Studies On The Efficiency Of Aflatoxin Control Methods In The Poultry Farms

Walaa A Abu El-Ela, Mahmoud K I and Sanaa A A Awad
Department of Poultry and Rabbit Disease, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Mansoura
University, Mansoura, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Aflatoxicosis represents one of the serious diseases of poultry. The objective of the present study was to evaluate the efficacy of curcumin (CM), silymarin (Sil) and Nutritox in reducing the toxic effects of aflatoxin in white pekin ducklings. One hundred ninety two one day old white pekin ducklings were equally divided randomly into 8 equal groups, which include the following: The control group was fed commercial broiler feed that were tested to be free from aflatoxin, while another experiintal groups, namely 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 were containing, respectively, G2) basal diet (BD) + 700 ppb AF (aflatoxin); G3) BD + 700 ppb AF +10mg/kg feed CM (curcumin); G4) BD + 700 ppb ÅF + 500mg/kg of BW Sil (Silymarin); G5) BD + 700 ppb ÅF + 1gm/kg feed Nutritox; G6) BD + 10mg/kg feed of CM; G7) BD + 500mg/kg of B.W Sil; G8) BD + 1gm/kg feed Nutritox during study (1-21days). Results showed that, the addition of 1gm/kg feed Nutritox ameliorated to some extend the adverse effects of AF diet and improved growth performance. The addition of CM or Sil or Nutritox ameliorated the adverse effects of AF on some serum chemistry parameters [total protein, Creatinin, Aspartate aminotransferase during cetrain periods of our experiments (AST), alanin aminotransferase (ALT) and Alkaline phosphatase (ALP)]. Results revealed that the administration of CM, Sil and Nutritox in diet prevent or reduce some adverse effects of aflatoxin in ducks fed aflatoxin-conatminated diets during different periods of our experiments. Our study concluded that Nutritox can provide protection against aflatoxin more than curcumin and silymarin.

INTRODUCTION

Aflatoxin, considered to be one of the most potent fungal toxins, not only produces severe hepatotoxicity in animals but also poses a major threat to human beings. Commodities tropical countries are susceptible to contamination by the fungi Aspirgillus parasiticus and A. flavus, which produce this toxin. Aflatoxin-contaminated feed results in lower productivity of domestic animals and The most biologically active form poultry (1) of AF is aflatoxinB1 (AFB1) and it is responsible for decreased performance, increase liver lesions, and immunosuppression in poultry (2,3) and retardation in growth and an increase in mortalities (4,5).

Turmeric (Curcuma Longa) is a medicinal plant extensively used as home remedy for various diseases (6) The powdered rhizome of this plant; turmeric, is

used extensively to color and flavor foods. Its yellow color is imparted primarily by curcumin (7) . The rhizome of turmeric has a rich history in india as food spice, food preservative and coloring agent (8) A recent approach to prevent aflatoxicosis in poultry is the use of antioxidants in the diet. Plant compounds such as coumarins, flavonoids, and curcuminoids have been shown to inhibit biotransformation of AF to their epoxide metabolites, which are more genotoxic than the parent compound (9) Reports have shown that the curcuminoid have protective effects against AFB1 (10) Several studies have reported that Curcuma Longa is beneficial against aflatoxicosis at the level of the animal, but to date, no study has been published that reports on the beneficial effects of Curcuma Longa on hepatic gene expression of broiler chicks fed AF (1, 7)

Silymarin, a flavonolignan from silybum marianum, commonly known as "milk thistle", botanically related to Asteraceae family (Compositae) (11) The active constituents of the plant are obtained from the dried seeds and consist of 4 flavonolignans isomers which are collectively known as silymarin namely- silbin, isosilybin, silydianin and silychristin (12) offers good protection in various toxic models in experimental liver disease in laboratory animals. It acts as antioxidant, anti-lipid peroxidative, anti-inflammatory and regenerating mechanisms. Silymarin clinical application in alcoholic liver disease, liver cirrhosis, viral hepatitis and toxic and drugs induced liver damage (13)

Probiotic is a live microbial feed supplement which beneficially affects the host animal by improving its intestinal microbial balance. Probiotic preparations are being increasingly used in poultry diets to enhance growth rate, improve feed utilization and control intestinal infections. In poultry production dietary acids, live microfloral additives and mannanoligosaccharides in diets of chickens may help digestion by inhibiting bacterial growth and regulate pH value in intestines when incorporated into formulations (14)There are many commercial products used for detoxification including mycotoxin-binding agents promise for using contaminated feeds (15) Lactobaccillus cultures prevented absorption of aflatoxin from intestine (16)

The aim of these investigations was to evaluate two antimycotoxin herbs for controlling aflatoxicosis in white pekin duckling and to focus on the hepatoprotective of turmeric and silymarin (as a herbal compound) in prevention and treatment of hepatic damage induced by aflatoxin compared with Nutritox (as a detoxifying commercial product mixture).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Experimental diet

Control diet

Duckling diets was obtained from EL-Baraka Company and were analyzed in the Mycotoxins central lab and food safety of the National Research Center to ensure that it is free from aflatoxin using thin layer chromatography.

