Slug, CD44 and Her-2/neu Immunohistochemical Expression in Gastric Carcinoma

MOSTAFA FAWZI S. AHMED ABO EL-ELA, M.Sc.; IBRAHIM H. MOHAMMED, Ph.D. and ABD EL-NABI S. ISMAIL, M.D.

The Department of of Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University

Abstract

Background: The major prognostic factors in carcinoma of the stomach are the depth of invasion into the gastric wall and the degree of differentiation of the tumor. However, there is no reliable parameter predicting the risk of recurrence or progression. Molecular markers are, therefore, required to estimate the individual prognosis of patients as well as for effective treatment.

Aim of Study: To evaluate the immunohistochemical expression of Sulg, CD44 and Her-2/neu in gastric carcinoma and to correlate slug and CD44 expression as prognostic markers with available clinicopathologic features, correlate Her-2/neu as predictive marker with clinicopathologic features and to correlate the relation between slug, CD44 and Her-2/neu expressions.

Material and Methods: Slug, CD44 and Her-2/Neu were assessed by immunohistochemistry in 50 specimens of gastric carcinoma, collected from Al-Azhar Faculty of Medicine Hospital labs and some private labs, within the period from November 2017 to February 2021. All specimens were obtained through gastrectomy.

Results: There were statistically significant relations between Slug and CD44 immunohistochemical expressions in gastric carcinomas, and distal tumor locations, high pT stage and high pN stage. And there was significantly relation between Her-2/neu expression and proximal tumor location, histopathological type/grade and advanced pT stage.

Conclusion: Slug, CD44 and Her-2 / neuimmunohistochemical expressions were directly related to stage of gastric carcinomas and could be of valuable significance in predicting the aggressive invasive gastric tumors and subsequently the need to aggressive treatment options.

Key Words: Immunohistochemical expression of Slug – CD44 – Her-2/neu – Gastric carcinoma.

Introduction

ONCE the second most common cancer worldwide, stomach cancer has dropped to sixth place, after cancers of the lung, breast, prostate, colon/rectum,

and skin (non-melanoma). Stomach cancer is the third most common cause of death from cancer [1]. In Egypt, according to the National Cancer Institute registry, it represents 1.64% of all cancers in Egypt and 14.72% of digestive system malignant tumorswith median age of 55 years and male predominance [2]. The high mortality rate from gastric cancer (GC) is mainly related to latediagnosis and to the lack of programs for early detection of this tumor. Thus, novel treatment options and predictors of treatment response are needed [3]. Gastric cancer has one of the most complex genetic pathways with lots of questions still remained to be clarified. There are currently no definitive geneticmarkers for gastric cancer risk stratification that can be applied to all populations. More importantly, translating this genomic datainto more effective treatments for gastric cancer remains the major challenge [4]. Recent investigations have revealed that cancer cell activation of EMT (Epithelialmesenchymal transition) contributes to cell invasion and metastasis in multiple cancers including gastric cancer [5]. Epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is a biologic process by which epithelial cells lose their cell-cell junctions and apical-basal polarity and gain a highly motile and invasive phenotype to become mesenchymal cells [6]. As already reported in many studies, the switch in EMT process is performed by transcription factors, including the Snail family members Snail1 (Snail) and Snail2 (also addressed as Slug) [7]. In some studies, high Slug expression was correlated with advanced stages and worse clinical outcomes [8]. EMT has been confirmed to play a critical role in tumor metastasis and recurrence, which have been shown to be tightly linked with the function of CSCs [9]. As CD44 family proteins can mediate Epithelial-mesenchymal transition [10,11]. Additionally, reports have demonstrated that cells undergo-

