# EFFECT OF IRRIGATION INTERVALS AND FOLIAR SPRAY WITH SALICYLIC AND ASCORBIC ACIDS ON MAIZE

# Abo-Marzoka E.A, Rania F.Y., El-Mantawy and Iman M.Soltan Dep. Crop Physiology. Field Crop Research Institute Agric.Res.Center Giza,Egypt.

### ABSTRACT

The present study was carried out at the Experimental Farm of Sakha Agriculture Research Station Kafr El-sheikh, Egypt during the growing seasons 2013 and 2014 to study the effect of foliar spray of salicylic and ascorbic acids (vitamin C) on growth, yield and yield components of maize (*Zea mays*) hybrid SC.128 under irrigation interval treatments. The experimental design was a split-plot with four replicates. The main plots were devoted to irrigation intervals:- 1-irrigation every 15 days (control). 2- irrigation every 20 days (moderate water deficit). 3- irrigation every 25 days (severe water deficit). While the sub plots were assigned to foliar applications of salicylic acid (SA) and ascorbic acid (AA):

1- sprayed with water (control). 2- sprayed with 100 ppm of salicylic acid **(SA)**.

3- sprayed with 200 ppm of salicylic acid **(SA)** 4- sprayed with 100 ppm of ascorbic acid **(AA)**. 5- sprayed with 200 ppm of ascorbic acid **(AA)**. 6- sprayed with 100 ppm of salicylic acid **(SA)**. + foliar with 100 ppm of ascorbic acid**(AA)**. Following data were recorded :- plant height, leaf area, photosynthetic pigments (chl. a, chl. b and total chl.),ear height, ear weight, ear length, ear diameter, number of grains ear<sup>-1</sup>,grains weight ear<sup>-1</sup>, 100-grains weight and grain yield (ard./fed). All growth parameters were significantly reduced with widening intervals irrigation 25 days while ascorbic acid, particularly at 200 ppm tended to mitigate the adverse effect of water deficit on growth and yield components to maize plants. It is suggested that ascorbic acid could be a promising material used to reduce the harmful effect of water stress on the growth and yield of maize plants.

*Keywords:* water stress, salicylic acid (AS), ascorbic acid(AA), *Zea* mays, growth, yield.

## INTRODUCTION

Maize is  $C_4$  and a water use efficient plant but is highly susceptible to water stress at its reproductive stage because of its unusual floral structure **(Nemeth** *et al.***, 2002).** The most critical period for water stress in maize is ten to fourteen days before and after flowering .Because grain yield of maize is highly correlated with kernel number per plant .This indicating the importance of an adequate supply of water during flowering (Karam et al., 2003). The requirement of irrigation for maize varies with soil type and agro-climatic conditions. Irrigation at such critical stage of floral development resulted in better growth in maize (Stone et al., 2001). Water is one of the most abundant compounds on the ground and 2/3 of the ground-level was surrounded or covered with water, but in most part of the world, lack (shortage) of water is a factor which is limit the production of the agricultural products (Reddy et al., 2004). Lack (shortage) of water in Egypt is accounted as a factor which limit the plantation and growth of the agricultural plants. Plants often suffer from water deficit stress, and the severity of the resulting damage varies depending on the intensity and duration of the stress. Other than the apparent effects of drought stress, the effects of water deficit are not well understood at the biochemical and molecular levels (Abd-Elbaki et al., 2000 ; Bismillah et al. 2001 and Reddy et al., 2004). Various physiological practices are applied to alleviate the adverse effects of water deficit stress on the normal functioning of plants. For example, plant growth regulators have been applied to plants as a means of improving the growing of those plants. Indeed, there is evidence that the proper application of plant growth regulators increase plant can tolerance to environmental stresses such as drought, heavy metals, salinity, chilling and water-logging (Campos et al., 2004, Darvishan et al., 2013 and Yaghoubian et al., 2014).

and ascorbic are Salicylic acids, an important phytohormones that plays a key role in response to biotic stresses and pathogenesis. Apart from this role, recent studies have demonstrated that SA also participates in the signaling of abiotic stress responses, such as drought, high and low temperature, salinity, ozone, UV radiation, and heavy metals. Darvishan et al., (2013) and Yaghoubian et al., (2014).

