September 2001

I.S.S.N: 12084

Prevalence of Renal Tuberculosis in Patients With Chronic Renal Failure Prior to Dialysis, Associated with Constitutional Symptoms

Mona Hosny

General Medicine Department Ain-Shams University

Abstract

20 patients with chronic renal failure, not yet on dialysis, were included in the study. They were all females. They were divided into 2 groups according to etiology of renal failure:

- Group (1): 10 patients with chronic renal failure due to diabetic nephropathy as D.M. is a predispasing factor for TB. Mean age of this group was (54.5 ± 9.1) years.
- Group (2): 10 patients with chronic renal failure due to membrano proliferative glomerulonephritis as it is the most common cause in Egypt for renal failure. Their mean age was (50.9 ± 8.4) years.

These 2 groups were compared with a third group of 10 normal controls.

Constitutional and urogenital symptoms were a guide to choose patients included in the study. For all patients the following was done:

Complete urine analysis, Acid-Fast Bacilli (AFB) in urine; Tuberculin test, chest X-Ray, Plain urinary tract, CT of (Kidneys – ureter – bladder) and PCR of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis in urine using Gen-Probe Amplification test.

We found 4 patients out of 10 (40%) were positive for renal TB in Group (1), 3 patients out of 10 (30%) were positive for renal TB in Group (2), using PCR and other tests mentioned above.

Prevalence rate of renal tuberculosis in group (1) is 40% and 30% in group (2) with a total of 35% in both groups, which cannot be ignored as a high prevalence rate in this study.

We conclude that Diabetes Mellitus is a risk factor for renal Tuberculosis, but also chronic renal insufficiency in itself is another risk factor but to a lesser extent than DM.

There was no significant statistical difference between chronic renal insufficiency due to diabetic nephropathy and chronic renal insufficiency due to membrano proliferative glomerulonephritis as regards renal Tuberculosis which was detected essentially by PCR of TB in urine.

Compared to normal group, there were significant statistical differences in all parameters that were measured, between normal group and the other two groups together and separately.

Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is an ancient disease that had almost been forgotten in developed countries since an effective bactericidal chemotherapy was developed in the 1940s. The prevalence and incidence of the disease showed a dramatic decline during the past several decades in western countries. However,

Refree: Prof; Dr. Badowi Labib.

over the last few years, there has been a rising trend in the incidence of T.B. all over the world. (Kang-Ju. et al., 2001).

Patients with chronic renal failure have an increased incidence of tuberculosis (T.B.) compared to those with normal renal function, which may be due to a decrease in cellular immunity. Previous studies have found T.B. to be predominantly extrapulmonary in chronic renal failure patients (Simon et al., 2001).

The genitourinary system is the most common site of extra – pulmonary tuberculosis. Renal tuberculosis almost always results from an initial pulmonary infection (Kawashima et al., 1997).

One of the main obstacles to the effective control of tuberculosis is the long time taken from laboratory diagnosis by culture. On the other hand, acid-fast microscopy, although rapid enough to provide a result within 24 hrs, lacks sensitivity and is unable to distinguish tubercle bacilli from other mycobacteria (Wang et al., 1999).

Several PCR tests have now been developed for the detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MTB) in clinical specimens (Bonington et al., 2000).

The lower limit of detection reported by PCR varies between 1 and 100 bacilli (Bennedson J. et al., 1996). PCR has proven useful for the direct diagnosis of TB by allowing use of diverse genetic elements as the target templates (GAMBOA et al., 1997).

Patients And Methods

20 patients with chronic renal failure prior to dialysis were included in the study. They were chosen from Nephrology Department, Ain-Shams University. They were divided into two groups according to the etiology of

chronic renal failure – Group 1 included 10 patients with chronic renal failure due to diabetic nephropathy and group 2 included 10 patients with chronic renal failure insufficiency due to membrano-proliferative glomerulonephritis. A third group of ten healthy controls was included in the study.

So we have 3 groups in the study:

- **Group 1:** 10 patients with diabetic nephropathy and chronic renal failure prior to dialysis.
- Group 2: 10 patients with membrano-proliferative glomerulonep hritis and chronic renal failure prior to dialysis.
- **Group 3:** 10 healthy normal controls.

