, widespread media coverage, lack of specific drugs, and feelings of being inadequately supported mav all contribute to the mental burden of these workers as reported by Lai, Ma, Wang, Cai, Hu, Wei, Hu, et al (2020). The same findings are reported at the previous researches conducted at the similar situations (Maunder, Hunter, Vincent, Bennett, Peladeau, Leszcz, et al, 2003, Bai, Lin, Lin, Chen, Chue, 2004. and Chou. Lee. Wong. McAlonan, Cheung, Cheung, Sham, et al, 2007). All these symptoms may be attributed to seeing patient death and dealing with those who going to die suddenly due to accidents consequences especially if they are children. These findings confirmed by Carmassi, Gesi, Corsi, Cremone, Bertelloni, Massimetti, Olivieri et al (2018) and Aisling, Aisling, and David (2016) findings as they indicate that improved resources and psychoeducation will decrease distressing dreams and psychological distress among EMS.

The current study shed the light on the significant association between age and emotional exhaustion status, level of accomplishment and depersonalization of the studied paramedic staff where the high level of exhaustion and high level of accomplishment and low level of depersonalization is prevalent among

the older one. It was observed that around one third of the married have high level of emotional exhaustion and have high level of accomplishment, around one third of the married have high level of depersonalization with a significant association. The majorities of those who have bachelor's degree of education have high level of emotional exhaustion and have moderate level of accomplishment and have low level of depersonalization with asignificant association. Furthermore, the majority of those who have 5 to less than 10 years of experiences have high level of emotional exhaustion and have high level of accomplishment, whereas, more than half of those who have 20 years of experiences and more have low level of depersonalization with a significant difference. It also observed that less than half those who working long day shifts a day have high level of emotional exhaustion with significant а association. Moreover, around half of those who working 48 and 72 working have high level of emotional exhaustion and high level of accomplishment with a significant association. More than two fifths of dissatisfied at their work have high level of emotional exhaustion and have low level of accomplishment, and less than one third of dissatisfied and partially satisfied at their work have high level of depersonalization with a significant association. Finally, it was noticed that around two thirds of those who evaluate their health condition as poor condition have high level of emotional exhaustion and it was noticed that all of those who evaluate his health condition as good have high level of accomplishment. Theses finding may be attributed to lack of experience of those

who young or had bachelor degree because of the fear of risk is high among knowledgeable than other or those who work for long period the level of stress and exhaustion affect on their emotional reaction in advance.

The same findings were reported by Vidotti, Ribeiro, Galdino, and Martins (2018) as they declared that levels of burnout syndrome were significantly higher among those working the day shift and associated factors included high demand; low control; low social support; dissatisfaction with sleep and financial resources. Professionals working the night shift, having low social support, being dissatisfied with sleep, having children, not having a religion, having worked for a short period in the institution. and being nursing а technician or aid were significantly more likely to experience high levels of the syndrome and nearly the same results were reported by Iranmanesh, Tirgari, Additionally, Bardsiri (2013). and according to a study done in Colombia (2013) the professional staff may suffer from depersonalization. It is evident that greater emotional exhaustion occurs within the first 10 years of work, with the increasing link up time the risk decreases. The night work schedule is a risk factor that determines the appearance (Verdugo, of burnout Patricia, Bocanegra, and Migdolia, 2013).

The global impact of post-traumatic stress disorder and burnout syndrome has been profound, and the public health threat due to this is the most serious seen since the significant association between CPTSD and different variables as age, working experiences as well as different lifestyle pattern (Kar, Arafat, Kabir,

Sharma, Saxena, 2020). In this regard, the current study shed the light on the significant association between age and CPTSD total score of the studied paramedic staff where the high level of CPTSD is prevalent among the younger one. Furthermore, around one third of those who have 15 to less than 20 years of experiences have high level of CPTSD with a significant difference. It also observed that less than three quarters of those who working long day shifts have high level of CPTSD. Moreover, more than two fifths of those who working 72 hours have high level of CPTSD with a significant association. Slightly more than a quarter of those who have adequate sleeping hours have high CPTSD with statistically significant association between sleeping hours and CPTSD. One of the surprising findings revealed that all of those who evaluate his health condition as good condition have high level of CPTSD.

