Study of Vitamin D3 Supplementation on Chronic Kidney Disease (Mineral Bone Disease (CKD – MBD) Parameters in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease Stage 3)

Mona Hosny Abdel Salam^{*}, Mohamed Mostafa Mohamed^{*}, Cherry Reda Kamel^{*},

Abdelkhalek Fouad Mahmoud**

* Internal Medicine Department, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University** Internal Medicine,

Ministry of Health, Cairo, Egypt

Corresponding Author: Mona Hosny Ashmawy, Mobile: 01093387764, email:salamhosnymona2015@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Bone profile parameters are affected by decreased estimated GFR within chronic kidney disease stage 3. We aimed to investigate if bone profile parameters response to vitamin D3 supplementation, within this stage, became affected by the presence or absence of diabetic state. Materials and methods: 30 patients having chronic kidney disease (stage 3) were enrolled in the study. 19 patients constituted non diabetic group I and 11 patients constituted diabetic group II. All of them have received vitamin D3 1000 IU daily for 3 months. For all patients the following measurements were performed before and after vitamin D3 use :CBC, ESR1 & ESR2, blood urea, serum creatinine, estimated GFR, complete urine analysis, serum Na, protein / creatinine ratio, serum calcium, serum phosphorus, calcium - phosphorus product, serum albumin, serum alkaline phosphatase, and intact serum parathyroid hormone. Results: Serum calcium levels have increased, while intact parathyroid hormone has decreased within both groups, with a more obvious response within the diabetic group. Serum alkaline phosphatase, serum phosphorus, and calcium – phosphorus product did not show any significant change, they were within their level after vitamin d3 use .ESR 1 and ESR 2 levels were higher from the start of the study within the diabetic group. Their mean level values have significantly decreased in a highly significant way within this group. Kidney function parameters and proteinuria have been deteriorated within the two groups, being worse within the diabetic group. Conclusion: Vitamin D3 use within stage 3 chronic renal disease patients is effective for patients support against bone mineral disturbances occurring within this disease .

Keywords: Vitamin D3 - CKD-MBD – Bone profile – Diabetes Mellitus – CKD stage 3.

INTRODUCTION

Vitamin D is a steroid hormone which is required to regulate serum levels of calcium and phosphorus , as well as bone metabolism . Vitamin D can be obtained from dietary sources (D2 and D3), together with exposure of the skin to ultraviolet (UV) light (D3)⁽¹⁾.

Chronic kidney disease leads to progressive derangement of vitamin D metabolism . Renal synthesis of 1,25 – dihydroxyvitamin D (1, 25 [OH]₂D) is reduced, due to decreased activity of 1 alpha – hydroxylase ⁽²⁾. The decrease in vitamin D synthesis in chronic renal disease hypocalcemia results in secondary , hyperparathyroidism , and subsequent renal osteodystrophy⁽³⁾. The etiologies of hypo-vitaminosis D occurring in end-stage renal disease (ESRD) population are not only due to decreased renal ability to transform 1-alpha – hydroxyvitamin D to its active form , but also they include limited sunlight exposure, reduced ultraviolet induced vitamin D synthesis in the skin , and disturbed vitamin D metabolism ⁽⁴⁾. Replacement of active vitamin D has been considered an essential step in the management of secondary

hyperparathyroidism and its associated disorders in chronic kidney disease $^{(5)}$.

Most patients on dialysis are treated with vitamin D receptor agonists (VDRAs), such as calcitriol, doxercalciferol, or paricalcitol, for management of secondary hyperparathyroidism. Supplementation with VDRAs without its nutritional vitamin D precursor may not optimize clinical benefits ⁽⁶⁾. **Kovesdy** *et al.*⁽⁷⁾, have reported increasing confusion as regards which type of vitamin D preparations (nutritional versus active formulas), should be used within chronic kidney disease patients.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This is a clinical trial which was carried out on 30 chronic kidney disease stage 3 patients , who attended the Benha Hospital for Health Insurance and the Benha University Hospital outpatient clinics , from April to August 2016 .All patients were above 18 years old .

Patients were divided into two groups : **Group I:** consisted of 19 patients who always had normal blood glucose levels (Non – diabetic group I).

Received:21 / 5 /2017 Accepted:30 /5 /2017 1941

DOI: 10.12816/0040627

Group II: consisted of 11 patients who had abnormal blood glucose levels (Diabetic group II).