The present work aim to use the power full of both salicylic and ascorbic acids in alleviation of the adverse effect of water deficit on maize growth and productivity.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out at the Experimental Farm of Sakha Agriculture Research Station KafrElsheikh, Egypt during the two summer growing seasons 2013 and 2014 to study the effect of foliar spray of salicylic and ascorbic acids on growth, yield and yield components of maize plant hybrid SC.128 grown under irrigation intervals water deficit was created by widening irrigation intervals. The preceding crop was wheat in the two seasons. A split-plot with four replicates was adopted. The main plots were determine intervals irrigation: - 1- irrigation every15 days as (control), 2- irrigation every 20 days (moderate water deficit) 3-irrigation every 25days (severe water deficit). While, sub plots were randomly assigned to : -1- control (water sprayed). 2- spray with 100 ppm of salicylic acid. 3spray with 200 ppm of salicylic acid. 4- spray with 100 ppm of ascorbic acid. 5- spray with 200 ppm of ascorbic acid . 6- spray with 100 ppm of salicylic acid + 100 ppm of ascorbic acid. The plot area was 14  $m^2$ i.e. 5 rows 4 m long and 0.70 m apart. Sowing dates were achieved on June 10<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> in the two successive seasons (2013 and 2014), respectively. Two seeds were hand sown in hills spaced at 25 cm on ridges at 0.70 m apart. Plants were thinned to one plant/hill 21 days after planting. Nitrogen fertilizers at the rate of 120 kg nitrogen fed<sup>-1</sup> of urea (46.5%) was applied in two equal portions before the first and the second irrigations .The treatments SA and AA were applied as foliar sprayed twice after 30 and 45days after planting. Plant samples were taken from the outer two ridges of each plot at 60,75 days and 85 DAP then the following data were measured recorded. plant height (cm) leaf area (dm<sup>2</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>) and chlorophyll content of leaves. Some physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil were analyzed using the methods described by Black et al., (1982) and the obtained results are given in Table (1). The monthly averages of water factors for KafrEl-Sheikh region during 2013 and 2014 seasons were shown in Tables (3and 4).

Determination	2013	2014
Mechanical analysis:		
0.114		
Soli fraction:		
Sand %	17.00	20.00
Silt %	25.10	23.30
Clay %	57.90	56.70
chemical analysis:		
рН	8.10	8.50
E.C. mm hos/cm	2.00	1.70
Organic matter (O.M) %	1.30	1.53
Available N ppm	29.00	30.00
Available P ppm	15.00	13.00
Available K ppm	300.00	350.00

Table (1): Mechani	cal and c	chemical	analysis	of so	oil at	the	experimental	sites
during 2013	and 2014	lseasons						

Month			Temperature C	U	Relative humidity	Wind speed	Pan evaporation
		Max.	Min.	Mean			
June	2013	33.7	21.7	27.7	46	3.42	8.26
	2014	36.8	24.3	30.6	42	3.07	8.09
July 2013		37.4	27.1	32.3	48	2.98	8.36
	2014	39.1	30	34.6	46	2.75	8.31
Aug.	2013 2014	39.9	29.8	34.9	50	2.81	7.69
	2014	40.2	28.7	34.5	49	2.34	7.73
Sep.	2013 2014	38.2	28.9	33.6	49	2.41	6.02
		36.6	29.3	33.0	46	2.27	6.14
Oct.	2013	35.4	27.4	31.4	47	2.41	5.6
	2014	33.9	28.6	31.3	48	2.38	5.8

Table (2): The monthly averages of water factors for Kafr El-Sheikh region during 2013 and 2014 seasons

\*Max = maximum, Min=minimum\* .Mgro meteorological data climatic factor from Sakha Station(A.R.C).

Table (3): Some soil moisture constants and bulk density for the experimental field during 2013 and 2014 seasons

Soil donth	Field consoity	Wilting point	Bulk donaity	Available					
Soli deptri	Field capacity	willing point	Durk density	Available					
(cm)	wt. %	wt. %	g cm <sup>∠</sup>	moisture m m					
2013 season									
0-15	39.42	20.17	1.39	47.6					
15-30	34.19	18.46	1.42	44.3					
30-45	31.82	16.21	1.37	39.8					
45-60	30.29	15.36	1.40	34.1					
		2014 season							
0-15	42.82	21.4	1.43	49.6					
15-30	40.11	20.3	1.41	44.8					
30-45	38.92	18.8	1.37	42.1					
45-60	36.40	16.1	1.32	39.2					

At age 60, 75 and 85 days after planting (DAP) a sample of five representative plants was taken at random from each plot to determine:

1- **The total chlorophyll pigments** were determined according to the methods of and calculated according to the equations following **Moran (1982).** 

following Moran (1982). Chl a=12.7(O.D)664-2.79(O.D)647= mgL<sup>-1</sup>.....(1). Chl b=20.7(O.D)647-4.62(O.D)664= mgL<sup>-1</sup>.....(2). Total chl. = 17.9(O.D)647-8.08(O.D)664= mgL<sup>-1</sup>.....(3).

2- leaf area (dm<sup>2</sup>/plant).

3- Ea leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>): was determined by the methods described by Quarrie and Jones (1979).