Artificially toxicated diet

Standard toxigenic strain of Aspergillus parasiticus NRRL2999 (ATCC) was used for production of aflatoxin, using fresh potato dextrose agar media (PDA) (17) Toxicated diet was prepared in laboratory of poultry disease Dept Faculty of Vet, Mansoura University by growing Aspergillus parasiticus strain NRRL2999 on rice (17) The mouldy rice was autoclaved, dried and ground to fine powder. It was analyzed for aflatoxin content by TLC in the Mycotoxins central lab and food safety of the National Research Center. Ground rice was added to duckling basal diet at concentration of 700 ppb aflatoxin.

Drugs

- Curcuma Longa: Curcumin rhizome powder was obtained from Sigma_ Aldrich, Company.
- 2. Silymarin (Legalex 70): It was obtained from Alexandria Company for Pharmaceuticals.
- 3. Nutritox: It was obtained from local market (PROFARM*).

Experimintal design and birds

One hundred ninety two one day old white pekin ducklings were equally divided randomly into 8 groups, each contains 24 birds. All birds were weighed at the beginning of the experiment weekly during our study (21days). Dietary treatments of groups are follows:

Group (1): Control diet without any additives. Group (2): BD (Basal diet) + 700 ppb AF (Aflatoxin)

Group (3): BD + 700 ppb AF +10mg/kg feed CM (Curcumin).

Group (4): BD + 700 ppb AF + 500mg/kg of BW Sil (Silymarin).

Group (5):BD + 700 ppb AF + 1gm/kg feed Nutritox.

Group (6):BD + 10mg/kg feed of CM (Curcumin).

Group (7):BD + 500mg/kg of B.W Sil (Silymarin).

Group (8): BD + 1gm/kg feed Nutritox.

Clinicopathological examination

All experimental ducklings were daily observed for clinical signs, on day 7, 14, 21 all life birds were weighed individually and total feed intake recorded for each pen. Average feed intake was corrected for mortality when calculating feed conversion for each cage by considering the total bird per days. All sacrificed and dead birds were subjected for postmortem examination. On 21st day 6 birds from each group were slaughtered for blood collection.

Serum biochemical analysis

On day 7, 14th individual blood samples were taken from 6 birds from jagular vein without anticoagulant into a dry and clean centrifuge tubes. On day 21st, 6 birds from each group were slaughtered for blood collection. Blood was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 min and serum separated and preserved at -20 © C until submitted for biochemical analysis. Serum sample were analyzed for total protein, creatinin, aspartate aminotransferase ((AST), alanin aminotransferase (ALT) and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) (18 – 20)

Statistical analysis

All data were grouped and expressed as means ± standard errors of the means. Obtained data (group means for all response variable in each experiment) were analyzed by analysis of variance (two ways ANOVA) (21) All statement of significance are based on the 0.05 level of probability (Statistical significance was accepted at P<0.05).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Ducks performance

One day old white pekin duckling were used in our experiments to judge the protective efficacy of curcumin, silymarin and Nutritox* against aflatoxicosis as far as the well known information about the highly susceptibility of such birds and ages to aflatoxins (5, 22) and because of the general role of using highly susceptible host during studying the protective efficacy of antitoxins. In the present work the cumulative mortality reach 50% in group (2) within 7 days of feeding ducklings on 700 ppb level of aflatoxin - contaminated diet. Selected dose were assumed to be 1 ppm to get the LD50 of white pekin duckling (22)unfortunately retesting of the contaminated ration proved to have 700 ppb. Mortality in duck reach 100% after 2 weeks period of feeding aflatoxin contaminated diet (23) . On contrary it has been reported that daily mortalities started to appear 7 days after feeding aflatoxin contaminated diet, peaked at the 12-day period of feeding contaminated diet (22) . Mortality in group (3) that treated with curcumin and fed AF contaminated diet appeared on 6th day and reach LD50 in the middle of 2nd week. Also in broiler chicken only one bird died in the group fed on basal diet+1.0mg/kg AFB1+444mg/kg TCMN (10). Minimum mortality was recorded in group (5). Lactobacillus cultures prevent absorption of aflatoxin from chicken duodenum (16). No mortality appeared in group (6), (7) and (8) fed on curcumin, silymarin and Nutritox. Curcuma Longa has no adverse effects on broilers and the safety of curcumin already approved (24) Silymarin has had a good safety record and only rare cases reported of gastrointestinal tract disturbance and allergic skin rashes (25, 26). This might disagree with our results (Table 5) that prove an increase in serum creatinine levels due to feeding curcumin (G6) and silymarin (G7) for 2 weeks. This increase was significantly differing than that of the control non medicated mates by the end of 2nd weeks of its feeding but not by the end of 3rd weeks.

