# Effect Of Digestive Enzymes On Growth Performance And Some Hematobiochemical Parameter In Pekin Duckling

# Nesereen A Shawky\*, Eman S Abd El Hamid\*, Halla Salah\* Adel E, Mostafa\* and Nahad A. Kamora\*\*

(Biochemistry \* and Clinical pathology \*\* department) Animal Health Research Institute (Zagazig branch)

#### **ABSTRACT**

The objective of this work was design to study the effect of enzymes on body weight and some blood constituent parameters in Pekin duckling. Sixty healthy pekin ducklings, unsexed one day old, (body weight of 65.85-66.04 g) were used in this study. Ducklings were divided into three equal groups (20 each). Ducklings in the first group were fed on basal ration only (control group) but duckling in the second and third groups were fed on basal ration with 100 mg and 200 mg multienzymes / kgm ration respectively for 30 day from the 1<sup>st</sup> to the 30thday of age. All duckling were weighed individually at start of the experiment and at 1st day post supplementation where body performance was recorded. Five ducklings were slaughtered from each group at 1st and 10th day post supplementation and blood samples were taken for hemato-biochemical analysis.

Multienzyme in both doses induce improve in weight gain, feed conversion rate, significant increase in total proteins, albumin, globulin, total lipid, cholesterol, triglycerides beside insignificant increase in RBCs count, Hb content, PCV%, MCHC, basophils, monocytes, glucose, AST, ALT, ALP, GGT and insignificant decrease in MCV, MCH, leucocytic count, lymphocytes, heterophils, eosinophils, uric acid and Creatinine.

In conclusion, multienzyme in both doses induced improvement in body weight gain and feed conversion rate without adverse effect on hemato-biochemical parameters, therefore its better to use these enzymes in ration of duckling as growth promoters.

#### INTRODUCTION

Ducks are considered the 2<sup>nd</sup> species among poultry following chickens (1). Duck farming is getting popularity day by day, as ducks are resistant to some diseases as gamboro and neucastel diseases (2).

Growth promoters can be defined as substances which will increase growth rate and increase feed efficiency (3). Its a chemical and biological substances (probiotics, prebiotics, enzymes, acidifiers, antioxidants, antibiotics and absorption enhancers) which are added to feed to improve growth of livestock (4). Currently, enzymes have been used as a feed and water supplement in diets of different classes of poultry to enhance productive

performance and immune responses (5). They initiate and accelerate rate of chemical reactions that transform dietary substrates into products of biological significance for broiler growth and production (6), improve nutritive value of ration (7) and improving growth (8). Its used to improve digestibility of mono-gastric animal ration as poultry (9). Enzyme aid to increase availability of protein, fats and carbohydrate (10). It improves digestion, absorption and rations utilization (11).

The present study was performed to investigate the effect of multienzymes on growth performance, blood picture and some blood constituent in pekin duckling.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Kemzyme

It is a powder mixture of enzyme (α amylase, hemicellulose,  $\beta$  glucanas lipase, cellulose and proteases) obtained from "Kemin Company, Egypt".

#### Ducklings

Sixty healthy Pekin ducklings, one day old, unsexed, body weight 65.85-66.04 g were obtained from a local hatchery. Duckling was housed under hygienic conditions and fed a balanced ration during experiment period.

#### Experimental design

Ducklings were divided into 3 groups (20 each). The 1st group of ducklings were fed basal ration only (control group), while the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> group duckling fed on basal ration with 100mg (12) and 200 mg Kemzyme/kgm ration respectively. Supplementation was continuing for 30 daily from 1st day to 30day of age.

### Body weight

All duckling were weighted individually at start of the study and at 1st day post supplementation for calculation body weight gain and feed conversion rate.

## Sampling and analysis

At 1st and 10th day post supplementation, 5 ducklings from each group were slaughtered and two blood samples were taken, 1st sample was taken in tube containing EDTA as anticoagulant for estimation blood picture (13),

2<sup>nd</sup> sample was taken without anticoagulant to obtain clear serum after centrifugated at 3000 rpm for 5 minutes for estimation of serum total protein (14) albumin (15), globulin (calculated difference between total protein and albumin), AST-ALT (16) ALP (17) GGT (18), total lipid(19), cholesterol (20), triglyceride (21), urea (22) and Creatinine (23).

Statistical Analysis Obtained data was statistically analyzed using T test (24).