Community health nurse have a significant role protecting in the community paramedic staff against PTSDs and BOS when preparing them to fight such situations and help them to be positive and try to isolate themselves from inclusion in drastic situation and train them through proposing also ambulance for training program paramedic staff to prevent or decreases feeling of burnout or stress as occupational hazards, and to maintain their physical and mental health intact. Application of the three levels of prevention model; primary, secondary, and tertiary level are highly important.

Conclusion

The findings of the present study concluded that,

The paramedic staff burnout based on burnout inventory-human Maslach services survey for medical personnel (MBI-HSS) findings indicate that around one third of the studied paramedic staff have high emotional exhaustion level. Whereas, more than two-fifths of them level had a low of personal accomplishment. Around one third have high level of depersonalization.

Furthermore, three quarters of the studied paramedic have mild, minimal or disruption of activities distress followed by moderate, distress clearly present but still manageable, some disruption of activities among less than a quarter of them according to the CPTSD total score based on clinicianadministered post-traumatic stress disorder scale for DSM-5 (CAPS-5) past month version. There are a statistically significant association noted between the PTSD and BOS and different variables as educational level. working age, experiences, marital status, sleeping pattern and work satisfaction level.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of the current study, the following recommendations are suggested:

- Regular screening of paramedic staff involved in emergency care should be done for evaluating stress level and burnout symptoms by using multidisciplinary psychiatry teams.
- Proper management must be held at early stage of symptoms of BOS and PTSD.
- Develop policy that supports the human resources availability to

decrease the workload among the paramedic staff.

- Establish a PTSD and BOS high risk centers in order to deal with crisis effectively.
- Form a rehabilitation hotline in order to help community paramedic staff to deal with any BOS or PTSD properly.
- Develop a special guideline to describe essential interventions to promote mental well-being in community paramedic staff.
- Inservice stress management training especially for invoice community paramedic staff must be executive to raise their awareness regarding the possibility of PTSD and BOS and how to deal with.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their appreciation to the studied paramedic staff who devote their time to participate in this research.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declared that they have no conflict of interest.

Author Contribution

All three authors were part of the initial design of the research. They shared in collected and analyzed the data, wrote and edited the final version of the text of the manuscript and formatted it and submitted it for publication.

References

Aisling M, Aisling D, David C. (2016). An Assessment of Psychological Need in Emergency Medical Staff in the Northern Health and Social Care Trust Area. Ulster Med J; 85(2): 92-98.

- Auvinen T, Lisitsyn D. (2017). Study of Paramedic Staff Safety Comparing Greater Manchester and Finland. Bachelor's Thesis, Saimaa University of Applied Sciences Health Care and Social Services, Lappeenranta. Retrieved in May 2019. Available at: http://urn.fi/URN:NBN:fi:amk-2017120519953
- Bai Y, Lin CC, Lin CY, Chen JY, Chue CM, Chou P. (2004). Survey of stress reactions among health care workers involved with the SARS outbreak. Psychiatr Serv. 2004; 55(9): 1055-1057.
- Belotto MJ. (2017). Emergency Medical Service Career Longevity: Impact of Alignment Between Preemployment Expectations and Postemployment Perceptions. Dissertation, Walden University. Retrieved in May 2019. Available at: https://scholarworks. waldenu.edu/dissertations/3281/
- Blacker Natalie, Pearson L, Walker T. (2009).Redesigning paramedic models of care to meet rural and remote community needs. The 10th NATIONAL RURAL HEALTH CONFERENCE, Victoria. Retrieved Available May 2020. on at: https://www.researchgate.net/publica tion/239533927_Redesigning_param edic_models_of_care_to_meet_rural _and_remote_community_needs
- **Blau G. (2011).** Exploring the impact of sleep-related impairments on the perceived general health and retention intent of an Emergency Medical Services (EMS) sample.

Career Development International, Vol. 16 No. 3, pp. 238-253.