As shown in Tables 2 and 3, aflatoxin cause a reduction in body weight, weight gain

Walaa et al.,

 $\textbf{Table 1} \cdot \textbf{Showing mortality during experimental period in different groups}$

G	_							1 st week	6								2	2 nd week									3 rd week			
]	1 2	2 :	3	4	5	6	Total 7 mortality	Cumulative mortality	e % cumulative mortality	: 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total mortality	Cumulative mortality	e % cumulativ mortality	/e 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total mortality	Cumulative mortality	e %
1	0) () () () () ()	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	mortality 0
2	0	0	0	1	. () 4		7 12	12	50	3	3	1	1	1	10	10	11	23	87.8	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	24	100
3	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	2 7	7	29.2	2	3	4	2	1	0	1	13	20	83.8	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	24	100
4	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	2	8.3	0	1	3	1	1	5	1	12	14	41.7	3	0	0	2	1	0	0	6	20	83.3
5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	4.2	0	1	1	1	2	1	1	7	8	33.3		1	2		2	0		9	17	
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					0					70.8
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0													Ü	U	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
o	0	U	U	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

NB.: Numbers were approximated to the nearest decimal point.

[☐] Birds died during blood sampling.

and growth performance. AFB1 200 ppb in diet significantly reduced the growth of 3 weeks old mule duckling and there were significant beneficial effects in average daily gain (27, 28) . Although weight gain of duckling fed Nutritox treated ration do not significantly reduced by feeding aflatoxin all over the experimental periods, yet the weight gain of duckling fed silymarin (group 4) were significantly adversely affected by aflatoxin in the first week of life but not in the 2nd and 3rd weeks and those of duckling fed curcumin (group 3) were adversely and significantly affected all over the

experimental periods by feeding aflatoxin treated ration. (29, 30).

The impact of dietary aflatoxin on the performance and growth rate of broilers suggesting a relationship between levels of aflatoxin in diet and growth rate. As shown in Table 3, FCR was significantly increased in aflatoxicated group and significantly decreased 5 treated with Supplementation of probiotics (Lactobacillus and Bacillus subtillis) in diet stimulated favorable microbial balance in gut and consequently improved FCR and growth performance in broiler (31-33).

Table 2. Body weights of different group at 1st, 7th, 14th, 21st day of age

Body weight (gm)									
Day 7	Day 14	Day 21							
158.1 <u>+</u> 4.97 ^a	266.0+7.66 a	340.2±5.06 a							
97.5±6.49 °		ND							
100.0±4.31 °	5-90-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-	ND							
107.1±3.81°		250.0±20.04 b							
106.4±3.86°									
143.8±4.91 b		266.0±11.13 b							
171.4+5.94 a		327.5±4.24°							
		338.5±12.12 ^a 336.3±3.58 ^a							
	158.1±4.97 a 97.5±6.49 c 100.0±4.31 c 107.1±3.81 c 106.4±3.86 c	158.1±4.97 a 266.0±7.66 a 97.5±6.49 c 118.5±21.5d 118.5±21.5d 149.8±3.35 c 107.1±3.81 c 185.0±3.80 b 106.4±3.86 c 200.4±3.78 b 143.8±4.91 b 256.08±8.71 a 269.38±6.98 a							

There were significant differences between groups at $(P \le (0.05)$.

Table 3. Feed intake, weight gain and FCR in different groups in 1st, 2nd and 3rd week