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Multienzyme in ration induced a significant improvement in body weight gain and feed conversion rate table (1) which is in agreed with similar results in broiler (25) and in rabbits (26) respectively. Enzyme has had a better effect in weight gain and feed conversion rate in broiler (27). Elevation in body weight may be due to enzymes lower viscosity of intestinal contents and improve digestibility of nutrient lead to increase in body weight (28) or due to effect of enzyme in metabolizable energy value that leads to improve in protein, fat and carbohydrate digestabilities. Other authors (10,29). Enzymes improve nutritive value of ration.

Table 1.Effect of enzymes on body performance in duckling (n=5)

|                        |                                     |                                   | 0 ( -)                    |                                   |                    |  |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Parameter              | Initial body<br>weight<br>(gm/bird) | Final body<br>weight<br>(gm/bird) | Weight gain<br>(gm/ bird) | feed<br>consumption<br>(gm/ bird) | feed<br>conversion |  |
| Control duckling       | 65.85±0.86                          | 643.03±15.18                      | 577.18±9.46               | 1096.29                           | rate(FCR)          |  |
| 100 mg Kemzyme         | 66.04±0.92                          | 720.19±12.92**                    | 654.15±8.89 **            | 1050.83                           | 1.61               |  |
| 200 mg Kemzyme         | 65.10±0.79                          | 732.28±14.64**                    | 667.18±14.29**            | 1044.17                           |                    |  |
| * Significant at P < 0 | 0.1                                 | 1.07                              | 007.10±14.29              | 1044.1/                           | 1.57               |  |

<sup>\*\*</sup> Significant at P ≤ 0.01

In the present study, it has been shown that multienzymes in both doses results in insignificant increase in RBCs, Hb and PCV%,

mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration, beside insignificant decrease mean corpuscular volume, mean corpuscular

hemoglobin in pekin duckling table, 2). Such data go hand in hand with others (30,31) who found that BCs, Hb and PCV% were within normal ranges post using enzymes in broilers

ration. Another study (32) reported that enzymes induced insignificant increase in RBCs, Hb and PCV% in quails.

Table 2. Effect of enzymes on hemogram in duckling (n=5)

|           | <b>D</b>                  | Control         | Enzymes treated duckling |                 |                      |             |  |
|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------|--|
| Parameter |                           | duckling        | 1 <sup>st</sup> day      |                 | 10 <sup>th</sup> day |             |  |
|           |                           | 5               | 100mg                    | 200mg           | 100 mg               | 200 mg      |  |
|           | RBC's $(10^6/\text{mm}3)$ | $3.72 \pm 0.51$ | 4.09±0.38                | 4.21±0.39       | 3.83±0.23            | 3.68±0.45   |  |
| Наетодгат | Hb (g/dl)                 | 13.80±1.93      | 14.69±1.60               | 14.89±1.33      | 14.10±1.42           | 14.06±1.06  |  |
|           | PCV (%)                   | 39.07±1.73      | 40.12±1.55               | 40.56±1.40      | 39.23±1.37           | 39.30±1.40  |  |
|           | MCV (fL)                  | 104.94±6.39     | 98.09±7.85               | 96.37±5.89      | 102.43±4.49          | 106.79±5.20 |  |
|           | MCH (pg)                  | 37.12±0.89      | 35.92±0.86               | 35.37±0.74      | 36.82 ±0.69          | 38.55 ±0.53 |  |
|           | MCHC (g/dL)               | $3.53 \pm 0.11$ | $3.66 \pm 0.17$          | $3.67 \pm 0.19$ | $3.59 \pm 0.18$      | 3.58 ±0.21  |  |

<sup>\*\*</sup> Significant at P ≤ 0.01

In the current study, multienzymes in both doses results in insignificant decrease in leucocytic count lymphocytes, heterophils and eosinophils coupled with insignificant increase in basophils and monocytes in pekin duckling (table, 3). Our recorded data added further support to previous report (33) they found that

daily addition of enzymes in rabbit ration for 30 days elicited an insignificant decrease in total leucocytic count, lymphocytes and neutrophiles. Enzymes in diet evoked insignificant increase basophils and monocytes (34).