**4-Relative yield reduction (RYR):** was estimated by using the formula suggested by **Fisher and Maurer(1978):** 

RYR%={(Yi-adq-Yi.def)/Yi-adq}.....(4) where, Yi- adq was the yield of treatment under adequate water; Yi.def was the yield of treatments under deficit water; adq was the overall yield of treatments under adequate water and def was the overall yield of treatments under deficit water. DD = (yield under non-stress -Yield under stress).

# Yield and its components:-

At harvest done at 122 and 120 days after sowing for two successive seasons, respectively taken ten guarded plants were taken from the  $2^{nd}$  and  $3^{rd}$  ridges in each plots to determine plant height, ear height ,ear length ear weight, ear diameter, number of grains/ear, grains weight/ear, 100 -grains weight and grain yield (ard./fed.) one ardb = 190 kg which was adjusted to 15.5% moisture content.

All collected data were subjected to statistical analysis of variance according to **Gomez and Gomez (1984)**. The treatments average were compared using LSD test at 0.05 level of significant.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## Effect on growth

Data in Tables (4, 5 and 6) indicated that, severe water deficit (25days irrigation intervals') resulted in plant growth. All plant growth characters including plant height, leaf area per plant significantly decreased due to water deficit. The highest value of plant height and leaf area per plant recorded for maize plants irrigated every 15 days .The lowest reduction in growth parameters was observed under severe water stress (irrigation at 25 days) .On the other side, foliar application of salicylic or ascorbic acids, showed significant increase in growth characters i.e. plant height, leaves concentrations of chl. a, chl. b as well as total chl. and leaf area per plant at 60,75 and 85 DAP compared with untreated plants in the two seasons .In this the highest respect, increase in such characters was obtained in plants sprayed with 100 ppm salicylic acid +100 ppm ascorbic acid. The depressive effect of water stress on growth parameters could be attributed to the drop in leaf water content and the reduction in the assimilation of nitrogen compounds (Reddy et al., 2004). It also, affect the rate of cell division and enlargement. Drought stress also reduced the uptake of essential elements and affected photosynthetic capacity as well as induced excessive accumulation of intermediate compounds such as reactive oxygen species (Khalifa et al., 2002 and El-Sobky et al.,2014 ), which cause oxidative damage to DNA, lipid and proteins and consequently a decrease in plant growth. Finally, water stress

leads to increases in abscisic acid which cause an inhibition of the growth. The enhanced production of ROS during water stress lead to the progressive oxidative damage and ultimately cell death and growth suppression (Araus et al. 2012). Foliar spray of ascorbic acid (ASA) in most cases resulted in a significant increase in maize plants growth parameters under normal or stressed conditions. The effect is more pronounced at (200 ppm) dose of AA as it increased uptake of water and essential nutrients through adjusting cell osmotic potential, and reducing the accumulation of harmful of the reactive oxygen species (ROS) by increasing antioxidants and enzyme . Salicylic and ascorbic acid can mitigate the adverse effects of drought through increasing the content of IAA and GA3 and decreasing ABA level, which may be involved in protecting the photosynthetic apparatus and consequently increasing the photosynthetic pigments (Campos et al., 2004). They concluded that, chlorophyll content of plants treated with vitamins (such as ascorbic acid) was increased due to the protection effect of these vitamins.( Yaghoubian et al. 2014). reported that, ascorbic acid can detoxify and neutralize the effect of the reactive oxygen species by prevention of free radicals activity, leading to increase in chlorophyll content of vitamin- treated plants. They also found that, application of salicylic acid and vitamin C (ascorbic acid) was effective to mitigate the adverse effect of abiotic stress on plant growth due to increased leaf area and improved chlorophyll a, b and total chlorophyll contents.

Table	(4):	Effect	of	foliar	spray	with	salicylic	and	ascorbic	acids	under
	irriga	tion int	erv	als on	some	growth	n charact	ters a	t 60 days	after	sowing
	durin	ig 2013	an	d 2014	seaso	ns			-		•