Group		1st week			2 nd week			3 rd week				
	Feed intake (g)	Body gain (g)	FCR	Feed intake (g)	Body gain (g)	FCR	Feed intake (g)	Body gain (g)	FCR			
1	155.1 ± 8.1^{abc}	106.9 ± 1.9^{ab}	1.45 <u>+</u> .05 ^d	300.9±23.1ª	107.9±5.0°	2.79+.09 ^{bcd}	193.5+.64"	74.17+1.67ª	2.61. och			
2	121.8±24.9bcde	43.8 <u>+</u> 6.7 ^d	2.76 <u>+</u> .15"	109.98±.35°	36.7±.71°	2.99±.005ª	ND	ND	2.61±.05 ^b			
3	101.9±16.3°	44.6 <u>+</u> 7.5 ^d	2.29±. 02b	144.6±1.8b	49.9+.71°	2.89±.005abc	ND		ND			
4	$116.7{\pm}10.4^{\text{cde}}$	55.8 <u>+</u> 3.9 ^d	2.09±.04bc	225.1+18.4ª	77.6 <u>+</u> 6.08 ^b	2.90±.010 ^{ab}	174.5±74.4°	ND	ND			
5	108.3±6.9de	57.3 <u>+</u> .64°	1.89 <u>+</u> .10 ^c	255.8+41.0ª	93.8+12.7 ^{ab}	2.72+.070 ^{de}	877	65.0±26.5°	2.66±.060			
6	146.3±5.1 abcd	93.1+1.46 ^b	1.57+.03 ^d	231.4±37.8ª	-		135.76±48.3ª	63.4 <u>+</u> 22.6ª	2.14±.00°			
7	182.4+3.8ª	122.4+1.8ª		-	97.3±13.5 ^{ab}	2.37±.060 ^f	209.9±21.1°	71.4 <u>+</u> 6.08	2.94±.045			
8	-		1.49±.01 ^d	253.9±11.5 ^a	97.9 <u>+</u> 3.67"	2.59±.020°	184.2±68.3°	69.2 <u>+</u> 25.4ª	2.66±.010			
	163.8±14.9ab	109.8 <u>+</u> 8.5°	1.49 <u>+</u> .02 ^d	284.0±18.2°	103.8 ± 4.17^{a}	2.74±.07 ^{cde}	190.4 <u>+</u> 20.8ª	72.3±7.29ª	2.63 �.022			

There were significant differences between groups at $P \le (0.05)$.

a, b, c values in a column with different subscript differ significantly (P \leq (0.05).

One bird was live by the end of the 2nd week.

NB.: Numbers were approximated to two decimal points.

 $^{^{\}text{a,b,c}}\text{values}$ in a column with different subscript differ significantly (P \leq (0.05)

One bird was live by the end of the 2^{nd} week.

NB .: Numbers were approximated to two decimal points.

ND: Not done.

Serum biochemistry

As shown in Table 4, feeding of aflatoxicated diet caused a significant increase in ALT, AST in 1st and 2nd week. Alteration of those enzymes during aflatoxicosis has been previously recorded (22, 34, 35) Aflatoxin reported to elevate serum of AST, ALT and ALP due to liver function damage, muscular trauma (2, 27) and hepatocellular damage in ducks Administration of curcumin showed significant improvement in ALT by the end of the 1st week and ALT and AST by the end of 2nd weeks of aflatoxin administration Curcumin appears to reduce the aflatoxin B1 toxicity by altering the microsomal activation of AFB1 and by increasing its detoxification. The therapeutic effects of curcumin are probably mediated through antioxidant and anti-inflammatory action and modulation of hepatic xenobiotic enzymes (37) cause significant improvement in ALT when compares to control in 2nd and 3rd week and on the AST in the 2nd weeks. The major activity of silymarin returned to its antioxidant properly, which makes it useful in the prevention of other organ-specific toxicities related to the induction of oxidative stress (38) Serum biochemical enzymes (ALT and AST) in group 5 fed on aflatoxin+Nutritox during 1st, 2nd and 3rd week of experiment revealed significant improvement in comparison to the normal picture except ALT in 1st week and in 3rd week. These findings indicate that the adverse effect of aflatoxin is reversible; while alkaline phosphatase levels do not significantly changed, yet moderate improvement in group 5 fed on Nutritox-aflatoxicated diet. Aflatoxin administration showed insignificant increase in alkaline phosphtase levels in group 2 when compared with control mates. Total protein significantly decreased by aflatoxin administration by the end of the 1st week of aflatoxin feeding and inwards. Decreasing serum total protein was significantly improved by addition of silymarin and Nutritox but this was true for one weeks of feeding the aflatoxicosed treated ration but not furthermore Silymarin produced a significant improvement in the total protein (39) This improvement could be due to improve protection of the cell

from damage through stimulation of polymerase rRNA which protect cell membrane from the free radicals which induced damage and blockage of the uptake of toxins (40).

Aflatoxin toxicity caused unsignificant increase in creatinine levels in group 2 by the end of 2nd week when compared to control mates. Similar biochemical alteration in renal function was reported by others workers (41) Increase serum creatinine may be attributed to nephrotoxic effect leading to renal dysfunctions (42)Using of silymarin and curcumin in aflatoxicated diet showed unsignificant difference when compared to control mates (non medicated control and aflatoxin medicated groups. Similar results were previously recorded (34, 44) .

From Table 1, one may conclude that aflatoxin is potent toxin to one day old white pekin duckling and feeding 700 ppb aflatoxin cause 100% mortalities by day 16 of age. Variable protective efficacies of curcuumin, silymarin and Nutritox against mortalities caused by aflatoxin appear clearly in Table 1. Comparing daily mortalities, weekly mortalities and cumulative mortalities of aflatoxicated ducklings (G2), mortalities of curcuminaflatoxicated ducklings (G3),silvmarinaflatoxicated ducklings (G4),Nutritoxaflatoxicated ducklings (G5) and their control non treated mates all over the experimental periods, we concluded that there is some sort of protection afforded by the different treatments.