Patients of Group 1 were included in a group by itself because Diabetes mellitus constitutes a risk factor for T.B.

Patients of Group 2 were chosen from those having chronic renal failure due to membranoproliferative glomeru-lonephritis as it constitutes one of the common causes of renal failure.

For all patients and controls, the following was done:

1 – Full medical history and clinical examination including the following:-

- age
- sex
- duration of renal failure :
- Bladder Symptoms including :

F Frequency
N Nocturia
D Dysuria

(These constitute bladder inflammatory symptoms).

- Renal Colic or loin pain
- Constitutional symptoms including :

 $W \rightarrow Weight Loss \quad A \rightarrow Anorexia$ $F \rightarrow Fever \quad M \rightarrow Malaise$

 $N \rightarrow Night sweats$

2 – Complete urine analysis including

White blood cells \rightarrow WBCs

M Moth – eaten appearance of minor calyces (early sign)

 $D \rightarrow$ dilatation of pelvicaly - ceal system (more advanced sign).

Other signs such as cavities and bladder wall thickening were not present in our study.

7 – Acid – Fast Bacilli (AFB) in urine.

8 – Tuberculin Test.

9 – Polymerized Chain Reaction (PCR) for Tuberculosis in urine.

Methods

Complete urine analysis, BUN and creatinine were done by conventional methods in Ain-Shams University Hospital laboratories.

- Chest X-ray and Plain urinary Tract.
 X-ray were done in Radiology
 Department, Ain-Shams University.
- Computerized Axial Tomography was done in CT center, Ain-Shams University.
- Bacteriological examination for Acid-Fast Bacilli in urine was done by collecting three early morning

urinary specimens on three suecessive days. Urine samples were centrifuged to obtain deposits. Acid-Fast Bacilli were detected by examination of urine deposits stained by Ziehl-Nelsen stain.

• Tuberculin test of the skin (Purified Protein Derivative [P.P.D.] Skin Testing).

1CC of Purified Protein Derivative of T.B. was injected intradermally in the skin and the wheal formed by the reaction was measured after 24 hours. If the wheal diameter was more than 10 mm, it is positive. If more than that, it is strongly positive.

+ positive + + strongly positive

 Polymerized Chain Reaction (PCR) for Tuberculosis in urine was done by the Amplified Mycobacterium Direct Test (AMTDT). (Gen – Probe Inc., San-Diago, Calif.).

AMTDT is based on the isothermal amplification of target rRNA by DNA Intermediates followed by chemiluminiscent detection of amplicons with an Acridinium esterlabelled DNA probe. The AMTDT is highly sensitive and specific for detecting M. Tuberculosis. The sensitivity of the modified AMTDT using 500 μ L of sediment was 95% & the specifity was 100%.

Method Details:

Urine samples were taken in early morning and stored at 4°C prior to processing. Urine specimens were centrifuged at 3.600 x g for 20 mn. The samples were digested and decontaminated with sodium dodecyl (Lauryl) sulfate (SDS) – NaOH.

The sediment obtained was washed with 30 ml. of distilled water and centrifuged (3.300 x g. for 20 min) and the

supernatant was removed. For all specimens, half of sediment was stored at -80° C for the Target – Amplified Test and the other half was used for Acid-Fast staining.

The AMTDT was performed with $500\mu L$ of pretreated (SDS-NaOH) specimen according to manufacturer's package insert. Each run-included positive and negative Amplification controls and positive and negative hybridization controls. A positive cutoff of 30.000 relative light units was used. All specimen were retested with $500~\mu L$ of the same decontaminant sample.

Results

Results were included in 9 tables:

- Table (1): statistical comparison of group (1) and group (2) as regards history and symptoms.
- Table (2): statistical comparison of group (1) and group (2) as regards laboratory results.