_

We excluded from our study pregnant and women, patients breastfeeding who have previously performed parathyroidectomy operation , patients having liver cirrhosis , patients having phenytoin, phenobarbital, or primidone drug usage concurrently to study performance, and lastly patients suffering from either sarcoidosis or malignancies. All participants to the study were subjected to complete physical examination. For all patients the following parameters were performed : Complete blood count (CBC), ESR for first hour (ESR1) and ESR for second hour (ESR2), blood urea (in mg/dl), serum creatinine (in mg / dl), estimated glomerular filtration rate (e GFR in ml / min /1.73 m²), complete urine analysis, protein / creatinine ratio (in mg / mmol) , serum sodium (Na in m Eq / L)and other electrolytes as required, serum calcium (in mg/dl), serum phosphorus (in mg / dl), calcium – phosphorus product (Ca X PO4), serum albumin (in g/dl), serum aluminium (in ng/ml), serum alkaline phosphatase (in U/L), and Intact serum Parathyroid hormone (in pg/dl). All parameters performed according to were conventional methods used in Benha University Hospital Clinical Pathology Department.

All previous parameters were measured at the start of the study before administrating vitamin D3 to the studied patients .All patients have received a dose of 1000 IU per day of Vitamin D3 for 3 consecutive months, after which the same previously mentioned parameters were measured again at the end of these 3 months.

The study was done after approval of ethical board of Ain Shams university and an informed written consent was taken from each participant in the study. **STATISTICAL ANALYSIS** Data was collected , coded , revised and entered to Statistical Package for Social Science (IBM SPSS) version 20.

The data have been presented as numbers and percentages for qualitative data ,mean , standard deviations and ranges for the quantitative data with parametric distribution and median with interquartile range (IQR) for the quantitative data with non parametric distribution .

Paired t-test was used in comparing the two groups having quantitative data with parametric distribution and Wilxon Rank test was used to compare the two groups having quatitative data with non – parametric distribution.

Independent t – test was used in the comparison between the two groups with quantitative data and parametric distribution and Mann – Whitney test was used in the comparison between two groups with quantitative data and non - parametric distribution⁽⁸⁾.

Spearman correlation coefficients were used to assess the significant relation between two quantitative parameters in the same group⁽⁹⁾.

Logistic regression analysis was used to assess the predictors of vitamin D3 effects on bone profile parameters.

Chi –square test was used to compare two groups having qualitative data .Fischer Exact test was used also to compare two groups having low number of qualitative data .

 $\begin{array}{l} P \ value < 0.05 \ is \ considered \ to \ be \ significant \ , \\ P = 0.051 \ - \ 0.070 \ is \ considered \ to \ be \ borderline \ significant \ , \ P > 0.070 \ is \ considered \ non-significant. \end{array}$

RESULTS

In the present study , gender distribution has shown a borderline significant difference among non diabetic group I (formed nearly equal male and female participants) as compared to diabetic group 2 (having a male gender predominance of 90.9 %).

| Table (1): Comparison of | gender distribution | between non – diabetic | group I and diabetic group II |
|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Table (1). Comparison of | Schuel distribution | between non unabene | group I and diabetic group II |

| Variabl | les | non diabetic | group diabetic group | Chi – square | |
|---------|--------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------|
| | | | | \mathbf{X}^2 | p -value |
| Sex | Female | no. 8/19 (42.1 %) | no. 1/11 (9.1%) | | |
| | Male | no. 11/19 (57.9%) | no. 10/11 (90.9%) | 3.616 | 0.057 |

Mona Abdel Salam et al.

| Variable | non diabetic group | diabetic group | Independent t-test |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| | mean <u>+</u> SD | mean <u>+</u> SD | t p value |
| Age (years) | 62.00 <u>+</u> 7.20 | 64.82 <u>+</u> 10.58 | - 0.869 0.392 |
| Duration of | | | |
| Renal disease | 58.51 <u>+</u> 51.26 | 79.11 <u>+</u> 73.40 | - 0.905 0.373 |
| BMI | 25.81 <u>+</u> 1.88 | 25.07 <u>+</u> 2,51 | 0.918 0.366 |

Table (2): Comparison of different characteristics between non diabetic group I and diabetic group II

There was no significant difference between the diabetic and the non diabetic groups as regards age , duration of renal disease , and BMI .

