

**Egyptian Journal of Chemistry** 



# Assessment of the Ameliorative Effect of Bacillus subtilis against the

Toxicity Induced by Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> in Rats



Mohamed M. Deabes<sup>1,\*</sup>, Wael M. Aboulthana<sup>2</sup>, Kawkab A. Ahmed<sup>3</sup>, Khavria M. Naguib<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1\*</sup>Food Toxicology and Contaminants Department, Food Industry and Nutrition Division, National Research Centre, 33 El Bohouth St. (former El Tahrir St.), Dokki, Giza, P.O. 12622, Egypt.

<sup>2</sup>Biochemistry Department, Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Research Division, National Research Centre, 33 El Bohouth St. (former El Tahrir St.), Dokki, Giza, P.O. 12622, Egypt.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Pathology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, Giza, 12211, Egypt.

## Abstract

Aflatoxin  $B_1$  (AFB<sub>1</sub>) is the most toxic and common among the major types of aflatoxins. AFB<sub>1</sub> is hepatoxic and has been implicated in an increase risk of hepatocellular carcinoma, also the effect of AFs on the brain chemistry have been documented. Probiotics have health benefits and provide of powerful benefits for the body and brain. The present study was designed to reveal efficiency of Bacillus subtilis NS4182-01 against the hematological, biochemical and histopathological alterations induced by  $AFB_1$  in rats. Both of  $AFB_1$  and B. subtilis were studied on the experimental animals (rats) that were divided into 6 groups as the following: Group 1 (control) received distilled water orally. Groups 2&3 (B. subtilis treated groups) received B. subtilis orally at a dose of 0.25 and 0.50 ml, respectively. Group 4 (AFB<sub>1</sub> treated group) orally treated with AFB<sub>1</sub> at a dose of 2 mg/kg b.w. Groups 5&6 (AFB<sub>1</sub> + B. subtilis group) orally treated with AFB<sub>1</sub> and then treated with B. subtilis at two studied doses respectively. It was noticed that the hematological measurements declined and the most biochemical measurements elevated significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) in AFB<sub>1</sub> treated group. B. subtilis restored all studied measurements towards the normal values. Moreover, the native electrophoretic protein patterns showed that the physiological alterations occurred in the native protein and lipid moiety in addition to calcium moiety of native protein patterns as a result of AFB<sub>1</sub> treatment were represented by hiding one or more of normal protein types with existence of abnormal ones. Therefore, the similarity index (SI%) and genetic distance (GD%) values were altered with protein (SI=40.00%; GD=60.00%), lipid moiety (SI=50.00%; GD=50.00%) and calcium moiety of native protein patterns (SI=75.00%; GD=25.00%) in AFB<sub>1</sub> treated group. Although the treatment with B. subtilis at a dose of 0.25 ml showed ameliorative effect but could not restore the physiological state of the patterns to normalcy. While B. subtilis at a dose of 0.50 ml restored integrity of these native protein patterns by restoring the absent types with hiding the abnormal ones. Therefore, this group became physiologically similar to control group (SI=100.00%; GD=0.00%). These findings were supported by results of the histopathological examination in the most target organs (liver, kidney and brain) that were affected by  $AFB_1$  and the *B. subtilis* restored their histopathological integrity to normal structure and maintained architecture of these organs.

Keywords: Aflatoxin B1, Bacillus subtilis, Toxicity, Liver, Kidney, Brain, Electrophoresis, Rats.

#### 1. Introduction

World is facing a drastic problem regarding many of the diseases induced by food/feed stuff contamination that can be caused at any stage of production and storage due to as a result of unsuitable storage of food and foodstuff [1]. Fungi belong to the basic source of food contamination due to their ability to produce mycotoxins that are considered as toxic metabolites [2]. Aflatoxins (AFs) are the most common secondary fungal (toxic) metabolites that synthesized particularly by Aspergillus parasiticus and Aspergillus flavus that have a wide host ranges [3-5]. They mostly contaminate grains and nuts in tropical regions during pre or post-harvest conditions. Moreover, they contaminate not only food stuffs but also milk, eggs and edible tissues when farm animals consume contaminated feed [6]. Aflatoxin  $B_1$  (AFB<sub>1</sub>) in addition to three structurally similar compounds namely aflatoxin B<sub>2</sub> (AFB<sub>2</sub>), aflatoxin G<sub>1</sub> (AFG<sub>1</sub>) and Aflatoxin G<sub>2</sub> (AFG<sub>2</sub>) belong to the naturally occurring AFs that able to contaminate food significantly. AFB<sub>1</sub> is the most hazardous and toxic mycotoxins for humans and livestock. It exhibits hepatotoxic, teratogenic, immunosuppressive, cytotoxic, genotoxic and carcinogenic effects on both human and animals [7-12]. The liver is its key target organ [13-16].

After entering the body, AFB<sub>1</sub> is ingested and absorbed inside the body by intestine then carried to the liver [17]. In the liver, AFB<sub>1</sub> is first metabolized and biotransformed mainly by the microsomal cytochrome P-450 enzyme (CYP450) to a variety of highly reactive intermediates such as AFB1 epoxide and hydroxylated metabolites (AFM1, AFP1, AFQ1, AFB  $2\alpha$  and aflatoxicol) [18]. These intermediates cause ultimate hepatotoxicity due to their affinity for binding the nucleic acids to form adducts affecting regulation of the functional gene expression to block transcription and translation [16]. Carcinogenicity and mutagenicity induced by AFB1 due to accumulating the reactive oxygen species (ROS) that are considered as precursors of hydroxyl radicals. These reactive species have the affinity to interact with DNA leading to mutations in addition to a reactive epoxide formation at the 8, 9- position of the terminal furan ring and its subsequent covalent binding to nucleic acid [19,20]. The metabolized AFB1 binds to proteins in the liver causing acute

Egypt. J. Chem.64, No. 4 (2021)

toxicity called "aflatoxicosis". Consequently, it leads to acute liver cirrhosis and liver damage, as well as tumors development or other genetic effects [21]. The exposure to high AFB<sub>1</sub> doses through diet over a short period that leads to hepatotoxicity is called "acute aflatoxicosis" while the exposure to low AFB1 doses through diet over a long period that leads to hepatocellular carcinoma is called "chronic aflatoxicosis" [22]. It was demonstrated that incidence of human liver cancer occurred highly as a result of the exposure to AFB<sub>1</sub> in many countries. As compared to chronic aflatoxicosis, the acute aflatoxicosis is less common but it occurs occasionally [23].

In the previous literature, it was reported that there are numerous strategies (physical and chemical) for AFs elimination or inactivation [24]. Nevertheless, these methods have some limitations such as losses in the nutritional value of the product, organoleptic qualities and the palatability of feeds, as well as high cost of the equipment required to implement these techniques in addition to the undesirable health effects, while none of these strategies is able or sufficient to fulfill the necessary safety, efficacy and cost requirements completely [25]. Recent developments in the field of nanotechnology have been made in the use of silver nanoparticles (Ag-NPs) as a novel therapeutic agent as an antiinflammatory, antibacterial, antifungal, antiviral and anti-cancer agent [26-28]. The researchers have focused on utilizing microorganisms and / or the biological enzymatic preparations for the detoxification of mycotoxins due to their simplicity and affordability [29]. Although some microbes (including fungal and bacterial isolates) such as Armillariella tabescens [30], Rhodococcus erythropolis [25] and Myxococcus fulvus [31] have been reported to possess various abilities regarding the degradation of AFs, most of these microorganisms are not officially allowed to be applied to foods or feeds under the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the Association of American Feed Control Officials (AAFCO). Bacteria have more application for AFB1 remediation and this attributed to their ability to eliminate AFB1 producing non pigments as well as within shorter time [32]. Probiotics are the microorganisms that confer a health benefit on the host in addition to their vital role in maintaining well-being when they administered in

sufficient quantities **[33]**. The components in surface of probiotic bacteria have the affinity to bind with AFB<sub>1</sub>. Therefore, they can be intervened to reduce the toxicity induced by AFB<sub>1</sub> **[34]**.

Bacillus subtilis is a gram-positive bacteria distributed widely in the environment. It is isolated and cultured easily and it is able to produce various biologically active compounds that have received much attention as a biological agent [35]. Among the hundred known Bacillus spp., (B. subtilis) have been approached as probiotics for human consumption [36,37]. A strain of B. subtilis ANSB060 that screened from fish gut is characterized by its nutritional and pharmaceutical benefits [38]. It is considered as appropriate due to its strong ability for AFB1 detoxification [39-41]. In 2017, Zhang et al. [42] postulated that this bacterial strain increased activity of the antioxidant enzymes and reduced the  $AFB_1$  concentration in the liver from 0.12 to 0.06 µg/kg. Combination of two strains of B. subtilis (ANSB060 and ANSB01G) reduced amount of the bacteria needed to neutralize AFB<sub>1</sub> [43]. Objective of the present study was designed to investigate efficiency of B. subtilis NS4182-01 against the hematological, biochemical and histopathological alterations induced by AFB<sub>1</sub> in rats.

### 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Preparation of Bacterial Strains

Spores of Bacilli strain (*Bacillus subtilis* NS4182-01) were cultured at 37 °C on Trypticase soy broth (TSB) medium for preparing broth with a concentration to  $10^{12}$  bacteria / ml. The viable bacteria were counted by both flow cytometry (FCM) and traditional plate counting methods. Bacterial counts were expressed as colony-forming units (CFU) per ml media [44].

### 2.2. Animals and Treatments

Healthy thirty six (36) *Sprague Dawley* rats (adult males) with age 2 months old were obtained from the Animal House Colony, National Research Centre, Giza, Egypt. They were divided into 6 groups and housed in cage (filter-top) under controlled normal environmental and nutritional conditions. Rats within different groups were daily treated for 17 days as the following:

Group 1 (Control group): rats were fed with normal diet and received tap water without any treatment. Group 2 (*B. subtilis*  $10^{12}$  bacteria /ml (0.25)

ml) treated group): rats were fed with normal diet associated with administration of aqueous B. subtilis 10<sup>12</sup> bacteria /ml distilled water orally at a dose of 0.25 ml by stomach tube. Group 3 (B. subtilis (0.5 ml) treated group): rats were fed with normal diet associated with administration of *B.subtilis* 10<sup>12</sup> bacteria orally at a dose of 0.5 ml by stomach tube. Group 4 (AFB<sub>1</sub> treated group): rats were fed with normal diet associated with orally treatment of AFB1 at a dose of 2 mg/kg b.w. [45]. Group 5 (AFB<sub>1</sub> + B. subtilis  $10^{12}$  bacteria / ml (0.25 ml) treated group): rats were fed with normal diet associated with treatment of AFB1 and administration of B. subtilis at a dose of 0.25 ml. Group 6 (AFB<sub>1</sub> + B. subtilis  $10^{12}$ bacteria / ml (0.5 ml) treated group): rats were fed with normal diet associated with treatment of AFB1 and administration of *B. subtilis*  $10^{12}$  bacteria at a dose of 0.5 ml.