Table 3. Effect of enzymes on leukogram (10<sup>3</sup>cu.mm) in duckling (n=5)

|  | Parameter  Total leukocytic count |             | Control    | Enzymes treated duckling |            |                      |            |  |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------|------------|--------------------------|------------|----------------------|------------|--|
|  |                                   |             | duckling   |                          | day        | 10 <sup>th</sup> day |            |  |
|  |                                   |             | -          | 100mg                    | 200mg      | 100 mg               | 200 mg     |  |
|  |                                   |             | 12.03±1.48 | 11.63±1.31               | 11.72±1.27 | 12.02±1.51           | 12.16±1.39 |  |
|  | Differential                      | Lymphocytes | 4.40±0.22  | 4.12±0.36                | 4.11±0.42  | 4.33±0.34            | 4.42±0.23  |  |
|  | Leukocytic<br>Count               | Heterophils | 3.54±0.19  | 3.43±0.31                | 3.50±0.26  | 3.52±0.22            | 3.55±0.26  |  |
|  |                                   | Eosinophils | 1.75±0.15  | 1.55±0.32                | 1.57±0.27  | 1.73±0.31            | 1.76±0.42  |  |
|  |                                   | Basophils   | 1.23±0.20  | 1.31±0.27                | 1.30±0.41  | 1.27±0.22            | 1.28±0.41  |  |
|  |                                   | Monocytes   | 1.11±0.17  | 1.22±0.20                | 1.24±0.23  | 1.17±0.33            | 1.15±0.46  |  |

Analysis of serum revealed that signific- ant increase in serum total proteins, albumin and globulin at the 1<sup>st</sup> day post feeding pekin duckling on ration containing multienzymes for 30 day (table 4). Our observation results agree with other authors (35,31) in broiler chickens. Broilers fed diet contain multienzymes exhibited increase in total protein and globulin

(36). Increase in serum total proteins, albumin and globulin may be due to increase in digestion, absorpt- ion and increase protein anabolism of birds (11). Beside to enzyme aid to increase availability of protein and amino acids lead to increase protein profile in broiler (37,38).

Table 4. Effect of enzymes on protein profile in duckling (n=5)

| Parameter            | Control   | enzymes treated duckling |            |                      |           |  |
|----------------------|-----------|--------------------------|------------|----------------------|-----------|--|
| rarameter            | duckling  | 1 <sup>st</sup> day      |            | 10 <sup>th</sup> day |           |  |
|                      |           | 100 mg                   | 200 mg     | 100 mg               | 200 mg    |  |
| Total protein (g/dl) | 6.06±0.39 | 7.86±0.48*               | 7.99±0.57* | 6.59±0.43            | 6.63±0.53 |  |
| Albumen (g/dl)       | 3.42±0.22 | 4.32±0.24*               | 4.40±0.28* | 3.74±0.47            | 3.70±0.49 |  |
| Globulin (g/dl)      | 2.64±0.24 | 3.54±0.23*               | 3.59±0.22* | 2.85±0.32            | 2.93±0.37 |  |
| A/G ratio            | 1.30±0.15 | 1.22±0.11                | 1.23±0.16  | 1.31±0.12            | 1.26±0.16 |  |

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at P ≤ 0.05

Statistical analysis of the obtained results proved that dietary multienzymes in both doses caused a significant increase in total lipid, cholesterol, triglycerides and insignificant increase in serum glucose in pekin duckling (table 5). Our results were agreed with other

(39, 40) in broiler. Elevation in triglyceride may be due to enzymes improve fat digestion and absorption (41). Same change in glucose was recorded in chicken fed enzymes (42). However (43) found that dietary multi-enzymes had no effect on serum glucose

Table 5.Effect of enzymes on lipid profile and glucose in duckling (n=5)

| Parameter       |               | Control     | Enzymes treated duckling |              |                      |             |  |
|-----------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------|--|
|                 |               | duckling    | 1 <sup>st</sup>          | day          | 10 <sup>th</sup> day |             |  |
|                 |               | B           | 100 mg                   | 200 mg       | 100 mg               | 200 mg      |  |
| Lipid           | Total lipids  | 472.70±6.07 | 499.39±7.22*             | 503.63±8.43* | 490.06±8.92          | 494.30±8.93 |  |
| profile (mg/dl) | Cholesterol   | 118.13±1.61 | 124.43±1.63*             | 125.05±1.58* | 119.06±1.72          | 119.21±1.75 |  |
| (mg/dl)         | Triglycerides | 139.40±2.56 | 151.07±3.72*             | 150.73±3.41* | 145.05±3.83          | 144.20±3.50 |  |
| Glucose (mg/dl) |               | 123.38±3.54 | 129.53±4.82              | 130.21±4.94  | 125.04±4.29          | 124.89±3.75 |  |

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at P < 0.05

Dietary addition of multienzymes to pekin duckling revealed insignificant increase in serum AST, ALT, ALP and GGT activities beside insignificant decrease in uric acid and creatinine levels in duckling table (6). This result is in accordance with (44-48) in broilers.