Correspondence to: Dr.: Mostafa Fawzi S. Ahmed Abo El-Ela, <u>E-Mail: Mostafa.fawzi91@gmail.com</u>

ing EMT can acquire stem cell-like characteristics, which indicated an interesting conjunction between EMT and stem cells [12,13]. Accumulating evidences indicate that there is a link between CSCs and EMT in gastric cancer. EMT could provide a new perspective for CSCs theory [14]. Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (Her-2/ERBB2/neu), a member of the epidermal growth factor receptor family of receptor tyrosine kinases, is over expressed in 7%-34% of GC cases [15]. Her-2 could promote the invasion and migration of gastric cancer cells through EMT [16]. Up to date, trastuzumab is the only target approved as the first-line treatment of Her-2 positive metastatic gastric cancer [17]. So, investigating for Her-2 positivity is beneficial for treatment planning. Owing to existence of a variety of distinct histomorphological tumor typeswhich differ in prognosis, gastric carcinoma appears to be an appropriate tumorto investigate the correlation between (EMT) and Hre2/neu expression and clinical behavior including aggressiveness of the tumor and metastasis [18].

Material and Methods

This work included 50 specimens of gastric carcinoma, collected from Al-Azhar Faculty of Medicine Hospital labs and some private labs during the period from November 2017 to February 2021. Specimens were obtained by gastrectomy. All the specimens were formalin fixed, and paraffin embedded. For all specimens, clinical data were available including clinical history and sex and age of the patients. Four micron thick sections were cut from paraffin blocks of all cases and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) for histological re-evaluation. All cases were re-evaluated; graded and staged according to the classification of WHO 2019 and TNM staging system respectively [19].

For immunohistochemical study; unstained positively charged slides were prepared from each paraffin block for immunostaining with mouse monoclonal antibodies against: Slug and CD44 and rabbit monoclonal antibodies against Her-2/neu. Immunohistochemical reactions were carried out using Labeled Streptavidin-Biotin2 System-Horseradish Peroxidase (LSAB2 System-HRP) which is based on a modified labeled Avidin-Biotin (LAB) technique in which a biotinylated secondary antibody forms a complex with peroxidaseconjugated streptavidin molecules. The entire antibody complex is made visible by addition of an appropriate substrate chromogen reagent, which is converted by the peroxidase label to browncolored precipitate at the site of antigen localization

in tissue. The chromogen used is diaminobenzidine (DAB) produced by Dako (U.S.A). For positive control, normal gastric mucosa wasbenefited as internal control for Slug,lymphocytes were benefited as internal control for CD44, while sections of invasive ductal breast carcinoma positive for Her-2/neu were considered as positive control for Her-2.

Evaluation of Slug Expression: Positive expression was defined as detectable immunoreaction in the perinuclear and cytoplasmic regions of more than 10% of the tumor cells, whilst negative expression was defined as detectable immunoreaction in the perinuclear and cytoplasmic regions of less than 10% of the tumor [8].

Evaluation of CD44 Expression: CD44 expression was assessed using the widely accepted HSCORE system. Membranous +/- cytoplasmic CD44 staining was considered as positive. The evaluation of immunohistochemistry was performed in a blinded fashion by a single expert observer (KP). The proportion of neoplastic cells featuring a membranous and/or cytoplasmic staining throughout the tumor sections was assessed using a lowpower magnification (x40). The HSCORE was calculated using the following equation: HSCORE= Σ Pi (I), where I represents the staining intensity score (i.e. 0=no staining,1=weak staining, 2=moderate staining, and 3=strong staining) and Pi represents the percentage of stained cells (from 0 to 100%). The final HSCORE ranged from 0 to 300 and CD44 expression levels were classified as negative and positive using a cut-off value of [20].

Evaluation of Her-2/neu: Immunohistochemical staining was scored according to the criteria used in the To GA trial (Table 5). Then according to the 'magnification rule: Immunohistochemistry 3+ staining is defined as any membranous staining visible at low magnification (x5) Lateral- or U-shaped membranous staining is typically seen at cell-cell junctions. Immunohistochemistry 2+ membranous staining is visible at x10-20 magnification. Immunohistochemistry 1+staining is visible only with x40 magnification and should be considered immunohistochemistry-negative [21].