Although protection against mortalities was not absolutely afforded by any the treatments, yet Nutritox appears to be the superior among the tested antitoxicants. Protection against mortalities afforded by any of the tested antitoxin was much better during the 1st week than the 2nd week. This may support the well known theories that the prompt withdrawal of contaminated feeds is the best treatments plus the usage of antimycotoxins. Lacking of clear significant differences in blood parameters (serum enzymes and total proteins) may be attributed to high mortalities especially in aflatoxin-treated-non medicated groups that led to low numbers of tested samples.

Table 4 · Serum chemical enzymatic levels

			1 st week					2 nd week					3 rd week		
G	ALT (U/L)	AST (U/L)	ALP (U/L)	TP (g/dl)	CR (mg/dl)	ALT (U/L)	AST (U/L)	ALP (U/L)	TP (g/dl)	CR (mg/dl)	ALT (U/L)	AST (U/L)	ALP (U/L)	TP (g/dl)	CR (mg/dl)
1	6.13 <u>+</u> 1.25 °	6.48+.175°	1716.3 <u>+</u> 294.9 ^a	2.87 <u>+</u> .45 ^{bc}	.30 <u>+</u> .00 ^a	20.37±1.32b	23.9±11.69 ^{ab}	1205.7 <u>+</u> 150.9 ^{ab}	3.82 <u>+</u> .59 ^a	.31±.05 ^{bc}	13.36 <u>+</u> 3.21 ^a	26.92 <u>+</u> 5.95 ^a	1145.5±303.5 ^{ab}	1.958 <u>+</u> 392 ^b	.49±.05ª
2	18.93 <u>+</u> 2.7 ^a	41.97+7.46 ^a	2325.5 <u>+</u> 147.0 ^a	1.07±.33 ^d	.27 <u>+</u> .03 ^{ab}	28.35±.550 ^a	33.24 <u>+</u> 6.41 ^a	1939.5 <u>+</u> 311.3 ^a	.76 <u>+</u> .31 ^b	.32 <u>+</u> .02 ^b	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
3	10.95±1.7 ^{bc}	39.13+5.98°	1851.2 <u>+</u> 155.2 ^a	1.07 <u>+</u> .20 ^d	.23 <u>+</u> .03 ^{ab}	24.87 <u>+</u> 81 ^{ab}	29.20 <u>+</u> 2.93 ^{ab}	1537.2 <u>+</u> 126.9 ^{ab}	1.29 <u>+</u> .28 ^b	.24±.02 bc	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4	15.68±1.87 ^{ab}	35.27+2.96 ^{ab}	2102.5 <u>+</u> 310.5 °	1.67 <u>+</u> .37 ^{cd}	.20 <u>±</u> 00 ^{ab}	22.04 <u>+</u> 4.45 ^b	28.24 <u>+</u> 1.89 ^{ab}	1426.8±314.5 ^{ab}	1.31 <u>+</u> .23 ^b	.22 <u>+</u> .01°	13.89 <u>+</u> 3.31 ^a	32.09 <u>+</u> 4.69 ^b	1191.3 <u>+</u> 168.2 a	.60 <u>+</u> .09°	.38 <u>+</u> .04 ^{bc}
5	13.66±1.44 ^{ab}	19.39±10.42bc	1765.0 <u>+</u> 359.1 ^a	1.73±.03 ^{cd}	.18 <u>±</u> .03 ^b	19.59 <u>+</u> 2.16 ^b	22.25±4.23 ^{ab}	1439.5±237.2 ^{ab}	.99 <u>+</u> .08 ^b	.23±.01 ^{bc}	16.77 <u>+</u> 3.77 ^a	34.95 <u>+</u> 3.69 ^b	1149.5 <u>+</u> 376.5 ^{ab}	.76±.06°	.29±.02°
6	6.55 <u>+</u> 1.61°	8.51 <u>+</u> 3.58°	1560.2 <u>+</u> 256.4 ^a	3.60 <u>+</u> .27 ^b	.28 <u>+</u> .06 ^{ab}	19.65 <u>+</u> .47 ^b	28.83 <u>+</u> 6.82 ^{ab}	1376 <u>+</u> 86.58 ^{ab}	2.83±.07ª	.47 <u>+</u> .05 ^a	11.21 <u>+</u> 2.16 ^a	35.82 <u>+</u> 4.0 ^b	700.7 <u>+</u> 91.12 ^{ab}	2.9±.20ª	.36±.04 bc
7	8.08 <u>+</u> 79 °	4.46 <u>+</u> 1.47 °	1704.5±187.5°	6.08±.83 ª	.25 <u>+</u> 03 ^{ab}	19.56 <u>+</u> .05 ^b	13.33 <u>+</u> 2.14 ^b	989 <u>+</u> 118.5 ^b	2.73 <u>+</u> .27 ^a	.48 <u>+</u> .01ª	16.33 <u>+</u> 2.13 ^a	29.97 <u>+</u> 1.74 ^{bc}	920.7 <u>+</u> 536.6 ^{ab}	2.76±.27 ^{ab}	.47 <u>+</u> .04 ab
8	6.16 <u>+</u> .35 ^c	6.66 <u>+</u> 2.19 °	1775.0 <u>+</u> 454.1 ^a	3.95 <u>+</u> 48 ^b	.23 <u>+</u> 05 ^{ab}	19.87 <u>+</u> .27 ^b	18.99 <u>+</u> 1.93 ^{ab}	877.3 <u>+</u> 394.0 ^b	3.27 <u>+</u> .81 ^a	.45 <u>+</u> 06 a	13.46 <u>+</u> 1.44 ^a	20.39 <u>+</u> 1.35°	628.7 <u>+</u> 365.9 ^b	2.35±.58 ^{ab}	.49 <u>+</u> .07 ^{ab}

