# Prediction of preterm birth using a modified classification of gram- stained vaginal smears

# ABSTRACT

Alaa Mosbah M.D,¹Ahmed Gibreel M.D, Mona Elhadidy M.D.2 Obstetrics &Gynecology Department¹ & Medical Microbiology and Immunology Department², Faculty of Medicine, Mansoura University,Egypt. **Objective:** The aim of this study was to identify women at risk of spontaneous preterm birth through a 4-category Gram-stained vaginal smear method and to examine the diagnostic accuracy of this classification in relation to the occurrence of preterm delivery.

**Patients & Methods:** This was a prospective study correlating first trimester Gram-stained vaginal smears with spontaneous preterm birth. Smears were categorized as normal, bacterial vaginosis-like, grade I-like (atypical gram-positive rods) or purulent grade I (lactobacil-li-dominated smears showing heavy leukorrhea of unknown cause).

**Results:** Abnormal Gram stain vaginal smears were associated with more than 5 fold increase in the odds of having a preterm birth when applying the modified 4 category scoring system. The presence of bacterial vaginosis (BV) like, Grade I-like and Grade I-PNL were associated with a significant increase in the odds of preterm labor (4, 7.8 and 6.1, respectively).

**Conclusion:** Pregnant women with abnormal Gram-stained vaginal smear have a higher risk of preterm labor. Also, the modified 4-category Gram-stained vaginal smear classification is a useful tool in predicting preterm labor.

Key words: preterm birth; bacterial vaginosis; Gram stain; vaginal smear

# INTRODUCTION

Preterm birth is defined as delivery of an infant before 37 weeks' gestation. Preterm birth is a serious problem occurring in 11% of all pregnancies. (1) It remains a leading cause of neonatal mortality and morbidity. (2) Bacterial vaginosis (BV) is a vaginal condition that can produce vaginal discharge and results from an overgrowth of certain types of bacteria that naturally exists in the vagina. BV, as well as other infections, had been found to be associated with an increased risk of preterm delivery in many studies. (3-5) BV had been shown to exist in almost 20% of pregnant women, however, most cases remain asymptomatic, and not all women with the condition will deliver prematurely. (6)

Various tests as Amsel's criteria, gram staining, oligonucleotide probes and culture of organisms of bacterial vaginosis can be used for the diagnosis. (7) The Nugent scoring system of a vaginal Gram stain is the most commonly used classification of vaginal microflora status. (8) Other classifications as that by Ison and Hay had also been validated and gained popularity. (9) However, these scoring systems may not reflect the diversity and complexity of the vaginal microflora. (10, 11) Meanwhile, a number of studies had found that other nonbacterial cell types may be involved in the vaginal inflammatory response that could trigger preterm labor. (12, 13)

In 2007, Verstraelen suggested a modified 4-category Gram-stained vaginal smear classification to identify women at risk of spontaneous preterm delivery. (11) The aim of this study was to identify women at risk of spontaneous preterm birth through the modified 4-category Gram-stained vaginal smear method, proposed by Verstraelen, and to examine the diagnostic accuracy of this classification in relation to the occurrence of preterm delivery.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This prospective study was conducted at the outpatient obstetric clinic in Mansoura University Hospital during the period from May 2008 to December 2010. It included 264 pregnant women, 5 cases were excluded due to spontaneous abortion, so the total number in this study was 259 pregnant women. A written informed consent was taken from all pregnant women in this study. Gestational age was calculated from the first day of the last menstrual period and confirmed by early ultrasound examination.

The exclusion criteria included: previous preterm labor, documented infection, multiple pregnancy, diabetic patients and women who received antibiotic or antifungal (local or systemic) treatment during pregnancy before sampling.

Correspondence
Alaa Mosbah M.D
Obstetrics & Gynecology Department
Faculty of Medicine,
Mansoura University, Egypt
Email: alaamosbah@hotmail.com
Telephone:+20502361072

magnification.

Each pregnant woman was subjected to Vaginal sample during her first trimester by inserting a sterile cotton-tipped wooden swab into the vagina for the purpose of vaginal microflora status assessment .The swab was rolled round through 360 degrees against the vaginal wall at the vault and carefully withdrawn to prevent contamination. Swabs were then smeared on a plain glass slide and air-dried at room temperature and heat fixed. Then, slides were Gram stained and examined under oil immersion lens at X100

Accordingly, Gram-stained vaginal smears were categorized as normal, bacterial vaginosis-like; grade I-like (atypical gram-positive rods) or purulent grade I (lactobacilli-dominated smears showing heavy leukorrhea of unknown cause).