Results

This study involved 50 specimens of gastric carcinoma (Table 1). The age range of the studied patients was 29-87 years, and mean age was (56.08 ± 12.72) years. Twenty-four cases were antral, 10 cases pyloric, 9 cases at body, 6 cases at cardia, and only 1 case at fundus. Ninteen cases were

intestinal grade II adenocarcinoma Fig. (1), eleven cases were indeterminate/intestinal grade III adenocarcinoma, 15 were diffuse carcinomas, grade IV, signet ring Fig. (2) and non-signet ring types, and 5 cases were mixed type. Of our studied cases 21 out of 50 showed lymphovascular emboli (LVE) and only 16 out of 50 showed perineural invasion (PNI). Most of the cases were advanced GC at presentation with 34% of cases were pT4, 44% were pT3, 18% were pT2 and 4% were pT 1. Lymph node metastasis was found in 41 cases; 11 cases were N1, 18 were N2 and 12 were N3. All cases were studied for Slug, CD44 and of Her2/neu immunoexpression.

Table (1): Summary of clinical data, location, classification, grading, LVE status, PNI status, staging and LN status of studied cases.

	Total No.=50
Age: • Mean±SD	56.08±12.72
Range	29-87
Sex:	
• Female	20 (40.0%)
• Male	30 (60.0%)
Location:	
• Antrum	24 (48.0%)
• Body	9(18.0%)
• Pylorus	10(20.0%)
• Fundus	1 (2.0%)
I auron.	
• Intestinal	19 (38 0%)
Indeterminate	11 (22.0%)
• Diffuse	15 (30.0%)
• Mixed	5 (10.0%)
Grade:	
• II	19 (38.0%)
• III	16 (32.0%)
• IV	15 (30.0%)
L. VE:	
Negative	29 (58.0%)
• Positive	21 (42.0%)
PNI:	
Negative	36 (72.0%)
• Positive	14 (28.0%)
Stage:	
• T1	2 (4.0%)
• 12	9 (18.0%)
• 13	22 (44.0%)
• 14	17 (34.0%)
LN:	0(1000)
• INU • N1	9 (18.0%) 11 (22.0%)
• N2	11(22.0%) 18(36.0%)
• N3	12 (24.0%)
	× /

Immunohistochemical expression of Slug (Table 2):

Among the 50 cases of gastric carcinoma, 30 cases (60%) showed positive Slug expression Figs. (3,4) and 20 (40%) cases showed negative expression. Slug expression was associated significantly with tumor location being more in the antral and pyloric tumors (1 1 and 10 cases respectively out of the 30 positive cases) than other locations (*p*-value=0.011). As regard the pT stage, out of the 30 Slug positive cases 13 and 15 cases were pT3 and pT4 resepectively (p-value=0.003). Also Slug expression was significantly related to LN metastasis (p-value=0.000), as 18 and 10 cases of the 30 positive cases were N2 and N3 respectively. Slug expression showed highly significant relation with CD44 expression (pvalue=0.000) as 25 cases (83.3%) of the 30 positive Slug cases were CD44 positive. We did not remark statistically significant correlation of Slug expression with age (*p*-value=0.954), specific gender (*p*-value =1.000), histopathological type (p-value=0.246), tumor grade (p-value=0.344) (taking in consideration that the expression is more in the indeterminate/high grade intestinal grade III than lower grade intestinal, grade II), lymphovascular emboli (p-value=0.160), perineural invasion (PNI) (p-value=0.120) or Her2 expression (p-value=0.3 5 1).

Immunohistochemical expression of CD44 (Table 3):

Among the 50 cases of gastric carcinoma, 29 cases (58%) expressed positivity for CD44 Figs. (5,6). There was a significant correlation with tumor location being more in the antral and pyloric tumors (18 out of the 29 positive cases; 62%) than other locations (*p*-value=0.023). As regard the pT stage, out of the 29 CD44 positive cases 14 and 13 cases were pT3 and pT4 respectively (*p*-value=017). Also CD44 expression was significantly related to LN metastasis (p-value=0.000), as 15 and 10 cases of the 29 positive cases were N2 and N3 respectively. CD44 expression showed highly significant relation with Slug expression (p-value=0.000) as 25 cases (86.2%) of the 29 positive CD44 cases were Slug positive. We did not remark statistically significant correlation of Slug expression with age (p-value =0.819), specific gender (*p*-value=0.413), histopathological type (p-value=0.683), tumor grade (p-value =0.478) (taking in consideration that the expression is more in the indeterminate/high grade intestinal grade III than lower grade intestinal, grade II), lymphovascular emboli (p-value=0.291), perineural invasion (PNI) (p-value=0.574) or Her2 expression (pvalue=0.347).