There were significant differences between groups at $(P \le (0.05))$.

^{a, b, c} values in a column with different subscript differ significantly ($P \le (0.05)$.

ND: Not detremind.

NB.: Numbers were approximated to two decimal points. Blood sampling of the 2nd week done in day 13.

REFERENCES

- 1.Soni, K B, Lahiri M, Chackradeo P, Bhide S V and Kuttan R (1997):

 Protective effect of food additives on aflatoxin-induced mutagenicity and hepatocarcinogenicity. Cancer Lett. 115:129-133.
- 2.Kubena LF, Harrey RB, Phillips TD and Clement BA (1993): Effects of hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate on aflatoxicosis in broiler chicks. Poultry science; 72, 657-657.
- 3.Ledoux, DR, Rottinghous G E, Bermudez A J and Alonso- Debolt. M (1998): Efficacy of hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate to ameliorate the toxic effects of aflatoxin in broiler chicks. Poultry. Sci; 77: 204-210.
- 4.Abdelhamid A M (2010): Thirty two years (1978-2010) of mycotoxins research at Faculty of Agriculture, Almansoura University, Egypt. Mycotoxin research.
- Agag I (2004): Mycotoxins in foods and feeds. 1-Aflatoxin. Ass. Univ. Bull. Environ. Res. Vol. 7 No. 1.
- 6.Ammon H P T and Wahl M A (1991):
 Pharmacology of Curcuma longa. Planta
 Med 57: 1-7.
- 7. Yarru LP, Settivari RS, Gowda N KS, Antoniou E, Ledoux DR and. Rottinghous GE (2009): Effects of turmeric (Curcuma Longa) on the expiration of hepatic genes associated with biotransformation, antioxidant, and immune systems in broiler chicks fed aflatoxin. Poult Sci; 88: 2620-2627.
- 8. Emadi M and Kermanshahi M (2007): Effect of turmeric rhizome powder on the activity of some blood enzymes in broiler chickens. Int. J. Poult. Sci; 6: 48-51.
- 9. Lee SE, Campbell BC and Russel J et al., (2001): Inhibitory effects of naturally occurring compounds on aflatoxin by biotransformation. J Agric Food Chem.; 49, 5171-5777.
- 10. Gowda NKS, David R Ledoux, Goerge E Rottinghous, Alex J. Bermudez and Yin c. Chen (2009): Antioxidant efficacy of

- curcuminoides from turmeric (Curcuma Long L.) powder in broiler chickens fed diets containing aflatoxin B1. Br J of Nutrition; 102, 1629-1634.
- 11.Pradhan SC and Girish C (2006): Hepatoprotective herbal drug, silymarin from experimental pharmacology to clinical medicine. Indian J Med Res.; 124(5):491-504.
- 12. Vladimir Kren and Daniela Walterova., (2005): Slybin and Silymarin New effect and applications. Biomed. Papers; 149(1), 29-41.
- 13.Essam Abdou (2006): Clinicopathological studies on the effect of some medicinal plants used in hepatic damage. PhD thesis Fac. Vet. Med., Zagazig. Univ.
- 14Amer M M, EL-Bayomi Kh M and Zeinab M S Amin Girh (2011): Field studies on effect of probiotic on reproductivity of 51 weeks old broiler breeder chickens fed on mycotoxin contaminated ration. Journal of American Science; 7(4):840-844.
- 15.Piva A and Galvano F (1999): Nutritional approaches to reduce the impact of mycotoxins. Proc. Alltech. Ann. Symp., 15:381-399.
- 16.El-Nezami HH, Mykkanen P, Kankaanpaa S, Salminen and Ahokas, J (2000):
 Ability of Lactobacillus and Propionibacterium strains to remove aflatoxin B1 from chicken duodenum. J. Food. Prot., 63:594-552.
- 17. Shotwell O L, Hesseltine C W, Stubblefield R D and Sorenson W G (1966): American Society for Microbiology, 14(3).
- 18.Retiman S Frankel S (1957): A Colorimetric method for the determination of serum glutamic oxalacetic acid and glutamic pyruvic transaminases. Am J Clin Pathol; 28: 56-63.
- 19.Henry RJ (1974): Clinical chemistry principle and technique, 2nd ed. Harper and row; pp: 525.
- 20. Berth M and Delanghe J (2004): Protein preceptaion as a possible important pitfall

