Evaluation of Obstructive Sleep Apnea in Metabolic Syndrome

Medhat Fahmy Negm¹, Mohammad Hussein Kamel¹,

Walaa Mohammad Ebrahim², Zeinab Gaber Nor-Eldein^{*1}, Shaimaa Magdy AboYoussef¹

Departments of ¹Chest Disease and ²Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Benha University, Egypt

*Corresponding author: Zeinab Gaber Nooreldein, Mobile: (+20) 01033389227, E-Mail: zeinab.gaber.nooreldein@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: There is bidirectional relationship between obstructive sleep apnea and metabolic disease. Sleep apnea results in intermittent hypoxia and sleep fragmentation, which lead to and exacerbate obesity and type 2 diabetes by increasing sympathetic activity, oxidative stress, inflammation and lipolysis. Moreover, metabolic disease can lead to or exacerbate sleep apnea through weight-dependent and physiology-dependent mechanisms.

Objective: The study aimed to explore the association between metabolic syndrome and obstructive sleep apnea (OSA). **Patients and Methods:** This study was conducted at Sleep Unit of Chest Department Benha University Hospitals. This study enrolled 100 patients that were divided into two groups: Group A, which included 80 patients with metabolic syndrome as cases and group B that included 20 patients without metabolic syndrome as control group. All patients included in this study were subjected to lipid profile, HbA1c, overnight polysomnography (PSG).

Results: There was highly statistically significant positive correlation between apnea hypopnea index (AHI) and waist circumference, TG, HbA₁C, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure and sum all night desaturation. There was highly statistically significant negative correlation between AHI and HDL and minimal SPO₂. TG, HDL, Hb A1C and systolic and diastolic blood pressure were significant predictors for increase AHI and increase OSA severity.

Conclusion: OSA was highly prevalent in patients with metabolic syndrome, which is associated with increasing severity of OSA. Also, it is associated with poorer control of diabetes, hypertension, and dyslipidemia, which are all components of metabolic syndrome.

Keywords: Obstructive sleep apnea, Metabolic syndrome, High density lipoprotein-cholesterol, Triglyceride.

INTRODUCTION

The most common type of sleep-disordered breathing (SDB) is obstructive sleep apnea (OSA)⁽¹⁾. OSA is characterized by recurrent collapse of the upper airway during sleep leading to oxygen desaturation with consecutive arousals from sleep ⁽²⁾. The pattern of desaturation and re-oxygenation results in intermittent hypoxia, which is the main reason for metabolic dysfunction in SDB and is associated with the components of metabolic syndrome, which means hypertension, visceral obesity, pathological glucose tolerance, and dyslipidemias ⁽³⁾. The pathological mechanisms of SDB that cause hypertension include baroreflex impairment as well as hypoxia-induced activation of chemoreflex sensors, which increase both sympathetic tone and peripheral vascular the resistance⁽⁴⁾.

Endothelial dysfunction due to hypoxia and oxidative stress also contribute to cardiovascular disease and hypertension ⁽⁵⁾. Obesity is strongly associated with OSA in a bidirectional manner: visceral obesity is a risk factor of OSA ⁽⁶⁾ and the accumulation of visceral fat reduces lung volume and thoracic compliance, thus causing more inspiratory effort that leads to pharyngeal occlusion ⁽⁷⁾.

At the same time, OSA leads to weight gain mostly due to endocrine dysregulation and physical inactivity because of daytime sleepiness ⁽⁸⁾. Endocrine alterations and fragmentation of sleep are also involved in impaired glucose metabolism, leading to pathological glucose tolerance and insulin resistance ⁽⁹⁾. Thus, SDB increases the risk of developing type 2 DM⁽¹⁰⁾. Dyslipidaemia, which is defined as an increase in triglyceride and a decrease in HDL levels, also contributes to intermittent hypoxia ⁽¹¹⁾. Treatment of SDB includes weight loss as well as therapy with continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP), which lowers blood pressure and improves glucose metabolism as well as the lipid profile ⁽¹²⁾.