| Table (3): Cor | nparis | son (| of co- morb | idities | percentages | between non- | - diabetic and diabetic groups |
|----------------|--------|-------|-------------|---------|-------------|----------------|--------------------------------|
| Co-morbity | non | diabo | etic group | diabeti | ic group | Chi squ | uare test |
| | | No | % | No | % | \mathbf{X}^2 | P value |
| Hypertension | +ve | 8 | 42.1% | 2 | 18.2% | | |
| | -ve | 11 | 57.9% | 9 | 81.8% | 1.794 | 0.180 |
| Ischemic heart | +ve | 1 | 5.3% | 2 | 18.2% | | |
| Disease | -ve | 18 | 94.7% | 9 | 81.8% | 1.292 | 0.256 |
| Cerebro vas- | +ve | 0 | 0.0% | 1 | 9.1% | | |
| cularinsuff. | -ve | 19 | 100.0% | 10 | 90.9% | 1.78 | 7 0.181 |
| LL edema | +ve | 1 | 5.3 % | 3 | 27.3% | | |
| | -ve | 18 | 94.7% | 8 | 72.7% | 2.92 | 1 0.087 |
| | | 41.00 | | | | | |

There was no significant difference between the diabetic and the non diabetic group as regards different comorbidities including : hypertension, ischemic heart disease, cerebro -vascular insufficiency and LL edema.

Table (4): Comparison of parameters levels within non – diabetic group I before and after vitamin D3 use

| Variables | before ttt | after ttt | paired t – test | |
|----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | Mean <u>+</u> SD | Mean <u>+</u> SD | t P value | |
| Hb | 11.12 <u>+</u> 1.12 | 11.32 <u>+</u> 0.90 | -1.426 0.171 | |
| RBCs | 4.00 ± 0.62 | 4.30 <u>+</u> 0.50 | - 2.644 <u>0.016</u> | |
| ESR1 | 24.00 <u>+</u> 11.37 | 22.95 <u>+</u> 8.81 | 0.977 0.341 | |
| ESR2 | 42.68 <u>+</u> 21.15 | 41.75 <u>+</u> 8.65 | 0.871 0.395 | |
| Blood urea | 71.00 <u>+</u> 16.02 | 72.58 <u>+</u> 14.33 | - 1.297 0.211 | |
| S. creatinine | 1.73 <u>+</u> 0.27 | 1.81 <u>+</u> 0.29 | - 4.379 <u><0.001</u> | |
| e GFR | 4379 <u>+</u> 8.36 | 41.21 <u>+</u> 8.15 | 4.576 <u><0.001</u> | |
| serum Na | 139.05 <u>+</u> 4.06 | 137.89 <u>+</u> 3.97 | 2.480 <u>0.023</u> | |
| prot/creat rat | tio 54.68 <u>+</u> 11.87 | 69.95 <u>+</u> 11.18 | - 2.552 <u>0.020</u> | |
| s. albumin | 3.69 <u>+</u> 0.57 | 3.71 <u>+</u> 0.48 | - 0.127 0.901 | |
| s. calcium | 8.88 <u>+</u> 0.46 | 8.97 <u>+</u> 0.50 | - 1.031 0.316 | |
| s. phosphorus | 3.61 <u>+</u> 0.42 | 3.63 <u>+</u> 0.41 | - 0.408 0.688 | |
| ca x po4 | 31.94 <u>+</u> 2.92 | 32.48 <u>+</u> 3.11 | - 1.434 0.169 | |
| s. alkphos. | 87.36 <u>+</u> 15.51 | 87.53 <u>+</u> 9.24 | - 0.068 0.947 | |
| intact PTH | 115.74 <u>+</u> 32.13 | 76.48 <u>+</u> 15.89 | 8.850 <0.001 | |

Within non diabetic group I ,after vitamin D3 use : there was a statistically significant rise in the mean values of RBCs count , serum creatinine , and protein creatinine ratio . Also , we found a statistically significant decrease in the mean values of intact PTH , e GFR , and serum Na .

Study of Vitamin D3 Supplementation...