- Table (3): statistical comparison of group (1) and group (2) as regards radiological findings.
- **Table (4):** statistical comparison of group (1) and group (3) as regards history and laboratory results.
- **Table (5):** statistical comparison of group (1) and group (3) as regards radiological findings.
- **Table (6):** statistical comparison of group (2) and group (3) as regards history and laboratory results.
- Table (7): statistical comparison of group (2) and group (3) as regards radiological findings.
- Table (8): statistical comparison of laboratory results and radiological findings of PCR +ve patients with renal insufficiency in both groups (1) and (2) and group 3.
- Table (9): statistical comparison of laboratory results and radiological findings of PCR +ve patients with renal insufficiency in group (1) and (2).

Table(1): Statistical comparison of Group (1) and Group (2) as regards history s and symptoms.

	Group (1)	Group (2)	P-value
Age (Means <u>+</u> SD)	54.5 + 9.1	50.9 + 8.4	N.S.
In years	34.3 <u>+</u> 9.1	30.9 ± 0.4	11.5.
Sex (%)	100 % O+	100 % O+	N.S.
Duration of renal failure in	59.20	64 + 27	N.S.
years (mean <u>+</u> SD)	5.8 <u>+</u> 3.0	6.4 <u>+</u> 3.7	N.S.
Constitutional			
Symptoms			
Fever (%)	80 %	100 %	N. S.
Night Sweats (%)	30 %	20 %	N. S.
Anorexia (%)	90 %	100 %	N. S.
Bladder Symptoms			
Frequency (%)	90%	40 %	P < .005
Nocturia (%)	80%	30 %	P < .005
Dlysuria (%)	40%	40 %	N.S.
Renal Colic (%)	50%	10%	N.S.

Table (2): Statistical comparison of group (1) and group (2) as regards laboratory results

	Group (1)	Group (2)	P-Value
Urine Analysis			
Proteinuria (%)	100%	100%	N.S.
W B Cs (%)	100%	100%	N.S.
R B Cs (%)	50%	80%	P < .005
BUN (Means + SD)	121.7 <u>+</u> 34.3	110.3 <u>+</u> 42.8	N. S.
In mg/dl			
Creatinine (mean + SD)in	7.5 <u>+</u> 2.0	5.3 <u>+</u> 2.6	P < .05
mg/dl			
AFB in urine (%)	20 %	10 %	P < .005
Tuberculin Test (%)	10 %	10 %	N. S.
PCR Tuberculosis			
In urine (%)	40 %	30 %	P < .05

Table (3): Statistical comparison of group (1) and group (2) as regards Radiological imaging.

	Group (1)	Group (2)	P-Value
Chest X-Ray (%)	0 %	0 %	N. S
Plain X-Ray of			
Urinary Tract			
Calcification %	10%	0%	P < .005
CT of Kidneys:			
Moth eaten			
appearance (%)	30 %	30 %	N. S.
Dilatation (%)	10 %	20 %	P < .005

Table (4): Statistical comparison of group (1) and group (3) as regards history and laboratory results

	Group (1)	Group (3)	P-Value
Age (meant <u>+</u> SD)	54.5 + 9.1	36.2 + 13.1	P < .0001
In years	34.3 <u>+</u> 9.1	30.2 <u>+</u> 13.1	P < .0001
Sex (%)	100% O+	100% O+	N.S.
Creatinine (mean <u>+</u> SD)	7.5 <u>+</u> 2.0	.71 <u>+</u> .39	P < .0001
Urine analysis			
Proteinuria (%)	100%		P < .0001
WBCs (%)	100%		P < .0001
RBCs (%)	50 %		P < .0001
AFB in urine			
(% of positive cases)	20 %		P < .005
Tuberculin Test			
(% of positive cases)	10 %		P.005
PCR Tuberculosis			
In urine			
(% of positive cases)	40 %		P < .0001

Mona Hosny

Table (5): Statistical comparison of Group (1) and group (3) as regards radiological findings.