## 2.3. Collection of Blood Samples and Tissues

Rats were anaesthetized at end of the experimental period. For the hematological measurements, the heparinized blood samples were obtained from retro orbital plexus. The blood samples were allowed to clot then centrifuged for 15 min at 4000 rpm and the clear serum were collected for biochemical measurements. Rats were sacrificed by decapitation then liver, kidneys and brain tissues were autopsied and fixed immediately in formal saline (10%) for histopathological examination.

### 2.4. Hematological Measurements

hematological measurements including A11 hemoglobin (HB), red blood cells (RBCs), hematocrit corpuscular volume (HCT), (MCV), mean corpuscular haemoglobin (MCH), mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC), red blood cell distribution width (RDW), mean platelet volume (MPV) and platelet count (PLT) and white blood cells (WBCs) in addition to their differential blood cells (lymphocytes, monocytes and granulocytes) were quantified in heparinized blood samples using an automatic blood analyzer (ABX Micros 60 manufactured by HORIBA ABX SAS).

### 2.5. Biochemical Measurements

Activities of liver enzymes (alanine transaminase (ALT), aspartate transaminase (AST), alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH)) and levels of lipid measurements (total

cholesterol (TC) and triglycerides (T.Gs)) were measured in addition to levels of renal functions (urea, creatinine, total protein and albumin) in sera samples by conventional colorimetric methods using spectrophotometer using commercially available kits (Spectrum Diagnostics Egyptian Company for Biotechnology).

#### 2.6. Markers of Oxidative stress

The total antioxidant capacity (TAC), total protein carbonyl content (TPC) and the lipid peroxidation products that were used as markers of the oxidative stress, were spectrophotometrically measured. They were expressed as mM/L [46], nmol of reactive carbonyl compounds per mg protein of tissue [47] and nmol/L [48], respectively.

### 2.7. Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed statistically using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS for windows, version 11.0) by one-way analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA) test followed by Post-Hoc "Bonferroni test". All results were compiled in Tables and illustrated in Figures as mean  $\pm$  standard error (SE). The differences between the groups were considered statistically significant at a "P" value of less than 0.05.

#### 2.8. Electrophoretic Assays

The samples were prepared by pooling equal volumes of the individual serum samples for each group and used as one pooled sample. In the pooled samples, concentration of total protein was quantified to ensure that the samples loaded in all wells with equal protein concentration. After electrophoretic run, the native proteins were detected by staining the gel in commassie brilliant blue [49,50]. The electrophoretically separated proteins appeared as blue bands. The electrophoretic lipid moiety of native protein pattern was detected by staining the gel with Sudan Black B (SBB) and appeared as black bands [51]. Electrophoretic calcium moiety of native protein pattern was detected based on the method described by Abd Elhalim et al. [52] and Abulyazid et al. [53] using staining solution of alizarin Red 'S' prepared by the method suggested by Zacharia and Kakati [54]. The stained calcium moieties appeared as yellow bands.

#### 2.9. Data Analysis

The relative mobility (Rf), band percent (B%) and relative band quantity (Qty%) of the electrophoretically separated bands were determined in addition to illustrating the dendogram by the Quantity One software (Version 4.6.2). The similarity index (SI%) and genetic distance (GD%) molecular weights (Mwts) were calculated by the method suggested by **Nei and Li [55]**.

#### 2.10. Histopathological Examination

The tissues specimens (liver, kidneys and brain) that were collected from all rats and fixed in formal saline (10%) washed with tap water, dehydrated and cleared then embedded in blocks of paraffin wax. The tissue was prepared as sections with thickness 4-5 micron then stained with Haematoxylin and Eosin according to the method suggested by **Suvarna** *et al.* [56] to be examined by light microscope (Olympus BX50, Tokyo, Japan). Histopathological damages were scored and ranged from 0-3. Values of the damage score might be 0 (no damage), 1 (mild), 2 (moderate) or 3 (severe damage), while the grading was determined by the percentage that might be <30% for mild changes, <30% - 50% for moderate changes and >50% for the severe changes [57].

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### Hematological Measurements

Hematopoietic system reflects the alterations occurred in animal or human body as a result of the exposure to chemicals, drugs and toxic agents. Therefore, it is considered as body mirror [58]. As depicted in Table 1, the B. subtilis caused no significant in all changes hematological measurements when it was administrated alone at both doses (0.25 and 0.5 ml). As compared to control group, AFB<sub>1</sub> caused significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) decline in the hematological measurements that include RBCs, HB, HCT, MCV, RDW, MPV, PLT and WBCs in addition to its differential count (lymph, mono and gran.%). This was in agreement with Husain et al. [59] who suggested that the decline in the hematological measurements attributed to occurrence of hyperplasia in the bone marrow in addition to dissolution of the red blood cells that consequently leads to induction of the lytic anemia and hence decreasing HB. Furthermore, Umar et al. [60] reported that AFB<sub>1</sub> induced macrocytic hypochromic anemia that was confirmed by reduction in RBCs count, HB concentration, MCH and MCHC. This anemia might be attributed to the hemopoietic cellular defects and the hemolytic anemia that occurred by AFB<sub>1</sub> through decreasing the circulating mature erythrocytes and lysis of erythrocytes. Treatment with B. subtilis at a dose of 0.25 ml increased all the measurements significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) as compared to AFB1 treated group but it could not restore them to normal values. B. subtilis at a dose of 0.5 ml restored these measurements to normalcy. It elevated their levels significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) as compared to the group treated with AFB1 alone and that treated with B. subtilis at a dose of 0.25 ml. This might be attributed to ability of B. subtilis to stimulate the immune system in addition to enhancing the systemic as well as intestinal and respiratory mucosal immune responses [61].

### **Biochemical Measurements**

Liver is considered the primary target organ for the AFB<sub>1</sub> that is accumulated predominantly then metabolized in that tissue after absorption [62]. Activity of ALT is considered a gold standard for measuring liver injury [63]. It exists in cytoplasm and mitochondria. Therefore, its level increases due to accumulation of the lipids that lead to changes in membrane permeability and hence these enzymes leak out into the cytosol. While ALP is localized within the cell membrane of tissues [64]. It was postulated that activities of ALT, AST and ALP were recognized in serum as sensitive serological indicators in hepatic tissues impairment and level of total protein TP used as indicator of protein synthesis [65]. Data compiled in Table 2 showed that no significant alterations were noticed in all biochemical functions as a result of administration of B. subtilis alone at both doses. AFB1 caused a significant (P≤0.05) elevation in activities of the liver enzymes (ALT, AST, ALP and LDH). This was in agreement with Eftekhari et al. [66] who revealed that the liver enzymes might increase due to the liver injury that induced by and occurred in association to the hepatobiliary action and tends towards cholestatic induction. In addition, it altered the kidney functions by increasing urea and creatinine levels significantly (P≤0.05) associated with lowering total protein and albumin levels as compared to control group. This was in accordance with Husain et al. [59] who suggested that alteration in the biochemical

measurements attributed to the cellular damage that caused by AFB1. The immune responses were initiated through production of antimicrobial peptides and globulins that act as inhibitory factors for the defense against the pathogens and other foreign materials. Therefore, the decline in concentration of the total protein leads to lowering efficiency of the immune system [67]. Lipids are the macromolecules that exhibit key roles in metabolic pathways. The TC, T.Gs and phospholipids are the most common and significantly clinical and physiological lipids. These lipids are transported as lipoproteins in blood stream. These lipoproteins are made up of a hydrophobic core surrounded by a hydrophilic layer [68]. The characterized dyslipidemia was that by hypertriglyceridemia occurred as a result of disturbances in the homeostasis of these lipids and lipoproteins [69,70]. During the current study, it was noticed that  $AFB_1$  caused a significant (P $\leq 0.05$ ) elevation in levels of the lipid measurements (TC and T.Gs). This was in accordance with El-Nekeety et al. [4] who postulated that AFB<sub>1</sub> caused alterations in plasma and liver lipid levels and the doses at which these effects occur and the mechanisms underlying these alterations need further exploration. B. subtilis at a dose of 0.25 ml showed ameliorative effect by decreasing levels of the liver enzymes, lipid and protein measurements in addition to lowering levels of urea and creatinine as compared to AFB1 treated group but it could not restore them to normalcy. B. subtilis at a dose of 0.5 ml restored all the measurements to normal values. It decreased levels of the liver enzymes, lipid and protein measurements in addition to lowering levels of urea and creatinine significantly (P≤0.05) as compared to the group treated with  $AFB_1$  alone and that treated with B. subtilis at a dose of 0.25 ml. Administration of B. subtilis alone showed no negative impact on the biochemical functions while its administration in association with AFB1 showed beneficial effect and decreased the biochemical alterations and this was in accordance with Ma et al. [40] who suggested that B. subtilis was found to counteract the biochemical changes induced by AFB<sub>1</sub> in a dose-related manner, and highest dose provided maximum protection. Moreover, Gao et al. [39] added that the beneficial effect of B. subtilis might be attributed to its ability to directly biodegrade  $AFB_1$ without inducing undesirable byproducts. Therefore, the application

of *B. subtilis* in feedstuffs may exhibit a more bright and valuable future.