| Variables | before ttt | after ttt | paired | t – test |
|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------|------------------|
| | Mean <u>+</u> SD | Mean <u>+</u> SD | t | P-value |
| Hb | 11.22 <u>+</u> 1.07 | 11.41 <u>+</u> 0.96 | - 0.440 | 0.669 |
| RBCs | 4.19 <u>+</u> 0.58 | 4.46 <u>+</u> 0.58 | - 1.717 | 0.117 |
| ESR1 | 34.00 <u>+</u> 9.26 | 29.91 <u>+</u> 7.47 | 3.172 | <u>0.010</u> |
| ESR 2 | 69.91 <u>+</u> 19.58 | 65.91 <u>+</u> 21.31 | 3.127 | <u>0.011</u> |
| Blood urea | 72.45 <u>+</u> 13.74 | 69.82 <u>+</u> 14.97 | 1.516 | 0.160 |
| S. creatinine | 1.87 <u>+</u> 0.41 | 1.95 <u>+</u> 0.42 | - 3.105 | <u>0.011</u> |
| e GFR | 43.73 <u>+</u> 10.50 | 40.91 <u>+</u> 9.45 | 3.492 | <u>0.006</u> |
| serum Na | 136.45 <u>+</u> 2.73 | 137.45 <u>+</u> 3.88 | - 1.581 | 0.145 |
| prot/creat ratio | 71.82 <u>+</u> 16.94 | 113.00 <u>+</u> 36.32 | - 4.095 | 0.002 |
| s. albumin | 3.43 <u>+</u> 0.40 | 3.86 <u>+</u> 0.47 | - 1.994 | 0.074 |
| s. calcium | 8.86 <u>+</u> 0.60 | 9.02 <u>+</u> 0.57 | - 2.080 | <u>0.064</u> |
| s. phosphorus | 3.47 <u>+</u> 0.51 | 3.45 <u>+</u> 0.43 | 0.396 | 0.700 |
| ca x po4 | 30.68 <u>+</u> 3.85 | 31.01 <u>+</u> 3.76 | - 0.442 | 0.668 |
| s. alkphos. | 90.72 <u>+</u> 11.86 | 89.06 <u>+</u> 17.45 | 0.494 | 0.632 |
| intact PTH | 99.95 <u>+</u> 29.07 | 66.50 <u>+</u> 15.34 | 6.683 | <u><0.001</u> |

 Table (5): Comparison of parameters levels within diabetic group II before and after vitamin D3 treatment

Within diabetic group II, after vitamin D3 use : there was a statistically significant decrease in the mean values of ESR1, ESR2, e GFR, and intact PTH. Also, we found a significant rise in the mean values of serum creatinine, protein/creatinine ratio, and a borderline significant rise in the mean value of serum calcium.

Table (6): Comparison of different parameters between non – diabetic group I and diabetic group II before vitamin D3 use

| Variable | non –diabetic gr | oup diabetic group | Independent t –test | |
|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| | Mean <u>+</u> SD | Mean <u>+</u> SD | t p-value | |
| Hb | 11.12 <u>+</u> 1.12 | 11.22 <u>+</u> 1.07 | - 0.246 0.808 | |
| RBCs | 4.00 <u>+</u> 0.62 | 4.19 <u>+</u> 0.58 | - 0.807 0.427 | |
| ESR1 | 24.00 <u>+</u> 5.37 | 34.00 <u>+</u> 9.26 | - 2.474 <u>0.020</u> | |
| ESR2 | 42.68 <u>+</u> 1.15 | 69.91 <u>+</u> 19.58 | - 3.488 <u>0.002</u> | |
| Blood urea | 71.00 <u>+</u> 16.02 | 72.45 <u>+</u> 13.74 | - 0.252 0.803 | |
| S. creat | 1.73 <u>+</u> 0.27 | 1.87 <u>+</u> 0.41 | - 1.153 0.259 | |
| e GFR | 43.79 <u>+</u> 8.36 | 43.73 <u>+</u> 5.50 | 0.018 0.986 | |
| serum Na | 139.05 <u>+</u> 4.06 | 136.45 <u>+</u> 2.73 | 1.882 <u>0.070</u> | |
| prot/creat ra | ntio 54.68 <u>+</u> 11.87 | 71.82 <u>+</u> 16.94 | - 1.900 <u>0.068</u> | |
| s. albumin | 3.69 <u>+</u> 0.57 | 3.43 <u>+</u> 0.40 | 1.378 0.179 | |
| s. calcium | 8.88 <u>+</u> 0.46 | 8.86 <u>+</u> 0.60 | 0.079 0.938 | |
| s. phosphoru | s 3.61 <u>+</u> 0.42 | 3.47 <u>+</u> 0.51 | 0.801 0.430 | |
| ca x po4 | 31.94 <u>+</u> 2.92 | 30.68 <u>+</u> 3.85 | 1.020 0.317 | |
| salkphos | 87.36 <u>+</u> 15.51 | 90.72 <u>+</u> 11.86 | - 0.618 0.541 | |
| intact PTH | 115.74 <u>+</u> 32.13 | 99.95 <u>+</u> 29.07 | 1.341 0.191 | |

Before vitamin D3 use ,on comparing the diabetic and the non diabetic groups : diabetic group has shown a statistically significant higher mean values for ESR1 & ESR2, and a borderline significant higher mean value for protein / creatinine ratio. While non diabetic group showed borderline higher mean value for serum sodium.