Treatments	Chl.a (mg/dm <sup>2</sup> )	Chl.b (mg/dm <sup>2</sup> )	Total Chl.	Leaf area (dm <sup>2</sup> )	Chl.a (mg/dm <sup>2</sup> )	Chl.b (mg/dm <sup>2</sup> )	Total Chl.	Leaf area (dm <sup>2</sup> )
		2013 se	ason			2014 se	ason	
Irrigation intervals (A)								
Irrigation every 15 days	2.692	1.795	4.487	18.70	3.018	1.761	4.779	20.19
Irrigation every 20 days	1.573	1.049	2.622	16.41	2.086	1.217	3.303	17.72
Irrigation every 25 days	1.501	1.001	2.502	14.05	1.394	0.813	2.207	15.17
LSD at 0.05	0.302	0.135	0.337	1.568	0.195	0.098	0.192	1.378
Foliar treatments (B)								
Foliar with water	1.425	0.950	2.376	15.32	1.88	1.097	2.977	16.54
SA at 100 ppm	1.904	1.269	3.173	15.98	2.244	1.309	3.553	17.26
SA at 200ppm	2.159	1.439	3.598	16.25	2.303	1.343	3.646	17.55
AA at 100 ppm	1.631	1.087	2.718	16.55	2.028	1.183	3.211	17.87
AA at 200 ppm	2.129	1.420	3.549	16.93	2.132	1.243	3.375	18.28
SA at 100ppm+100AA ppm	2.285	1.524	3.809	17.27	2.409	1.405	3.814	18.66
LSD at 0.05	0.498	0.332	0.830	2.96	0.251	0.146	0.397	2.155
Interaction (A XB) L S D at 0.05	0.768	0.628	1.224	3.255	1.61	0.496	1.188	2.817

(SA) Salicylic Acid, (AA) Ascorbic Acid

Treatments	Chl.a	Chl.b	Total	Leaf	Chl.a	Chl.b	Total	Leaf
	$(mq/dm^2)$	(ma/dm <sup>2</sup> )	Chl	area	(ma/dm <sup>2</sup> )	(ma/dm <sup>2</sup> )	Chl	area
	(g/ a )	(g/ a )	0	$(dm^2)$	(g/ a /	(g/ a )	0	(dm <sup>2</sup> )
		0040		(un)		0044		(uni)
		2013 Se	ason			2014 se	ason	
Irrigation treatments (A)								
Irrigation every 15 days	3.365	2.468	5.833	22.91	3.722	2.264	5.982	25.2
Irrigation every 20 days	1.967	1.442	3.409	20.45	2.573	1.565	4.138	22.5
Irrigation every 25 days	1.877	1.376	3.253	17.08	1.719	1.046	2.765	18.79
LSD at 0.05	0.253	0.185	0.438	1.477	0.107	0.065	0.172	1.417
Foliar treatments (B)								
Foliar with water	1.782	1.307	3.089	18.48	2.319	1.41	3.729	20.33
SA at 100 ppm	2.380	1.745	4.125	19.21	2.768	1.683	4.451	21.13
SA at 200ppm	2.698	1.979	4.677	19.99	2.84	1.727	4.567	21.99
AA at 100 ppm	2.038	1.495	3.533	20.35	2.50	1.521	4.022	22.39
AA at 200 ppm	2.662	1.952	4.614	21.22	2.62	1.599	4.228	23.34
SA at 100ppm + 100 AA ppm	2.857	2.095	4.952	21.63	2.97	1.807	4.778	23.8
LSD at 0.05	0.622	0.456	0.791	2.696	0.309	0.188	0.498	2.367
Interaction(AXB) LS D at	1.04	0.787	0.898	3.489	0.532	0.602	0.963	2.867
0.05								

Table (5): Effect of foliar spray with salicylic and ascorbic acids under irrigation intervals on some growth characters at 75 days after sowing during 2013 and 2014 seasons

(SA) Salicylic Acid, (AA) Ascorbic Acid

The plant water status is dependent on: the soil moisture content, the capacity for water absorption by roots, and the hydraulic conductivity of root and shoot tissues. Water potential is often used as a measure of the water status of a plant. Plants are seldom fully hydrated. During periods of drought, they suffer from water deficits that lead to inhibition of plant growth and photosynthesis. Several physiological changes occur as plants experience increasingly drier conditions. Cell expansion is most affected by water deficit. In many plants reductions in water supply inhibit shoot growth and leaf expansion but stimulate root elongation. Drought does impose some absolute limitations on physiological processes, although the actual water potentials at which such limitations occur vary with species. Water stress typically leads to an accumulation of solutes in the cytoplasm and vacuole of plant cells, thus allowing the cells to maintain turgor pressure despite low water potential. Some physiological processes appear to be influenced directly by turgor pressure. However, the existence of stretch-activated signaling molecules in the plasma membrane suggests that plant cells may sense changes in their water status via changes in volume, rather than

Table (6): Effect of foliar spray with salicylic and ascorbic acids under irrigation intervals on some growth characters at 85 days after sowing during 2013 and 2014seasons