Oxidative stress is defined as a disturbance in the balance between antioxidants (enzymatic & nonenzymatic) and the prooxidants that lead to potential damage. This imbalance might occur due to the decrease of endogenous antioxidants, low intake of dietary antioxidants, and/or increased formation of the reactive species. Either of both circumstances occurring together or separately eventually lead to adverse modifications in biomolecules and multitude of downstream consequences [71]. Oxidative stress plays an important role in aflatoxicosis. It might occur due to direct effect of AFB1 themselves or by their metabolites. Metabolizing AFB1 increases the production of ROS and lipid peroxides, resulting in cell damage [72]. The TAC, LPO and TPC levels were used as indicator of the oxidative stress. As presented in Fig. 1, it was found that AFB<sub>1</sub> caused significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) elevation in levels of these measurements. This might be attributed to ability of AFB1 to induce generation of the ROS that cause oxidative stress and the genetic toxicity of AFB<sub>1</sub> is partly attributed to the accumulation of ROS such as  $O_2^-$ , OH, and  $H_2O_2$  radical during the metabolic processing of AFB<sub>1</sub> by CYP450 in the liver. These reactive species attack the cellular (soluble) compounds as well as membranes, eventually leading to impairment of cell functioning and cytolysis [73]. The B. subtilis at a dose of 0.25 ml ameliorated the antioxidant status by increasing the TAC associated with decreasing levels of the LPO and TPC significantly (P $\leq$ 0.05) as compared to AFB<sub>1</sub> treated group but it could not restore them to normal levels. As regard to the B. subtilis at a dose of 0.5 ml, it restored these measurements to normalcy. It elevated the TAC associated with decreasing levels of the LPO and TPC significantly (P≤0.05) as compared to the group treated with AFB1 alone and that treated with B. subtilis at a dose of 0.25 ml. This was supported by Fan et al. [74] who suggested that administration of B. subtilis was able to counteract the oxidative stress and this protective and antioxidant effect is primarily based on absorption or the binding to the bacterial cells.

For separation, identification and quantification of different proteins in addition to analyzing stoichiometry of their specific subunits were carried out by electrophoresis **[75]**. Disappearance of normal bands with existence of one or more abnormal ones belongs to the mutations that occur at qualitative level. There is inversely correlation between SI and genetic variation. The low SI values between control and all the treated groups indicate differences in number and arrangement of electrophoretically separated bands [76,77]. Otherwise, the alterations that were detected by altering quantities of normal bands belong to the quantitative mutations. The SI is only correlated to the qualitative alterations [78]. Proteins that are considered as the key players inside the cells, are susceptible to be oxidized depending on relative content of oxidation-sensitive amino acid residues [79,80].

As illustrated in Fig. 2, it was found that native electrophoretic protein pattern was represented in sera of control rats by 5 types identified at Rfs 0.13, 0.36, 0.74, 0.81 and 0.92 (B% 19.04, 20.08, 20.67, 20.24 and 19.97 ; Qty% 6.39, 8.91, 7.55, 4.79 and 6.50, respectively). Two common bands were identified at Rfs 0.74 and 0.92 (B% 20.67 and 19.97; and 6.50, respectively). Qty% 7.55 Three characteristic bands were identified in AFB1 treated group at Rfs 0.26, 0.46 and 0.64 (B% 20.05, 20.23 and 20.14; Qty% 6.15, 6.79 and 7.34, respectively). B. subtilis alone at both doses (0.25 and 0.5 ml) caused no physiological variations as compared to control group. AFB1 caused alterations in the protein pattern represented by hiding 3 normal types with existence of 3 abnormal (characteristic) bands. Therefore, the SI% value (SI=40.00%) decreased with increasing GD% value (GD=60.00%) in AFB<sub>1</sub> treated group. The treatment with B. subtilis at both doses (0.25 and 0.50 ml) restored the normal physiological state of the protein pattern through hiding the abnormal types with re-appearance of the normal ones at Rfs 0.14, 0.36 and 0.81 (B% 19.18, 19.62 and 20.66 ; Qty% 6.83, 9.13 and 4.09, respectively) at a dose of 0.25 ml of B. subtilis and identified at Rfs 0.14, 0.35 and 0.81 (B% 18.50, 20.03 and 20.93 ; Otv% 6.15, 7.83 and 4.30, respectively) at a dose of 0.50 ml of B. subtilis. Therefore, the protein pattern in the groups treated with B. subtilis became completely similar to that in control group (SI=100.00%; GD=0.00%).

As revealed in **Fig. 3**, it was noticed that electrophoretic lipid moiety of native protein pattern was represented in sera of control rats by 4 types identified at Rfs 0.10, 0.29, 0.45 and 0.94 (B% 15.41,

16.50, 29.28 and 38.81; Qty% 4.46, 4.99, 12.33 and 23.49, respectively). Two common bands were identified at Rfs 0.29 and 0.94 (B% 16.50 and 38.81; Otv% 4.99 and 23.49, respectively). One characteristic band was identified in AFB1 treated group at Rf0.77 (B% 23.37 and Qty% 9.79). The B. subtilis alone at both doses (0.25 and 0.5 ml) caused no physiological variations when compared to control group. AFB<sub>1</sub> caused alterations in lipid moiety of native protein pattern represented by hiding 2 normal types with existence of 2 abnormal bands identified at Rfs 0.56 and 0.77 (B% 19.49 and 23.37 ; Oty% 8.73 and 9.79, respectively). Therefore, the SI% value (SI=50.00%) decreased with increasing GD% value (GD=50.00%) in AFB1 treated group. The treatment with B. subtilis at a dose of 0.25 ml restored the normal bands physiological state of the protein pattern through re-appearance of the normal bands at Rfs 0.09 and 0.46 (B% 16.02 and 19.67 ; Qty% 5.55 and 12.38, respectively) with hiding one of the two ones. Therefore, the abnormal SI% value (SI=88.89%) increased with decreasing GD% value (GD=11.11%) in the AFB<sub>1</sub> + B. subtilis (0.25 ml) treated group. The treatment with B. subtilis at dose of 0.50 ml restored the normal physiological state of the lipid moiety of native protein pattern through hiding the abnormal types with re-appearance of the normal ones at Rfs 0.08 and 0.46 (B% 17.52 and 26.00 ; Qty% 8.00 and 12.96, respectively). Therefore, the lipid moiety of native protein pattern in the  $AFB_1 + B$ . subtilis (0.50 ml) treated group became completely similar to that in control group (SI=100.00%; GD=0.00%).

As presented in Fig. 4, it was found that electrophoretic calcium moiety of native protein pattern was represented in sera of control rats by 5 types identified at Rfs 0.19, 0.64, 0.77, 0.84 and 0.95 (B% 15.99, 14.47, 26.57, 21.99 and 20.99 ; Qty% 14.12, 10.65, 16.62, 13.76 and 16.99, respectively). Three common bands were identified at Rfs 0.77, 0.84 and 0.95 (B% 26.57, 21.99 and 20.99; Otv% 16.62, 13.76 and 16.99, respectively). No characteristic bands were identified. The B. subtilis alone at both doses (0.25 and 0.5 ml) caused no physiological changes when compared to control group. AFB1 caused alterations in calcium moiety of native protein pattern represented by hiding 2 normal types without existence of abnormal ones. Therefore, the SI% value (SI=75.00%) decreased with increasing GD% value (GD=25.00%) in AFB1 treated group. The treatment with *B. subtilis* at both doses (0.25 and 0.50 ml) restored the normal physiological state of the calcium moiety of native protein pattern through re-appearance of the normal bands at Rfs 0.19 and 0.64 (B% 17.65 and 17.76; Qty% 10.09 and 10.15, respectively) at a dose of 0.25 ml of *B. subtilis* and identified at Rfs0.19 and 0.63 (B% 15.67 and 15.10; Qty% 12.46 and 12.98, respectively) at a dose of 0.50 ml of *B. subtilis*. Therefore, the protein pattern in the groups treated with *B. subtilis* became completely similar to that in control group (SI=100.00%; GD=0.00%).

During the current study, it was found that AFB<sub>1</sub> caused alterations in the native proteins and their calcium moieties lipid and that were electrophoretically detected. This was supported by Swenson et al. [81] who documented that AFB<sub>1</sub> is oxidized into several products by CYP450 subfamilies and specific isoforms of enzymes. AFB1 epoxide is the mutagenic and others are considered as detoxification products. The putative AFB<sub>1</sub> epoxide is the most accepted active electrophilic  $AFB_1$  form. It exhibits high affinity to attack nucleophilic nitrogen, oxygen and sulfur heteroatoms in cellular constituents. The alteration in the protein pattern might be attributed to covalently binding of the reactive AFB1-8,9-epoxide that obtained by the CYP450-mediated oxidation during the primary (Phase 1) bioactivation pathway of AFB<sub>1</sub> to cellular macromolecules (e.g., protein and/or DNA). Moreover, the electrophoretic alterations might refer protein oxidation, thiols depletion, lipid to peroxidation and DNA damage that caused by reactive species induced by AFB<sub>1</sub> [82]. In addition, Peng et al. [83] reported that these alterations might be attributed to capacity of AFB<sub>1</sub> to generate ROS that promote oxidation of amino acid residue side chains, formation of protein-protein cross-linkages and oxidation of the protein backbone leading to protein fragmentation. The modified forms of proteins accumulate in living tissues due to the inhibitory effect of AFB<sub>1</sub> on some (serine) proteolytic enzymes responsible for the degradation of damaged proteins and / or due to its inhibitory effect on the 20S proteasome that is the proteolytic machinery responsible for removing oxidized proteins and this consequently leads to elevating protein carbonyl content. The alterations in calcium moiety of native protein might be attributed to reduction of protein synthesis that affected certain metal ions. The

inhibitory effect of AFB1 on protein synthesis altered composition of serum protein resulting in suppression of the production of nonspecific humoral substances important to native defense [84]. The treatment with B. subtilis showed ameliorative effect againt the electrophoretic alterations induced by AFB1 and this was in accordance with Fan et al. [74] who suggested that supplementation of *B*. subtilis exhibit antagonistic effect to against the oxidative damage induced by AFB1 in a dose-related manner and this was supported by our findings obtained during this study and this might refer to biodegradation of activity of B. subtilis on the AFB<sub>1</sub> that decreased by 56% and 73%, indicating the detoxification effect of B. subtilis on AFB<sub>1</sub>.

