Original Article

Internet Addiction among Secondary School Students in Upper Egypt

Alya E. Abd El-Mawgood ¹, Fouad M.A. Yousef ², Rasha A. Ali ^{2¥}

¹ Department of Family Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Sohag University, Egypt

² Department of Public Health & Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Sohag University, Egypt

Abstract

Background: The remarkable growth of Internet usage in recent years has been accompanied with an increased risk of Internet addiction (IA). IA which is progressively becoming a societal problem affects the more vulnerable group of adolescents and children, resulting in different mental and physical health consequences.

Objective(s): The study aimed to assess the prevalence and predictors of IA among high school students in Sohag, Egypt and its effects on their mental health.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted among 400 randomly selected Egyptian high school students using a self- administered structured questionnaire that included socio-demographic and Internet use characteristics, in addition to the Arabic versions of Young Internet Addiction Test (IAT) and General Health Questionnaire (GHQ).

Results: More than one third (34.8%) of the studied high school students were mild Internet addicts, 21% were moderate Internet addicts and 4% were severe Internet addicts. Having a Facebook account, Internet access on a smart phone, Internet access outside the house, bad family relationship, presence of the Internet at home, being in 2^{nd} grade of high school, and access to the Internet for entertainment were the predictors of IA. A statistically significant positive correlation was found between IA and deterioration of their mental health (r= 0.33, p < 0.001).

Conclusions and recommendations: The high prevalence of IA and association with deteriorated mental health shown in the current study warrant special attention and indicate the need for better parenting style and more controlled use of smart phones.

Keywords: Internet addiction, adolescents, Egypt

INTRODUCTION

bout 4.66 billion users of the Internet were reported worldwide.⁽¹⁾ Excessive use of the Internet has raised attention to its potential harmful physical and mental related consequences.⁽²⁾ The Internet has turned into an integral part of our daily academic, professional and social life. In Egypt, in 2015, 43.3% of the Egyptian population, were Internet users. This number was projected to 53.5% in 2019.⁽¹⁾ Maladaptive and excessive Internet use, as proved by many evidences, may lead to addiction, which in turn could lead to psychosocial health problems.⁽³⁾ Although Internet addiction (IA) has not been officially recognized as a specific disease in the DSM-5⁽⁴⁾, problematic Internet use had been indicated by scientists as an addictive behavior, since the four fundamental characteristics of behavioral addiction are present including uncontrolled use on expense of important activities, tolerance, withdrawal that occurs when Internet access is impossible and finally

Available on line at: jhiphalexu.journals.ekb.eg

Print ISSN: 2357-0601 Online ISSN: 2357-061X CC BY-SA 4.0

¥<u>Correspondence</u>: Email: rashaali8155@gmail.com

Suggested Citations: Abd El-Mawgood AE, Yousef FMA, Ali RA. Internet Addiction among Secondary School Students in Upper Egypt. JHIPH. 2021;51(2):67-75.

experiencing difficulties in daily life of Internetaddicted individuals with subsequent deterioration in academic or work performance and social relations.^(5, 6)

Excessive use of smart phones and IA often begin during late childhood and adolescence.⁽⁷⁾ Youth/ adolescents are also at the lead of the use of any new technologies, and non-substance-related disorders are common. Furthermore, since they have not developed yet the critical thinking skills and sense of boundaries, they are more susceptible to addiction.⁽⁸⁾

Variation in prevalence of IA among adolescents, has been indicated in many studies. A nationwide study in 11 European countries reported variation in the prevalence of pathological Internet use ranging from 1.2% in Italy to 4.8% in Germany.⁽⁹⁾ However, the prevalence of IA in Arab countries is much higher, in Egypt in 2013, 2.6% of the studied high school students were Problematic Internet Users (PIUs) and 18.2% were Potential (PIUs)⁽¹⁰⁾, a much recent study (2019) demonstrated that the prevalence of IA among adolescents in Egypt was 65.6%, while Facebook addiction prevalence was 92.8%.⁽²⁾ In Arab countries, 15.8% of Moroccan adolescents⁽¹¹⁾, and 5.3% of high school students in Saudia were Internet addicts⁽¹²⁾, while the prevalence of moderate to severe IA in Jordan reached 65% of the Jordan adolescents.⁽¹³⁾

A large body of literature tried to explain risk factors of IA in the context of intrapersonal and interpersonal perspectives. Intrapersonal risk factors included being male, having lower self-esteem, poor academic achievement, hostile personality, and social interaction difficulty.⁽⁶⁾ On the other hand. interpersonal risk factors that were linked to IA included family conditions as conflicting parent relationship, low education of the parents especially the mother, negative peer relationship, poor parental support or stressful life situations.⁽¹⁴⁾ Young proposed that IA despite being a problematic behavioral pattern it may help to reduce negative effects and frustrations encountered in life, perhaps by reducing negative affect. Based on the theory, the frustration that originates from family conflicts, school, or social relationships and other difficulties experienced in real life may lead to IA because the Internet provides an escape from such negative affect.⁽¹⁵⁾ IA creates several psychological, social, school, and work problems and difficulties in a person's life especially for adolescents. (14, 16) IA was linked in many studies to depression, anxiety disorder, sleep disturbance, shyness, social anxiety, and suicidal ideas.(14, 17, 18)

Although several studies assessed the prevalence of IA and its psychological hazards among Egyptian University students^(19, 20), few studies assessed IA among high school students.^(2, 10, 21) Studies conducted in other countries proposed that younger age groups became more engaged in Internet-related activities which necessitates assessing IA among these age groups in Egypt.

METHODS

The current study aimed to determine the prevalence of IA and its predictors among high school students in Sohag, Egypt, and at the same time to assess the mental health of those students.

The current study was a cross-sectional survey. It was conducted in four public secondary schools representing the rural and urban areas of Sohag.

Based on the assumption that the proportion of Internet addiction in the study population is 20%⁽¹⁰⁾, 5% precision, and design effect of 1, the minimal required sample size at 95% confidence level was calculated to be 246. The needed sample was doubled to allow better representation of the study subjects and finally, 400 high school students accepted to be recruited in this study and completed the questionnaire (80% response rate). A stratified random sample technique was used for selecting the urban and rural schools. The selected students from each school were proportional to the total number of students. From each school, one 1st and one 2nd secondary school classes were selected randomly. From each class, students were randomly selected taking into consideration the male to female ratio till reaching the proportionate sample size.