- Carmassi C, Gesi C, Corsi M, Cremone IM, Bertelloni CA, Massimetti E, Olivieri MC, ... Dell'Osso, L. (2018). Exploring PTSD in emergency operators of a major University Hospital in Italy: a preliminary report on the role of gender, age, and education. Annals of general psychiatry, 17, 17.
- Christine B, Emilie D, Martine F. (2019). Maslach Burnout Inventory -General Survey: French validation in a representative sample of employees. SCIREA Journal of Health Volume 3, Issue 2, April 2019.
- Chun Ma I, Hung Chang W, Wu C, Lin C. (2020). Risks of posttraumatic stress disorder among emergency medical technicians who responded to the 2016 Taiwan earthquake. Journal of the Formosan Medical Association, Volume 119, Issue 9,2020, Pages 1360-1371. doi.org/10.1016/j.jfma.2019.11.021.
- Cicchitti C, Cannizzaro G, Rosi F, Maccaroni R, Menditto VG (2014). Burnout syndrome in pre-hospital and hospital emergency. Cognitive study in two cohorts of nurses. Recenti progressi in medicina, 105(7-8), 275-280.
- Drewitz-Chesney C. (2012). Posttraumatic Stress Disorder among Paramedics: Exploring a New Solution with Occupational Health Nurses Using the Ottawa Charter as a Framework. Workplace Health & Safety, Vol 60, Issue 6, 2012.

doi.org/10.1177/2165079912060006 05

- Dubale BW, Friedman LE, Chemali Z, Denninger JW, Mehta DH, Alem A, Fricchione GL, ... and Gelaye B. (2019). Systematic review of burnout among healthcare providers in sub-Saharan Africa. BMC Public Health; 19: 1247.
- **Duffy E, Avalos G, Dowling M. (2015).** Secondary Traumatic Stress Among Emergency Nurses: A Cross-Sectional Study. Int Emerg Nurs; 23(2): 53-8.
- **Emergency Service Health Team.** (2020). Dealing with trauma as a paramedic. Retrieved on June 2020. Available at: https://eshealth.com.au/kitbag/dealin g-trauma-paramedic.
- Holdren P, Paul III DP, Coustasse A. (2015). BURNOUT SYNDROME IN HOSPITAL NURSES. Paper presented at BHAAInternational2015 in Chicago, IL. Retrieved on May 2020. Available at: https://mds. marshall.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?ref erer=&httpsredir=1&article=1141&c ontext=mgmt_faculty.
- Iranmanesh S, Tirgari B, Bardsiri HS. (2013). Post-traumatic stress disorder among paramedic and hospital emergency personnel in south-east Iran. World J Emerg Med; 4(1): 26-31.
- Kar SK, Arafat Y, Kabir R, Sharma P, Saxena SK. (2020). Coping with Mental Health Challenges During COVID-19: Nature public health emergency collection. Retrieved on 4th of July 2020. Available at:

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/ar ticles/PMC7189395/pdf/978-981-15-4814-7_Chapter_16.pdf.

- Khashaba EO, El-Sherif MA, Ibrahim AA, and Neatmatallah MA. (2014). Work-Related Psychosocial Hazards Among Emergency Medical Responders (EMRs) in Mansoura City. Indian J Community Med; 39(2): 103-110.
- Lai J, Ma S, Wang Y, Cai Z, Hu J, Wei N, Wu J, ... Hu S. (2020). Factors Associated With Mental Health Outcomes Among Health Care Workers Exposed to Coronavirus Disease 2019. JAMA network open, 3(3), e203976.
- Lee AM, Wong JG, McAlonan GM, Cheung V, Cheung C, Sham PC, Chu CM, ... Chua SE. (2007). Stress and psychological distress among SARS survivors 1 year after the outbreak. Canadian journal of psychiatry. Revue canadienne de psychiatrie, 52(4), 233-240.
- Lheureux F, Borteyrou X. (2017). The Maslach Burnout Inventory – Human Services Survey (MBI-HSS): Factor structure, wording effect and psychometric qualities of known problematic items. Le Travail Humain, 80(2), 29-54.
- Maslach C, Jackson SE, Leiter M. (1996). Maslach Burnout Inventory. Manual (3rd ed.). Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologist Press.
- Maslach C, Jackson SE. (1981). Maslach Burnout Inventory. Research edition. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologist Press.