We found that Grade I-like smears resemble grade I smears at first glance; however, they show predominantly atypical gram-positive rods, in particular curved or irregularly shaped (bifido- or corynebacterioform) gram-positive rods, that cannot be categorized as Lactobacillus (or as Bacteroides , Gardnerella or Mobiluncus) cell types and, therefore, these smears could not be assigned an Ison-Hay score.

The purulent grade I smears (grade I-PMN) were found to have heavy leukorrhea with neutrophils (PMN) in the presence of normal numbers of Lactobacillus spp. with absence of pathogens such as Candida spp. Accordingly, these smears would be considered normal according to Nugent\_ or Ison and Hay. They show large numbers of neutrophils and hence an inflammatory response of unknown cause.

Following blinded allocation of each vaginal smear to 1 of the 4 categories, a comparison was made between patients with an 'abnormal Gram stain' (BV-like, grade I-like or grade I-PMN,) and those with a 'normal Gram stain' (grade I). Other clinical data including maternal age, weight and height, obstetric history mode of conception and any special habit, as smoking, were collected in a routine manner. Also, basic investigations during antenatal care such as complete blood picture, Rh factor, blood glucose level and urine analysis were done to all women in this study.

Spontaneous preterm birth is defined as birth before 37 completed weeks of gestation (delivery between 25 and 36 weeks + 6 days) following spontaneous onset of labor or rupture of membranes. The obstetric outcome was assessed using the mean birth weight (low birth weight was defined as <2500 g) and gestational age at delivery. Outcome was recorded as early miscarriage (EM) if was ≤13 weeks or late miscarriage (LM) if was between 14 and 24 weeks.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were compared with the independent samples test. Categorical variables were compared with ordinary chisquare tests. For any reported measure, statistical significance was accepted, as the 2-tailed probability level was < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using the statistical software package SPSS v16.0 (SPSS, Inc, Chicago, IL).

We calculated the sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values, likelihood ratio for a positive and a negative test result and odds ratios. Calculations were performed using Meta Disc software (version 1.4). Ninety-five percent confidence intervals are also reported. Positive likelihood ratios above 10 and negative likelihood ratio below 0.1 have been noted as providing evidence of high diagnostic accuracy, whereas those above 5 and below 0.2 give evidence of moderate diagnostic accuracy.

#### RESULTS

From the 259 women, one vaginal smear was obtained during the first trimester. Basic characteristics of the study population are shown in Table 1. None of the participants reported smoking. Table1: Basic clinical characteristics of the study population (n=259)

	Without spontaneous preterm birth (N = 236)	Without spontaneous preterm birth (N = 23)	P value	
Maternal age mean (years) ± SD	27.1 ± 5.0	25.3 ± 4.1		
Body mass index mean (kg/m2) ± SD	29.4±2	30.1±2.4	0.11	
Parity mean ± SD	2.5±2.1	2.1±1.9	0.38	
Infertility treatment % (n)	4%(10)	8%(2)	0.07	
+ ve Gram stain 30 % (72)		70%(16)	<0.001	

From the 259 women, 66 % presented with grade I microflora on each occasion (171/259), 18.5% of the women (48/259) had BV-like microflora, 6% grade I-like microflora (16/259), while 9.2%(24/259) showed heavy vaginal leukocytosis (grade I-PMN). Seven women from the group of women with lactobacilli-dominated microflora in the absence of leukorrhea had preterm birth (7/171). Preterm birth was observed in 7 ladies with BV-like microflora (7/48), 4 ladies of those with grade I-like microflora (4/16) and 5 from women who showed an episode characterized by a purulent grade I stain (5/24).

The sensitivity, specificity, +ve likelihood ratio (+LR), -ve likelihood ratio (-LR) and odds ratio (OR) for the classification compared to women with lactobacilli-dominated microflora (normal Gram stain) are shown in table 2.

Table 2 shows a remarkable increase in the sensitivity of the 4-category Gram stain scoring method for the prediction of preterm birth from 50%, when only accounting for BV-like microflora, and up to 69% when taking into account the two other categories grade I-like and grad I-PNL. Meanwhile, the overall presence of an abnormal Gram stain was associated with more than 5 fold increase in the odds of having a preterm birth compared to women with lactobacilli-dominated microflora in the absence of leukorrhea (table 2). The presence of Grade I-like and Grade I-PNL were associated with a significant increase in the odds of preterm labor (7.8 and 6.1, respectively). The +LR and the -LR for the abnormal Gram stains were 2.5 and 0.62, respectively.