The aim of the present study was to explore the association between metabolic syndrome and OSA.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This study was conducted at Sleep Unit of Chest Department Benha University Hospitals in the period from 9/2020 to 1/2022. The study enrolled 100 patients that were divided into two groups: **Group A** that included 80 patients with metabolic syndrome as cases, and **Group B**, which comprised 20 patients without metabolic syndrome as control group.

Inclusion criteria:

- 1. For cases, patients of any gender above 30 and below 60 years of age.
- Assessment of metabolic syndrome. According to the IDF criteria, metabolic syndrome is diagnosed in a patient having ⁽¹³⁾: (I) Central obesity (waist circumference [WC] ≥ 90 cm in males and ≥ 80 cm in females), and (II) with any two of the following: (a) Serum triglycerides ≥ 150 mg/dL (1.7 mmol/L), or specific treatment for lipid abnormality. (b) Serum

HDL cholesterol (HDL-c) < 40 mg/dL (1)

mmol/L) in males and < 50 mg/dL (1.3 mmol/L) in females, or specific treatment for lipid abnormality. (c) Blood pressure (BP) in supine position (after 10 min rest): systolic BP $\ge 130 \text{ mmHg}$ or diastolic BP \geq 85 mmHg, or on the treatment of previously diagnosed hypertension. (d) Fasting blood sugar (FBS) \geq 100 mg/dL (5.6 mmol/L), or previously diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus ⁽¹³⁾.

3. Subjects in the same age group who did not have metabolic syndrome, were included as controls.

Exclusion criteria

- 1. Patients with chronic respiratory problems.
- 2. Congestive heart failure.
- 3. Cerebrovascular accident within the preceding 30 days.
- 4. Patients on sedatives.
- 5. Patients on antipsychotics.

Methods:

All patients included in this study were subjected to the following:

- History taking and clinical examination.
- STOP-Bang Questionnaire, Epworth Sleepiness Scale, Berlin Questionnaire.
- ESR.
- Liver function tests.
- Kidney function tests.
- Lipid profile.
- HbA1c.
- Overnight Polysomnography (PSG).

Ethical consent:

An approval of the study was obtained from Benha University Academic and Ethical Committee. Every patient signed an informed written consent for acceptance of participation in the study. This work has been carried out in accordance with The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) for studies involving humans.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS version 21 software. The collected data were summarized in terms of mean \pm SD and range for quantitative data and frequency and percentage for qualitative data. Comparisons between the different study groups were carried out using the Chi-square test (χ^2) and the Fisher Exact Test (FET) to compare proportions as appropriate. After the calculation of each of the test statistics, the corresponding distribution tables were consulted to get the "P" (probability value). Statistical significance was accepted at P value ≤ 0.05 . A P ≤ 0.001 was considered highly significant (HS) while a P value > 0.05 was considered non-significant (NS).

RESULTS

The mean BMI was significantly higher in metabolic syndrome group (43.31 ± 9.5) than in control group (32.48 ± 6.81) (p=0.001). The mean age and waist circumference in the metabolic syndrome group were insignificantly higher than that in the control group (P=0.28, P=0.7 respectively). The mean Stop Bang in the metabolic group patients (4.65 ± 1.16) , ranging from 2 to 7 was significantly higher than that in the control group (1.8 \pm 0.89), ranging from 0 to 3 (P=0.001) (**Table 1**).