	Group (1)	Group (3)	P-Value
Chest X-Ray	0 %	0 %	N. S.
(% of positive cases)	0 /0	0 70	14. 5.
Plain X-ray of			
urinary tract	10 %		P < .005
Calcification (%)			
CT of Kidney			
Motheaten			
Appearance (%)	30 %		P < .0005
Dilation (%)	10 %		P < .0001

Table (6): Statistical comparison of group (2) and Group (3) as regards history and laboratory results

	Group (3)	Group (3)	P-Value
Age (meant \pm SD)	50.9 + 8.4	36.2 + 13.1	P < .0001
In years	30.7 <u>1</u> 0.4	30.2 <u>1</u> 13.1	1 \.0001
Sex (%)	100% O+	100% O+	N.S.
Creatinine (mean <u>+</u> SD)	5.3 + 2.6	.71 + .39	P < .0001
In mg/dl	3.3 <u>+</u> 2.0	./1 <u>+</u> .39	1 < .0001
BUN (mean <u>+</u> SD)	110.3 + 42.8	21.5 + 3.5	P < .0001
in mg/dl	110.3 <u>+</u> 42.8	21.5 ± 3.5	1 < .0001
Urine analysis			
Proteinuria (%)	100%		P < .0001
WBCs (%)	100%		P < .0001
RBCs (%)	80 %		P < .0001
AFB in urine			
(% of positive cases)	10 %		P < .001
Tuberculin Test			
(% of positive cases)	10 %		P<.001
PCR Tuberculosis in urine	30 %		P < .0001
(% of positive cases)	30 70		1 \ .0001

Table (7): Statistical comparison of Group (2) and group (3) as regards radiological findings.

	Group (2)	Group (3)	P-Value
Chest X-Ray	0 %	0 %	N. S.
(% of positive cases)	0 /0	0 /0	N. S.
Plain X-ray of			
urinary tract	0 %	0 %	N. S.
Calcification (%)			
CT of Kidney			
Motheaten			
Appearance (%)	30 %		P < .0001
Dilation (%)	20 %		P < .001

Table (8): Statistical comparison of laboratory results and radiological findings of

PCR +ve patients in Group (1) and Group (2)

Tex ve patients in Group (Group (1) (PCR +ve patients)	Group (2) (PCR +ve patients)	Total (PCR +ve patients)
AFB in Urine (% of positive cases)	50 %	33.3%	42.8%
Tuberculin test (% of positive cases)	25 %	33.3 %	28.5 %
Urine analysis • Proteinuria			
+ (%)	100 %	100 %	100 %
++ (%)			
+++			
• W B Cs.			
++	75 %	100%	85.7%
+++ (%)	25 %		14.2%
• R B Cs			
+ (%)	25 %	100%	57.2 %
++ (%)	25 %		14.2%
CT of Kidneys (Disturbed %)	100%	100%	100%

Table (9): Statistical comparison of laboratory results and radiological findings of PCR +ve patients with renal insufficiency in both groups (1) & (2) and control group (3).

group (e).	Total PCR patients in groups (1) & (2)	Group (3)	P value
AFB in Urine	42.8 %	0 %	P < .0001
(% of positive cases)	42.0 /0	0 70	1 < .0001
Tuberculin test	28.5%	0 %	P < .0001
(% of positive cases)	28.370	0 /0	1 < .0001
Urine analysis			
 Proteinuria 			
+ (%)	100 %	0 %	P < .001
++ (%)			
+++ (%)			
• W B Cs.			
++	85.7 %	0 %	P < .0001
+++	14.2 %	0 %	P < .0001
• <u>R B Cs</u>			
+ (%)	57.2 %	0 %	P < .0001
++	14.2 %	0 %	P < .005
CT of Kidneys (Disturbed %)	100%	0 %	P < .0001

Discussion

In our study, 3 groups were included: Two groups consisting each of 10 patients with chronic renal failure prior to dialysis, and the third group was formed of 10 normal healthy controls.

Group 1: 10 patients with chronic renal failure due to diabetic nephropathy.

Group 2: 10 patients with chronic renal failure due to membranoprolif - erative glomerulonephritis.

Group 3 : control group consisting of 10 healthy persons.

In 1999, Al-Shoaib study was made on 80 patients with chronic renal failure, who were followed up for 3 years. 10% developed Tuberculosis. This study used Mantoux test, chest radiographs and sputum AFB to detect TB. 2% out of these 10% developed Renal Tuberculosis without previous renal disease. Detection of disease was delayed because of lack of constitutional symptoms.