Decreased uric acid may be due to increase anabolic rather than catabolic pathway of birds fed diet contains enzymes (30). Enzymes did not produce any alteration in serum uric acid and creatinine indicating enzymes feed additives are safe (49).

Table 6. Effect of enzymes on liver enzymes and Kidney function in duckling (n=5)

| Parameter                  |            | Control    | enzymes treated duckling |            |                      |                 |
|----------------------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|------------|----------------------|-----------------|
|                            |            | duckling   | 1 <sup>st</sup> day      |            | 10 <sup>th</sup> day |                 |
|                            |            |            | 100 mg                   | 200 mg     | 100 mg               | 200 mg          |
| Liver                      | AST        | 18.81±0.94 | 20.07±0.78               | 20.59±0.85 | 19.05±0.71           | 19.26±0.93      |
| enzymes                    | ALT        | 23.65±0.88 | 24.16±0.78               | 24.72±0.91 | 25.14±0.87           | 25.03±0.71      |
| (U/L)                      | ALP        | 17.50±0.75 | 18.17±0.68               | 18.61±0.82 | 17.66±0.73           | 17.59±0.52      |
|                            | GGT        | 18.94±0.74 | 20.08±0.82               | 20.16±0.91 | 19.23±0.53           | 19.18±0.49      |
| Kidney<br>Function (mg/dl) | Uric acid  | 5.06 ±0.25 | $4.63 \pm 0.53$          | 4.92 ±0.42 | 4.28 ±0.41           | 4.84 ±0.19      |
| Tunetion (mg/ui)           | Creatinine | 2.17±0.35  | 1.98 ±0.19               | 1.80 ±0.22 | $1.99 \pm 0.32$      | $1.89 \pm 0.34$ |

In conclusion, multienzyme in both doses induced improvement in body weight gain and feed conversion rate without adverse effect on hemato-biochemical parameters, so its recommended to use enzymes in ration of duckling as growth promoters.

#### REFERENCES

- 1.Mohan K and Kumar P (2008):
  Pasteurellosis in a Duck Vet World 1(12)
  48-56
- 2.Ahmed Mona A, Fatma M and Amina A Dessouki (2012): clinicopathological studies on bacterial respiratory diseases in ducks. Zag. Vet J 40 (4)46-57
- 3.Sabina M and Ligita B (2012): Effect of dietary supplementation with sapropel on ducklings growth and quality indices. Vet Med Zoot T. 60 (82) 45-51
- 4.Zhou Y, Jiang D and Wang T (2009): Effect of different growth promoters in broiler performance. Poult. Sci, 88:16–22
- 5.Cowieson A (2005): Factors that affect the nutritional value of maize for broilers. Animal Feed Science and Technology, 119, 293-305.
- 6.Taylor J and Spring P (2008): Gut efficiency; the key ingredient in pig and poultry production. Wageningen Academic Publishers.
- 7.Kocher A, Choct M and Broz J (2002): Effect of enzymes of feed on nutritive value of soybean meal fed to broiler.Br. Poult. Sci., 43: 54-63.
- 8.Café B, Borges C and Waldroup P (2002):
  Avizymes improve performance of broilers fed corn-soybean meal-based diets. J. Applied Poult. Res., 11:20-33.
- 9. Okorie K, Ehirim F and Okoro C (2011): Effect of enzyme on performance and biochemical profile of finisher broilers. Global Res J of Sci., 78(5) 86-92.

- 10.Cowieson A and Ravindran V (2008): Sensitivity of broiler starters to three doses of an enzyme cocktail in maize – based diets.Br. Poult Sci., 49:340-346
- 11.Bedford M and Schulze H (2000): Exogenous enzymes for pigs and poultry. Nutr. Res. Rev., 12: 91-114.
- 12.Liu K (2001): Kemzym (Dry and amino acid).Kem.Tek Asia, 1(2) 45-67
- 13 Jain N (2000): Veterinary Haematology 8<sup>th</sup>Ed, Lea& Febiger, Philadelphia
- 14.Doumas B, Certor R, Peers T and Schafler R (1981): Acandidate method for determination of total protein in serum. Clin. Chem. (27):1642-1647
- 15.Drupt F (1974): Colorimetric determination of albumin. Phar. Bio.9
- 16.Reitman S and Frankel S (1957): Colorimetric determination of SGOT and SGPT activity Am J clin Path (28):56 – 59
- 17 John D (1982): Clinical Laboratory Method for Determination of Alkaline Phosphatas, 9<sup>th</sup> Ed., 580-581
- 18.Szasz G (1969): Quantitative determination of gamma glutamyl trasferase (GGT) in serum or plasma Clin.Chem.22, 24-36.
- 19.Knight J, Anderson (1972): Chemical spovaniilin reaction total lipids. J. Clin. Chem. 18:199.
- 20.Allain C, Poon L and Fu P (1974): Enzymatic determination of total serum cholesterol. Clin. Chem., 20:470-5.
- 21.Wahlerfeld A (1974): In methods of enzymatic analysis Vol 5 HU Bergmeyer, Ed. Academic Press New York P831-835
- 22.Patton C and Crouch S (1977): Colorimetric determination of urea in blood. Anal. Chemistry.49:464-469.
- 23.Henry R (1974): determination of creatinine. Clin. Chem. Prinicipale, 35-40
- 24.Pertri A and Watson P (1999): "statistics for veterinary and animal science" 1st