Variable		Metabolic syndrome group (no.=80)		Non-metabolic syndrome group (no.=20)		Test	Р
		No.	%	No.	%	1	
Sex	Male	35	43.8%	10	50%	χ^2	0.62
	Female	45	56.3%	10	50%	=0.25	
		Mean ±SD	(Range)	Mean ±SE) (Range)		
Age (years)		51.14±9.03 (30 - 60)		48.62±9.88 (30 -60)		t=1.1	0.28
BMI (kg/m ²)		43.31±9.5 (31.6-76.2)		32.48±6.81 (20.5-44.6)		t=4.8	0.001
Waist circumference(cm)		111.39 ± 15.11 (89-143)		109.25 ± 23.16 (80-145)		t=0.4	0.7
STOP-Bang score		$4.65 \pm 1.16(2-7)$		$1.8 \pm 0.89 (0 - 3)$		t=10	0.001

 Table (1): Comparison between the studied groups as regards demographic criteria

Table (2) shows the mean AHI, Hb A₁C and sum of all night desaturation were significantly higher in metabolic syndrome group (33.03 ± 26) , (152.26 ± 15.32) , (6.25 ± 1.24) and (25.71 ± 17.92) than that in the non-metabolic group patients (11.96 ± 9.5) , (113 ± 22.3) , (4.98 ± 1.28) and (10.74 ± 9.7) (p=0.001, 0.001, 0.001 and 0.001) respectively. The mean HDL and Min O₂were significantly lower in metabolic syndrome group (39.3 ± 9.1) and (78.6 ± 11.12) than that in the non-metabolic group patients (63.4 ± 14.9) and (84.35 ± 6.92) (p = 0.001 and p = 0.006) respectively. Regarding OSA severity, there was highly statically significant difference between metabolic and non-metabolic groups where majority of metabolic group patients reported severe degree of OSA (46.3%) compared with 5% in the non-metabolic group (p=0.003).

Variable		Metabolic syndrome group (no.=80)	Non-metabolic syndrome group (no.=20)	Total (N=100)	Test	Р
		Mean ±SD	Mean ±SD	Mean ±SD		
AHI (ever	nt/hour)	33.03 ± 26	11.96 ± 9.5	28.8 ± 25.1	Z=3.3	0.001
TG(mg/dl	L)	152.26 ± 15.32	113 ± 22.3	144.4 ± 23.1	t=7.4	0.001
HDL (mg	/dL)	39.3 ± 5.1	63.4 ± 4.9	44.1 ± 4.2	t=6.9	0.001
Hb A ₁ C		6.25 ± 1.24	4.98 ± 1.28	5.99 ± 1.34	t=4.1	0.001
Minimal s	spo2 during	78.6 ± 11.12	84.35 ± 6.92	79.8 ± 10.6	t=2.9	0.006
sleep						
Sum of all	l night	25.71 ± 3.92	10.74 ± 2.7	22.7 ± 5.6	t=5.1	0.001
Spore		275 27 + 6 32	241.0 + 57.16	268 6 + 54 32	7-0.8	0.44
Basa SDO	(bofore clean)	273.27 ± 0.32 03 53 + 3 50	241.9 ± 37.10 94.26 ± 2.56	208.0 ± 34.32 03 7 + 3 1	L = 0.8	0.44
BID syste	2(before sleep)	93.33 ± 3.39 138 25 ± 13 34	94.20 ± 2.30	33.7 ± 3.4	t=0.04	0.4
Bl P_diast	ole(mmHg)	138.23 ± 13.34 85 28 + 9	133 ± 17.33 84 ± 8.21	137.0 ± 14.2 85 ± 8.8	t=0.77	0.43
OSA	Normal (no.	8 (10%)	6 (30%)	14 (14%)	$\chi^2 = 13.7$	0.003
severity	%) Mild (no. %)	18 (22.5%)	8 (40%)	26 (26%)		
	Moderate (no, %)	17 (21.2%)	5 (25%)	22 (22%)		
	Severe (no. %)	37 (46.3%)	1 (5%)	38 (38%)		
Central	-ve (no., %)	78 (97.5%)	20 (100%)	98 (98%)	$\chi^2 = 0.5$	0.47
event	+ve (no., %)	2 (2.5%)	0 (0%)	2 (2%)		

Table (2). Compa	rison between the studie	f aroune se r	egard clinical	nolvemnography	and laboratory	finding	(N-100)
Table (2). Compa	rison between the studie	i groups as i	egara ennicai,	poryshinography	and faboratory	munig	(11 - 100)

Table (3) shows descriptive characteristics of the study population, stratified according to AHI quartiles. Subjects with moderate and severe OSA were more likely to be older age, male, more obese and to have co-morbidities including dyslipidaemia (higher TG, lower HDL), uncontrolled DM (higher HA₁C) and higher blood pressure. Also, they were more likely to have higher sum desaturation all night. In contrast, they were more likely to have lower min. O_2 and base SPO₂.