Immunohistochemical expression of Her2/neu (Table 4):

We detected positive Her2/neu expression in 16 out of our 50 cases (32%); 6 cases showed score2 positive expression, while 10 cases showed score3 positive expression. There was a significant correlation between HER2/Neu expression and the tumor location (p=0.008); being more in the proximal tumors than other locations, as 10 out of the 16 positive cases were located in proximal sites (3 out of the 6 positivescore 2 cases were at the body, while 4,2 and 1 out of the 10 positive-score 3 cases were located at the cardia, body and fundus respectively). Her2/neu showed also significant relation with histopathological type (p-value 0.000) and grade (p-value 0.001), being more in the intestinal lower grade tumors, as 3 cases out of the 6 positive-score 2 cases were of intestinal, grade II type Fig. (7), and the other 3 out of 6 positivescore 2 were of mixed grade III tumors type, while

9 out of 10 positive-score 3 were of intestinal grade II type Fig. (8) and the last 1 out of the 10 positivescroe 3 was of indeterminate/intestinal, grade III type. Her 2/neu expression was also significantly correlated with advanced pT stages (p-value 0.024), as 4 out of the 6 positive-score 2 cases were pT4, while 4 and 3 out of the 10 positive-score3 were pT3 and pT4 respectively. We did not remark statistically significant correlation of Her 2/neu expression with age (p-value 0.119), specific gender (p-value 0.177), lymphovascular emboli (LVE) (p-value 0.350), perineural invasion (PNI) (p-value 0.804), LN metastasis (p-value 0.081), Slug expression (p-value 0.322) or CD44 expression (p-value 0.161).

T 11 (A) C	C 1' ' ' 1 1 ' 1		• •	, . .
Table (2): Summary	<i>l</i> of cliniconathological	association of Slug e	xpression in	gastric carcinoma
ruore (2). Summary	or ennicopathological	abboendion of brag e	Apression m	Subtrie curemonia.

	Negative Slug	Positive Slug	Test value		Sig.
	No.=20	No.=30	- Test value	<i>p</i> -value	
Age:					
• Mean±SD	55.95 ± 12.18	56.17±13.27	-0.058•	0.954	NS
• Range	35–79	29-87			
Sex:					
Female	8 (40.0%)	12 (40.0%)	0.000*	1.000	NS
• Male	12 (60.0%)	18 (60.0%)			
Location:					
• Antrum	13 (65.0%)	11 (36.7%)	13.137*	0.011	S
• Body	2 (10.0%)	7 (23.3%)			
Cardia	4 (20.0%)	2 (6.7%)			
Pylorus	0 (0.0%)	10 (33.3%)			
• Fundus	1 (5.0%)	0 (0.0%)			
Lauren:					
 Intestinal 	8 (40.0%)	11 (36.7%)	3.328*	0.344	NS
 Indeterminate 	2 (10.0%)	9 (30.0%)			
• Diffuse	8 (40.0%)	7 (23.3%)			
• Mixed	2 (10.0%)	3 (10.0%)			
Grade:					
• II	8 (40.0%)	11 (36.7%)	2.646*	0.266	NS
• III	4 (20.0%)	12 (40.0%)			
• IV	8 (40.0%)	7 (23.3%)			
L. VE:					
• Negative	14 (70.0%)	15 (50.0%)	1.970*	0.160	NS
• Positive	6 (30.0%)	15 (50.0%)	1,,,,,	01100	110
<i>Ρ</i> Λ <i>Ι</i> Ι·		· · ·			
Negative	18 (90.0%)	18 (60.0%)	5 357*	0.021	S
Positive	2 (10.0%)	12 (40.0%)	5.551	0.021	6
Stage					
• T1	2(10.0%)	0(0.0%)	14.006*	0.003	нс
• T2	7 (35 0%)	2(6.7%)	14.000	0.005	115
• T3	9 (45 0%)	13(43.3%)			
• T4	2 (10.0%)	15 (50.0%)			
IN·					
• N0	9 (45 0%)	0(0.0%)	36 237*	0.000	HS
• N1	9 (45.0%)	2 (6.7%)	50.257	0.000	115
• N2	> (10.070)	2 (0.770)			
• •	0(0.0%)	18 (60.0%)			