In 1999, Al-Shoaib et al., made a 5 years retrospective study on chronic renal failure patients, 210 patients were included in the study. Screening for TB was made by Ziehl-Nelsen microscopy for AFB, and culture of specimens on Lowenstein Jensen media, radiological and histological examination. 5% of the study population had been diagnosed as having Tuberculosis: 3% pulmonary TB, and 2% extrapulmonary TB. Of these 5%— 47% of them were diabetic and the remaining 53% were non-diabetic.

In our study, we have screened 20 patients with chronic renal failure prior to dialysis. The 20 patients were chosen of the same sex, being all female, to avoid sex differences. We have also chosen them of nearly the same decade of age in order to avoid changes accompanying changing of the age

factor. We have chosen our patients having all of them constitutional symptoms as a cause for proceeding forwards to investigate TB presence. There were also urinary symptoms, which together with constitutional symptoms, were suggestive of presence of Renal Tuberculosis. They nearly all had some renal symptoms suggesting discomfort to a varying degree and in a varying percentage, being more in Group (1) as compared to Group (2). This suggests that Diabetic Nephropathy is an encouraging factor for Renal Tuberculosis (P < .005).

We have used routine complete urine analysis to detect patients who deserve being followed by other investigations to detect TB. Both proteinuria and pyuria were present in both groups (1) and (2) as compared with group (3) (P < .0001). There was not significant difference between group (1) and group (2). But there was a significant difference in presence of RBCs in urine between group (1) and group (2) (P < .005), being more in group (2). This may be due to the more inflammatory nature of membrano - proliferative glomerulonephritis.

BUN and Creatinine levels showed no significant difference between Group (1) and Group (2).

We also used AFB screening in urine specimens as a screening method in which there was a significant difference between Group (1) and Group (2) (P < .005), being more in group (1). This may be due to the presence of diabetes as a risk factor encouraging a severe and open lesion discharging AFB in Group (1).

In our study, Plain chest X-Ray didn't show any significant data in the three groups.

Also, we have used Plain urinary tract X-ray which only has showed

positive data in one case in group (1). This was non significant, but it was showing calcification.

Waeitje et al., (1998), made their study on Tuberculin test on chronic renal failure patients. In this study, Tuberculin skin test was done to 307 patients, 32% were anergic. 48 out of 307 patients (16%) had a positive Tuberculin skin test.

Smirmoff et al., (1998), found that 19% of their population study of chronic renal failure were Tuberculin reactors. In our study, 2 patients out of 20 (10%) were positive for Tuberculin test. One patient was in group (1) and the other positive patient was in group (2). This means that the etiology of chronic renal failure doesn't play a role in Anergy to Tuberculin Test.

Kirchner et al., (2000), have used CT for diagnosing renal Tuberculosis. They reported a case of Renal Tuberculosis proven by culture. The patient had on Tom ography hypodense lesions clearly on the cortex border of Kidney. Other findings of Renal Tuberculosis as dilatation of Pelvica lyceal system and hydronephrosis were not seen at all and they postulated the finding as an early case of Renal Tuberculosis.

As regards early Moth-eaten appearance on CT, in our study, 3 patients out of 10 in group (1) and 3 patients out of 10 in group (2) has shown it, which makes 6 patients out of 20 (30%). Dilatation was only present in 1case out of 10 in group (1) (10%) and 2 cases out of 10 in group (2) (20%) (P<.005). This means that cases were mostly in early stage when diagnosed, and this doesn't support the idea that DM encourages progress of Renal Tuberculosis.

Portillo – Gomez et al., (2002), studies 286 specimens by Ziel-Nelsen stain, culture on Lowenstein – Jensen stains and by PCR. PCR method was highly specific 100% to identify M. Tuberculosis from a variety of extra pulmonary specimens including urine. Sensitivity of this test for urine was 93%.

In the study of Wolska-Goska et al. (1998), culture negative and PCR positive results existed in 144 out of 278 patients.

Fontana et al., (1997), studied 95 patients for Tuberculosis by PCR. 35 patients were highly suspicious by symptoms & other investigations than PCR. There were 38 positive PCR results.