| Variables | non – diabetic grouj | p diabetic group | Independe | nt t-test | |
|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| | Mean <u>+</u> SD | Mean <u>+</u> SD | t | p – value | |
| Hb | 11.32 + 0.90 | 11.41 +0.96 | - 0.253 | 0.802 | |
| RBCs | 4.30 <u>+</u> 0.50 | 4.46 <u>+</u> 0.58 | - 0.809 | 0.425 | |
| ESR1 | 22.95 <u>+</u> 8.81 | 29.91 <u>+</u> 7.47 | - 1.947 | <u>0.062</u> | |
| ESR2 | 41.74 <u>+</u> 18.65 | 65.91 <u>+</u> 21.31 | - 3.248 | <u>0.003</u> | |
| Blood urea | 72.58 <u>+</u> 14.33 | 69.82 <u>+</u> 14.97 | 0.500 | 0.621 | |
| s. creat . | 1.81 <u>+</u> 0.29 | 1.95 <u>+</u> 0.42 | - 1.159 | 0.256 | |
| e GFR | 41.21 <u>+</u> 8.15 | 40.91 <u>+</u> 9.45 | 0.092 | 0.927 | |
| S. Na | 137.89 <u>+</u> 3.97 | 137.45 <u>+</u> 3.88 | 0.295 | 0.770 | |
| Prot/creat ratio | 69.95 <u>+</u> 41.18 | 113.00 <u>+</u> 56.32 | - 2.410 | <u>0.023</u> | |
| s. albumin | 3.71 <u>+</u> 0.48 | 3.86 <u>+</u> 0.47 | - 0.875 | 0.389 | |
| s. calcium | 8.97 <u>+</u> 0.50 | 9.02 <u>+</u> 0.57 | - 0.250 | 0.805 | |
| s. phosphorus | 3.63 <u>+</u> 0.41 | 3.45 <u>+</u> 0.43 | 1.183 | 0.247 | |
| ca x po4 | 32.48 <u>+</u> 3.11 | 31.01 <u>+</u> 3.76 | 1.155 | 0.258 | |
| s. alkphos | 87.53 <u>+</u> 19.24 | 89.06 <u>+</u> 17.45 | - 0.218 | 0.829 | |
| intact PTH | 76.48 <u>+</u> 15.89 | 66.50 <u>+</u> 15.34 | 1.678 | 0.104 | |

Table (7) : Comparison of different parameters between non-diabetic group & diabetic group after vitamin D3 use

On comparing non diabetic and diabetic groups , after vitamin D3 use : the diabetic group has shown a statistically significant higher mean values for ESR2 and protein / creatinine ratio , and a borderline significant higher mean value for ESR2.

Table (8): Multivariate logistic regression analysis for the predictors of ESR2 level within non diabetic group I and diabetic group II before and after treatment

| | В | S.E. | Wa | ıld | Sig. | ODDS | 95% | C.I. for OR |
|------------|-----|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|
| Ratio (OR) | | | | | _ | | | |
| | | | | | | | Lower | Upper |
| Before ttt | | | | | | | | |
| ESR 2 | 0.1 | .31 0.0 | 066 3 | 3.894 | 0.048 | 1.140 | 1.001 | 1.298 |
| After ttt | | | | | | | | |
| ESR2 | 0.0 | 47 0. | 022 4 | 1.408 | 0.036 | 1.048 | 1.003 | 1.095 |

Multivariate logistic regression analysis performed for ESR 2 mean values , before vitamin D3 therapy , has shown that diabetic group had as much as 1.140 times chance of having higher level of ESR 2 than non diabetic group.

Multivariate logistic regression analysis performed for ESR2 mean values , after vitamin D3 therapy , has shown that diabetic group had as much as 1.048 times chance of having higher level of ESR2 than non diabetic group.

| Variables | phosph | orus | intact I | PTH | • | |
|----------------|---------|----------|----------|------------|---|--|
| Before ttt | r | p-value | r | p-value | | |
| age | | | 0.487 | 0.034 | | |
| ESR1 | | | 0.539 | 0.017 | | |
| ESR2 | | | 0.558 | 0.013 | | |
| Systolic blood | | | | | | |
| Pressure | - 0.438 | 0.061 | | | | |
| Esinophils % | - 0.451 | 0.053 | | | | |
| Variables | phos | phorus | intact | PTH | | |
| After ttt | r | p –value | r | p- value | | |
| ESR 1 | | | 0.447 | 0.055 | | |
| ESR2 | | | 0.563 | 0.012 | | |

Table (9): Significant Correlations within non diabetic group before and after treatment

Before vitamin D3 therapy, within non diabetic group: intact PTH had a positive correlation to age, ESR1, and ESR2. Serum phosphorus has shown a borderline significant inverse correlation to systolic blood pressure and eosinophil %.