- Edition, pp 90-99, the Blackwell science Ltd, UK.
- 25.Safamehr A, Fallah F and Nobakht A (2013): performance and biochemical parameters of broilers on diets contain enzyme. Iran J of Appl Ani Sci., 3 (1): 31-37
- 26.Bughdadi F, Attia K and Sohair Y (2014): A Comparative Study on the Effects of Enzymes and Antibiotic Growth Promoter on Haemostatic Mechanism of Rabbit Bucks. J of Agri. Sci.; 6 (1):, 161-166
- 27.Rabie M, Ismail F and Sara K (2010): Effect of probiotic and enzyme on performance and nutrient digestibility of broilers. Egypt. Poult. Sci. 30 (I): 79-101
- 28.Bedford M (2000): exogenous enzymes in monogastric nutrition-their current value and future benefits. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol., 86: 1-13.
- 29.Kocher A, Choct M and Broz J (2002): Effect of enzymes of feed on nutritive value of soybean meal fed to broiler.Br. Poult. Sci., 43: 54-63.
- 30. Yadava P, Udeybir K and Verma D (2009):
  Performance of broiler chicken supplementated multienzyme. Ani Nutr. and Feed Tech: 9 (1): 83 88
- 31.Paul R, Ahmad N and Hasan N (2010): Effects of multienzymes on body weight and haemato-biochemical parameter in broilers. J. Bangl Agril. 8 (1): 39–44
- 32.Shehab A, Kamelia M, Tahia E and Esmail F (2012): Effect of dietary enzyme on hemato-biochemical parameters of Quails. J Ani Sci Adv, 2(9):34-39
- 33.Sarat C, Gnana P and Kondal R (2012): Effect of enzymes on the haematology of rabbits reared under two housing systems. Vet World 5(12): 48-53
- 34.Rashid M, Ahmed S and Myenuddin N (2007): Effect of Enzyme Supplementation on hematobiochemical parameter in broiler. Bangl. J Vet Med 5 (2): 55-58

- 35.Naqvi L and Nadeem A (2004):
  Bioavailability of metabolizable energy through kemzyme supplementation in broiler rations Pakistan Vet J 24(2)98-100
- 36.Ragab, Mona S and Osman A (2008): Effect of multienzymes on perform-ance of broiler chicks during the finisher period. Egypt. Poult. Sci., 28(3):799-814.
- 37.Acamovic T (2001): Commercial application of enzyme technology for poultry production. World's Poult. Sci. J., 57: 225-242.
- 38.Brufau J and Franchcesch M (2002): exogenous enzymes in poultry feeding. Recent development. 11<sup>th</sup> European Poultry Conf, Bremen, Germany
- 39. El-Menawey M, Ali A and Stino F (2010): Influence of enzyme in diet on egg production and some blood constitu-ents of hens. Egy. Poult. Sci., 30, 61-78
- 40.Abou-Zeid A, Amdr K and El-Mansy M (2007): Influence L-carnitine at different dietary energy level on broiler performance. Egy Poul. Sci, 27(I) 21-50
- 41.Hajati H, Rezaei M, and Sayyahzadeh H (2009): Effects of enzyme on per-formance and some blood parameters of broilers. Inter. J. Poult. Sci., 8:199-205.
- **42.Cho H, Zhao P and Kim I (2012):** Effect of enzyme in energy density diet on growth performance and blood profiles in broiler. J. of Agri Sci, 4: 61-68.
- 43.Corduk M, Ceylan N and Ildiz F (2007): Effects of dietary multienzymes supplementation on growth performance and blood parameters of broiler chickens. South African J. Anim. Sci., 37 (2): 65-73.
- **44.Ibrahim** *K* and Saleh *E* (2005): Response of male broiler chicks to skip a day feeding Programs. Egypt Poult. Sci., 25: 351-37.
- 45.Notash S, Mirzaii H and Khah A (2011): Multienzymes Feed Additives in biochemical parameter in broiler. J of Vet Med 5 (1) 145-170