- in the clinical chemistry analysis of blood samples containg monoclonal immunoglobulins: 2 case reports and a review of literature. Acta Clin Belg, 59, 263.
- 21.SPSS PC (1994): SPSS for Windows Release 10.0 SPSS. Inc., USA.
- 22.Mahmoud KI and Korshom M (1996): Recovery of experimentally aflatoxicosed white Pekin duckling. Food borne contamination and Egyptian's Health.
- 23.Davis G S, Anderson KE, Parkursk CR, Rives DV and Hagler W M (1994):
 Mycotoxins and feed refusal by Pekin Ducks. Appl. Poultry Res; 3: 190-192.
- 24.Kermanshahi M and Rias A (2006): Effect of turmeric rhizomes powder (Curcuma Longa) and soluble NSP degrading enzyme on some blood parameters of laying hens. Int. J. Poult. Sci; 5: 494-498.
- 25.Saller R Meier R and Brigndi R(2001): The use of silymarin in the treatment of liver diseases, Drugs; 61: 2035-2063.
- 26. Suchy P, Jr , , Strakova E, Kummer V, Herzig I, Disarikova V, Blechova R and Maskova J (2008): Hepatoprotective effect of milk thistle (Silybum marianum) seed cake during the chicken broiler fattening. ACTA VET. BRNO, 77:31-38.
- 27. Yeong Hsiang Cheng, Tian Fuh Shen, Victor Fei pong, Pang, Bao-Ji Chen., (2000): Effects of alfatoxin and carotenoids on growth performance and immune response in mule duckling. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology; Part C (128) 19-26.
- 28. Xin— Yan Han, Qi- Chun Huang, Wei-Fen Li, Jun- Fong Jiang, Zi — Rong Xu., (2008): Changes in growth performance, digestive enzyme activities and nutrient digestibility of Cherry valley ducks in response to alfatoxin B1 levels .Live stock Science; 119, 216-220.
- 29. Dersjant-Li, Y, Verstegen MWA, Gerrits, WJJ (2004): The impact of low concentrations of aflatoxin deoxynivalenol

- or fumonisin in diets on growing pigs and poultry. Nutr. Res Rev; 16, 223-239
- 30.Hashem MA and Mohamed MH (2009):
 Haemato biochemical and pathological studies on aflatoxicosis and treatment of broiler chicks in Egypt. Vet Ital; 45(2): 323 337.
- 31.Chiang, S H and Hsieh W M (1995): Effect of direct-fed microorganisms on broilers growth performance and litter ammonia level. Asian-Aust. J.Anim. Sci. 8(2):159-162.
- 32. Khaksefidi A and Rahimi Sh (2005): Effect of probiotics inclusion in diet of broiler chickens on performance, feed efficiency and carcass quality. Asian-Aust. J.Anim. Sci. Vol 18 No 8: 1153-1156.
- 33.Abaza, I M, Shehata M A, Shoieb M S and Hassan I I (2008): Evaluation of some natural feed additive in growing chicks diets. Poult. Sci. 7(9): 872-879.
- 34.Ostrowski-Meissner H T(1984):
 Biochemical and physiological responses to growing chickens and duckling to dietary aflatoxins. Commowealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization, Prospect, Pox 239, Blacktown, NS.
- 35.Bintvihok A, Wijit Banlunara and Theerayuth Kaewamatawong, (2004):
 Alfatoxin detoxification by Esterfied Glucomannan in ducking. Current Science; Vol. 87, No. 1.
- 36.Mendez-Albores A, Del Rio- Garcia JC, and Moreno-Martinez E (2006):
 Decontamination of aflatoxin ducking feed with aqueous citric acid treatment. Animal feed Science and Technology; 135, 249-262.
- 37.Aggarwal BB, Sundarsm C, Malani N, and Ichikawa H (2007): Curcumin: The Indian solid gold. The molecular target and therapeutic uses of Curcumin in health and disease; 595:1-75.
- 38. Varzi, HN, Esmailzadeh S, Morowati H, Avizeh R, Shahriari A and Givi ME, (2007): Effect of silymarin and vitamine E