Data were collected by a structured selfadministered questionnaire which included three sections, the first section collected information on socio-demographic characteristics of the participants such as age, gender, grade, residence whether urban or rural, educational level and occupation of the parents. This section also included questions about specific personal characteristics of students such as frequency of reading books, relationship with family and friends [whether bad, changeable (sometimes good and sometimes bad), or good, from the students perspective], owing a smart phone, and Internet use characteristics such as presence of home Internet, access to the Internet outside the house, Internet access on smart phone, having e-mail address and Facebook account, purpose of Internet use (browsing social media, games, entertainment, news, education, and shopping).

The second section of the questionnaire was the Arabic version of the 20 items Young's Internet Addiction Test (IAT).⁽²²⁾ The test consisted of 20 self-reported questions whose answers were scored on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from one point for the answer "rarely" to five points for the answer "always". These items included questions about compulsive behavior related to the use of Internet, bad home environment, presence of problems in academic performance, relationship problems with family or friends and suffering from emotional problems.⁽²³⁾ Based on these items users can be categorized as normal users (score lower than 30) mild IA (30-49), moderate IA (50-79), or severe IA (over 80).

The third section of the questionnaire was the General Health Questionnaire (GHQ) which is a screening device designed to identify minor psychiatric disorders in the general population and primary care settings and is sensitive to short-term psychiatric state, as it asks about the difference between the respondents' current mental state and the usual state. It is suitable for all ages from adolescents upwards - not children.⁽²⁴⁾ The questionnaire was created as a 60-item instrument, and shortened to the 12-item version, which measures anxiety and depression (4 items), social dysfunction (6 items), and loss of confidence (2 items), each assessing the severity of a mental problem over the past few weeks using a 4-point Likert scale (from 0 to 3) giving a total score ranging from 0-36, the highest scores indicating worse conditions. GHQ-12 is the most popular and has been used in several countries, as well as part of multiple major national health and

social well-being surveys, achieving highly reliable and valid results. $^{(24,\ 25)}$

The questionnaires were administered to the randomly selected students who approved using the Internet and accepted to participate in the study during the school day, in existence of the teachers, as required by the school rules. Students were informed about the nature and aim of the study and the content of the questionnaire by the researchers who gave instructions on the completion of the questionnaire and all students' questions were answered for all the class before starting to fill the questionnaire.

Statistical analysis

Statistical Package of Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25 was used for data entry and analysis. Numerical variables were expressed as means and standard deviations (SD), while frequencies and percentages were considered for categorical data. Chi-square test was used in testing the association between IA and socio-demographic characteristics, specific personal characteristics of students, Internet use characteristics, and purpose of Internet use. Students scoring less than 40 in IAT were considered non-addicts, while those with mild, moderate, or severe IA were considered addicts in data analysis. Binary logistic regression analysis was used to determine predictors of IA among the studied subjects. Spearman correlation was used in assessing the correlation between IAT score and GHQ score to determine the relation between IA and mental health of the study subjects.

Ethical considerations

The study proposal was approved by the Ethical Committee of Faculty of Medicine, Sohag University and the researchers complied with the International Guidelines for Research Ethics and principles of Helsinki declaration. Then permission was taken from the Directorate of Education. Also, the permission and cooperation of schools' directors and headmasters in the selected schools were taken to carry out the study. Informed written consents were gained from the selected high school students' parents to participate in the study and students were informed about the aim of the study, the privacy, and confidentiality of any input presented to the researchers.

RESULTS

Table (1) presents socio-demographic and specific personal characteristics of the studied high school students in Sohag, Egypt. Among 400 students included in the study, the mean age was 15.9 ± 0.7 years, 35.8% were males, and 26.8% resided in urban areas. Regarding parents' education, 84% of fathers of the studied high school students and 73.8% of mothers completed secondary school education or higher, 14%

and 19% of the fathers and mothers respectively had basic education, while 2% of the fathers and 7.2% of the mothers were illiterate. Regarding family relations, two-thirds of the studied subjects (66%) had good family relations compared to 29.5% who had changeable relationships with the family, while 4.5% had bad family relations. Regarding relationships with friends, 60.8% of the studied subjects had good relationships compared to 30.8% who had changeable relationships with friends, while 8.5% had bad relationships with friends. As regards reading, 26.2% of them read once weekly, 15% read once monthly, 23.5% reads infrequently and 35.2% of them never read. Regarding the Internet use characteristics, 75.2% of the studied subjects had access to the Internet at home.

Figure (1) shows the distribution of the purpose of Internet use by the studied Egyptian high school students. Internet was used for education and browsing social media by 80.5% and by 77.3% of the students respectively. Those who used the Internet for entertainment constituted 55%, 52% of them used it for knowing the news while 45% of them used it for checking e-mail, 42% of the students used the Internet for playing games, and 13.8% for shopping.

Figure (2) shows the distribution of the studied high school students regarding IA, 40.3% of the study subject were normal users, while 34.8% of them were mild Internet addicts, 21% were moderate Internet addicts and 4% were severe Internet addicts.

Table (2) presents the association between sociodemographic data and specific personal characteristics of high school students in Sohag and IA. No significant difference was found between Internet addict and non-Internet addict students regarding age, gender, residence, parents' education, and reading. About two thirds (65.6%) of second-grade students were Internet addicts compared to 53.2% of the firstgrade students and the difference was statistically significant (p < 0.05). A statistically significant association between IA and bad relationships with family or friends was found among the studied high school students, as 94.4% and 85.3% of the studied students respectively who had bad family and friend relationships were Internet addicts compared to 63.6% and 56.1% of those with changeable family and friend relationships and 55.7% and 58% of the students with good family and friend relationships (p < 0.05).

Table (3) shows the relation between Internet use characteristics and purpose of Internet use and IA among the studied high school students in Sohag, Egypt. Regarding Internet use characteristics, a very high statistically significant association (p < 0.001) was found between IA and presence of Internet at home, accessing the Internet outside home, accessing Internet on the student's cell phone, and having an email and Facebook account. As regards the association

between IA and the purpose of using the Internet by the study subjects, a very high statistically significant association (p < 0.001) was found between IA and using the Internet in browsing Facebook, entertainment, checking e-mail, and playing games.

Table (4) presents the final model of logistic regression analysis of predictors of IA among the studied high school students. Having a Facebook account, accessing the Internet on a cell phone, accessing the Internet outside the house, bad family relationships, presence of Internet at home, being in 2^{nd} grade, and accessing the Internet for entertainment were predictors of IA among the studied Egyptian high school students.