In our study, we have also used PCR by Gen-Probe Amplification test. We found 4 patients out of 10 in group (1) being positive for TB by PCR and 3 patients out of 10 in group (2) being positive for TB by PCR. This means that 40% of group (1) and 30% of group (2) are positive for TB by PCR, with a total of 7 patients out of 20 patients with chronic renal failure, which means that 35% of patients with chronic renal failure are positive by PCR for TB.

In patients with positive PCR, we found the following:

1. AFB in Urine of Patients with positive PCR:-

50% of patients with positive PCR for TB had positive Acid-Fast Bacilli in urine (AFB) in group (1). 33.3% of patients with positive PCR in group (2) had positive AFB in urine.

This means that diabetes encourages open Tuberculous lesions in Renal Tuberculosis. When the two groups (1) and (2), there is a total of 42.8% of patients with positive PCR for TB discharging AFB in urine, which is highly significant difference when compared to control group (P<.0001), who didn't show any evidence of positive AFB in urine. This means that Renal Tuberculosis in renal failure discharges a good amount of AFB in a considerable percentage of patients positive for TB by PCR as compared to normal (P < .0001). This also means that the remaining positive PCR patients for TB, didn't discharge AFB in urine in spite that they had detectable TB bacilli by PCR.

2. Tuberculin test in positive PCR patients for TB:-

25% of positive PCR patients in group (1) with diabetic nephropathy had +ve Tuberculin test. 33% of +ve PCR patients in group (2) with membranoproliferative GN had +ve Tuberculin test. This means anergy is more prevalent in diabetic patients with renal failure.

A total of group (1) and group (2) is 28.5% patients with chronic renal failure and positive PCR for TB in urine. These patients had positive Tuberculin test. This means that nearly one third of patients with +ve PCR for TB had +ve Tuberculin test and the remaining had anergy, which means that it is still a useful confirmatory test.

3. Urine analysis in the positive PCR patients for TB in urine

a - Proteinuria:

Proteinuria was present as mild degree in all patients of chronic renal failure and positive PCR test as compared to control group (P < .001). This means that renal Tuberculosis in chronic renal failure is mostly associated with mild proteinuria.

b – Pvuria

Pyuria was present as moderate degree in 75% of patients of group (1) with positive PCR for TB and in 100% of patients of group (2) with positive PCR for TB.

This makes a total of 85.7% of chronic renal failure patients with positive PCR for TB. This reflects the state of pyuria caused by renal

Tuberculosis, which must not be overlooked in patients with chronic renal failure.

Severe pyuria was only present in 25% of patients of group (1) and absent in group (2) with a total of 14.2% in chronic renal failure patients with positive PCR for TB in urine.

Both moderate and severe degree of pyuria showed highly significant difference between patients with chronic renal insufficiency and positive PCR test in one side and control group on the other side (P < .0001 and P < .005) respectively.

c – Hematuria :

Hematuria was present in mild degree in only 25% of group (1) and in 100% of group (2) which may reflect the more inflammatory nature of memb-ranoproliferative glomerulonephritis especially when accompanied by renal Tuberculosis.

A total of 57.7% of positive PCR patients with chronic renal failure had mild hematuria as an alarming sign for presence of TB.

Moderate degree of Hematuria was present in only 25% of group (1) and a total of 14.2% of chronic renal failure patients with positive PCR.

This means that moderate degree of hematuria is not a prevalent sign in renal Tuberculosis in patients with chronic renal failure prior to dialysis.

4. d-Computerized Axial Tomography of kidney, ureter and bladder

100% of cases of chronic renal failure and positive PCR for TB, had abnoremal CT for kidney, ureter and bladder, as compared to control group (P < .0001).

This means that CT is as reliable as PCR in diagnosing early and advanced changes in renal tuberculosis. So, CT is one of the essential tools for diagnosis of renal Tuberculosis.

SUMMARY

Diagnosis of Tuberculosis by PCR of Tubercle bacilli in urine is an important and efficient method of detecting renal Tuberculosis. This method can be supported by Computerized Axial Tomography of Kidney, ureter and bladder; AFB in urine and Tuberculin test.