Treatments	Chl.a (mg/dm <sup>2</sup> )	Chl.b (mg/dm <sup>2</sup> )	Total Chl.	Leaf area(Cm <sup>2</sup> )	Chl.a (mg/dm <sup>2</sup> )	Chl.b (mg/dm <sup>2</sup> )	Total Chl.	Eer leaf area (Cm <sup>2</sup> )
		2013 s	eason			2014 se	eason	
Irrigation treatments (A)								
Irrigation every 15 days	3.589	2.647	6.237	5.29	3.822	2.464	6.286	5.44
Irrigation every 20 days	2.098	1.547	3.645	4.42	2.642	1.704	4.346	3.23
Irrigation every 25 days	2.002	1.476	3.478	3.67	1.766	1.138	2.904	3.07
LSD at 5%	0.270	0.199	0.468	1.836	0.110	0.071	0.180	1.123
Foliar treatments (B)								
Foliar with water	1.9	1.402	3.302	3.89	2.381	1.535	3.916	3.1
SA at 100 ppm	2.539	1.872	4.411	4.20	2.569	1.656	4.225	3.55
SA at 200ppm	2.878	2.123	5.001	4.39	2.701	1.741	4.442	4.048
AA at 100 ppm	2.174	1.603	3.778	4.58	2.917	1.881	4.798	4.06
AA at 200 ppm	2.839	2.094	4.933	4.72	2.842	1.833	4.675	4.174
SA at 100ppm + AA at 100ppm	3.047	2.247	5.294	4.98	3.052	1.968	5.02	4.56
LSD at 5 %	0.664	0.489	1.153	2.696	0.318	0.205	0.523	1.630
Interaction (AXB) L S D at 0.05	1.68	0.827	1.324	3.503	0.522	0.415	0.910	2.235

(SA) Salicylic Acid, (AA) Ascorbic Acid

by responding directly to turgor pressure (ljaz et.al. 2014). The effectiveness of plants in moderating water loss while allowing sufficient  $CO_2$  uptake for photosynthesis can be assessed by a parameter called the Transpiration ratio .This value is defined as the amount of water transpired by the plant divided by the amount of carbon dioxide assimilated by photosynthesis. For plants in which the first stable product of carbon fixation by photosynthesis, giving a transpiration ratio.

#### Yield and its components

Data in Table (7) showed that the plant height (cm), ear height (cm),ear length (cm) grains weight /ear, ear diameter, number of grains\ear, ear weight, 100-grains weight, grain yield were affected by water stress and spray plants with salicylic acid and ascorbic acid counteracted this negative effect of drought. All yield components decreased under the two water stress levels (20 and 25 days irrigation intervals). It is well known that water severe (water deficit irrigation every 25 days) negatively affected all growth parameters and development, therefore, yield and its components were significantly depressed under suck treatment. drought stress. Grain weight of ear per plant significantly decreased with increasing water deficit, while foliar application of salicylic acid or ascorbic acid, especially at 200 ppm tended to reverse this negative effect and increased the yield . Previous studies reported that water stress reduced the yield of many crops (El-Sobky et al., 2014). The reduction yield may be due to the negative effect of water stress on the number of grains and number of corn/ plant as well as leaf area, resulting in a reduction in the supply of

carbon assimilate and photosynthetic rate by plants and consequently less biomass

Table 7: Effect of irrigation intervals and foliar spray of salicylic and ascorbic acid on yield and yield components of maize plants during the two seasons 2013 and 2014

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	Ear height (cm)	Ear weight ( q)	Ear length (cm)	Ear diamete r	100- Grainwt. (q)	No. of grain/ea r	Grain wt./ ear	Grain yield ard/fed.			
	. ,	```	(0)	( )	(cm)	(0)						
2013 season												
Irrigation treatments (A)	Irrigation treatments (A)											
Irrigation 15 days	294.33	158.93	201.42	36.8	7.29	51.82	456.0	132.02	22.86			
Irrigation 20 days	245.72	132.69	173.71	25.6	6.40	36.03	317.2	81.48	16.42			
Irrigation 25 days	203.95	110.13	153.57	17.4	5.49	24.52	215.8	70.77	13.23			
LSD at 0.05	13.488	8.434	1.491	2. 164	2.048	2.160	8.712	1.899	3.166			
Foliar application (B)												
foliar with water	216.47	116.89	159.18	22.8	5.97	32.11	282.7	66.25	14.73			
SA 100 ppm	233.51	126.10	172.07	24.8	6.23	34.90	307.3	78.53	16.20			
SA 200 ppm	244.22	131.88	176.37	26.1	6.34	36.78	338.4	99.48	16.80			
AA 100 ppm	254.46	137.41	178.92	27.3	6.45	38.46	323.5	100.44	17.94			
AA 200 ppm	262.25	141.61	181.62	28.0	6.62	39.51	347.7	106.62	18.88			
SA 100 ppm+ ASA 100 ppm	277.10	149.63	189.26	30.5	6.75	42.98	378.3	117.24	20.47			
L.S.D at 0.05	17.88	10.72	2.57	2.5	2.201	3.591	13.428	2.290	5.08			
Interaction (AXB)												
L.S.D at 5%	19.80	12.10	3.80	3.5	3.871	5.80	17.66	3.104	7.404			
			2014	4 season								
Irrigation treatments (A)												
Irrigation every 15 days	267.30	169.20	203.98	35.49	8.15	57.10	465.1	153.15	22.99			
Irrigation every 20 days	205.00	129.70	121.21	20.49	5.43	33.00	326.3	94.52	16.20			
Irrigation every 25 days	162.10	102.60	115.17	18.74	5.15	30.20	298.4	82.09	14.83			
LSD at 0.05	10.468	9.273	1.917	2.217	1.832	3.787	6.793	3.892	4.042			
Foliar application (B)												
foliar with water	180.70	114.30	116.37	18.87	5.06	30.40	300.5	76.84	15.41			
foliar SA 100 ppm	192.00	121.50	132.94	22.19	5.58	35.70	353.5	91.09	16.60			
foliar SA 100 ppm	231.30	146.40	151.81	25.90	6.43	43.50	412.6	115.40	17.64			
foliar AA 100 ppm	209.00	132.20	152.25	26.05	6.46	41.70	430.5	116.51	18.80			
foliar AA 200 ppm	223.20	141.30	156.53	27.05	6.69	41.90	414.8	123.67	19.34			
foliar SA100 ppm+AS100 ppm	232.80	147.30	170.82	29.41	7.24	47.40	468.2	136.00	20.24			
LSD at 0.0 5	14.10	13.50	2.36	3.62	2.92	5.80	7.65	5.802	6.90			
Interaction (AXB)												
L.S.D at 0.05	18.74	16.16	4.31	7.275	3.358	8.139	9.35	9.052	8.262			