Figure (3) shows correlation between IA and mental health of the studied Egyptian high school students. A significant weak positive correlation was found between IAT score and GHQ score (r=0.33, p < 0.001), indicating a significant association between IA and reduction in mental health of the studied students.



Figure 1: Purpose of Internet use by the studied Egyptian high school students in Sohag, 2020



Figure 2: Prevalence of internet addiction among the studied high school secondary students in Sohag, Egypt, 2020

Table 1: Socio-demographic, specific personal and Internet use characteristics of the studied Egyptian high school students in Sohag, 2020

No. % Age (mean ± SD) years Range (years) 15.9 ± 0.7 15.17 Gender 15.9 ± 0.7 15.17 Gender 143 35.8 257 Male 143 35.8 257 Female 257 64.2 Residence 293 73.2 Ither's education 107 26.8 203 73.2 Father's education 56 14.0 366 84.0 Mother's education 56 14.0 366 84.0 Mother's education 56 19.0 295 73.8 Mother's education 76 19.0 295 73.8 Relationship with family 295 73.8 Bad 18 4.5 29.5 Good 264 66.0 Relationship with friends 29.5 30.8 60.8 Good 243 60.8 60.8 Reading 14 8.5 5.5 Once weekly 105 26.2 7.6 Once weekly 105 26.2 7.6 No	Variables	High School Students (n = 400)				
Age (mean ± SD) years Range (years) 15.9 ± 0.7 15.17 Gender 143 35.8 257 64.2 Male 143 35.8 257 64.2 Residence 293 73.2 Residence 293 73.2 Father's education 107 26.8 Rural 293 73.2 Father's education 56 14.0 Secondary school or higher 336 84.0 Mother's education 76 19.0 Secondary school or higher 295 73.8 Relationship with family 295 73.8 60.0 Relationship with family 8 4.5 60.0 Relationship with friends 29.5 73.8 60.8 Reading 34 8.5 60.8 60.8 Changeable 123 30.8 60.8 60.8 Reading 141 35.2 60.2 60.8 60.8 Reading 9 23.5 75.2 70.8 75.2 70.8 75.2 No 201 75		No.	%			
Range (years) 15-17 Gender 143 35.8 Female 257 64.2 Residence 257 64.2 Residence 293 73.2 Father's education 107 26.8 Rural 293 73.2 Father's education 56 14.0 Basic education 56 14.0 Secondary school or higher 336 84.0 Mother's education 76 19.0 Secondary school or higher 295 73.8 Relationship with family 8 4.5 Bad 18 4.5 Changeable 118 29.5 Good 264 66.0 Relationship with friends 8 4.5 Bad 34 8.5 Changeable 123 30.8 Good 243 60.8 Reading 41 35.2 No 29 24.8 No 29 <	Age (mean \pm SD) years	15.9	± 0.7			
Gender Male 143 35.8 Female 257 64.2 Residence 107 26.8 Urban 107 26.8 Rural 293 73.2 Father's education 101 26.8 Illiterate 8 2.0 Basic education 56 14.0 Secondary school or higher 336 84.0 Mother's education 76 19.0 Secondary school or higher 295 73.8 Relationship with family 8 4.5 Changeable 118 29.5 Good 264 66.0 Relationship with friends 8 60.8 Bad 34 8.5 Changeable 123 30.8 Good 243 60.8 Reading 9 23.5 Never reads 141 35.2 Never reads 141 35.2 No 99 24.8 Access Internet outside the house Yes 169 42.2	Range (years)	15-	-17			
Male 143 35.8 Female 257 64.2 Residence 107 26.8 Urban 107 26.8 Rural 293 73.2 Father's education 56 14.0 Basic education 56 14.0 Secondary school or higher 336 84.0 Mother's education 76 19.0 Secondary school or higher 295 73.8 Relationship with family 8 4.5 Changeable 118 29.5 Good 264 66.0 Relationship with framily 8 8.5 Bad 34 8.5 Good 264 66.0 Relationship with friends 9 24.3 Bad 34 8.5 Changeable 123 30.8 Good 243 60.8 Reading 00 15.0 Once weekly 105 26.2 Once monthly 94 23.5 Never reads 141 35.2	Gender					
Female 257 64.2 Residence Urban 107 26.8 Rural 293 73.2 Father's education 107 26.8 Illiterate 8 2.0 Basic education 56 14.0 Secondary school or higher 336 84.0 Mother's education 76 19.0 Basic education 76 19.0 Secondary school or higher 295 73.8 Relationship with family Bad 18 4.5 Changeable 118 29.5 Good 264 66.0 Relationship with friends Bad 34 8.5 Good 243 60.8 Reading 0nce weekly 105 26.2 Once monthly 60 15.0 Less than once monthly 94 23.5 Never reads 141 35.2 Presence of Internet at home Yes 301 75.2 No 99 24.8 Access Internet outside the house	Male	143	35.8			
Residence 107 26.8 Rural 293 73.2 Father's education 93 73.2 Father's education 8 2.0 Basic education 56 14.0 Secondary school or higher 336 84.0 Mother's education 76 19.0 Secondary school or higher 295 73.8 Relationship with family 8 4.5 Changeable 118 29.5 Good 264 66.0 Relationship with friends 8 8.5 Good 243 60.8 Reading 105 26.2 Once weekly 105 26.2 Once monthly 94 23.5 Never reads 141 35.2 Presence of Internet at home Yes 301 75.2 No 99 24.8 24.8 Access Internet outside the house Yes 169 42.2 No 231 57.8 301 75.2 No 231 57.8 301	Female	257	64.2			
Urban 107 26.8 Rural 293 73.2 Father's education 8 2.0 Basic education 56 14.0 Secondary school or higher 336 84.0 Mother's education 56 14.0 Illiterate 29 7.2 Basic education 76 19.0 Secondary school or higher 295 73.8 Relationship with family 295 73.8 Bad 18 4.5 Changeable 118 29.5 Good 264 66.0 Relationship with friends 8 8.5 Good 243 60.8 Reading 105 26.2 Once weekly 105 26.2 Once monthly 60 15.0 Less than once monthly 94 23.5 Never reads 141 35.2 Presence of Internet at home 74.8 301 Yes 169 42.2 No 231 57.8 Access Internet outside	Residence					