### Histopathological Examination

Microscopic examination of liver in control rats showed hepatic lobule with normal histological structure (Fig. 5a). Meanwhile, liver sections of rats from groups 2 & 3 exhibited no histopathological alterations (Fig. 5e & f). However, liver of aflatoxicated rats (group 4) showed histopathological changes demonstrated as vacuolar degeneration of hepatocytes, oedema and inflammatory cells infiltration (Fig. 5b) in the portal triad as well as focal hepatocellular necrosis associated with inflammatory cells infiltration (Fig. 5c) and hepatocellular apoptosis (Table 3 & Fig. 5d). This was in agreement with Gursoy et al. [85] and El-Nekeety et al. [82] who suggested that the histopathological abnormalities in liver tissues might be attributed to the oxidative damage occurred as a result of AFB<sub>1</sub> that stimulate overproduction of ROS and this is considered as one of the underlining mechanisms for AFB1-induced tissue injury. Sun et al. [86] added that AFB<sub>1</sub> induced liver cell injury due to elevation of nitric oxide significantly. Moreover, these alterations might refer to the lipid peroxidation that was accompanied in the liver with lowering the total antioxidant capacity in rats. Also, the exposure to AFB<sub>1</sub> produced a marked oxidative impact as evidenced by a significant increase in product of the peroxidation reactions in both of liver and kidneys of rats. These alterations might be attributable to the direct effects of AFB1 or by their metabolites and/or by the ROS that were generated during formation of these metabolites. Also, mechanism of the free radical damage includes ROS-induced peroxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids in lipid bilayer of the

*Egypt. J. Chem.*64, No. 4 (2021)

cell membrane that consequently causes disruption of the cell membrane leading to further oxidation of membrane proteins and lipids and hence leads to DNA damage [87]. On the other hand, sections from group 5 revealed no changes except slight vacuolar degeneration of some hepatocytes (Fig. 5g). Furthermore, the histological structure of hepatic parenchyma was restored in liver from group 6 and in which the examined sections revealed binucleation of some hepatocytes (Fig. 5h). This might be attributed to effect of this bacterial strain in alleviating the liver damage that induced by AFB<sub>1</sub> in rats via their ability to bind AFB<sub>1</sub> in the gastrointestinal tract [88].

Microscopically, kidneys of control normal rats showed normal histological structure of renal tissue (normal glomeruli and renal tubules) (Fig. 3a). Meanwhile, kidneys of rats from group 2 & 3 manifested no histopathological changes and appeared histologically normal (Fig. 6c & d). Adversely, kidneys of aflatoxicated rats revealed cytoplasmic vacuolization of renal tubular epithelium, thickening of the parietal layer of Bowman's capsule, perivascular and periglomerularoedema (Table 3 & Fig. 6b). This was in accordance with Marquez et al. [89] who postulated that these alterations were associated with a significant increase in formation of conjugated diene in addition to the products of the peroxidation reactions in rat tissues. Gesing and Karbownik-Lewinska [90] added that 4-Hydroxynonenal (4-HNE) is a major lipid peroxidation (electrophilic) byproduct that was caused by oxidative stress as a result of effect of AFB1 in the most target organs in rats and it interacts with DNA forming exocyclic guanine products that increase in rats during tissue carcinogenesis. On the other hand, kidneys from group 5 showed mild damage and the examined sections showed slight cytoplasmic vacuolization of epithelial lining some renal tubules (Fig. 6e). Moreover, kidneys from group 6 restored the histological architecture of renal tissue (Fig. 6f). This was in accordance with Nada et al., [91] who showed co-administration of probiotic bacteria reduced the toxic effect induced by AFB<sub>1</sub> significantly and this might refer to elevating level the antioxidants especially level of the reduced glutatione (GSH). Therefore, liver and kidney structures were preserved as demonstrated by histopathological examination.

		G	B. sub	tilis		$\mathbf{AFB}_1 + \boldsymbol{B}. \ \boldsymbol{s}_2$	ubtilis
		C -	0.25 ml	0.5 ml	AF B <sub>1</sub>	0.25 ml	0.5 ml
	<b>RBCs</b> (10 <sup>6</sup> /ul)	$5.59\pm0.01$	$5.56\pm0.01$	$5.57\pm0.00$	$2.51\pm0.01^{\text{a}}$	$4.32\pm0.08^{\text{ab}}$	$5.57\pm0.01^{\text{bc}}$
	HB (g/ dl)	$12.72\pm0.01$	$12.69\pm0.01$	$12.69\pm0.05$	$8.17\pm0.02^{\text{a}}$	$10.39\pm0.01^{\text{ab}}$	$12.70\pm0.01^{\text{bc}}$
	HCT (%)	$32.77\pm0.04$	$32.71\pm0.02$	$32.70\pm0.02$	$20.11\pm0.03^{a}$	$25.49\pm0.01^{\text{ab}}$	$32.69\pm0.01^{\text{bc}}$
Its	MCV (um <sup>3</sup> )	$55.29\pm0.02$	$55.30\pm0.03$	$55.30\pm0.02$	$32.05\pm0.05^{\text{a}}$	$40.83\pm0.02^{\text{ab}}$	$55.28\pm0.01^{\text{bc}}$
Jemer	MCH (pg)	$21.38\pm0.02$	$21.38\pm0.03$	$21.38\pm0.01$	$16.21\pm0.01^{\text{a}}$	$18.20\pm0.01^{\text{ab}}$	$21.37\pm0.00^{\text{bc}}$
med E	MCHC (g/ dl)	$36.38\pm0.05$	$36.38\pm0.04$	$36.39\pm0.04$	$24.20\pm0.01^{a}$	$28.74\pm0.01^{\text{ab}}$	$36.40\pm0.03^{\text{bc}}$
For	<b>RDW</b> (%)	$14.02\pm0.08$	$14.08\pm0.07$	$14.06\pm0.07$	$9.35\pm0.03^{\text{a}}$	$12.41\pm0.01^{\text{ab}}$	$14.03\pm0.06^{\text{bc}}$
	<b>MPV</b> (um <sup>3</sup> )	$8.16\pm0.01$	$8.16\pm0.00$	$8.17\pm0.01$	$5.37\pm0.01^{\text{a}}$	$6.87\pm0.01^{\text{ab}}$	$8.15\pm0.00^{\text{bc}}$
	<b>PLT</b> (10 <sup>3</sup> /ul)	$445.83\pm0.50$	$445.20\pm0.61$	$445.00\pm0.45$	$288.00 \pm 1.05^{\text{a}}$	$350.00\pm0.81^{\text{ab}}$	$446.00\pm0.63^{\text{bc}}$
	<b>WBCs</b> (10 <sup>3</sup> /ul)	$7.39\pm0.01$	$7.38\pm0.00$	$7.40\pm0.02$	$4.71\pm0.03^{\text{a}}$	$5.20\pm0.01^{\text{ab}}$	$7.39\pm0.01^{\text{bc}}$
ial	<b>Lymp.</b> (10 <sup>3</sup> /ul)	$5.54\pm0.01$	$5.53\pm0.00$	$5.55\pm0.01$	$3.54\pm0.02^{\text{a}}$	$3.90\pm0.00^{\text{ab}}$	$5.54\pm0.01^{\text{bc}}$
ferenti Jount	<b>Mono.</b> (10 <sup>3</sup> /ul)	$0.73 \pm 0.00$	$0.73\pm0.00$	$0.73\pm0.00$	$0.47\pm0.00^{\text{a}}$	$0.51\pm0.00^{\text{ab}}$	$0.73\pm0.00^{\text{bc}}$
Dif	<b>Gran.</b> (10 <sup>3</sup> /ul)	$0.66 \pm 0.00$	$0.66\pm0.00$	$0.67\pm0.00$	$0.42\pm0.00^{\text{a}}$	$0.47\pm0.00^{\text{ab}}$	$0.67\pm0.00^{\text{bc}}$

	<b>TABLE 1.</b> Effect of <i>B</i> .	subtilis against Aflatoxin	$B_1$ (AFB <sub>1</sub> )	) induced toxicit	y on different	hematological	measurements in rats
--	--------------------------------------	----------------------------	---------------------------	-------------------	----------------	---------------	----------------------

Values were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard error, **a**: significant difference from control group, **b**: significant difference from AFB<sub>1</sub> treated group, **c**: significant difference from AFB<sub>1</sub> treated group and treated with *B*. subtilis (0.25 ml) at P $\leq$ 0.05.

		C	B. su	btilis	A FD	$\mathbf{AFB}_1 + B$ .	subtilis
		C	0.25 ml	0.5 ml	Af Di -	0.25 ml	0.5 ml
	ALT (U/L)	$42.28\pm0.01$	$42.28\pm0.01$	$42.28\pm0.01$	$63.08\pm0.42^{\textbf{a}}$	$55.13\pm0.06^{\text{ab}}$	$42.28\pm0.01^{\text{bc}}$
nzymes	AST (U/L)	$98.18\pm0.09$	$98.19\pm0.09$	$98.18\pm0.08$	$123.18\pm0.18^{\text{a}}$	$110.34\pm0.01^{\text{ab}}$	$98.22\pm0.09^{\text{bc}}$
Liver E	ALP (U/L)	$25.88\pm0.08$	$25.91\pm0.08$	$25.89 \pm 0.07$	$45.89\pm0.07^{\text{a}}$	$35.52\pm0.04^{\text{ab}}$	$25.92\pm0.09^{\text{bc}}$
	LDH (U/L)	$995.88 \pm 0.08$	$995.91\pm0.08$	$995.89\pm0.07$	$1227.69\pm0.63^{\textbf{a}}$	$1125.24\pm0.10^{\text{ab}}$	$995.92\pm0.09^{\text{bc}}$
oid file	TC (mg/dl)	$73.55\pm0.16$	$73.59\pm0.15$	$73.59\pm0.15$	$94.15\pm0.32^{\text{a}}$	$84.98\pm0.06^{\text{ab}}$	$73.39\pm0.13^{\text{bc}}$
Liµ Pro	T.Gs (mg/dl)	$67.07\pm0.05$	$67.12\pm0.05$	$67.12\pm0.04$	$87.07\pm0.05^{\text{a}}$	$77.18\pm0.01^{\text{ab}}$	$67.12\pm0.03^{\text{bc}}$
	Urea (mg/dl)	$48.70\pm0.01$	$48.69\pm0.01$	$48.69\pm0.01$	$68.69\pm0.01^{\text{a}}$	$58.61\pm0.03^{\text{ab}}$	$48.72\pm0.00^{\text{bc}}$
unctions	<b>Creatinine</b> (mg/dl)	$0.91\pm0.01$	$0.90 \pm 0.00$	$0.90\pm0.00$	$1.90\pm0.00^{\text{a}}$	$1.29\pm0.01^{\text{ab}}$	$0.91 \pm 0.00^{\text{bc}}$
cidney fi	<b>Total Protein</b> (g/dl)	$8.25\pm0.01$	$8.27\pm0.02$	$8.26\pm0.01$	$4.25\pm0.01^{\text{a}}$	$6.26\pm0.01^{\text{ab}}$	$8.24\pm0.00^{\text{bc}}$
ki	Albumin (g/dl)	$3.73\pm0.01$	$3.73 \pm 0.00$	$3.73\pm0.00$	$1.73\pm0.01^{\text{a}}$	$2.55\pm0.01^{\text{ab}}$	$3.73\pm0.00^{\text{bc}}$