Table (3): Patient characteristics according to sleep apnea severity

Variable		AHI q	uartiles		Test	Р
	Normal	Mild	Moderate	Severe		
	0-5	5.1 – 14.9	15 – 29.9	\geq 30		
	(n=14)	(n=26)	(n=22)	(n=38)		
Age (years)	44.9±8.8†\$	45.3±10.4†\$	55.4±5.3	53.7±7.4	F=10.3	0.001
Sex (male)	4 (28.6%)	9 (34.6%)	11 (50%)	21 (55.3%)	χ ² =4.5	0.21
BMI (kg/m ²)	36.5±10.1	39.3±9.5	42.7±12.3	43.2±8.2	F=2	0.11
TG(mg/dL)	128.7±19.9	133.4±27.9	144.6±22.3	157.6±10.9*#	F=10.7	0.001
HDL (mg/dL)	53.1±12.5	50.5±6.3	45.9±4.4	35.4±7*#†	F=11.1	0.001
Hb A ₁ C	5.1±1.4	5.7±1.1	5.3±0.99	6.9±1.15*#†	F=14.4	0.001
$\operatorname{Min} O_2(\%)$	86.2±8.6	84.7±6.6	81.1±8	73.2±11.5*#†	F=11	0.001
SUM of all night	4.4±0.9	10.1±4.9	19.5±8.8*#\$	40.4±4*#†	F=66.9	0.001
desaturation (%)						
Snore	213.1±236.4	281.7±189.4	249.4±208.3	291.1±249.5	F=0.5	0.69
Base SPO ₂	94.6±3.5	95.2±2.2†	91.9±4.2	93.2±3.2	F=4.4	0.006
BL.p- systole (mm Hg)	130±16.2†	132.3±16	142.5±11.3	141.2±11.7	F=4.7	0.004
Bl.p-diastole (mmHg)	80±10.4	83.9±8	85.9±8	87.1±8.7	F=2.6	0.059

* Significant differences compared with normal group.

\$ Significant differences compared with severe group.

Significant differences compared with moderate group.
 # Significant differences compared with mild group.

Table (4) shows that there was highly statistically significant positive correlation between AHI index and TG, Hb A₁C, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure and sum desaturation all night) (r=-0.419, p = 0.001) (r=-0.502, p = 0.001) (r=-0.306, p = 0.006) (r=-0.264, p = 0.018)and (r=-0.873, p = 0.001) respectively. There was highly statistically significant negative correlation between AHI index and HDL and min O₂ (r=-0.469, p=0.001) and (r=-0.554, p=0.001).

Table (4): Correlation between AHI and sociodemographic, clinical and laboratory variables among metabolic syndrome patients (n=80)

AHI	Pearson's	Р
Variables	Correlation coefficient (r)	value
Age (years)	0.22	0.051
BMI (kg/m ²)	0.073	0.517
TG(mg/dL)	0.419	0.001
HDL (mg/dL)	-0.469	0.001
HbA ₁ c	0.502	0.001
$\operatorname{Min} O_2(\%)$	-0.554	0.001
SUM of all night desaturation (%)	0.873	0.001
Snore	0.084	0.458
Base SPO ₂	-0.74	0.515
Bl.P- systole	0.306	0.006
(mmHg)		
Bl.P-diastole (mmHg)	0.264	0.018

ROC curve analysis of TG and HDL levels. Regarding TG, it is a valuable predictor of occurrence of increasing OSA severity. Area under curve (AUC) was determined as = 0.784, at a cut-off value of 141.5 mg/dL, and with a sensitivity of 89.5% and specificity of 61.3% (**Table 5**).