Rural 293 73.2 Father's education 8 2.0 Basic education 56 14.0 Secondary school or higher 336 84.0 Mother's education 56 14.0 Illiterate 29 7.2 Basic education 76 19.0 Secondary school or higher 295 73.8 Relationship with family 8 4.5 Changeable 118 29.5 Good 264 66.0 Relationship with friends 8 4.5 Good 264 66.0 Relationship with friends 9 30.8 Good 264 60.0 Reading 105 26.2 Once weekly 105 26.2 Once monthly 60 15.0 Less than once monthly 94 23.5 Never reads 141 35.2 Presence of Internet at home Yes 301 75.2 No <	Urban	107	26.8			
Father's educationIlliterate82.0Basic education5614.0Secondary school or higher33684.0Mother's education11Illiterate297.2Basic education7619.0Secondary school or higher29573.8Relationship with family29573.8Bad184.5Changeable11829.5Good26466.0Relationship with friends2Bad348.5Changeable12330.8Good24360.8Reading10526.2Once weekly10526.2Once monthly6015.0Less than once monthly9423.5Never reads14135.2Presence of Internet at homeYes301Yes16942.2No23157.8Access Internet outside the houseYes169Yes16942.2NoNo23157.8Access Internet on smart phone29473.5Yes10626.673.5	Rural	293	73.2			
Illiterate82.0Basic education5614.0Secondary school or higher33684.0Mother's education11Illiterate297.2Basic education7619.0Secondary school or higher29573.8Relationship with family29573.8Bad184.5Changeable11829.5Good26466.0Relationship with friends2Bad348.5Changeable12330.8Good24360.8Reading0nce weekly105Once weekly10526.2Once monthly9423.5Never reads14135.2Presence of Internet at homeYes301Yes16942.2No23157.8Access Internet outside the house273.5Yes16942.2No23157.8Access Internet on smart phone29473.5Yes10626.673.5	Father's education					
Basic education5614.0Secondary school or higher33684.0Mother's education119.0Illiterate297.2Basic education7619.0Secondary school or higher29573.8Relationship with family29573.8Bad184.5Changeable11829.5Good26466.0Relationship with friends2Bad348.5Changeable12330.8Good24360.8Reading0nce weekly105Once weekly10526.2Once monthly9423.5Never reads14135.2Presence of Internet at homeYes301Yes16942.2No23157.8Access Internet outside the house273.5Yes16942.273.5No29473.5106Yes10626.6106	Illiterate	8	2.0			
Secondary school or higher 336 84.0 Mother's education1Illiterate29Basic education7619.0Secondary school or higher29573.8Relationship with familyBad184.5Changeable118295GoodChangeable11829530.8Good264600243Relationship with friendsBad348.5Changeable12330.8Good243600d24360324360426.2Once weekly10526.2Once monthly9423.5Never reads14135.2Presence of Internet at homeYes16942.2No23157.8Access Internet outside the houseYes16942.2No23157.8Access Internet on smart phoneYes29473.510626.6	Basic education	56	14.0			
Mother's education 29 7.2 Basic education 76 19.0 Secondary school or higher 295 73.8 Relationship with family 295 73.8 Relationship with family 18 4.5 Changeable 118 29.5 Good 264 66.0 Relationship with friends 34 8.5 Bad 34 8.5 Changeable 123 30.8 Good 243 60.8 Reading 0 15.0 Less than once monthly 60 15.0 Less than once monthly 94 23.5 Never reads 141 35.2 Presence of Internet at home Yes 301 75.2 No 291 23.5 Access Internet outside the house Yes 169 42.2 No 231 57.8 Access Internet on smart phone 294 73.5 Yes 106 26.6	Secondary school or higher	336	84.0			
Illiterate297.2Basic education7619.0Secondary school or higher29573.8Relationship with family29573.8Bad184.5Changeable11829.5Good26466.0Relationship with friends24360.8Bad348.5Changeable12330.8Good24360.8Reading0nce weekly10526.2Once weekly10526.2Once monthly6015.0Less than once monthly9423.5Never reads14135.2Presence of Internet at home29924.8Access Internet outside the house23157.8Access Internet on smart phone29473.5Yes29473.5106No20426.6106	Mother's education					
Basic education7619.0Secondary school or higher29573.8Relationship with family 295 73.8Relationship with family184.5Changeable11829.5Good26466.0Relationship with friends 264 66.0Relationship with friends 34 8.5Changeable12330.8Good24360.8Reading 0 15.0Conce weekly10526.2Once weekly10526.2Once monthly6015.0Less than once monthly9423.5Never reads14135.2Presence of Internet at home Yes 301Yes16942.2No23157.8Access Internet outside the house Yes 169Yes16942.2No23157.8Access Internet on smart phone 294 73.5Yes10626.6106	Illiterate	29	7.2			
Secondary school or higher29573.8Relationship with familyBad184.5Changeable11829.5Good26466.0Relationship with friends 264 66.0Relationship with friends 34 8.5Changeable12330.8Good24360.8Reading 0 105Once weekly10526.2Once monthly6015.0Less than once monthly9423.5Never reads14135.2Presence of Internet at home Yes 301Yes30175.2No2924.8Access Internet outside the house Yes 169Yes16942.2No23157.8Access Internet on smart phone 294 73.5Yes10626.6106	Basic education	76	19.0			
Relationship with family 18 4.5 Bad 18 2.9.5 Good 264 66.0 Relationship with friends 264 66.0 Relationship with friends 34 8.5 Bad 34 8.5 Changeable 123 30.8 Good 243 60.8 Reading 0 105 26.2 Once weekly 105 26.2 Once monthly 60 15.0 Less than once monthly 94 23.5 Never reads 141 35.2 Presence of Internet at home Yes 301 75.2 No 99 24.8 24.8 Access Internet outside the house Yes 169 42.2 No 231 57.8 36.9 Access Internet on smart phone 294 73.5 35.9 Yes 106 26.6 26.6	Secondary school or higher	295	73.8			