(SA) Salicylic Acid, (AA) Ascorbic Acid,

produced as well as decreased translocation of assimilates towards the developing crop parts . The depressive effect of water stress on yield could be also attributed to the inhibitory effect of drought on vegetative growth which be partly related to a significant reduction of foliar chlorophyll contents and nutrients concentration. Exogenous application of ascorbic acid under drought stress caused, increased all parameters of yield components as compared to the corresponding water stress level. This positive effect of salicylic , ascorbic acids on yield components could be attributed to its role as a cofactor for enzymes involved in photosynthesis, hormone biosynthesis, and the regeneration of antioxidants (Yaghoubian *et al.*, 2014). Drought depression (DD) and Relative yield reduction (RYR%)

Data in Table (8) clearly showed that, water deficit caused reduction in grain yield during the two growing seasons. The mean drought depression (DD) 6.44,6.79, 9.63 and 8.16 % mean relative

yield reduction(RYR) values were for 28.17,29.53 ,42.13 and 35.49l<sub>2</sub> and l<sub>3</sub> respectively corresponding to these results may be due to all yield components decreased under different water stress levels. It is well known that water severe (irrigation all 25 days ) affects negatively all growth parameters and development, therefore, yield and its components were significantly depressed under drought stress. These results are in harmony with those obtained by Habliza and Abdelhalim (2011). Water deficit is stressful, but too much water can also have several potentially negative consequences for a plant. Flooding and soil compaction result in poor drainage, leading to reduced O<sub>2</sub> availability to cells. Flooding fills soil pores with water, reducing O<sub>2</sub> availability. Dissolved oxygen diffuses so slowly in stagnant water that only a few cm of soil near the surface remain oxygenated. At low temperatures the consequences are relatively harmless. However, when temperatures are higher (greater than 20°C), O<sub>2</sub> consumption by plant roots, soil fauna, and microorganisms can totally deplete O<sub>2</sub> from the soil in as little as 24 hours. Flooding sensitive plants. soil dries, its hydraulic conductivity decreases very sharply, particularly near the permanent wilting point (that is, the soil water content at which plant cannot regain turgor up on rehydration). Redistribution of water within the roots often occurs at night, when evaporative emend -deficient plants tend to become rehydrated at night, allowing leaf growth during the day. But at the permanent wilting point, water delivery to the roots is too slow to allow the overnight rehydration of plants that have wilted during the day. The tested treatments foliar application with SA or AA on maize plants gave increasing at Drought depression (DD), Relative yield Reduction (YR%) in the two seasons. Thus, decreasing soil water conductivity hinders rehydration after wilting. results are in harmony with those obtained by (Darvishan et al., 2013 ljaz et al., 2014 and Yaghoubian et al., 2014). Plant nutrition is traditionally treated as two separate topics: organic nutrition and inorganic nutrition. Organic nutrition focuses on the production of carbon compounds, specifically the incorporation of carbon, hydrogen, and oxygen via photosynthesis, while inorganic nutrition is concerned primarily with the acquisition of mineral elements from the soil. Photosynthesis and the acquisition of mineral ions from the soil are so interdependent, however, that this distinction between organic and inorganic nutrition is more a matter of convenience than real.