- 46.Yeon L, Jong S and Chang W (2010): Effects of enzyme on performance in broiler chicks fed corn-wheat-soybean diets. Asian J Anim. Sci. 23(9):88–94
- 47.Mousa A (2008): Physiological studies on the effects of some feed additives on some metabolic and haemostatic parameters in broilers. PhD thesis. Cairo Univ
- 48.Sherif K (2009): Effect of using probiotics and enzymes in broiler performance. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 34 (5): 4493-4505
- 49.Al Harthi A (2006): impact of feed enzymes, condiments mixture or combination on broiler performance and plasma constituents. Int J of Poultry Sci 5 (8): 64-71.

# الملخص العربى الأنزيمات الهاضمة على كفاءة النمو وبعض الوظانف ألهيماتوبيوكيميائية في البط البيكيني

نسرين احمد شوقى "،ايمان سعودى عبد الحميد"، هاله صلاح"، عادل السيد مصطفى "، ناهد عبد الحفيظ قمور " « معهد بحوث صحة الحيوان (فرع الزقازيق) (أقسام الكيمياء الباثولوجيا الاكلينيكيه ")

أجريت هذه الدراسة لمعرفة تأثير مجموعه الانزيمات الهاضمه (الكيمزيم) على وزن الجسم وبعض القياسات البيوكيميائية في البط البيكيني. في هذه الدراسة تم أستخدام عدد ٢٠ بطه بيكيني عمر يوم واحد غير مجنسه ووزنها يتراوح بين ٢٠,٥٠ – ٢٠,٨٥ وقسم هذا البط إلى ثلاث مجموعات متساوية (٢٠ بطه في كل مجموعة) المجموعة الأولى بطيتم تغذيته على العليقه الاساسيه فقط (مجموعة ضابطة). المجموعة الثانية والثالثه بطيتم تغذيته على العليقة الاساسيه مضاف اليها مجموعه الانزيمات (الكيمزيم) ١٠٠ مجم / كجم من العليقة و ٢٠٠ مجم / كجم من العليقة على التوالي لمده ٣٠ يوم الأولى من عمر البط. يتم وزن البط في بداية التجربة وعند اليوم الاول و العاشر من نهاية الإضافة وحساب كمية العلف المستخدمة لكل مجموعة لدراسة تأثير ات مجموعه الانزيمات على وزن الجسم ومعدل التحويل الغذاني. تم ذبح ٥ بطه عند اليوم الاول و العاشر من نهاية الإضافة ويتم اخذ عينتين دم من كل بطه وذلك لدراسة تأثير الكيمزيم على صورة الدم وبعض القياسات البيوكيميائيه.

أظهرت النتائج ان البط الذي تناول العليقه مضافا إليها مجموعه الانزيمات بالجرعتين لمده ٣٠ يوم متتاليه أدي إلى وجود زيادة معنويه في أوزان البط, البروتين الكلى ,الزلال, الجلوبيولين, الدهون الكليه, الكليستيرول, الدهون الثلاثيه بجانب وجود زياده غير معنويه في العدد الكلى لكرات الدم الحمراء, الكليستيرول, الدهون الثلاثيه بجانب وجود زياده غير معنويه في العدد الكلى لكرات الدم المضغوطه, MCHC,الخلايا القاعديه,الخلايا الملتهمه الكبيره, انزيمات الكبد الكلى لكرات الدم المحدد الكلى لكرات الدم البيضاء,الخلايا الليمفاويه, الخلايا خلايا الهيتيروفيل, الخلايا الحامضيه, حمض اليوريك والكرياتينين وتحسن ملحوظ في معدل التحويل الغذائي.

نستخلص من هذه الدراسة أن استخدام الانزيمات الهاضمه في اعلاف الدواجن بجر عتيه أدى إلى تحسن ملحوظ في وزن الجسم ومعدل التحويل الغذائي دون اي تاثيرات عكسيه على القياسات الدمويه والبيوكيميائيه. لذلك ينصح باستخدام الانزيمات الهاضمه في مزارع تربيه البط كمحفز للنمو بامان تام.