After vitamin D3 use , within non diabetic group : Intact PTH had a statistically significant positive correlation to $\rm ESR2$, and a borderline significant positive correlation to $\rm ESR1$.

| TABLE (10): Significant Correlations within diabetic group II before and after treatment | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|----------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Variables | calcium | phosphorus | intact PTH | | | | | |
| Before ttt | r p – value | r p – value | r p-value | | | | | |
| Monocytes % | 0.606 0.048 | - 0.663 0.026 | - 0.671 0.024 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Variables | calcium | phosphorus | intact PTH | | | | | |
| After ttt | r p – vlue | r p – value | r p-value | | | | | |
| ESR1 | - 0.610 0.046 | - 0. 616 0.044 | 0.651 0.030 | | | | | |
| ESR2 | - 0.634 0.036 | | | | | | | |

Before vitamin D3 use , within diabetic group : monocytes % has shown a statistically significant positive correlation to serum calcium , and a statistically significant inverse correlation to serum phosphorus and intact PTH.

After vitamin D3 therapy , within diabetic group : ESR1 has shown a statistically significant inverse correlation to serum calcium and serum phosphorus , and a statistically significant positive correlation to intact PTH .ESR2 has shown a statistically significant inverse correlation to serum calcium .

DISCUSSION

Decrease of vitamin D levels below normal values in human body takes place in the two extremes of age , in postmenopausal women , in the African – American subjects , and in chronic renal disease state ⁽¹⁰⁾.

The gradually increasing levels of the phosphatonin FGF – 23 (fibroblast growth factor - 23), has a progressive inhibitory effect on the enzyme 1 α – hydroxylase (CYP27 B1). This results in a continuously decreasing level of the active 1,25 (OH)₂ vitamin D (1, 25 dihydroxy – vitamin D)⁽²⁾.

At the start of the study before the use of vitamin D3 , serum calcium was found to be within target range for stage 3 chronic kidney disease within the 2 studied groups . Serum calcium level rise within diabetic group after vitamin D3 use, did not occur within the non – diabetic group after therapy . This could imply that diabetic group was more responsive to vitamin D3 effect . **Respero and Arango**⁽¹¹⁾ have reported a positive significant relationship between vitamin D and serum calcium (P<0.05) , within CKD patients stages 3-5. **Adamasco** *et*

al.⁽¹²⁾ indicated that there was no change within serum calcium level after vitamin D3 use.

In our study, serum calcium and monocytes % recorded positive relationship within the diabetic group before vitamin D3 use, but this relationship did not exist after treatment with vitamin D3 ,and this is could reflect vitamin D3 effect as a suppressor of inflammatory processes initiated via antigen - presenting cells activation, within an internal environment on its way to be a uremic milieu.

Lemiereet al. $^{(13)}$ have reported that the immunosuppressive effect of 1,25(OH)2D3 is correlated with a decrease in inflammatory cytokines such as IL -2.

Serum phosphorus levels were within normal range within the 2 studied groups .Also serum phosphorus levels were not affected by vitamin D3 use within stage 3 CKD .

Respero and Arango⁽¹¹⁾ have reported an inverse significant relationship between vitamin D level and serum phosphorus , within CKD patients stages 3 -5 . **Adamasco et al.**⁽¹²⁾ found that there was no change within serum phosphorus level after vitamin D3 administration , and this deduction is in agreement with our results .

The inverse relationship between mean serum phosphorus and each of systolic blood pressure and eosinophils %, within non diabetic group before vitamin D3 use, could be explained as a link existing between phosphate as a uremic toxin and cardiac performance as represented by systolic blood pressure .Also this uremic toxin could at least partially explain hypo-immune agents initiating allergic responses to or hypersensitivity reactions. Both relationships have disappeared after vitamin D3 use within the nondiabetic patients .

The inverse relation between serum phosphorus and monocytes % within diabetic group before vitamin D3 use , has shown an antigen presenting cell offending action of serum phosphorus in chronic kidney disease .This relationship did not exist after the use of vitamin D3, which implies the improvement of immune response to foreign antigens after its administration .

Calcium - phosphorus product (Ca X PO4) was very close to accepted level within the nondiabetic and the diabetic patients. Calcium phosphorus product was not affected by vitamin D3 use within the 2 studied groups . **Respero** and **Arango**⁽¹¹⁾ have reported an inverse significant relationship between vitamin D level and Calcium – phosphorus product .