<b>IADLE 2.</b> Effect of <i>D</i> . subtuts against Anatoxin $D_1$ (AFD <sub>1</sub> ) induced toxicity on different diochemical measurements in fats	TABLE 2.	Effect of B.	subtilis against	Aflatoxin $B_1$ (A	$AFB_1$ ) ind	luced toxicity	on different	biochemical	measurements in rats
--	----------	--------------	------------------	--------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------	-------------	----------------------

Values were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard error, **a**: significant difference from control group, **b**: significant difference from AFB<sub>1</sub> treated group, **c**: significant difference from AFB<sub>1</sub> treated group and treated with *B*. subtilis (0.25 ml) at P $\leq$ 0.05.

*Egypt. J. Chem.*64, No. 4 (2021)



**Fig. 1.** Effect of *B. subtilis B. subtilis* against Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> (AFB<sub>1</sub>) induced toxicity on major markers of oxidative stress in rats. Values were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard error, **a:** significant difference from control group, **b:** significant difference from AFB<sub>1</sub> treated group, **c:** significant difference from AFB<sub>1</sub> treated group and treated with *B. subtilis* (0.25 ml) at P $\leq$ 0.05.

Egypt. J. Chem.64, No. 4 (2021)



	Control			B. subtilis						A ED			$AFB_1 + B.$ subtilis					
	Control	l	0.25 ml			0.5 ml			-	AF D1			0.25 ml			0.5 ml		
Rf	<b>B%</b>	Qty%	Rf	<b>B%</b>	Qty%	Rf	<b>B%</b>	Qty%	Rf	<b>B%</b>	Qty%	Rf	<b>B%</b>	Qty%	Rf	<b>B%</b>	Qty %	
0.13	19.04	6.39	0.14	18.72	6.08	0.14	19.51	7.60	-	-	-	0.14	19.18	6.83	0.14	18.50	6.15	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.26	20.05	6.15	-	-	-	-	-	-	
0.36	20.08	8.91	0.35	20.56	7.68	0.36	20.07	8.79	-	-	-	0.36	19.62	9.13	0.35	20.03	7.83	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.46	20.23	6.79	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.64	20.14	7.34	-	-	-	-	-	-	
0.74	20.67	7.55	0.74	20.46	6.24	0.74	19.99	7.79	0.75	19.95	7.27	0.74	20.71	8.4	0.74	20.78	8.12	
0.81	20.24	4.79	0.81	19.83	5.85	0.81	19.73	8.26	-	-	-	0.81	20.66	4.09	0.81	20.93	4.30	
0.92	19.97	6.50	0.92	20.44	8.04	0.91	20.7	7.46	0.92	19.63	6.4	0.92	19.83	8.24	0.92	19.76	9.85	

Rf: Relative Mobility, B%: Percent of Band Intensity, Qty%: Percent of Band Quantity.

Fig. 2. Native electrophoretic protein pattern showing physiological effect of *B. subtilis* against the toxicity induced by Aflatoxin  $B_1$  (AFB<sub>1</sub>) on number and arrangement of the native bands in addition to similarity percents of this pattern in sera of rats.



	Contro	1	B. subtilis						_	A TOD			$AFB_1 + B.$ subtilis					
	Contro	1	0.25 ml				0.5 ml			ATD1			0.25 ml			0.5 ml		
Rf	<b>B%</b>	Qty%	Rf	<b>B%</b>	Qty%	Rf	<b>B%</b>	Qty%	Rf	<b>B%</b>	Qty%	Rf	<b>B%</b>	Qty%	Rf	<b>B%</b>	Qty %	
0.10	15.41	4.46	0.10	14.17	4.12	0.10	15.51	6.00	-	-	-	0.09	16.02	5.55	0.08	17.52	8.00	
0.29	16.50	4.99	0.29	21.96	7.40	0.30	16.82	5.97	0.30	17.15	8.42	0.30	14.84	4.90	0.30	14.18	2.91	
0.45	29.28	12.33	0.44	25.86	14.26	0.44	28.89	19.57	-	-	-	0.46	19.67	12.38	0.46	26.00	12.96	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.56	19.49	8.73	0.57	15.89	8.25	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.77	23.37	9.79	-	-	-	-	-	-	
0.94	38.81	23.49	0.94	38.01	34.34	0.93	38.78	26.90	0.94	40.00	31.78	0.94	33.58	31.17	0.93	42.30	29.14	

Rf: Relative Mobility, B%: Percent of Band Intensity, Qty%: Percent of Band Quantity.

Fig. 3. Native electrophoretic lipid moiety of protein pattern showing physiological effect of *B. subtilis* against the toxicity induced by Aflatoxin  $B_1$  (AFB<sub>1</sub>) on number and arrangement of the native bands in addition to similarity percents of this pattern in sera of rats.

*Egypt. J. Chem.*64, No. 4 (2021)



	Control			B. subtilis					_	A ED .			$AFB_1 + B.$ subtilis					
	Contro	01	0.25 ml				0.5 ml			AFD]			0.25 ml			0.5 ml		
Rf	<b>B%</b>	Qty%	Rf	<b>B%</b>	Qty%	Rf	<b>B%</b>	Qty%	Rf	<b>B%</b>	Qty%	Rf	<b>B%</b>	Qty%	Rf	<b>B%</b>	Qty %	
0.19	15.99	14.12	0.20	16.85	11.69	0.19	15.27	11.71	-	-	-	0.19	17.65	10.09	0.19	15.67	12.46	
0.64	14.47	10.65	0.64	14.55	13.82	0.64	15.72	9.76	-	-	-	0.64	17.76	10.15	0.63	15.10	12.98	
0.77	26.57	16.62	0.77	23.99	15.33	0.76	25.66	14.99	0.76	20.29	8.66	0.762	9.91	5.83	0.76	24.80	12.47	
0.84	21.99	13.76	0.84	22.42	13.09	0.85	21.94	15.62	0.84	37.62	20.34	0.84	28.13	14.19	0.85	23.95	10.49	
0.95	20.99	16.99	0.95	22.19	16.20	0.95	21.41	18.76	0.95	42.08	22.75	0.95	26.55	17.86	0.94	20.48	13.96	

Rf: Relative Mobility, B%: Percent of Band Intensity, Qty%: Percent of Band Quantity.

**Fig. 4.** Native electrophoretic calcium moiety of protein pattern showing physiological effect of *B. subtilis* against the toxicity induced by Aflatoxin  $B_1$  (AFB<sub>1</sub>) on number and arrangement of the native bands in addition to similarity percents of this pattern in sera of rats.



**Fig. 5. Photomicrograph of histological H&E stained liver tissue of rats:** a) group 1 (control) showing normal histological structure of hepatic lobule, b) group 4 (AFB<sub>1</sub> treated group) showing vacuolar degeneration of hepatocytes (black arrow), oedema (red arrow) and inflammatory cells infiltration (yellow arrow) in the portal triad, c) group 4 showing focal hepatocellular necrosis associated with inflammatory cells infiltration (arrow), d) group 4 showing hepatocellular apoptosis (arrows), e) & f) groups 2 (*B. subtilis* (0.25 ml) treated group) & 3 (*B. subtilis* (0.55 ml) treated group), respectively showing no histopathological alterations, e) group 5 (AFB<sub>1</sub> + *B. subtilis* (0.25 ml) treated group) showing slight vacuolar degeneration of some hepatocytes (arrow), f) group 6 (AFB<sub>1</sub> + *B. subtilis* (0.55 ml) treated group) showing binucleation of some hepatocytes (arrow) (scale bar 25um, X400).



**Fig. 6. Photomicrograph of histological H&E stained renal tissue of rats:** a) group 1 (control) showing normal histological architecture of renal tissue (normal glomerulus and normal renal tubules), b) group 4 (AFB<sub>1</sub> treated group) showing cytoplasmic vacuolization of renal tubular epithelium (black arrow), thickening of the parietal layer of Bowman's capsule (red arrow) and periglomerularoedema (yellow arrow), c) & d) groups 2 (*B. subtilis* (0.25 ml) treated group) & 3 (*B. subtilis* (0.5 ml) treated group), respectively showing no histopathological changes, e) group 5 (AFB<sub>1</sub> + *B. subtilis* (0.25 ml) treated group) showing slight cytoplasmic vacuolization of epithelial lining some renal tubules (arrow), f) group 6 (AFB<sub>1</sub> + *B. subtilis* (0.5 ml) treated group) showing restored the histological architecture of renal tissue (scale bar 25um, X400).



**Fig. 7.** Photomicrograph of histological H&E stained brain sections of rats: a) group 1 (control) showing no histopathological changes, b) group 4 (AFB<sub>1</sub> treated group) showing shrunken, atrophy and necrosis of neurons (black arrow) and neurofibrillary tangles (red arrows), c) & d) groups 2 (*B. subtilis* (0.25 ml) treated group) & 3 (*B. subtilis* (0.5 ml) treated group), respectively showing normal histological architecture of the brain tissue, e) & f) group 5 (AFB<sub>1</sub> + *B. subtilis* (0.25 ml) treated group) & 6 group 5 (AFB<sub>1</sub> + *B. subtilis* (0.5 ml) treated group), respectively showing neurons (arrows) (scale bar 25um, X400).