- on gentamicin-induced nephrotoxicity in dogs. J. Vet. Pharmacol. Ther; 30: 477-481.
- 39.Grizzle J, Hadley TL, Rotstein DS, Perrin SL, Gerhardt LE, Beam JD, Saxton AM, Jones MP and Daniel GB (2009): Effects of dietary milk thistle on blood parameters, liver pathology, and hepatobiliary scintigraphy in white carneaux pigeons (Columba livia) challenged with B1 aflatoxin. J Avian Med Surg.; 23(2):114-24.
- 40. Yen MH, Weng TC, Liu SY, Chai CY and Lin CC (2005): The hepatoprotective effect of Bupleurum Kaoi, an endemic plant to Taiwan, against dimethyl nitrosamine induced hepatic fibrosis in rate. Biol. Pharm. Bull; 28(3) 442-448.
- 41.Gouda I M, El-Sadek SE, Tanions N I and Edris GO (1994): Influence of dietary

- alfatoxin recovery on some hepatorenal function in broiler chicken. Beni Suef Vet. Med Res; IV (No. 1/2).
- 42. Sreemannarayana O, Marquardt RR, Frohlich AA, Abramson D and Phillips TD (1989): Organ weights, liver constituents, and serum components in growing chicks feed ochratoxin A. Arch. Envirom. Contam. Toxicol; 18: 404-410.
- 43.Arafa MM, Badrawy N A and H Samaha (2007): A field trial to estimate the effect of Lactobacillus and yeast as antimycotoxin in broiler's ration. N. Egypt. J. Microbial. Vol.17.
- 44.El-Husseiny O M, Abdullah A G and Abdel-Latif K O (2008): The influence of biological feed additives on broiler performance. Poult. Sci. 7(9): 862-871.

الملخص العربي

دراسات على كفاءة طرق الحماية من الأفلاتوكسين في مزارع الدواجن

ولاء احمد أبو العلا ، كامل ابراهيم ابو العزم ، سناء احمد سلامه قسم الدواجن - كلية الطب البيطري - جامعة المنصورة

يعتبر التسمم بالأفلاتوكسين واحدا من الأمراض الخطيرة في الدواجن .وكان الهدف من هذه الدراسة هو تقييم فعالية الكركم، والسيليمارين والنتريتوكس * في الحد من الآثار السامة للأفلاتوكسين في فراخ البط البيكيني الأبيض.

مانه وانثان وتسعون فرخ من البط البيكيني عمر يوم واحد قسمت عشوائيا إلى ٨ مجموعات متساوية، والتي تشمل ما يلي :تم تغذية المجموعة الضابطة بعلف تجاري تم اختباره ليكون خالي من الأفلاتوكسين، بينما قسمت المجاميع وهي: ٢, ٣, ٤, ٥, ٦, ٧ و ٨ الذي يتضمن، على التوالي : (٢) علف ملوث ب ٧٠٠ جزء في البيلون افلاتوكسين + ١٠ مجم من الكركم لكل كيلو علف (٤) علف ملوث ب ٧٠٠ جزء البليون افلاتوكسين + ١٠ مجم من الكركم لكل كيلو وزن, (٥) علف ملوث ب ٧٠٠ جزء من السيلمارين لكل كيلو وزن, (٥) علف ملوث ب ٧٠٠ جزء في البليون افلاتوكسين + ١ مجم من السيلمارين لكل كيلو وزن, (٥) علف من الافلاتوكسين + ١٠ مجم من التريتوكس لكل كيلو علف (٦) علف تجاري خالي من الافلاتوكسين + ١٠ مجم من السليمارين لكل كيلو وزن, (٨) علف تجاري خالي من الافلاتوكسين + ١٠ مجم من النتريتوكس لكل كيلو علف لمده ٢١ يوم .وأظهرت النتائج أن إضافة اجم من النتريتوكس الأثار السلبية للافلاتوكسين وتحسين أداء النمو .تحسن إضافة الكركم والسليمارين والنتريتوكس الأثار للسلبية للافلاتوكسين على بعض الانزيمات الكيميائيه لمصل الدم. كشفت النتائج أن السليمارين والنتريتوكس والنتريتوكس في النظام الغذائي منع أو حد من الأثار السلبية للأفلاتوكسين في علف البط الملوث بالافلاتوكسين خلال فترة التجربة. وقد خلصت الدراسة في أن النتريتوكس يوفر حماية أكثر ضد الأفلات كسين عن الكركم و السيليمارين.