Bad 18 4.5 Changeable 118 29.5 Good 264 66.0 Relationship with friends 34 8.5 Bad 34 8.5 Changeable 123 30.8 Good 243 60.8 Reading 0 15.0 Once weekly 105 26.2 Once monthly 60 15.0 Less than once monthly 94 23.5 Never reads 141 35.2 Presence of Internet at home Yes 301 75.2 No 99 24.8 24.8 Access Internet outside the house Yes 169 42.2 No 231 57.8 Access Internet on smart phone 294 73.5 Yes 106 26.6	Relationship with family					
Changeable 118 29.5 Good 264 66.0 Relationship with friends 5 600 Bad 34 8.5 Changeable 123 30.8 Good 243 60.8 Reading 105 26.2 Once weekly 105 26.2 Once monthly 60 15.0 Less than once monthly 94 23.5 Never reads 141 35.2 Presence of Internet at home 75.2 No 99 24.8 Access Internet outside the house 75.2 No 231 57.8 Access Internet on smart phone 294 73.5 Yes 294 73.5 No 204 26.6	Bad	18	4.5			
Good 264 66.0 Relationship with friends 34 8.5 Bad 34 8.5 Changeable 123 30.8 Good 243 60.8 Reading 0 243 60.8 Reading 0nce weekly 105 26.2 Once monthly 60 15.0 15.0 Less than once monthly 94 23.5 23.5 Never reads 141 35.2 Presence of Internet at home 75.2 No 99 24.8 Access Internet outside the house Yes 169 42.2 No 231 57.8 Access Internet on smart phone 294 73.5 Yes 294 73.5 No 106 26.6	Changeable	118	29.5			
Relationship with friends 34 8.5 Bad 34 8.5 Changeable 123 30.8 Good 243 60.8 Reading $00c$ 243 60.8 Reading $00c$ $00c$ $00c$ Once weekly 105 26.2 Once monthly 60 15.0 Less than once monthly 94 23.5 Never reads 141 35.2 Presence of Internet at home Yes 301 75.2 No 99 24.8 Access Internet outside the house Yes 169 42.2 No 231 57.8 Access Internet on smart phone 294 73.5 Yes 106 26.6	Good	264	66.0			
Bad 34 8.5 Changeable 123 30.8 Good 243 60.8 Reading 105 26.2 Once weekly 105 26.2 Once monthly 60 15.0 Less than once monthly 94 23.5 Never reads 141 35.2 Presence of Internet at home 75.2 No 99 24.8 Access Internet outside the house 231 57.8 Access Internet on smart phone 294 73.5 Yes 294 73.5 No 106 26.6	Relationship with friends					
Changeable 123 30.8 Good 243 60.8 Reading 105 26.2 Once weekly 105 26.2 Once monthly 60 15.0 Less than once monthly 94 23.5 Never reads 141 35.2 Presence of Internet at home 75.2 No 99 24.8 Access Internet outside the house 231 57.8 Access Internet on smart phone 294 73.5 Yes 294 73.5 No 106 26.6	Bad	34	8.5			
Good 243 60.8 Reading 0nce weekly 105 26.2 Once monthly 60 15.0 Less than once monthly 94 23.5 Never reads 141 35.2 Presence of Internet at home 75.2 No 99 24.8 Access Internet outside the house 99 24.8 Access Internet outside the house 169 42.2 No 231 57.8 Access Internet on smart phone 294 73.5 Yes 294 73.5 No 106 26.6	Changeable	123	30.8			
Reading 105 26.2 Once weekly 60 15.0 Less than once monthly 94 23.5 Never reads 141 35.2 Presence of Internet at home 75.2 No 99 24.8 Access Internet outside the house 231 57.8 No 231 57.8 Access Internet on smart phone 294 73.5 Yes 294 73.5 No 106 26.6	Good	243	60.8			
$\begin{array}{c cccc} & 0nce weekly & 105 & 26.2 \\ & Once monthly & 60 & 15.0 \\ & Less than once monthly & 94 & 23.5 \\ & Never reads & 141 & 35.2 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c cccccc} Presence of Internet at home & & & \\ & Yes & 301 & 75.2 \\ & No & 99 & 24.8 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Reading					
$\begin{array}{c ccc} \text{Once monthly} & 60 & 15.0 \\ \text{Less than once monthly} & 94 & 23.5 \\ \text{Never reads} & 141 & 35.2 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c cccc} \text{Presence of Internet at home} & & & \\ \text{Yes} & 301 & 75.2 \\ \text{No} & 99 & 24.8 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c cccccc} \text{Access Internet outside the house} & & & \\ \text{Yes} & 169 & 42.2 \\ \text{No} & 231 & 57.8 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Once weekly	105	26.2			
Less than once monthly9423.5Never reads14135.2Presence of Internet at home75.2Yes30175.2No9924.8Access Internet outside the house231Yes16942.2No23157.8Access Internet on smart phone29473.5Yes10626.6	Once monthly	60	15.0			
Never reads14135.2Presence of Internet at homeYes30175.2No9924.8Access Internet outside the houseYes16942.2No23157.8Access Internet on smart phone29473.5Yes10626.6	Less than once monthly	94	23.5			
Presence of Internet at home Yes 301 75.2 No 99 24.8 Access Internet outside the house Yes 169 42.2 No 231 57.8 Access Internet on smart phone Yes 294 73.5 No 106 26.6	Never reads	141	35.2			
Yes 301 75.2 No 99 24.8 Access Internet outside the house 7 7 Yes 169 42.2 No 231 57.8 Access Internet on smart phone 7 7 Yes 106 26.6	Presence of Internet at home					
No9924.8Access Internet outside the houseYes16942.2No23157.8Access Internet on smart phone29473.5Yes29473.5No10626.6	Yes	301	75.2			
Access Internet outside the house Yes 169 42.2 No 231 57.8 Access Internet on smart phone Yes 294 73.5 No 106 26.6	No	99	24.8			
Yes 169 42.2 No 231 57.8 Access Internet on smart phone 294 73.5 Yes 106 26.6	Access Internet outside the house					
No23157.8Access Internet on smart phone Yes29473.5No10626.6	Yes	169	42.2			
Access Internet on smart phone 294 73.5 Yes 106 26.6	No	231	57.8			
Yes 294 73.5 No 106 26.6	Access Internet on smart phone					
No 106 26.6	Yes	294	73.5			
	No	106	26.6			