Organa	Lociona	C	B. su	btilis	AED.	<b>AFB</b> <sub>1</sub> +	- B. subtilis
Organs	Lesions	C	0.25 ml	0.5 ml	- AFD1	0.25 ml	0.5 ml
Liver	Vacuolar degeneration of hepatocytes Hepatocellular necrosis Hepatocellular apoptosis Oedma in the portal triad portal inflammatory cells infiltration	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 2 2 2 1	1 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
Kidney	Vacuolization of renal tubular epithelium thickening of the parietal layer of Bowman's capsule periglomerularoedema	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	3 2 2	1 0 0	0 0 0
Brain	Shrunken, atrophy& necrosis of neurons Neurofibrillary tangles Cellular oedema	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	3 2 3	1 0 0	1 0 0

TABLE 3. The histo	pathological score show	ng effect of <i>B. subtilis</i>	against the lesions induced b	ov Aflatoxin B <sub>1</sub> (AF)	B <sub>1</sub> ) in different tissues
		0	0		1/

Brain tissue of control rats revealed normal histological architecture (Fig.7a). No histopathological alterations were recorded in brain tissues from groups 2 & 3 (Fig. 7c & d). In contrast, of rats brain from group 4 exhibited neuropathological alterations described as shrunken, atrophy and necrosis of neurons associated with appearance of neurofibrillary tangles and cellular oedema (Table 3 & Fig. 7b). This was supported by Naaz et al. [92] who showed that the histopathological alterations were noticed as a result of the exposure to  $AFB_1$  not only in the liver but also in the kidney and brain. They reported that polyunsaturated lipids are considered as the most important constituents in endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondria in addition to the cell membranes. Thus, they are essential for cells and disruption in their structural properties could have consequences for the cellular function. Lipid peroxidation is the most important factor that induced by oxidative stress and responsible for functional and structural alterations of the cell membrane. The mycotoxins stimulate the lipid peroxidation directly through increasing the ROS formation or sensitivity of the tissue to the peroxidation that is compromised antioxidant defence [93]. Meanwhile, brain of rats from groups 5 & 6 showed regression of the histopathological lesions and the examined sections only demonstrated necrosis of some neurons (Fig. 7e & f). This was in accordance with Fan et al. [41] and supported by Fan et al. [74] who suggested that the beneficial effect of *B. subtilis* against the histopathological alterations induced by AFB1 might be attributed to the linear decrease in recovery of AFB1 from duodenal contents. These findings implied that *B. subtilis* was able to detoxify  $AFB_1$  in the gastrointestinal tracts by reducing amount of AFB1 that absorbed into the body and this consequently prevented the detrimental AFB<sub>1</sub> effects to the animals and environment.

### 4. Conclusion

The study concluded that *B. subtilis* restored all hematological and biochemical measurements when administrated at a dose of 0.50 ml in association with AFB<sub>1</sub> treatment towards the normal values. AFB<sub>1</sub> caused physiological alterations detected electrophoretically in the native protein and lipid moiety in addition to calcium moiety of native protein patterns and represented by hiding normal protein types with existence of abnormal ones. Therefore, the SI% and GD% values were altered with protein (SI=40.00%; GD=60.00%), lipid moiety (SI=50.00%; GD=50.00%) and calcium moiety of native protein patterns (SI=75.00%; GD=25.00%) in AFB<sub>1</sub> treated group. B. subtilis at a dose of 0.50 ml restored integrity of these native protein patterns by restoring the absent types with hiding the abnormal ones. Therefore, this group became physiologically similar to control group (SI=100.00%; GD=0.00%). These findings were supported by the severe lesions that were noticed histopathologically in the most target organs (liver, kidney and brain) AFB1 treated group and *B. subtilis* restored their normal architecture. B. subtilis exhibited antioxidant activity enables it to be used as promising product as probiotics to eliminate the unbalance in oxidative stress and to moderate the health hazards induced as a result of the exposure to AFB<sub>1</sub> direct or indirect.

## 5. Conflict of Interests

The authors who are responsible for the theoretical and practical parts of the manuscript declare that there are no conflicts of interests financially or nonfinancially.

### 6. References

1. Celik, I.; Oguz, H.; Demet, O.; Boydak, M. ; Donmez, H.; Sur, E. and Nizamlioglu, F. Embryotoxicity assay of aflatoxin produced by Aspergillus parasiticus NRRL 2999. British Poultry Science, 41, 401-409 (2000).

2. Casado, J.; Theumer, M.; Masih, D.; Chulze, S. and Rubinstein, H. Experimental subchronic mycotoxicoses in mice: individual and combined effects of dietary exposure to fumonisins and aflatoxin B1. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 39, 579-586 (2001).

3. Luttfullah, G. and Hussain, A. Studies on contamination level of aflatoxins in some dried fruits and nuts of Pakistan. *Food Cont.*, 22, 426-429 (2011).

4. El-Nekeety, A.A.; Abdel-Azeim, S.H.; Hassan, A.M.; Hassan, N.S.; Aly, S.E. and Abdel-Wahhab, M.A. Quercetin inhibits the cytotoxicity and oxidative stress in liver of rats fed aflatoxin-contaminated diet. *Toxicol. Rep.*, 1, 319-329 (2014).

combined

5. Gong, Y.Y.; Watson, S. and Routledge, M.N. Aflatoxin exposure and associated human health effects, a review of epidemiological studies. *Food Saf.*, 4, 14-27 (2016).

6. Wild, C.P. and Gong, Y.Y. Mycotoxins and human disease: a largely ignored global health issue. *Carcinogenesis*, 31, 71-82 (2010).

7. Groopman, J.D. and Kensler, T.W. Role of metabolism and viruses in aflatoxin-induced liver cancer. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol., 206, 131-137 (2005).

8. Meissonnier, G.M.; Pinton, P.; Laffitte, J.; Cossalter, A.M.; Gong, Y.Y. and Wild, C.P. Immunotoxicity of aflatoxin B1: impairment of the cell-mediated response to vaccine antigen and modulation of cytokine expression. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.*, 231, 142-149 (2008).

9. Golli-Bennour, E.E.; Kouidhi, B.; Bouslimi, A.; Abid-Essefi, S.; Hassen, W. and Bacha, H. Cytotoxicity and genotoxicity induced by aflatoxin B1, ochratoxin A, and their combination in cultured Vero cells. *J. Biochem. Mol. Toxicol.*, 24, 42-50 (2010).

10. Deabes, M.M.; Darwish, H.R.; Abdel-Aziz, K.B. ; Farag, I.M.; Nada, S.A. and Tewfik, N.S. Protective effects of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG on Aflatoxins-induced Toxicities in male Albino Mice. *J. Environmental & Analytical Toxicology*, 2, 2-9 (2012).

11. Lu, X.; Hu, B.; Shao, L.; Tian, Y.; Jin, T.; Jin, Y.; Ji, S. and Fan, X. Integrated analysis of transcriptomics and metabonomics profiles in aflatoxin B1-induced hepatotoxicity in rat. *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 55, 444-455 (2013).

12. Corcuera, L.; Vettorazzi, A.; Arbillaga, L.; Pérez, N.; Gil, A.G. and Azqueta, A. Genotoxicity of Aflatoxin B1 and Ochratoxin A after simultaneous application of the in vivo micronucleus and comet assay. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 76, 116-12 (2015).

13. Hussein, S.H. and Brasel, J.M. Toxicity, metabolism and impact of mycotoxins on humans and animals. *Toxicology*, 167, 101-134 (2001).

14. Farzaneh, M.; Shi, Z.-Q.; Ghassempour, A. ; Sedaghat, N.; Ahmadzadeh, M.; Mirabolfathy, M. and Javan-Nikkhah, M. Aflatoxin B1 degradation by *Bacillus subtilis* UTBSP1 isolated from pistachio nuts of Iran. *Food Control*, 23, 100-106 (2012).

15. Sun, L.-H.; Lei, M.-Y.; Zhang, N.-Y.; Gao, X.; Li, C.; Krumm, C.S. and Qi, D.-S. Individual and

zearalenone, deoxynivalenol and fumonisin b1 on brl 3a rat liver cells. *Toxicon*, 95, 6-12 (2015). 16. Zhang, N.-Y. ; Qi, M. ; Gao, X. ; Zhao, L. ; Liu,

cytotoxic

J.; Gu, C.-Q.; Song, W.-J.; Krumm, C.S.; Sun, L.-H. and Qi, D.-S. Response of the hepatic transcriptome to aflatoxin b1 in ducklings. *Toxicon*, 111, 69-76 (2016).

effects of

aflatoxin b1,

17. Wild, C. P and Turner, P. C. The toxicology of aflatoxins as a basis for public health decisions. *Mutagenesis*, 17, 471-81 (2002).

18. Tulayakul, P.; Sakuda, S.; Dong, K. and Kumagai, S. Comparative activities of glutathione-S-transferase and dialdehyde reductase toward aflatoxin B1 in livers of experimental and farm animals. *Toxicon*, 46, 204-209 (2005).

19. Abdel-Wahhab, M.A.; Aljawish, A.; El-Nekeety, A.A.; Abdel-Aiezm, S.H.; Abdel-Kader, H.A.M.; Rihn, B.H. and Joubert, O. Chitosan nanoparticles and quercetin modulate gene expression and prevent the genotoxicity of aflatoxin b1 in rat liver. *Toxicol. Rep.*, 2, 737-747 (2015).

20. Blankson, G.K. and Mill-Robertson, F.C. Aflatoxin contamination and exposure in processed cereal-based complementary foods for infants and young children in greater Accra, Ghana. *Food Control*, 64, 212-217 (2016).

21. Wu, F. and Khlangwiset, P. Health economic impacts and cost-effectiveness of aflatoxin reduction strategies 61 in Africa: Case studies in biocontrol and postharvest interventions. *Food. Addit. Contam. Part A. Chem. Anal. Control. Expo. Risk Assess.*, 27, 496-509 (2010).

22. Ingawale, D.K.; Mandlik, S.K. and Naik, S.R. Models of hepatotoxicity and the underlying cellular, biochemical and immunological mechanism(s): a critical discussion. *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.*, 37, 118-133 (2014).

23. Lewis, L.; Onsongo, M.; Njapau, H.; Schurz-Rogers, H.; Luber, G.; Kieszak, S.; Nyamongo, J. ; Backer, L.; Dahiye, A.M.; Misore, A.; DeCock, K. and Rubin, C. Aflatoxin contamination of commercial maize products during an outbreak of acute aflatoxicosis in eastern and central Kenya. *Environ. Health Perspect.*, 113, 1763-1767 (2005).