After the use of vitamin D3, intact parathyroid hormone levels showed a significant decrease from above normal level to around normal level , within the 2 studied groups. The diabetic state in diabetic group II did not have any impact on intact PTH level before therapy and also after vitamin D3 use .**Adamasco** *et al.*⁽¹²⁾ in their study showed mild decrease in PTH levels after vitamin D use in chronic kidney disease .**Respero and Arango**⁽¹¹⁾ recorded an inverse relationship between vitamin D and intact PTH.

The positive relationship between Intact parathyroid hormone and patients age mean value , within non diabetic group I before vitamin D3 use, was confirmed by the findings of a study conducted by Khosla et al. (14). The steroid vitamin D3 has abolished this relationship which did not exist after the treatment with vitamin D3 in non diabetic group I patients . The inverse relationship between Intact PTH and monocytes % in diabetic group II before treatment, has disappeared after vitamin D3 use , which could be due to normalization of the mildly elevated i PTH after the treatment with vitamin D3.

Serum alkaline-phosphatase was within normal limit in the non-diabetic and the diabetic groups before vitamin D3 use and it was not affected by vitamin D3 therapy . This could imply that our patients were on the low bone turnover side . Against our findings , **Respero and Arango**⁽¹¹⁾ found an inverse significant relationship between vitamin D level and serum alkaline phosphatase .

ESR1 and ESR2 have shown higher values in the diabetic group, before and after use of vitamin D3. This was confirmed by finding that ESR₂ had a chance of being higher within the diabetic group than non-diabetic group, before vitamin D3 use. This reflected the super-added inflammatory effect of diabetic state existence upon the chronic renal disease inflammatory state .Also, this result was supported by a significant O.R. (1.048) for diabetic group who had higher ESR2 than non-diabetic group after vitamin D3 use . O.R. value in itself has decreased after vitamin D3 use , but still the diabetic group had a higher chance of having higher ESR values than those of the non diabetic group. Our results are comparable to those found by **Amina** *et* $al.^{(15)}$ who found higher ESR values within type 2 diabetic patients.

Within diabetic group II after vitamin D3 use, the inverse relationship between serum calcium level and each of ESR_1 and and ESR_2 , reflected the direct inflammation suppressing effect of indirectly the calcium and inflammation inhibitory effect of vitamin D3 through increasing serum calcium and levels other pathways . Al -Homrany⁽¹⁷⁾ stated that one of the important factors contributing to high ESR level in uremia was hypo-calcemia, but this was not the case in our study .In the diabetic group after treatment, the positive relationship of ESR_1 to each of serum phosphorus mean level and intact I PTH, has reflected the role played by each of serum phosphorus and intact PTH as toxins existing within the imbalanced uremic milieu.

Almqvist *et al.*⁽¹⁶⁾ have found that , for patients having a state of hyper -parathyroidism for 1 year , it was enough to induce a rise in ESR .

Serum creatinine level rise in the diabetic and the non – diabetic groups, has occurred inspite of having a blood pressure figures around accepted values in both studied groups.Diabetic state did not play a role in making kidney function test worse within the diabetic group, whether before or after therapy.Our results are in agreement with those found by **Adamasco** *et al.*⁽¹²⁾ who stated an increase in kidney function tests following vitamin D use.

Estimated glomerular filtration rate (e GFR) rise after vitamin D3 therapy within the 2 studied groups, which implied that vitamin D3 has lessened the filtration pressure and pressure gradient between afferent and efferent arterioles, may be through affecting blood flow autoregulation within glomeruli by modulating renin – angiotensin system, which is necessary for maintaining filtration function within chronic kidney disease states. This assumption needs further studies at molecular mechanism level .Diabetic state did not affect the response of

eGFR to vitamin D3 therapy in diabetic patients. Our findings were confirmed by those found by Adamasco *et al.*⁽¹²⁾.

Protein / creatinine ratio has deteriorated within the 2 studied groups upon vitamin D3 use , being worse within the diabetic group .Against our results , **Yu** –**Hsien Lai and Te** – **Chao Fang** ⁽¹⁹⁾ stated that in the kidney , vitamin D exerts protective effects by inhibiting renal fibrosis, inflammation, and progression of proteinuria. Also, in controverse to our findings, **Respero and Arango**⁽¹¹⁾ have shown an inverse significant relationship between vitamin D level and 24 hour urinary protein.

Serum Na level decreased in non-diabetic group after vitamin D3 use, but not in the diabetic group. These findings confirmed the inhibitory effect of vitamin D3 on renin – angiotensin system, which is hyper-stimulated by the existence of diabetic state together with the chronic renal disease state in diabetic group.

Li ⁽¹⁸⁾ has reported that active vitamin D have shown a negative feedback on the renin – angiotensin system.