Table 2: Association between Internet addiction and high school students' socio-demographic and specific personal characteristics, Sohag, Egypt, 2020

Variables	Non- Internet addicts (n = 161)	Internet addicts (n = 239)	Significance test	COR (95% CI)	
	No. (%)	No. (%)	_		
Age					
15 years	51 (45.1)	62 (54.9)	$\chi^2 = 2.54, p = 0.2$	0.6 (0.3-1.12)	
16 years	86 (40.0)	129 (60.0)	$\chi^2 = 1.01, p = 0.1$	0.7 (0.4- 1.31)	
17 years (r)	24 (71.3)	48 (66.7)	-	1	
Gender					
Male	50 (35.0)	93 (65.0)	$\chi^2 = 0.6, p = 0.1$	1.4 (0.92-1.15)	
Female (r)	111 (43.2)	146 (56.8)	-	1	
Residence					
Urban	35 (32.7)	72 (67.3)	$\chi^2 = 0.4, p = 0.6$	1.5 (0.9-2.7)	
Rural (r)	126 (43.0)	167 (57.0)	-	1	
Grade					
Frist	88 (46.8)	100 (53.2)	$\chi^2 = 6.3, p = 0.012^*$	-0.6 (0.39-0.89)	
Second (r)	73 (34.4)	139 (65.6)	-	1	
Father's education					
Illiterate	2 (25.0)	6 (75.0)	$\chi^2 = 2.6, p = 0.3$	4.5 (0.7-27.72)	
Basic education	49 (87.5)	7 (12.5)	$\chi^2 = 0.7, p = 0.1$	1.2 (0.6-2.25)	
Secondary school or higher (r)	312 (92.8)	24 (7.2)	-	1	
Mother's education					
Illiterate	23 (79.3)	6 (20.7)	$\chi^2 = 4.9, p = 0.26$	0.4 (0.13-0.8)	
Basic education	69 (90.7)	7 (9.3)	$\chi^2 = 1.2, p = 0.3$	0.8 (0.4-1.3)	
Secondary school or higher (r)	271 (91.8)	24 (8.2)	-	1	
Relationship with family					
Bad	1 (5.5)	17 (94.6)	$\chi^2 = 6.3, p = 0.012*$	13.5 (1.7-103.1)	
Changeable	43 (36.4)	75 (63.6)	$\chi^2 = 2.07, p = 0.1$	1.38 (0.8-2.17)	
Good	117 (44.3)	147 (55.7)	-	1	
Relationship with friends					
Bad	5 (14.7)	29 (85.3)	$\chi^2 = 8.18, p = 0.04*$	4.19 (1.6-11.2)	
Changeable	54 (43.9)	69 (56.1)	$\chi^2 = 0.12, p = 0.7$	0.9 (0.59-1.4)	
Good	102 (42.0)	141 (58.0)	-	1	
Reading					
Once weekly	48 (45.7)	57 (54.3)	$\chi^2 = 1.63, p = 0.2$	0.7 (0.4-1.19)	
Once monthly	24 (40.0)	36 (60.0)	$\chi^2 = 0.1, p = 0.7$	0.9 (0.4-1.67)	
Less than once monthly	36 (38.3)	58 (61.7)	$\chi^2 = 0.012, p = 0.9$	0.9 (0.5-1.66)	
Never reads	53 (37.6)	88 (62.4)	-	1	

*Statistically significant

Variables	Non- Internet addicts (n = 161) No. (%)	Internet addicts (n = 239) No. (%)	Significance test	COR (95% CI)	
Internet use characteristics					
Presence of Internet at home					
Yes	103 (34.2)	198 (65.8)	$\chi^2 = 17.7, p < 0.001*$	2.7 (1.7-4.3)	
No (r)	58 (58.5)	41 (41.4)	-	1	
Access to Internet outside the house					
Yes	48 (28.4)	121 (71.6)	$\chi^2 = 16.7, p < 0.001*$	2.4 (1.6-3.7)	
No (r)	113 (38.9)	118 (51.1)	-	1	
Access to Internet on smart phone		222 (68 7)	$w^2 = 24.6 \text{ m} < 0.001 \text{ m}$	4.00 (2.6.6.6)	
Yes	72 (24.5)	222 (08.7)	χ =54.0, <i>p</i> <0.001	4.09 (2.0-0.0)	
No (r)	69 (65.1)	57 (34.9)	-	1	
Had email address		101 (60 5)	$x^2 - 22.8 m < 0.001*$		
Yes	84 (30.5)	191 (09.3)	χ =32.8, p <0.001	3.6 (2.3-5.7)	
No (r)	77 (61.6)	48 (38.4)	-	1	
Had account on social media	92 (29 9)	215 (70)	$\gamma^2 = 50.6, p < 0.001*$	67 (39-11.3)	
Yes	69 (74 1)	24 (25.8)	χ =50.0, p <u>=</u> 0.001	1	
No (r)	0) (14.1)	24 (25.0)		1	
Purpose of Internet use					
Education	128 (30.8)	194 (60.2)		11(0.67-1.8)	
Yes	120(39.0)	194 (00.2)	$\chi^2 = 0.17, p = 0.6$	1.1 (0.07-1.8)	
No (r)	55 (42.5)	43 (37.7)	-		
Browsing Facebook	100 (22 4)	200 (67 6)	·· ² 22.4 ··· <0.001*		
Yes	100(32.4)	209 (07.0)	$\chi = 52.4, p \le 0.001$	4.2 (2.5-6.9)	
No (r)	61 (67.0)	30 (33.0)	-	1	
Entertainment					
Yes	69 (31.1)	153 (68.9)	$\chi^2 = 17.1, p \le 0.001*$	2.3 (1.5-3.6)	
No (r)	92 (51.7)	86 (48.3)	-	1	
News					
Yes	61 (36.3)	107 (63.7)	$\chi^2 = 1.8, p = 0.1$	1.3 (0.89-1.9)	
No (r)	100 (43.1)	132 (56.9)	-	1	
Checking email					
Yes	54 (30.0)	126 (70.0)	$\chi^2 = 14.07, p \le 0.001*$	2.2(1.4-3.3)	
No (r)	107 (48.6)	113 (51.4)	-	1	
Shopping	18 (32.7)	37 (67.3)	$\chi^2 = 1.5, p = 0.2$		
Yes	143 (41.4)	202 (58.6)	-	1.4 (0.8-1.7)	
No (r)	~ /	× /		1	
Games	74 (35.6)	134 (64.4)	$\chi^2 = 3.92, p = 0.04*$	1.5 (1-2.2)	
Yes	87 (45.3)	105 (54.7)	-	1	
No (r)					

 Table 3: Association between Internet addiction, and Internet use characteristics of high school students and purposes of Internet use, Sohag, Egypt, 2020

*statistically significant

Factor	OR (95% CI)	p value
Facebook account	3.2 (1.7-5.9)	0.000
Internet access outside home	2.01 (1.3-3.3)	0.010
Bad family relationships	17.5 (2.2-142.9)	0.015
Access to Internet on smart phone	2.2 (1.17-4.14)	0.002
Internet at home	2.01 (1.1-3.7)	0.016
Entertainment	1.8 (1.1-2.9)	0.013
2nd Grade of high school	0.6(0.4-0.9)	0.030