24. Kabak, B.; Dobson, A.D.W. and Var, I. Strategies to prevent mycotoxin contamination of food and animal feed: a review. Crit. Rev. Food Sci., 46, 593-619 (2006).

- 25. Teniola, O.D.; Addo, P.A.; Brost, I.M.; Farber, P. ; Jany, K.D.; Alberts, J.F.; van Zyl, W.H.; Steyn, P.S. and Holzapfel, W.H. Degradation of Aflatoxin B-1 by cell-free extracts of *Rhodococcus erythropolis* and *Mycobacterium fluoranthenivorans* sp nov DSM44556(T). *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, 105, 111-117 (2005).
- 26. Otari, S.V.; Patil, R.M.; Ghosh, S.J.; Thorat, N.D. and Pawar, S.H. Intracellular synthesis of silver nanoparticle by actinobacteria and its antimicrobial activity. *Spectrochim. Acta. A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.*, 136, 1175–1180 (2015).
- 27. Yassin, M.A.; El-Samawaty, A.M.A.; Dawoud, T.M.; Abd-Elkader, O.A.; Al Maary, K.S.; Hatamleh, A.A. and Elgorban, A.M. Characterization and anti-Aspergillus flavus impact of nanoparticles synthesized by *Penicillium citrinum. Journal of Biological Sciences*, 24, 1243-1248 (2017).
- Deabes, M.M.; Wagdy K.B. Khalil.; Attallah, A. G; El-Desouky, T.A and Naguib, Kh. Impact of silver Nanoparticles on gene expression in *Aspergillus flavus* producer aflatoxin B1.Open Access Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences. Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences, 6, 600-605 (2018).
- 29. Taylor, W.J. and Draughon, F.A. Nannocystis exedens: a potential biocompetitive agent against *Aspergillus flavus* and Aspergillus parasiticus. J. *Food Protect.*, 64, 1030-1034 (2001).
- 30. Liu, D.L.; Yao, D.S.; Liang, Y.Q.; Zhou, T.H.; Song, Y.P.; Zhao, L. and Ma, L. Production, purification, and characterization of an intracellular aflatoxin detoxifizyme from Armillariella tabescens (E-20). *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 39, 461-466 (2001).
- Zhao, L.H.; Guan, S.; Gao, X.; Ma, Q.G.; Lei, Y.P.; Bai, X.M. and Ji, C. Preparation, purification and characteristics of an aflatoxin degradation enzyme from *Myxococcus fulvus* ANSM068. *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, 110, 147-155 (2011).
- Laciakova, A.; Cicooova, P.; Mate, D. and Laciak, V. Aflatoxins and possibilities for their biological detoxification. *Medycyna Weterynaryjna*, 64, 276-279 (2008).
- 33. Sánchez, B.; Delgado, S.; Blanco-Míguez, A.; Lourenço, A.; Gueimonde, M. and Margolles, A. Probiotics, gut microbiota, and their influence on host health and disease. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.*, 61(1) (2017).
- 34. Saladino, F.; Posarelli, E.; Luz, C.; Luciano, F.; Rodriguez-Estrada, M.; Mañes, J. and Meca, G. Influence of probiotic microorganisms on aflatoxins B1 and B2 bioaccessibility evaluated with a simulated gastrointestinal digestion. J. Food Compos. Anal., 68, 128-132 (2018).

- 35. Zhao, Q.; Ran, W.; Wang, H.; Li, X.; Shen, Q.; Shen, S. and Xu, Y. Biocontrol of Fusarium wilt disease in muskmelon with *Bacillus subtilis* Y-IVI. *BioControl*, 58, 283-292 (2013).
- Nithya, V. and Halami, P. Evaluation of the probiotic characteristics of *Bacillus* species isolated from different food sources. *Annals of Microbiology*, 63, 129-137 (2013).
- Urdaci, M.C.; Bressollier, P. and Pinchuk, I. Bacillus clausii probiotic strains: Antimicrobial and immunomodulatory activities. Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology, 38, S86-S90 (2004).
- 38. Molnar, A.K.; Podmaniczky, B.; Kurti, P.; Tenk, I.; Glavits, R.; Virag, G.Y. and Szabo, Z.S. Effect of different concentrations of Bacillus subtilis on growth performance, carcase quality, gut microflora and immune response of broiler chickens. Brit. Poultry Sci., 52, 658-665 (2011).
- 39. Gao, X.; Ma, Q.; Zhao, L.; Lei, Y.; Shan, Y. and Ji, C. Isolation of *Bacillus subtilis*: screening for aflatoxins B-1, M-1, and G(1) detoxification. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.*, 232, 957-962 (2011).
- 40. Ma, Q.G.; Gao, X.; Zhou, T.; Zhao, L.H.; Fan, Y.; Li, X.Y.; Lei, Y.P.; Ji, C. and Zhang, J.Y. Protective effect of *Bacillus subtilis* ANSB060 on egg quality, biochemical and histopathological changes in layers exposed to aflatoxin B1. *Poult. Sci.*, 91, 2852-2857 (2012).
- 41. Fan, Y.; Zhao, L.; Ma, Q.; Li, X.; Shi, H.; Zhou, T.; Zhang, J. and Ji, C. Effects of *Bacillus subtilis* ANSB060 on growth performance, meat quality and aflatoxin residues in broilers fed moldy peanut meal naturally contaminated with aflatoxins. *Food Chem Toxicol.*, 59, 748-753 (2013).
- 42. Zhang, L.; Ma, Q.; Ma, S.; Zhang, J.; Jia, R.; Ji, C. and Zhao, L. Ameliorating effects of *Bacillus subtilis* ANSB060 on growth performance, antioxidant functions, and aflatoxin residues in ducks fed diets contaminated with aflatoxins. *Toxins*, 9, 1 (2017).
- 43. Jia, R.; Ma, Q.; Fan, Y.; Ji, C.; Zhang, J.; Liu, T. and Zhao, L. The toxic effects of combined aflatoxins and zearalenone in naturally contaminated diets on laying performance, egg quality and mycotoxins residues in eggs of layers and the protective effect of *Bacillus subtilis* biodegradation product. *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 90, 142-150 (2016).
- 44. El-Nezami, H.; Kankaanpaa, P.; Salminen, S. and Ahokas, J. Ability of dairy strains of lactic acid bacteria to bind a common food carcinogen, aflatoxin B1. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 36, 321-326 (1998).
- 45. Gilbert-Sandoval, I.; Wesseling, S. and Rietjens, I.M.C.M. Predicting the Acute Liver Toxicity of Aflatoxin B1 in Rats and Humans by an In Vitro–

Egypt. J. Chem. 64, No. 4 (2021)

In Silico Testing Strategy. Mol. Nutr. Food Res., 64, 2000063 (2020).

- Koracevic, D.; Koracevic, G.; Djordjevic, V.; Andrejevic, S. and Cosic, V. Method for the measurement of antioxidant activity in human fluids. *J. Clin. Pathol.*, 54, 356-361 (2001).
- Levine, R.L.; Williams, J.A.; Stadtman, E.R. and Shacter, E. Carbonyl assays for determination of oxidatively modified proteins. *Methods Enzymol.*, 233, 346-357 (1994).
- Ohkawa, H.; Ohishim N. and Nagi, K. Assay of lipid peroxides in animal tissue by thiobarbituric acid reaction. *Anal. Biochem.*, 95, 351-358 (1979).
- 49. Laemmli, U.K. Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. *Nature*, 227, 680 (1970).
- 50. Darwesh, O.M.; Moawad, H.; Barakat, O.S. and Abd El-Rahim, W.M. Bioremediation of Textile Reactive Blue Azo Dye Residues using Nanobiotechnology Approaches. *Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences*, 6, 1202-1211 (2015).
- Subramaniam, H.N. and Chaubal, K.A. Evaluation of intracellular lipids by standardized staining with a Sudan black B fraction. J. Biochem. Biophys. Methods, 21, 9-16 (1990).
- 52. Abd Elhalim, S.A. ; Sharada, H.M. ; Abulyazid, I. ; Aboulthana, W.M. and Abd Elhalim, S.T. Ameliorative effect of carob pods extract (*Ceratonia siliqua* L.) against cyclophosphamide induced alterations in bone marrow and spleen of rats. J. App. Pharma. Science, 7, 168-181 (2017).
- 53. Abulyazid, I.; Abd Elhalim, S.A.; Sharada, H.M.; Aboulthana, W.M. and Abd Elhalim, S.T.A. Hepatoprotective Effect of Carob Pods Extract (*Ceratonia siliqua* L.) against Cyclophosphamide Induced Alterations in Rats. International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research, 8, 149-162 (2017).
- 54. Zacharia, S. and Kakati, V.S. Characterisation of vitellogenin and vitellin of *Fenneropenaeus* merguiensis (De Man). Indian Journal of Fisheries, 51, 255-63 (2004).
- Nei, M. and Li, W. S. Mathematical model for studing genetic variation in terms of restriction endonuclease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, USA, 76, 5269-5273 (1979).
- Suvarna, K.S.; Layton, C. and Bancroft, J.D. Bancroft's theory and practice of histological techniques. 8th ed. London, UK: Elsevier Ltd., p. 672 (2019).
- 57. Ahmed, K.A.; Korany, R.M.S.; El Halawany, H.A. and Ahmed, K.S. *Spirulina platensis* alleviates arsenic-induced toxicity in male rats: biochemical, histopathological and

immunohistochemical studies. Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci., 7, 701-710 (2019).