Constitutional and renal symptoms are important. In chronic renal failure patients, the incidence of renal Tuberculosis is 40% among those due to diabetic nephropathy and in those due to membranoproliferative glomerulonep - hritis, it is 30%.

A totral of 35% in the two groups together are positive for PCR for renal Tuberculosis, making chronic renal insufficiency a good risk factor for renal Tuberculosis.

References

- 1. **Al- Shoait, S.:** Tuberculosis in chronic renal failure in Jeddah. Int. Urol. Nephrol., 1999; 31 (4): 571-575.
- 2. Bennedson J.; Thomson V.O.; Pfyffer G.E.; Punke G.; Feldmann B.A.; Antony J.P.; Heggin bothon M.; Fahr A., Hengstler M.; Cleator G. and Klapper P.: Utility of PCR in diagnosing pulmonary tuberculosis. Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 1996; June: 1407-1411.
- 3. Bonington A.; Strang J.I.G.; Klapper P.E.; Hood S.V.; Parish A., Swift P.J.; Damba J.; Stevens H.; Sawyer L.; Potgieter G.; Bailey A. and Wilkins E.G.L.: PCR in early diagnosis of Tuberculosis meningitis: Evaluation of the roche semi-automated COBAS Amplicor MTB-test with reference to the manual Amplicor MTB PC test. Tubercle and Lung Disease, 2000; 80 (415): 191-196.

- 4. Fontana D., Pozzi E., Porpiglia F., Galietti F., Morra I., Roc ca A. and Chirillo MG: Rapid identification of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis complex on urine samples by Gen-probe Amplification Test. Urol. Res., 1997: 25(6): 391-394.
- 5. GAMBOA F., Manterello J.M.; Vinado B., Mata L., Giménez M., Lonca J., Manzano J.R., Rodrigo C., CARDONA P.J., Padilla E., Dominguez J. and Ausina V.: Direct detection of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Complex in Nonrespiratory specimens by Gen-Probe Amplified Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Direct Test. Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 1997; Jan. Vol. 35, No.: 307 310.
- 6. Kang-Ju Chow, Hua-Chang Fang, Kuan-Jen Baï, Schang-Jyh Hwang, Ulu-Chang Yang and Msia-Min Chung: Tuberculosis in maintenance dialysis patients. Nephron, 2001; 88: 138-143.
- 7. Kawashima A., Sandler CM, Goldman S.M., Rawal B.K., Fishman EK: CT of renal inflammatory disease. Radiographics, 1997; 17:851-866.
- 8. **Kirchner T.M.; Kirchner J., Jacobi V.**: Renale Parenchym defekte als Zeichem einer
 Nierentuber Kulose. (Renal
 Parenchymal defects as signs of
 renal Tuberculosis). Roentgenpr axis, 2000; 52 (10-12): 332-334.
- 9. Shohaib S.A., Scrimgeour E.M. and Shaerya F.: Tuberculosis in active dialysis patients in Jeddah.Am. J. Nephrol., 1999; 19 (1): 34-37.
- 10. Simon J. Q., Mark A.W., Bell christine E., Mutchinson Alastair J. and Gokal Ram: Peritoneal Tuberculosis in patients receiving continuous ambulatory peritoreal dialysis. Nephrol-Dialysis-Transp-lantation, 2001; 16: 1624 1027.
- 11. Smirmoff M., Patt C., Seckler B and Adler J.J.: Tuberculin and anergy skin testing of patients

Mona Hosny

- receiving long term Hemodialysis. Chest, 1998; Jan. 113 (1): 25 27.
- 12. Portillo-Gomey L., Morris S.L. and Panduro A.: Rapid and efficient detection of extrapul monary Tuberculosis by PCR analysis.Int. J. Tuber. Lung. Dis, 2000; Apr 4(4): 361-370.
- 13. Waeitje K.F., Mathew A., Rothstein M., Saler S. and Fraser V.J.: Tuberculosis infection and anergy in Hemodialysis patients. Am. J. Kidney Dis., 1998; May 31(5): 848-852.
- 14. Wang S. X. and Tay L.: Evaluation of three Nucleic Acid Amplification Methods for Direct

- Detectrion of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Complex in respiratory specimens. Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 1999; June: 1932-1934.
- 15. Wols Ka Gosz Ka L., Nowak A., Galinski J. and Slominiski J.M.: Zastosowanie lancuchowej reakyi polimerazy (PCR) do wg Krywania Mycobacterium Tuberculosis complex w materialachod chorych .(Use of Polymerase chain reaction) [PCR] for detection of mycobacterium Tuberculosis complex from patient materials. Pneumonol-Alergol-Pol., 1998; 66 (1-2): 31-37.