Table 4:	Logistic	regression	analysis	of	predictors	of	Internet	addiction	among	the	studied	adolescents	in
Sohag, E	gypt, 202	0											



(r= 0.33 *p*-value < 0.001)

Figure 3: Correlation between IAT score and GHQ score of the studied Egyptian high school students in Sohag, 2020

DISCUSSION

The Internet provides us with a communication medium that enhances access to unlimited data sources across various topics. On the other hand, excessive and uncontrolled use of the Internet has been associated with various side effects, especially among adolescents who spend more time on the Internet than adults.⁽¹⁷⁾

The current study assessed the prevalence of Internet addiction IA among a random sample of high school students in Sohag, Egypt. Using the Arabic version of (IAT), 40.3% of the study subject were non-Internet addicts while, 34.8% of them were mild Internet addicts, 21% were moderate Internet addicts and 4% were severe Internet addicts. These findings are much higher than a previous study conducted on high school students in El-Minia governorate in Egypt where 2.6% of the study subjects were Problematic Internet Users (PIUs) (severe IA) and 18.2% were Potential Internet Users (PIUs) (mild IA).⁽¹⁰⁾ Moreover, the results of the current study are higher than results of Nafee et al., who assessed IA among teenagers in Saudi Arabia and in Egypt, and found that 47.7%, 45.3%, and 0.9% of the Saudi teenagers were mild, moderate and severe Internet addicts compared to 44.2%, 46.3% and 0.3% of the Egyptian teenagers.⁽²¹⁾ Although the results of the current study are comparable to the results of Al-Shdayfat et al., who reported that 65% of Jordan adolescents were Internet addicts.⁽¹³⁾ Comparing prevalence of IA is difficult due to the heterogeneity of assessment instruments, using varying samples and designs in the conducted studies and varying target populations. Furthermore, variation in the prevalence of IA is a known phenomenon as previous literature reported much variability in IA (15.8% of Moraccon high school students⁽²⁶⁾, 35.6% of Indian teenagers⁽²⁷⁾, 22.2% of teenagers in Iran⁽²⁸⁾ and 20% of the studied teenager in Japan⁽²⁹⁾ were Internet addicts) and may be attributed also to variations in the accessibility of the Internet in different countries.

As regards predictors of IA among the studied Egyptian high school students, results of the current study showed no significant association between all socio-demographic characteristics of the study subjects and IA. Although several studies reported dominance of male gender in the prevalence of IA ^(13, 27, 30), the current study found no difference in the prevalence of IA between males and females and this was in agreement with Yadav et al.⁽³¹⁾ In the present study Internet addiction correlated with grade but not gender. In agreement with Atthanari et al., Kawabe et al. and Sasmaz et al., who found that the higher the grade the greater the prevalence of IA.^(27, 29, 30)

Adolescence is a sensitive period in the person's life, in which parents, family, and peer relationships, could be very important, as acceptance from society has a strong effect on most of his behavior. In the current study, a bad relationship with family and friends was associated with IA in bivariate analysis. But further analysis showed that bad relationship with the family was a strong predictor of IA in agreement with many previous studies.^(9, 10, 14, 28)

It was noted before, that a negative relationship with family especially the parents might cause extra stress, so the adolescent tends to use the Internet as a coping method. The unhappy circumstances at home and conflicts with parents and other family members may serve as both risk factors and consequences of IA.⁽¹⁴⁾

In agreement with Mohamed and Bernouss having a smart phone and access to the Internet at home and outside the house were significant predictors of IA among the studied high school students.⁽²⁶⁾ Kawabe et al. reported a high rate of IA among teenagers who owed smart phones⁽²⁹⁾ and Arthanari et al. also reported that the presence of a source of Internet at home was a predictor of IA among teenagers.⁽²⁷⁾ Accessing Internet outside the house was prevalent among Internet addict students in the current study in agreement with Al-Shdayfat et al., who reported that the aim of using the Internet and place of Internet use are the strongest predictors of IA among Jordanian adolescents.⁽¹³⁾

Social networking which has become the most important means of communication among adolescents was found strongly associated with a range of psychiatric problems.⁽³²⁾ Moreover, it was found that the intense use of social networking is correlated with criteria for Internet addiction among adolescents. In agreement with previous literature^{(13, 14,} ^{31, 33)}, we found that using the Internet for browsing Facebook was a very strong predictor of IA among the studied adolescents. These findings agree with Karacic et al. who found that the highest level of Internet addiction was found among the adolescents who used the Internet mainly for browsing social media without parental control.⁽³⁴⁾ In the previously mentioned study having much free time which is usually spent in entertainment by using the Internet was shown as a predictor of IA among the study subjects. This was also shown by our study, and was in agreement with Al-Shdayfat et al., and McNicol and Thorsteinsson.^{(13,} Furthermore, social networking, education, entertainment, ranked as the top three among the online activities of all the studied high school students which carry a higher risk of an increased percentage of Internet and Facebook addiction in the future, which was in agreement with Xin et al. (14)

The most important concern of IA in adolescents is the association with the deterioration of mental states. Yadav et al., stated that there was a strong positive correlation between depression, anxiety, sleep disturbances, and stress and IA.⁽³¹⁾ In agreement with a large body of literature^{(10, 17, 29, 30, ^{34, 35)}, IA in the study subjects was associated with deterioration in mental health, which is not surprising as IA is proposed as a new psychiatric disorder. However, results of the current study do not determine if psychiatric co-morbidity originated from IA or otherwise.}

Strengths and limitations

The current study assessed Internet addiction among high school students who represent a vulnerable age group as they became subjected more to the Internet not only for entertainment but also for education with the recent use of the tablet in studying instead of books. Furthermore, we included many factors related to Internet use in the current study.

Limitations of the study included the crosssectional design that hinders determination of causal relation and identification of whether mental health problems caused or resulted from IA among the studied high school students.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Results of the current study showed high prevalence of IA among the studied high school students, which associated with deteriorated mental health state. Engagement of the studied students in social networking, having smart phones, access to the Internet inside and outside the house and bad relationships with parents were strong predictors of IA and indicate a need for better parenting style and more controlled use of the smart phones. So, effective interventions are needed to allow better control of the use of smart phones to enhance reduction of IA.