- Maslach C, Schaufeli WB, Leiter MP. (2001). Job burnout. Annual Review of Psychology, 52, 397-422.
- Maunder R, Hunter J, Vincent L, J, Peladeau Bennett N, Leszcz M, Sadavov J. Mazzulli T. (2003). The immediate psychological and occupational impact of the 2003 SARS outbreak in a teaching hospital. CMAJ; 168(10): 1245-1251.
- Mealer M, Burnham EL, Goode CJ, Rothbaum B, Moss M. (2009). The prevalence and impact of posttraumatic stress disorder andburnout syndrome in nurses. Depress Anxiety; 26(12): 1118-1126.
- Nayback AM. (2009). PTSD in the combat veteran: Using Roy's adaptation model to examine the combat veteran as a human adaptive system. Issues Ment Health Nurs; 30: 304-301.
- Patel RS, Bachu R, Adikey A, Malik M, Shah M. (2018). Factors related to physician burnout and its consequences: a review. Behav Sci. 2018; 8: 98.
- Raynovich W. (2014). Community paramedicine: the evidence. Reforming States Group Pre-Conference, November 13, 2014. Retrieved on May 2019. Available at: https://www.milbank.org/wpcontent/ uploads/2016/04/community_parame dicine__the_evidence.pdf.
- **Reforming States Group. (2014).** How Community Health Workers and Community Paramedicine Improve Population Health. *The Milbank Quarterly* Journal, November 2014.

Retrieved on June 2020. Available at: https://www.milbank.org/news/com munity-health-workers-communityparamedicine-improve-populationhealth/.

- Shah K, Chaudhari G, Kamrai D, Lail A, Patel RS. (2020). How Essential Is to Focus on Physician's Health and Burnout in Coronavirus (COVID-19) Pandemic?. Cureus 12(4): e7538.
- Shah K, Kamrai D, Mekala H, Mann B, Desai K, Patel RS. (2020). Focus on mental health during the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic: applying learnings from the past outbreaks. Cureus; 12:0.
- Spoorthy MS, Pratapa SK, Mahant S. (2020). Mental health problems faced by healthcare workers due to the COVID-19 pandemic–A review. Asian J Psychiatr. 2020 Jun; 51: 102119.
- Verdugo Á, Patricia L, Bocanegra P, Migdolia B. (2013). Prevalence of Burnout syndrome in nursing staff of a third level hospital Boyacá, Colombia. Enfermería Global No 29 Enero 2013.
- Vidotti V, Ribeiro RP, Galdino MJQ, Martins JT. (2018). Burnout Syndrome and shift work among the nursing staff. Rev. Latino-Am. Enfermagem Journal vol. 26:e3022. DOI: 10.1590/1518-8345.2550.3022

- Viswanathan K, Wizemann T, and Altevogt BM. (2011). Preparedness and Response to a Rural Mass Casualty Incident. THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES PRESS: Washington, DC.
- Weathers FW, Bovin MJ, Lee DJ, Sloan DM, Schnurr PP, Kaloupek DG., . . . & Marx BP. (2018). The Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale for DSM-5 (CAPS-5): Development and initial psychometric evaluation in military Veterans. *Psychological Assessment, 30*, 383-395. doi: 10.1037/ pas0000486
- Wingrove G. (2014). Community Paramedics: Here, There, (and soon) Everywhere. retrieved on June 2020. Available at: https://www.milbank.org/wpcontent/uploads/2016/04/community _paramedics_here_there_and_soon _everywhere_.pdf.
- World Health Organization. (2011). Coherent training for community health workers and paramedics in rural Bangladesh. Retrieved on January 2020. Available at: https://www.who.int/workforceallian ce/forum/2011/hrhawardscs1/en/.
- Zavadsky M. (2014). Community Integrated Paramedicine: Mobile Healthcare Report. Retrieved on May 2019. Available at: https:// www. wpcontent/ milbank. org/ uploads/2016/ 04/community_ paramedicineintegrated mobile healthcare.pdf