نسبة الإصابة بتدرن الكلى في مرضى قصور وظائف الكلى المزمن في فترة ما قبل الغسيل الكلوى المصاحبة بأعراض تكوينية منى حسنى عبد السلام مدرس بقسم الباطنة العامة جامعة عين شمس

تم اختيار 20 مريضا من مرضى القصور في وظائف الكلي المزمن في فترة ما قبل الغسيل الكلوى ، لوضعهم في الدراسة . كل المرضي كانوا إناثا. تم تقسيم المرضي الي مجموعتين حسب أسباب الفشل الكلوى:

مجموعــــة 1: مرضى يعانون من قصور في وظائف الكلي المزمن ناتج عن إصابة الكلي من مرض البول السكري، وذلك لأن مرض البول السكري يعتبر عاملا مهيئاً للإصابة بالدرن. متوسط أعمار هذه المجموعة كان 54.5 + 9.1 سنين.

هاتان المجموعتان تم مقارنتهما بمجموعة ثالثة تتكون من 10 أشخاص طبيعيين كمجموعة ضابطة . أعراض التكوينية وأعراض الجهاز التناسلي والبولي كانتا مرشدتان في اختيار المرضي المشمولين . بالدراسة .

لكل المرضى تم عمل الأتى:

تحليل بول كامل - البحث عن البكتريا العصوية التي لا يزول اصطباغها بسهولة بواسطة الأحماض والتي تسمى جرثومة السل وذلك في البول ، اختبار توبركيلين ، أشعة عادية علي المسالك البولية ، أشعة مقطعية علي الكلي - الحالب - المثانة ، والتفاعل المتسلسل المتبلمر لجرثومة السل وذلك في البول باستخدام اختبار تكبير جن - بروب .

وجدنا 4 مرضي من مجموع 10 مرضي (أي 40%) يعانون من تدرن الكلي وذلك في المجموعة الأولي ، أيضا وجدنا 3 مرضي من مجموع 10 مرضي (أي 30%) يعانون من تدرن الكلي وذلك في المجموعة الثانية وذلك باستخدام الاختبار الت المعملية ووسائل التشخيص الإشعاعي السابقة.

معدل الإصابة بتدرن الكلي في المجموعة الأولي وصل إلي 40 وفي المجموعة الثانية وصل إلي 30 30 . المجموع الكلي لمعدل الإصابة في مرضي المجموعتين ، أى مرضي القصور المزمن في وظائف الكلي وصل إلي 35 وهو ما لا يمكن تجاهله كمعدل عال للإصابة بتدرن الكلي في هذه الدراسة

ونحن نستنتج من هذه الدراسة أن مرضي البول السكري يعتبر عامل مخاطرة بالنسبة للإصابة بتدرن الكلي ، لكن أيضا القصور المزمن لوظائف الكلي في حد نفسه عامل مخاطرة آخر ولكن لمدى أقل من البول السكري. في هذه الدراسة لم يكن هناك فروق إحصائية واضحة بين مرضي القصور المزمن لوظائف الكلي الناتج عن إصابة الكلي بمرض البول السكري ومرضي القصور المزمن لوظائف الكلي الناتج عن التهاب الكبيمبات الغشائي التكاثري بالنسبة للإصابة بتدرن الكلي ، والذى تم الكشف عنه جوهريا بالتفاعل المتسلسل المتبلمر لجرثومة السل في البول.

بالمقارنة بالمجموعة الضابطة (أشخاص طبيعيين) ، كانت هناك فروق إحصائية واضحة في كل المقاييس التي تم قياسها بين المجموعة الضابطة وبين المجموعتين الأخرتين منضمتين ومنفصلتين .