 Table (5): Receiver operating characteristic curve for cut off value of TG and HDL level to diagnose sever OSA

	TG (mg/dl)	HDL (mg/dl)
Cut off	141.5	32.5
Sensitivity (%)	89.5%	63.2%
Specificity (%)	61.3%	11.3%
AUC*	0.784 (95%) CI = 0.695- 0.873)	0.183 (95% CI = 0.099 - 0.266)
P value	0.001	0.001

DISCUSSION

This study explored the association between metabolic syndrome and OSA. So far, various studies

have focused on determining the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in OSA patients and showing that metabolic syndrome is more prevalent in such patients than in the general population. This study, on the other hand attempted to examine the reverse association that is to determine the prevalence of OSA in patients with metabolic syndrome.

This study was conducted on 100 patients, 80% of them were metabolic syndrome patients and 20% were non-metabolic syndrome group and considered as control group. Fifty-five percent of participants were females and 45% were males. The mean age of studied participants was 50.63 ± 9.21 ranged from 30 years to 60 years? These results are in agreement with **Barreiro** *et al.* ⁽¹⁴⁾ who studied148 subjects; 104 (73%) were male and 37 (27%) women. The mean age was 54 ± 11 years.

The current study showed that the mean age and waist circumference in the metabolic group patients were insignificantly higher than that in the control group (P=0.28, P=0.7 respectively). The mean Stop-Bang in the metabolic group patients (4.65 ± 1.16), ranging from 2 to 7 was significantly higher than that in the control group (1.8 ± 0.89), ranging from 0 to 3 (P=0.001). According to **Shayestefar** *et al.* ⁽¹⁵⁾ based on the findings of the Stop-Bang questionnaire, a low risk of OSA was found in 712 drivers (75.1%) and a high risk of OSA was seen in 236 drivers (24.9%). Moreover, based on the definitions of ATP and IDF, the prevalence of Met S was found to be 392 (41.4%) and 497 (52.4%), respectively.

The current study, showed that the mean AHI, HbA₁c and sum of all night desaturation were significantly higher in metabolic syndrome. The mean HDL and minimal SPO₂ were significantly lower in metabolic syndrome group than that in the nonmetabolic group patients. Regarding OSA severity, there was highly statistically significant difference between metabolic and non-metabolic groups where majority of metabolic group patients reported severe degree of OSA (46.3%) compared to 5% in the nonmetabolic group (p=0.003). In accordance this result, Chaudharya et al. (16) found that mean AHI was 62.67 \pm 35.22. Mild, moderate and severe category of OSA constituted 7.3%, 15.3% and 77.4% respectively. MS was found in 72.7% (365 out of 502) individuals with OSA. MS was found in 75.8%, 68.4 and 48.7% in severe, moderate and mild OSA patients respectively (p < 0.001). Females OSA patients had significantly high percentage (88.27%) of metabolic syndrome compared to males OSA patients (66.38%) (P< 0.001).