# Table (8): Drought depression (DD) and Relative yield reduction (YR%) as affected by irrigation intervals and foliar spray with SA and AA through 2013 and 2014 seasons

Seasons	Treatments	2013 season		2014	season
		DD	YR%	DD	YR%
Irrigation intervals					
Irrigation at 20 days		6.44	28.17	6.79	29.53
Irrigation at 25 days		9.63	42.13	8.16	35.49
Sub stances					
Foliar spray with water		8.20	40.57	7.29	31.19
Foliar spray with 100 SA		7.50	35.38	7.31	30.76
Foliar spray with 200 SA		7.95	36.06	7.89	31.96
Foliar spray with 100ppm AA		8.18	34.95	8.88	34.50
Foliar spray with 200ppm AA		8.25	33.84	8.93	33.66
Foliar spray with 100ppm SA+ 100ppmAA		8.20	31.63	8.95	32.35
Interaction (Axb)					
Foliar spray with water		7.31	36.19	5.50	28.28
Foliar spray with 100 SA		6.10	28.77	5.73	27.43
Foliar spray with 200 SA		6.45	29.25	6.33	28.23
Foliar spray with 100ppm AA		6.29	26.89	7.70	31.53
Foliar spray with 200ppm AA		6.39	26.21	7.74	31.05
Foliar spray with 100ppm SA+ 100ppmAA		6.21	23.97	7.71	29.87
Interaction (AxC)					
Foliar spray with water		9.08	44.95	9.08	34.09
Foliar spray with 100 SA		8.90	41.98	8.90	34.08
Foliar spray with 200 SA		9.45	42.86	9.45	35.68
Foliar spray with 100ppm AA		10.06	43.00	10.06	37.46
Foliar spray with 200ppm AA		10.11	41.47	10.11	36.26
Foliar spray with 100ppm SA+ 100ppm AA				1.18	
		10.18	39.29		34.83

(SA) Salicylic Acid, (AA) Ascorbic Acid

In Conclusion irrigation of maize plants every 20 days with foliar application with 100 ppm salicylic acid plus 100 ppm ascorbic acid produced highest value of most of growth attributes, yield, it components maize hybrid "128" under KafrElsheikh conditions.

#### REFERENCES

- Abd-El baki, G.K, F. Siefritz, H.M. Man, H. Weiner, R.Kaldenhoff, and W.M. Kaiser. (2000). Nitrate reeducates in *Zea mays* L. under salinity. Plant Cell Environ. 23:515–521.
- Araus, J.L., M.D. Serret and G.O. Edmeades, (2012). Phenotyping maize for adaptation to drought. Front Physiol 3: 305. PubMed: 22934056.
- Bismillah KM, Hussain N and M. Iqbal (2001) . Effect of water stress on growth and yield components of maize variety YHS 202. Journal of Research in Science 12: 15-18.
- Black, C.A., D.D.Evan, L.E. Ensinger, J. L.White and F.E.Clark.(1982) .Methods of soil analysis (chemical and microbiology properties, part (2). Amer. Soc. of Agron. Inc., Publisher Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Campos H, Cooper M, Habben JE, Edmeades GO and JR Schussler (2004). Improving drought tolerance in maize: a view from industry. Field Crops Res90:19-34.
- Darvishan, M., Moghadam, H and H. Zahdi (2013) . The effect of foliar application of ascorbic acid
  - (vitamin C) on physiological and biochemical changes of corn (Zea mays L.) under irrigation

withholding in different growth stages .Maydica 58.195-200.

- El-Sobky, E.A.M.E.M. Zadan, A.A. Abdel- Galil and H.G. Geweifel, (2014) . Effect of irrigation
  - interval ,organic maturing and nitrogen fertilization level on yield and yield attributes of maize

Zagazig .J. Agric. Res.,41(1): 1-20.

- Esmail N., Mojdeh B. and T.Elnaz (2012). The effects of plant growth promoting on some of traits
  - in maize (cv.S.C.704)under drought stress condition European Journal of Experimental Biology, 2 (4):875-881.
- Fisher, R.A and R. Maurer, (1978) .Drought resistance in spring wheat
  - cultivars. I. Grain yield

responses in spring wheat. Australian J. Agric. Sci.29:892-912.

- Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez, (1984). Statistical procedures for agricultural Research 2<sup>nd</sup> John
  - Wiley & Sons. Inc. New York.
- Habliza A.A. and Abdalhady K.Abdelhalim, (2011).Productivity response of some maize

(Zea mays L.) hybrids to stress and non stress irrigation water .Alex. J.Agric.Res.56(1)17-25.