Prospective studies are required to determine whether psychological disorders preceded or resulted from development of IA.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

FUNDING

No funding for conducting the current research was received

REFERENCES

- J. C. Worldwide digital population 2020 [Available from: https://www.statista.com/statistics/617136/digital-population-.
- Khalil SA, Kamal H, Elkholy H. The prevalence of problematic Internet use among a sample of Egyptian adolescents and its psychiatric comorbidities. Int. J. Soc. Psychiatry. 2020: 20764020983841.
- Brand M, Young KS, Laier C. Prefrontal Control and Internet Addiction: A Theoretical Model and Review of Neuropsychological and Neuroimaging Findings. Front Hum Neurosci. 2014;8(375).
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-5®), 2013.
- Kardefelt-Winther D, Heeren A, Schimmenti A, van Rooij A, Maurage P, Carras M et al. How can we conceptualize behavioural addiction without pathologizing common behaviours? Addiction. 2017;112(10):1709-15.
- Fumero A, Marrero RJ, Voltes D, Peñate W. Personal and social factors involved in Internet addiction among adolescents: A metaanalysis. Comput Hum Behav. 2018;86:387-400.
- Derevensky JL, Hayman V, Lynette G. Behavioral Addictions: Excessive Gambling, Gaming, Internet, and Smart phone Use Among Children and Adolescents. Pediatr Clin North Am. 2019;66(6):1163-82.
- Truong A, Moukaddam N, Toledo A, Onigu-Otite E. Addictive Disorders in Adolescents. Psychiatr Clin North Am. 2017;40(3):475-86.

- Durkee T, Kaess M, Carli V, Parzer P, Wasserman C, Floderus B, et al. Prevalence of pathological Internet use among adolescents in Europe: demographic and social factors. Addiction. 2012;107(12):2210-22.
- Kamal NN, Mosallem FAE-H. Determinants of problematic Internet use among El-Minia high school students, Egypt. Int J Prev Med. 2013;4(12):1429.
- Mohamed G, Bernouss R. A cross-sectional study on Internet addiction among Moroccan high school students, its prevalence and association with poor scholastic performance. Int J Adolesc Youth. 2019;25(1):479-90.
- Alhantoushi M, Alabdullateef S. Internet addiction among secondary school students in Riyadh city, its prevalence, correlates and relation to depression: A questionnaire survey. Int J Med Sci Public Health. 2014;3(1).
- Al-Shdayfat N, Hawi N, Hamadneh S, Albnian F, Alzyoud S, Logue T. Internet addiction among school adolescents in Northeastern Jordan. World J Med Sci. 2016;13(4):218-24.
- Xin M, Xing J, Pengfei W, Houru L, Mengcheng W, Hong Z. Online activities, prevalence of Internet addiction and risk factors related to family and school among adolescents in China. Addict Behav Rep. 2018;7:14-8.
- 15. Young KS. Internet addiction: The emergence of a new clinical disorder. Cyberpsychol Behav. 1998;1(3):237-44.
- Kaess M, Durkee T, Brunner R, Carli V, Parzer P, Wasserman C, et al. Pathological Internet use among European adolescents: psychopathology and self-destructive behaviours. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2014;23(11):1093-102.
- Kumar N, Kumar A, Mahto SK, Kandpal M, Deshpande SN, Tanwar P. Prevalence of excessive Internet use and its correlation with associated psychopathology in 11th and 12th grade students. General Psychiatry. 2019;32(2).
- Ko CH, Yen JY, Yen CF, Chen CS, Chen CC. The association between Internet addiction and psychiatric disorder: a review of the literature. Eur Psychiatry. 2012;27(1):1-8.
- Desouky D, Ibrahem RA. Internet addiction and psychological morbidity among Menoufia university students, Egypt. Am J Public Health Res. 2015;3(5):192-8.
- Saied SM, Elsabagh HM, El-Afandy A. Internet and Facebook addiction among Egyptian and Malaysian medical students: a comparative study, Tanta University, Egypt. Int J Community Med Public Health. 2016;3(5):1288-97.
- Nafee H, Mohammed B, Al-Hamdan A. Effect of excessive Internet use in Saudi and Egyptian teenagers' health: Comparative study. J Nurs Educ Pract. 2018;8:25-35.

- Hawi NS. Arabic validation of the Internet addiction test. Cyberpsychology, behavior and social networking. 2013;16(3):200-4.
- Widyanto L, McMurran M. The Psychometric Properties of the Internet Addiction Test. Cyberpsychol Behav. 2004;7:443-50.
- Goldberg DP. User's guide to the General Health Questionnaire. Windsor. 1988.
- Daradkeh TK, Ghubash R, El-Rufaie OE. Reliability, validity, and factor structure of the Arabic version of the 12-item General Health Questionnaire. Psychol Rep. 2001;89(1):85-94.
- Mohamed G, Bernouss R. A cross-sectional study on Internet addiction among Moroccan high school students, its prevalence and association with poor scholastic performance. Int J Adolesc Youth. 2020;25(1):479-90.
- Arthanari S KN, Ansari MA, Faizi N. Prevalence & determinants of Internet Addiction among Indian adolescents. Indian J Comm Health. 2017;29(1):89-95.
- Ahmadi K. Internet addiction among Iranian adolescents: a nationwide study. Acta Medica Iranica. 2014:467-72.
- Kawabe K, Horiuchi F, Ochi M, Oka Y, Ueno S. Internet addiction: Prevalence and relation with mental states in adolescents. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2016;70(9):405-12.
- Şaşmaz T, Öner S, Kurt AÖ, Yapıcı G, Yazıcı AE, Buğdaycı R, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of Internet addiction in high school students. Eur J Public Health. 2014;24(1):15-20.
- Yadav P, Banwari G, Parmar C, Maniar R. Internet addiction and its correlates among high school students: A preliminary study from Ahmedabad, India. Asian Journal of Psychiatry. 2013;6(6):500-5.
- Sampasa-Kanyinga H, Hamilton H. Social networking sites and mental health problems in adolescents: The mediating role of cyberbullying victimization. European Psychiatry. 2015;30(8):1021-7.
- McNicol ML, Thorsteinsson EB. Internet addiction, psychological distress, and coping responses among adolescents and adults. Cyberpsychology, Behavior and Social Networking. 2017;20(5):296-304.
- Karacic S, Oreskovic S. Internet addiction through the phase of adolescence: a questionnaire study. JMIR Mental Health. 2017;4(2):e11.
- Goel D, Subramanyam A, Kamath R. A study on the prevalence of Internet addiction and its association with psychopathology in Indian adolescents. Indian J Psychiatry. 2013;55(2):140-3.