The current study showed descriptive characteristics of the study population, stratified according to AHI quartiles. Subjects with moderate and severe OSA were more likely to be older age, male, more obese and to have co-morbidities including dyslipidaemia (higher TG, lower HDL), uncontrolled DM (higher HbA₁c) and higher blood pressure. Also, they were more likely to have higher sum desaturation all night. In contrast, they were more likely to have lower minimal SPO₂ and base SPO₂. Also, study by Kim et al. (13) showed that increase in waist circumference (Hazard ratio 1.99; 95% confidence interval 1.90-2.08) and TG level (HR: 1.32; 95% CI 1.28-1.37) were associated with a marked increase in the risk of OSAS. For the waist circumference component, there was a remarkable difference between men (HR: 2.00 [1.90, 2.10]) and women (HR: 1.68 [1.52, 1.86]). Among those in whom the criterion for Met S (i.e., presence of \geq 3 of the 5 Met S components) was not met, there was a strong association of OSAS with waist circumference and TG level (HR: 2.22; 95% CI 2.10-2.34). Among patients with three Met S components, the three components associated with the highest risk of OSAS were large waist circumference, high TG level, and low HDL-C level (HR: 2.68; 95% CI 2.52-2.85). Among patients with four Met S components, the risk of OSAS was highest in those with the above combination plus hypertension (HR: 2.82; 95% CI 2.70–2.95). The OSAS group participants were more likely to have hypertension and dyslipidemia, and had a higher BMI, larger waist circumference, and higher levels of total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) and TG. However, the non-OSAS group patients were more likely to have DM, and also had a higher HDL-C level. The OSAS group participants were younger, more likely to be male compared to the non-OSAS group. The OSAS group showed higher BMI, hypertension, dyslipidemia, higher total cholesterol, higher triglyceride, higher waist circumference, higher LDL cholesterol, and lower HDL cholesterol, indicating association with Met S.

The current study, showed that there was highly statistically significant positive correlation between AHI and waist circumference, TG, HbA₁c, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure and sum desaturation all night. There was highly statistically significant negative correlation between AHI and HDL and minimal SPO₂. The Sleep Heart Health Study reported a significant correlation between OSA severity and TC concentration in younger males and HDL-C and TG concentrations in women ⁽¹⁷⁾.

The current study showed that TG, HDL, HbA1c, systolic and diastolic blood pressure were significant predictors for increase AHI and increase OSA severity. Another study revealed that the mean serum TG levels in patients with OSA were significantly higher than in subjects without OSA. Also, as the severity of OSA increased, the mean serum TG levels increased. Similarly, the mean HDL levels in patients with OSA were lower than in subjects without OSA. These levels showed a downward trend with an increase in the severity of OSA, which was statistically significant. **Dubey** *et al.* ⁽¹⁸⁾ also noted similar findings, the mean serum TG levels in patients with mild, moderate, and severe OSA were 172.6, 180.86, and 214.91 mg/dL, respectively ⁽¹⁸⁾. In another study, the mean serum TG in patients with OSA was 170.31 mg/dL, which was significantly higher than in subjects without OSA ⁽¹⁹⁾.

ROC curve analysis of TG and HDL levels. Regarding TG, it is a valuable predictor of occurrence of increasing OSA severity. Area under curve (AUC) was determined as = 0.784, at a cut-off value of 141.5 mg/dL, and with a sensitivity of 89.5% and specificity of 61.3%. According to **Gündüz** *et al.* ⁽²¹⁾ found that TG and LDL concentrations were better predicted by AHI than by oxygen desaturation index. HDL-C was significantly reduced in the highest AHI quartile (mean _ SE (mg/dL): 48.8 _ 1.49 vs 46.50 _ 1.48; P = 0.002, AHI quartile I vs IV). Morbid obesity was associated with high TC and lower HDL-C values.

CONCLUSION

According to the results of this study, there was a bidirectional relationship between obstructive sleep apnea and metabolic disease. OSA was highly prevalent in patients with metabolic syndrome, which is associated with increasing severity of OSA. OSA was associated with poorer control of diabetes, hypertension, and dyslipidemia, which are all components of metabolic syndrome. Screening by questionnaires and sleep study are recommended for metabolic syndrome patients to assess sleep quality and presence of any sleep disordered breathing. Therefore, the need for screening metabolic syndrome patients for OSA has been reinforced by this study.

Conflict of interest: The authors declared no conflict of interest.

Sources of funding: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Author contribution: Authors contributed equally in the study.