- 58. Yuan, G.; Dai, S.; Yin, Z.; Lu, H.; Jia, R.; Xu, J.; Song, X.; Li, L.; Shu, Y. and Zhao, X. Toxicological assessment of combined lead and cadmium: acute and sub-chronic toxicity study in rats. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 65, 260-268 (2014).
- 59. Husain, A.S.; Thalij, K.M. and Dheeb, B.I. Effects of interaction between Aflatoxins (AFs) and functional materials FM in the hematological, biochemical parameters and enzyme activity in Rats. *Egypt. Acad. J. Biolog. Sci.*, 6, 17-22 (2014).
- 60. Umar, S.; Arshad, A.; Ahmad, B. and Arshad, M. Clinico biochemical and haematological changes in broilers induced by concurrent exposure to aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> and ochratoxin A. *Journal of Public Health and Biological Sciences*, 1, 79-85 (2012).
- Lefevre, M.; Racedo, S.; Ripert, G.; Housez, B.; Cazaubiel, M.; Maudet, C.; Jüsten, P.; Marteau, P. and Urdaci, M.C. Probiotic strain *Bacillus subtilis* CU1 stimulates immune system of elderly during common infectious disease period: a randomized, double-blind placebo-controlled study. *Immun. Ageing*, 12, 1-11 (2015).
- 62. Gowda, N.K ; Ledoux, D.R.; Rottinghaus, G.E.; Bermudez, A.J. and Chen, Y.C. Antioxidant efficacy of curcuminoids from turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) powder in broiler chickens fed diets containing aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>. *Br. J. Nutr.*, 102, 1629-1634 (2009).
- Ozer, J.; Ratner, M.; Shaw, M.; Bailey, W. and Schomaker, S. The current state of serum biomarkers of hepatotoxicity. *Toxicology*, 245, 194-205 (2008).
- 64. Rotimi, O.A.; Rotimi, S.O.; Goodrich, J.M.; Adelani, I.B.; Agbonihale, E. and Talabi, G. Time-Course Effects of Acute Aflatoxin B1 Exposure on Hepatic Mitochondrial Lipids and Oxidative Stress in Rats. *Front Pharmacol.*, 10, 467 (2019).
- 65. Abdel-Wahhab, M.A. and Aly, S.E. Antioxidant property of Nigella sativa (black cumin) and *Syzygium aromaticum* (clove) in rats during aflatoxicosis. *J. Appl. Toxicol.*, 25, 218-223 (2005).
- 66. Eftekhari, A.; Ahmadian, E.; Panahi-Azar, V.; Hosseini, H.; Tabibiazar, M. and Maleki Dizaj, S. Hepatoprotective and free radical scavenging actions of quercetin nanoparticles on aflatoxin B1-induced liver damage: in vitro/in vivo studies. *Artif. Cells Nanomed. Biotechnol.*, 46, 411-420 (2018).
- 67. Buchau, A.S. and Gallo, R.L. Innate immunity and antimicrobial defense systems in psoriasis. 295HClin. *Dermatol.*, 25, 616-624 (2007).

Egypt. J. Chem.64, No. 4 (2021)

- Rolim, A.E.H.; Henrique-Araújo, R.; Ferraz, E.G.; de Araújo Alves Dultra, F.K. and Fernandez, L.G. Lipidomics in the study of lipid metabolism: current perspectives in the omic sciences. *Gene*, 554, 131-139 (2015).
- 69. Kelesidis, T. and Currier, J.S. Dyslipidemia and cardiovascular risk in human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Endocrinol. Metab. Clin. North Am.*, 43, 665684 (2014).
- Katsiki, N.; Mikhailidis, D.P. and Mantzoros, C.S. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and dyslipidemia: an update. *Metabolism*, 65, 1109-1123 (2016).
- 71. Yilmaz, S.; Kaya, E. and Comakli, S. Vitamin E, α tocopherol, attenuates toxicity and oxidative stress induced by aflatoxin in rats. *Adv. Clin. Exp. Med.*, 26, 907-917 (2017).
- 72. Josse, R.; Dumont, J.; Fautre, A.; Robin, M. and Guillouzo, A. Identification of early target genes of aflatoxin B1 in human hepatocytes, interindividual variability and comparison with other genotoxic compounds. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 258, 176-187 (2012).
- 73. Shen, H.M. and Ong, C.N. Mutations of the p53 tumor suppressor gene and ras oncogenes in aflatoxin hepatocarcinogenesis. *Mutation Research/Reviews in Genetic Toxicology*, 366, 23-44 (1996).
- 74. Fan, Y.; Zhao, L.; Ji, C.; Li, X.; Jia, R.; Xi, L.; Zhang, J. and Ma, Q. Protective effects of *Bacillus subtilis* ANSB060 on serum biochemistry, histopathological changes and antioxidant enzyme activities of broilers fed moldy peanut meal naturally contaminated with aflatoxins. *Toxins (Basel)*, 7, 3330-3343 (2015).
- 75. Aboulthana, W.M.; Ibrahim, N.E.; Osman, N.M.; Seif, M.M. ; Hassan, A.K.; Youssef, A.M.; El-Feky, A.M. and Madboli, A.A. Evaluation of the biological efficiency of silver nanoparticles biosynthesized using *Croton tiglium* L. seeds extract against azoxymethane induced colon cancer in rats. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 21, 1369-1389 (2020).
- 76. Seif, M.M.; Ahmed-Farid, O.A. and Aboulthana, W.M. Evaluation of the protective effect of *Acacia senegal* extract against di-(2-ethylhexyl phthalate) induced hepato- and neurotoxicity in rats. Annual Research & Review in Biology, 19, 1-17 (2017).
- 77. El-Sayed, A.B.; Aboulthana, W.M.; El-Feky, A.M.; Ibrahim, N.E. and Seif, M.M. Bio and phyto-chemical effect of *Amphora coffeaeformis* extract against hepatic injury induced by paracetamol in rats. Molecular Biology Reports, 45, 2007-2023 (2018).
- Aboulthana, W.M.; Ismael, M. and Farghaly, H.S. Electrophoretic and molecular dynamic evaluation of mutagenicity induced by toxic

Egypt. J. Chem. 64, No. 4 (2021)

factors affecting testicular tissue in rats. International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research, 7, 319-333 (2016).

- 79. Abdel-Halim, A.H.; Fyiad, A.A.; Aboulthana, W.M.; El-Sammad, N.M.; Youssef, A.M. and Ali, M.M. Assessment of the anti-diabetic effect of *Bauhinia variegata* gold nano-extract against streptozotocin induced diabetes mellitus in rats. *Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science*, 10, 077-091 (2020).
- 80. Deabes, M.M.; Aboulthana, W.M.; Marzouk, E.M.A.; Mohamed, M.I. and Ahmed, K.A. Evaluation of hepato- and neuroprotective effect of chemical constituents in *Saussurea costus* extract against the toxicity induced by chloropyrofos ethyl in rats. *Egyptian Journal of Chemistry*, 64, 631-647 (2021).
- 81. Swenson, D.H.; Lin, J.K.; Miller, E.C. and Miller, J.A. Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>-2, 3-oxide as a probable intermediate in the covalent binding of aflatoxins B<sub>1</sub> and B<sub>2</sub> to rat liver DNA and ribosomal RNA in vivo. *Cancer Research.*, 37, 172-181 (1977).
- El-Nekeety, A.A.; Mohamed, S.R.; Hathout, A.S.; Hassan, N.S.; Aly, S.E. and Abdel-Wahhab, M.A. Antioxidant properties of thymus vulgaris oil against aflatoxin-induce oxidative stress in male rats. *Toxicon*, 57, 984-991 (2011).
- 83. Peng, T.; Li, L.-Q.; Peng, M.-H.; Liu, Z.-M.; Liu, T.-W.; Yan, L.-N.; Shen, H.-M.; Wang, L.W.; Wang, Q.; Wang, K.; Liang, R.; Wei, Z.; Ong, C.N. and Santella, R.M. Is correction for protein concentration appropriate for protein adduct dosimetry? Hypothesis and clues from an aflatoxin B1-exposed population. *Cancer Sciences*, 98, 140-146 (2007).
- 84. Amici, M.; Cecarini, V.; Pettinari, A.; Bonfili, L.; Angeletti, M.; Barocci, S.; Biagetti, M.; Fioretti, E. and Eleuteri, A.M. Binding of aflatoxins to the 20S proteasome: Effects on enzyme functionality and implications for oxidative stress and apoptosis. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 388, 107-117 (2007).
- 85. Gursoy, N.; Durmus, N.; Bagcivan, I.; Sarac, B.; Parlak, A.; Yildirim, S. and Kaya, T. Investigation of acute effects of aflatoxin on rat proximal and distal colon spontaneous contractions. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 46, 2876- 2880 (2008).
- 86. Sun, X.; Ai, M.; Wang, Y.; Shen, S.; Gu, Y.; Jin, Y.; Zhou, Z.; Long, Y. and Yu, Q. Selective induction of tumor cell apoptosis by a novel P450-mediated reactive oxygen species (ROS) inducer methyl 3-(4-nitrophenyl) propiolate. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 288, 8826-8837 (2013).
- Kodama, M.; Inoue, F. and Akao, M. Enzymatic and non-enzymatic formation of free radicals from aflatoxin B1. *Free Radical Research Communications*, 10, 137-142 (1990).

- Hathout, A.S.; Mohamed, S.R.; El-Nekeety, A.A.; Hassan, N.S.; Aly, S.E. and Abdel-Wahhab, M.A. Ability of *Lactobacillus casei* and *Lactobacillus reuteri* to protect against oxidative stress in rats fed aflatoxins-contaminated diet. *Toxicon.*, 58, 179-86 (2011).
- Marquez, A.; Villa-Treviño, S. and Guéraud, F. The LEC rat: A useful model for studying liver carcinogenesis related to oxidative stress and inflammation. *Redox Report.*, 12, 35-39 (2007).
- 90. Gesing, A. and Karbownik-Lewinska, M. Protective effects of melatonin and Nacetylserotonin on aflatoxin B1-induced lipid peroxidation in rats. *Cell Biochemistry and Function*, 26, 314-319 (2008).
- 91. Nada, S.A.; Amra, H.A.; Deabes, M.M.Y. and Omara, E.A. Saccharomyces Cerevisiae and probiotic Bacteria potentially inhibit aflatoxins production in vitro and in vivo studies. *The Internet Journal of Toxicology*, 8, 1-17 (2010).
- 92. Naaz, F.; Javed, S. and Abdin, M.Z. Hepatoprotective effect of ethanolic extract of Phyllanthus amarus Schum. et Thonn. on aflatoxin B1-induced liver damage in mice. *The Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 113, 503-509 (2007).
- 93. Lee, J.K.; Choi, E.H.; Lee, K.G. and Chun, H.S. Alleviation of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>-induced oxidative stress in HepG2 cells by volatile extract from Allii Fistulosi Bulbus. Life *Sciences*, 77, 2896-2910 (2005).