Table 2: Diagnostic accuracy of the modified classification of Gram-stained vaginal smears in relation to the occurrence of matern delivery.

Gram stain category	Sensitivity	Specificity	+LR	- LR	OR
	(95%CI)	(95 %CI)	(95%CI)	(95%CI)	(95 %CI)
BV-like	0.50	0.80	2.50	0.62	4.00
	(0.23-0.77)	(0.73-0.85)	(1.38- 4.51)	(0.36-1.06)	(1.32-12.04)
Grade I-like	0.36	0.93	5.33	0.68	7.81
	(0.10-0.69)	(0.88-0.94)	(2.05-3.84)	(0.43-1.06)	(2.00-30.46)
Grade I-PMN	0.41	0.89	4.01	0.65	6.16
	(0.15-0.72)	(0.84-0.93)	(1.81 -8.87)	(0.40-1.05)	(1.78-21.34)
Abnormal Gram stain	0.69	0.69	2.280	0.43	5.20
	(0.47-0.86)	(0.63-0.75)	(1.63 -3.17)	(0.23-0.81)	(2.05-13.20)

- Positive likelihood ratio (+LR)
- Negative likelihood ratio (-LR)
- Odds ratio (OR)

## **DISCUSSION**

For decades, the important objective of reducing the preterm birth (PTB) rate has presented a challenge. In the past two decades, BV has been consistently associated with adverse outcomes of pregnancy. (14) Specifically, BV has been shown to be associated with a two-fold increase in risk for preterm delivery. (5)

Bacterial endotoxins cause activation of decidual cells, macrophages, lukocytes and monocytes which in turn cause the secretion of cytokines, chemokines and phospholipase A2 that activate cascades leading to labor (ILI, 6 and 8, TNFa). Inflamation, prostaglandin release and preterm activation of fetal hypothalamopituatry-adrenal (HPA) endocrine cascade occurs as a result. The cumulative effect of this results in preterm labor. (15)

The aim of this study was to identify women at risk of spontaneous preterm birth through a 4-category Gram-stained vaginal smear method and to examine the diagnostic accuracy of this classification in relation to the occurrence of preterm delivery. Our findings had shown that extending the spectrum of vaginal Gram stain diagnosis may identify a much higher proportion of women at risk of spontaneous preterm birth than has been reported.

Our results also agree with the findings from many studies. (3) (4) (16) On the other hand, the results of our study are in disagreement with the findings from a recent study who denied the association between preterm labor and bacterial vaginosis. (17)

It has been suggested that 'abnormal vaginal microflora' probably encompasses a wide range of changes in microflora (not confined to bacterial vaginosis) though few investigators seem to have addressed this issue. (10) (12) In our study we found that abnormal Gram stain vaginal smears were associated with more than 5 fold increase in the odds of having a preterm birth when applying the modified 4 category scoring system. The group of patients with grad I- like microbacilli and those with grad 1- PNL had shown a significant increase in the odds of preterm labor. Our results are consistent with the findings by Verstraelen and his colleagues. (11) On the other hand, the +LR and the -LR, of an abnormal gram smear, indicate the low accuracy of the test in the prediction of preterm labor. This discrepancy between the significant increase of odds ratio and the low performance of the test could be due to the small sample size in our study as the likelihood ratio takes into account the prevalence of the condition in the population. This is consistent with current evidence where screening for bacterial vaginosis is only offered to high risk group where it is useless in the general low risk population. (18) The population in our study was a low risk population.

Although, in this study, each pregnant woman was subjected to Vaginal sample during her first trimester only our results were comparable to that of Verstraelen et al, 2007 study who collected vaginal samples during first and second trimester from all pregnant women. (11)

Our study had a restrictive inclusion criterion that was designed to test our aim. This may be considered as a drawback as other independent important risk factors had not been tested especially a past history of preterm labor. A larger study with more flexible inclusion criteria may provide a better platform to examine predisposing factors for preterm labor.

To sum up, pregnant women with abnormal Gram-stained vaginal smear may have a greater risk of preterm labor than pregnant women with normal Gram-stained vaginal smear. The modified 4-category Gram-stained vaginal smear classification is a useful tool in predicting preterm labor.