p-value >0.05: Non significant.

*: Chi-square test.

p-value <0.05: Significant. *p*-value <0.01: Highly significant.

•: Independent t-test.

	Negative CD44	Positive CD44	T ()	,	Sig.
	No.=21	No.=29	- Test value	<i>p</i> -value	
Age:					
• Mean±SD	56.57±11.44	55.72±13.76	0.230•	0.819	NS
• Range	38–79	29–87			
Sex:					
• Female	7 (33.3%)	13 (44.8%)	0.670*	0.413	NS
• Male	14 (66.7%)	16 (55.2%)			
Location:					
• Antrum	15 (71.4%)	9 (31.0%)	11.355*	0.023	S
• Body	2 (9.5%)	7 (24.1%)			
Cardia	2 (9.5%)	4 (13.8%)			
• Pylorus	1 (4.8%)	9 (31.0%)			
• Fundus	1 (4.8%)	0 (0.0%)			
Lauren:					
 Intestinal 	10 (47.6%)	9 (31.0%)	1.496*	0.683	NS
 Indeterminate 	4 (19.0%)	7 (24.1%)			
• Diffuse	5 (23.8%)	10 (34.5%)			
• Mixed	2 (9.5%)	3 (10.3%)			
Grade:					
• II	10 (47.6%)	9 (31.0%)	1.477*	0.478	NS
• III	6 (28.6%)	10 (34.5%)			
• IV	5 (23.8%)	10 (34.5%)			
L. VE:					
 Negative 	14 (66.7%)	15 (51.7%)	1.116*	0.291	NS
Positive	7 (33.3%)	14 (48.3%)			
PNI:					
 Negative 	16 (76.2%)	20 (69.0%)	0.315*	0.574	NS
Positive	5 (23.8%)	9 (31.0%)			
Stage:					
• T1	2 (9.5%)	0 (0.0%)	10.159*	0.017	S
• T2	7 (33.3%)	2 (6.9%)			
• T3	8 (38.1%)	14 (48.3%)			
• T4	4 (19.0%)	13 (44.8%)			
LN:					
• N0	9 (42.9%)	0 (0.0%)	22.446*	0.000	HS
• N1	7 (33.3%)	4 (13.8%)			
• N2	3 (14.3%)	15 (51.7%)			
• N3	2 (9.5%)	10 (34.5%)			

Table (3): Summary of clinicopathological association of CD-44 expression in gastric carcinoma cases.

p-value >0.05: Non significant.

*: Chi-square test.•: Independent *t*-test .

p-value <0.05: Significant. •: Independ

p-value <0.01: Highly significant.