CONCLUSION

Bone profile parameters have shown a positive response to vitamin D3 use in stage 3 CKD, phosphorus, calcium except for serum phosphorus product , and serum alkaline phosphatase levels .The response to vitamin D3 was more prominent in the diabetic group. Kidney function measurements and proteinuria have deteriorated within both groups upon vitamin D3 use , being worse in the diabetic group .Nutritional supplements of vitamin D3 is necessary to be considered in the formulation of the dietary regimen of the stage 3 CkD, in addition to other measures limiting the increase in serum phosphorus and calcium - phosphorus product levels.

REFERENCES

- 1) Mehrotra A, Leung W, Joson T(2015) .Nutritional vitamin D supplementation and health – related outcomes in hemodialysis patients : a protocol for a systemic review and meta – analysis . Systematic reviews, 4 : 115 . DOI : 10.1186/s13643-015-0002-x
- 2) Silver j and Naveh Many T(2013) . FGF 23 and secondary hyperparathyroidism in chronic kidney disease . Nat.Rev.Nephrol., 9:641–649.
- 3) Levin A, Bakris GL, Molitch M *et al.*(2007). Prevalence of abnormal serum vitamin D, PTH, calcium, and phosphorus in patients with chronic kidney disease : Results of the study to evaluate early kidney disease . Kidney Int., 71:31-38.
- 4) Helvig CF, Cuerrier D, Hosfield CM et al.(
 2010) Dysregulation of renal vitamin D metabolism in the uremic rat. Kidney Int., 78:463-72.

- 5). Kovesdy CP, Mehrotra R, and Kalantar Zadeh K (2008). Bone and mineral disorders in predialysis CKD . Int. Urol. Nephrol., 40: 427 440.
- 6) Singer RF (2013). Vitamin D in dialysis : defining deficiency and rationale for supplementation . Semin. Dial., 26 : 40 46.
- 7) Kovesdy CP, Mehrotra R, Kalantar-Zadeh K(2008).Battleground : Chronic kidney disorders mineral and bone disease calcium obsession, vitamin D, and binder confusion. Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.,3: 168–173
- 8) Zimmerman DW(1987) . Comparative power of Student t – test and Mann – Whitney U test for unequal sample sizes and variances .Journal of Experimental Education, 55: 171 – 174.
- **9) Cheung MW- L& Chan W(2004)**. Testing dependent correlation coefficients via structural equation modeling . Organizational Research Methods , 7 (2): 206 -223.
- 10) Ginde AA, Liu MC, Camargo CA Jr(2009)
 Demographic differences and trends of vitamin D insufficiency in the US population, 1988 2004.
 Arch. Intern. Med., 169: 626 632.
- 11)Respero VCA and Arango JVA (2016). Vitamin D (25 (OH)D) in patients with chronic kidney disease stages 3 5. Columbia Medicavol., 47 (3): 160 166.
- 12)AdamascoCupisti , Valentina Vigo , Maria EnricaBaronti , et al.(2015) . Vitamin D status and cholecalciferol supplementation in chronic kidney disease patients : an Italian cohort report . Int. J. Nephrol. Renovasc. Dis., 8:151-157.

- 13) Lemiere JM, Adams JS, Bakke AC et al. (1985) .1,25
 –Dihydroxyvitamin D3 suppresses human T helper / inducer lymphocyte activity in vitro . J. Immunol.,134 : 3032 3035 .
- 14) Khosla S, Atkinson EJ, Melton LJ III et al. (1997) Effects of age and estrogen status on serum parathyroid hormone levels and biochemical markers of bone turnover in women : a population based study. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism, 82: 1522 – 1527.
- 15) AminaNadeem, Abdul khaliqNaveed, Muhammad MazharHussainet al.(2013) .Correlation of inflammatory markers with type 2 Diabetes MELLITUS in Pakistani patients JPMI .,27 (3) : 267 - 273.
- 16) Almqvist EG, Bondeson AG, Bondeson L, Svensson J(2011) . Increased markers of inflammation and endothelial dysfunction in patients with mild primary hyperparathyroidism . Scand. J. Clin. Lab . Invest., 71 (2): 139-44.
- 17) Al Homrany (2002) .The significance of extreme elevation of the erythrocyte sedimentation rate in hemodialysis patients . Saudi J. Kidney Dis Transplant ., 13 (2): 141 145 .
- 18) Li YC(2003) .Vitamin D regulation of the renin angiotensin system . Journal of Cellular Biochemistry , 88 (2): 327 -331 .
- **19)** Yu Hsien Lai and Te Chao Fang (2013). The pleiotropic effectof vitamin D . Review article .<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5402/2013/898125</u>