#### Acknowledgment

I am greatly thankful to all members of Obstetrics and Medical Microbiology and Immunology departments in Mansoura University for their kind help throughout this study.

## REFERENCES

- Tebes CC, Lynch C, Sinnott J. 2003 The effect of treating bacterial vaginosis on preterm labor. Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol 11:123-9.
- Stoll BJ, Hansen NI, Bell EF, Shankaran S, Laptook AR, Walsh MC, et al. 2010 Neonatal outcomes of extremely preterm infants from the NICHD Neonatal Research Network. Pediatrics. 126 (3):443-56. Epub 2010 Aug 23.
- Thorp JM, Jr, Dole N, Herring AH, McDonald TL, Eucker B, Savitz DA et al. 2008 Alteration in vaginal microflora, douching prior to pregnancy, and preterm birth. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 22:530-7.
- Donders GG, Van Calsteren K, Bellen G, Reybrouck R, Van den Bosch T, Riphagen I et al. 2009 Predictive value for preterm birth of abnormal vaginal flora, bacterial vaginosis and aerobic vaginitis during the first trimester of pregnancy. BJOG 116:1315-24.
- Leitich H, Bodner-Adler B, Brunbauer M, Kaider A, Egarter C, Husslein P. 2003 Bacterial vaginosis as a risk factor for preterm delivery: A metaanalysis. Am J Obstet Gynecol 189:139-47.
- Lamont RF. 2000 Antibiotics for the prevention of preterm birth. N Engl J Med 342:581-3.
- Aruna K, Jyoti K. Role of bacterial vaginosis in preterm labor. 2007 J Obstet Gynecol India 57, 413-416.
- Nugent RP, Krohn MA, Hillier SL. 1991 Reliability of diagnosing bacterial vaginosis is improved by a standardized method of gram stain interpretation. J Clin Microbiol 29:297-301.
- Ison CA, Hay PE. 2002 Validation of a simplified grading of Gram stained vaginal smears for use in genitourinary medicine clinics. Sex Transm Infect. 78(6):413-5
- 10. Verhelst R, Verstraelen H, Claeys G, Verschraegen G, Van Simaey L, De Ganck C et al. 2005 Comparison between gram stain and culture for the characterization of vaginal microflora: Definition of a distinct grade that resembles grade I microflora and revised categorization of grade I microflora. BMC Microbiol 5:61.
- Verstraelen H, Verhelst R, Roelens K, Claeys G, Weyers S, De Backer E et al. 2007 Modified classification of gram-stained vaginal smears to predict spontaneous preterm birth: A prospective cohort study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 196:528-36.
- Romero R, Chaiworapongsa T, Kuivaniemi H, Tromp G. 2004 Bacterial vaginosis, the inflammatory response and the risk of preterm birth: A role for genetic epidemiology in the prevention of preterm birth. Am J Obstet Gynecol 190:1509-19.
- Goncalves LF, Chaiworapongsa T, Romero R. 2002 Intrauterine infection and prematurity. Ment Retard Dev Disabil Res Rev 8:3-13.
- 14. Koumans EH, Stemberg M, Bruce C, McQuillan G, Kendrick J, Sutton M et al. 2007 The prevalence of bacterial vaginosis in the united states, 2001-2004; associations with symptoms, sexual behaviors, and reproductive health. Sex Transm Dis 34:864-9.
- Vrachnis N, Vitoratos N, Iliodromiti Z, Sifakis S, Deligeoroglou E, Creatsas. 2010 Intrauterine inflammation and preterm delivery. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1205:118-22.
- Lamont RF and Taylor-Robinson D. 2010 The role of bacterial vaginosis, aerobic vaginitis, abnormal vaginal flora and the risk of preterm birth. BJOG 117:119,20; author reply 120-1.
- Luong ML, Libman M, Dahhou M, Chen MF, Kahn SR, Goulet L et al. 2010 Vaginal douching, bacterial vaginosis, and spontaneous preterm birth. J Obstet Gynaecol can 32:313-20.
- 18. Nygren P, Fu R, Freeman M, Bougatsos C, Klebanoff M, Guise JM; U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. 2008 Evidence on the benefits and harms of screening and treating pregnant women who are asymptomatic for bacterial vaginosis: an update review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med. 5; 148(3):220-33.