	Her-2					
	0	2	3	Test value	<i>p</i> -value	Sig.
	No.=36	No.=6	No.=10			
Age: • Mean±SD • Range	58.62±12.63 35–87	50.33±12.96 38–65	50.9±11.23 29–65	0.225•	0.119	NS
Sex: • Female • Male	14 (41.2%) 20 (58.8%)	4 (66.7%) 2 (33.3%)	2 (20.0%) 8 (80.0%)	3.464*	0.177	NS
Location: • Antrum • Body • Cardia • Pylorus • Fundus	19 (55.9%) 4 (11.8%) 2 (5.9%) 9 (26.5%) 0 (0.0%)	2 (33.3%) 3 (50.0%) 0 (0.0%) 1 (16.7%) 0 (0.0%)	3 (30.0%) 2 (20.0%) 4 (40.0%) 0 (0.0%) 1 (10.0%)	20.613*	0.008	HS
Lauren: • Intestinal • Indeterminate • Diffuse • Mixed	7 (20.6%) 10 (29.4%) 15 (44.1%) 2 (5.9%)	3 (50.0%) 0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%) 3 (50.0%)	9 (90.0%) 1 (10.0%) 0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	31.115*	0.000	HS
Grade: • II • III • IV	7 (20.6%) 12 (35.3%) 15 (44.1 %)	3 (50.0%) 3 (50.0%) 0 (0.0%)	9 (90.0%) 1 (10.0%) 0 (0.0%)	19.350*	0.001	HS
L. VE: • Negative • Positive	20 (58.8%) 14 (41.2%)	2(33.3%) 4 (66.7%)	7(70.0%) 3 (30.0%)	2.099*	0.350	NS
PNI: • Negative • Positive	24 (70.6%) 10 (29.4%)	4 (66.7%) 2 (33.3%)	8 (80.0%) 2 (20.0%)	0.436*	0.804	NS
<i>Stage:</i> • T1 • T2 • T3 • T4	0 (0.0%) 6 (17.6%) 18 (52.9%) 10 (29.4%)	0 (0.0%) 2 (33.3%) 0 (0.0%) 4 (66.7%)	2 (20.0%) 1 (10.0%) 4 (40.0%) 3 (33.0%)	14.576*	0.024	S
LN: • N0 • N1 • N2 • N3	6 (17.6%) 6 (17.6%) 15 (44.1%) 7 (20.6%)	0 (0.0%) 2 (33.3%) 0 (0.0%) 4 (66.7%)	3 (30.0%) 3 (30.0%) 3 (30.3%) 1 (10.0%)	11.231*	0.081	NS

Table (4): Summary of clinicopathological association of CD-44 expression in gastric carcinoma cases.



Fig. (1): Low grade intestinal (tubular) adenocarcinoma (H&E): Showed irregular, anastomosing tubules (x 100).



Fig. (2): Diffuse gastric carcinoma, signet ring cell type (H&E): Showing isolated or small groups of malignant cells containing intracytoplasmic mucin with eccentric nuclei (x400).



Fig. (3): Low grade intestinal adenocarcinoma, grade II (tubular) (IHC/Slug): Showed brown cytoplasmic staining of >10% of tumor cells (positive expression) (x200).



Fig. (5): Low grade intestinal adenocarcinoma, grade II (tubular) (IHC/CD44): Brown membranous and cytoplasmic staining of tumor cells (positive expression according to HSCORE) (X400).



Fig. (7): Low grade intestinal adenocarcinoma, grade II (tubular) (IHC/Her2): Brown membranous staining of tumor cells (positive, score 2) (X400).



Fig. (4): Mixed gastric carcinoma, intestinal component (right) and diffuse component (left) (IHC/Slug): Showed brown cytoplasmic staining of >10% of tumor cells (positive expression) (x400).



Fig. (6): Diffuse carcinoma, signet ring cell type (IHC/CD44): brown membranous and cytoplasmic staining of tumor cells (positive expression according to HSCORE) (x400).



Fig. (8): Low grade intestinal adenocarcinoma, grade II (tubular) (IHC/Her2): Brown membranous staining of tumor cells (positive, score 3) (X400).

Discussion

In the current study, we revealed that the age of patients ranged from 29 to 87 years with mean of mean age of 56.08 ± 12.72 . These findings are going with those of Arun et al., [22], who reported that the age of patients ranged from 32 to 70 years with mean of 52.00. Whilst American cancer society stated that the average age of people with gastric cancer when they are diagnosed is 68. About 6 of every 10 people diagnosed with stomach cancer each year are 65 or older [23].

Our results showed male predominance in carcinoma cases with male to female ratio of 3:2, which is comparable with those of Almasi, et al., [24] who resulted the ratio of 2.3; 1 and attributed this male predominance to differences in lifestyle, including drinking and smoking habits in men, which have been linked to the early development of GC. Similarly the American cancer society [23] reported that the lifetime risk of developing stomach cancer is higher in men (about 1 in 96) than in women (about 1 in 152).