REFERENCES

- 1. Seetho W, Wilding J (2014): Sleep-disordered breathing, type diabetes and the metabolic syndrome. Chronic Respiratory Disease, 11: 257–275.
- 2. Punjabi N (2008): The epidemiology of adult obstructive sleep apnea. Proceedings of the American Thoracic Society, 5: 136–143.
- 3. Lévy P, Pépin J, Arnaud C *et al.* (2008): Intermittent hypoxia and sleep-disordered breathing: current concepts and perspectives. European Respiratory Journal, 32: 1082–1095.
- 4. Baguet J, Erdine S, Mallion J (2006): European Society of Hypertension Scientific newsletter: update on hypertension management: hypertension and dysrhythmias. Journal of Hypertension, 24: 409–411.
- 5. Nieto F, Herrington D, Redline S *et al.* (2004): Sleep apnea and markers of vascular endothelial function in a large community sample of older adults. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, 169: 354–360.

- 6. Vgontzas N, Papanicolaou D, Bixler E *et al.* (2000): Sleep apnea and daytime sleepiness and fatigue: relation to visceral obesity, insulin resistance, and hypercytokinemia. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism, 85: 1151–1158.
- 7. Mesarwi O, Sharma E, Jun J *et al.* (2015): Metabolic dysfunction in obstructive sleep apnea: a critical examination of underlying mechanisms. Sleep and Biological Rhythms, 13: 2–17.
- 8. Romero-Corral S, Caples F, Somers V (2010): Interactions between obesity and obstructive sleep apnea: implications for treatment. Chest, 137: 711–719.
- **9.** Stamatakis K, Punjabi N (2010): Effects of sleep fragmentation on glucose metabolism in normal subjects. Chest, 137: 95–101.
- **10.** Tahrani A, Ali A, Stevens M (2013): Obstructive sleep apnoea and diabetes: an update. Current Opinion in Pulmonary Medicine, 19: 631–638.
- **11.** Drager L, Lopes H, Maki-Nunes C *et al.* (2010): The impact of obstructive sleep apnea on metabolic and inflammatory markers in consecutive patients with metabolic syndrome. PLoS One, 5: e12065. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0012065.
- 12. Mayer G, Arzt M, Braumann B *et al.* (2017): German S3 guideline nonrestorative sleep/sleep disorders, chapter "sleep-related breathing disorders in adults," short version. Somnologie, 21 (4): 290–301.
- **13.** Kim D, Kim B, Han K *et al.* (2021): The relationship between metabolic syndrome and obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: a nationwide population based study. Sci Rep., 11: 8751. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-88233-4.

- 14. Barreiro B, Garcia L, Lozano L *et al.* (2013): Obstructive Sleep Apnea and Metabolic Syndrome in Spanish Population. Open Respiratory Medicine Journal, 7: 71-76.
- **15.** Shayestefar M, Haghighi K, Jahanfar S *et al.* (2018): Assessment of the relationship between metabolic syndrome and obstructive sleep apnea in male drivers of Shahroud city in 2018: a cross sectional study. BMC Public Health , 19: 1058-73.
- Chaudhary P, Goyal A, Pakhare A et al. (2022): Metabolic syndrome in non-obese patients with OSA:

learning points of a cross-sectional study from a tertiary care hospital in Central India. Sleep and Breathing, 26: 681–688.

- **17.** Newman A, Nieto F, Guidry U *et al.* (2001): Relation of sleep disordered breathing to cardiovascular disease risk factors: the Sleep Heart Health Study. American Journal of Epidemiology, 154: 50–59.
- **18. Dubey A, Rajput A, Suhag V** *et al.* **(2017):** Prevalence of obstructive sleep apnea in metabolic syndrome. Int J Adv Med., 4: 722-27.
- **19.** Suryakumari V, Vulli V, Rao G *et al.* (2018): Study of prevalence of obstructive sleep apnea in patients with metabolic syndrome. IOSR J Dent Med Sci., 17: 51-55.
- **20.** Gündüz C, Basoglu O, Hedner J *et al.* (2018): Obstructive sleep apnoea independently predicts lipid levels: data from the European Sleep Apnea Database. Respirology, 23: 1180–1189.