Ijaz,A.nBasra,S.M.,Abdul Wahid, (2014).Exogenous application of ascorbic acid, salicylic acid and

hydrogen peroxide improves the productivity of hybrid maize at low temperature

stress.Int.J.Agric.,4 825-830.

Karam F., Breidy J., Stephan C. and J. Rouphael (2003). Evapotranspiration, yield and water use

efficiency of drip irrigated maize in the Bekaa Valley of Lebanon. Agricultural Water

Management 63.122-137.

Khalifa,K.I.,G.M.A.Mahgoub,and A.M.Tarrad (2002).Maize hybrid as influenced by drought stress

under drib irrigation at Nubaria region . J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.,27:2041-2052.

Moran,R.(1982).Formulae for determination of chlorophyllous pigments extracted with N,N- dimethyl

formamide .plant physiol, (69),1376-1381.

Nemeth, M., T. Janda, E. Horváth, E. Páldi and G. Szalai, (2002). Exogenous salicylic acid increases polyamine content but may decrease drought tolerance in maize. Plant Sci.,162: 569-574.

- Quarrie,S.A. and H.G. Jones (1979).Genotypic variation in leaf water potential, stomata conductance and abscisic acid concentration in spring wheat subjected to artificial drought stress. Ann.Bot.,44: 323-327.
- Reddy, A.R., K.V. Chaitanya and M.Vivekanandan, (2004). Droughtinduced responses of photosynthesis and antioxidant metabolism in higher plants. J. Plant Physiol, 161: 1189-202.
- Stone PJ, Wilson DR, Jamieson PD and Gillespie RN (2001). Water deficit effects on sweet maize Canopy development. Australian Journal of Agricultural
- Research 52115-126. Yaghoubian ,H.,Moghadam,H. and H. Zahedi (2014). The effect of foliar
  - application of Salicylic acid on physiological and biochemical changes of corn (*Zea mays*

L.) under irrigation withholding in different growth stages . Journal of Applied Science and Agriculture, 9(9): 27-34.

السيد عبد المقصود ابومرزوقة- رانيا فاروق يوسف المنطاوى- إيمان محمد كمال الدين سلطان

قسم بحوث فسيولوجيا المحاصيل – معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية – مركز البحوث الزراعية -مصر

# الملخص العربى

أجريت تجربة حقلية بمزرعة محطة البحوث الزراعية بسخا خلال موسمين 2014/2013 لدراسة تأثير فترات الري والرش الورقي بحمض السالسليك وحمض الاسكوربيك على النمو والمحصول ومكوناته على صنف ذرة هجين فردى 128 . وكان التصميم الاحصائي المستخدم هو القطع المنشقة مرة واحدة فى أربعة مكررات حيث وضعت معاملات الري فى القطع الرئيسية وهى (الرى كل 15 يوم ، الرى كل 20 يوم ، الرى كل 25 يوم ) وكانت المعاملات الشقية هى الرش بحامض السالسليك وحامض الأسكوربيك وهى (الرش بالماء ، رش بمعدل 100، 200 مج/لتر ما حلص السالسليك ، 200،100 مج/لتر حامض الأسكوربيك ، خليط من 100 مج/لتر من كلا الحامضين ) وتم الرش بعد 45 ، 60 يوم من الزراعة . و أخذت عينات خضرية عند أعمار الأوراق من صبغات الكلوروفيل وعند الحصاد تم تقدير المحصول ومكوناته. وقد أوضحت النتائج أن نباتات الذرة التي تعرضت للجاف أدت إلى نقص في قيم الصفات المدروسة ونقص كمية محصول الجوب ولكن الرش الورقي بحامضي السالسليك والاسكورية محتوى المحضول من الزراعة وقدرت الصفات الآتية ارتفاع النبات والمساحة الورقية ومحتوى الأوراق من صبغات الكلوروفيل وعند الحصاد تم تقدير المحصول ومكوناته. وقد أوضحت النتائج أن كلومني المواتي تعرضت للجاف أدت إلى نقص في قيم الصفات المدروسة ونقص كمية محصول الخور التي تعرضت لحمان السالسليك والاسكوربيك بتركيزي 20 المور محتوى معامل المورة الورقي بحامضي السالسليك والاسكوربيك بتركيزي 200 مردة من محول معاول المولة أدت إلى نقص في قيم الصفات المدروسة ونقص كمية محصول معاول الذرة التي تعرضت للجاف أدت إلى نقص في قيم الصفات المدروسة ونقص كمية محصول معاول الخري الورقي بحامضي السالسليك والاسكوربيك بتركيزي 200 مردة وفي كليون من محلول أدى إلى تلطيف وتحسين صفات النمو والمحصول مع الري كل 20 وروم تحت ظروف