As a member of the Snail superfamily of EMTactivating transcription factors (EMT-ATFs), Slug has been proved to be associated with tumor recurrence and treatment resistance both in vivo and in vitro. As a result of its important biological characteristics in cancer cells, studies about its prognostic role have been conducted in several types of tumors with controversial results [25].

In the current study, we detected positive Slug expression in 30 out of 50 studied cases (60%) and negative Slug expression 20 out of 50 studied cases (40%). That is near to the percentages in the studydone by Han et al., [26] whoreported high and moderate Slug expression in more than half of cases (51%) and low Slug expression in the remaining of the their studied cases (49%). However, Ushikado et al., [8] reported positive Slug expression in 49 out of 164 of all studied cases (29%) and negative Slug expression in remaining cases (71%). The difference observed in these results may be attributed to the different scoring systems or the number of studied cases as they conducted their study on 459 and 164 cases of GCs resepectively.

According to the obtained data, our results did not suggest the existence of a relation between the Slug expression on one hand and the age and sex of the patients on the other hand. As do most of the other studies like that of Han et al., [26]. The study of Ushikado et al., [8] stated also that no significant association between age and Slug expression, but resulted significant association between male gender and negative Slug expression (*p*-value: 0.016).

Comparison of Slug immunostaining with the tumor location, showed that most of the Slugpositive tumor cases are located at the antrum (1 1 out of 30 cases) and the pylorus (10 out of 30 cases) with statistically significant relation between the location of tumors and positive Slug expression (p-value 0.011). It is worthy noting that the study of Han et al., [26] who mentioned this parameter in their results stated that no statistically significant relation between location of the tumor and Slug expression (p-value 0.599).

From the point of view "tumor classification/ grade of differentiation": In the present study, as regard the whole cases collectively, insignificant relation between Slug expression and degree of tumor differentiation (*p*-value 0.344) was noticed. These results were consistent with those reported by Han et al., [26] in which also insignificant relation was found between degree of tumor differentiation (regarding the whole cases collectively) and Slug expression. In our study, as regard intestinal type adenocarcinomas separately, the relation was statistically significant, as the Slug expression increase in well differentiated, grade II and poorly differentiated, grade III adenocarcinomas, but the Slug expression decreases in the grade IV tumors (diffuse/poorly cohesive; signet ring and non-signet ring carcinomas), and these results are also going with their study Han et al., [26] as regarding the adenocarcinomas, as they stated statistically significant relation between Slug expression and grade of differentiation of adenocarcinomas, but also with decrease Slug expression in grade IV tumors as in our study. On the other hand, the study conducted by Ushikado et al., [8] stated that the relation between Slug expression and tumor classification/ grade of tumor is statistically insignificant in all tumor types/grades.

In the present study, insignificant relation between Slug expression and tumor lymphovascular invasion (p-value=0.160) was noticed. These results are going with the results of Han et al., [26] who rushed also an insignificant relation (p-value 0.055). While the study of Ushikado et al., [8] resulted significant relation (p-value 0.0017). These variations may be attributed to the different numbers of cases and serial sections between the studies.

Significant relation between Slug expression and tumor perineural invasion (*p*-value=0.021) was noticed in our study. These results are near to the results of Han et al., [26] who rushed also an significant relation (p-value<0.001).

Evaluation of Slug expression in relation to the infiltration depth of the primary tumor showed highly significant results (*p*-value=0.003), where positive Slug expression was observed in 15 out of 30 and 13 out of 30 positive Slug cases of locally advanced stages (pT3&pT4) and in 2 out of 30 positive Slug cases of early stage (pT2). Our findings agreed with those reported by Han et al., [26] who stated the presence of highly significant relation between Slug expression and pT-stage (*p*-value <0.0001). And also near to Ushikado et al., [8] who stated near results (*p*-value=0.49). This discordance can be explained by different scoring systems used in different studies or different sample sizes leading to conflicting results.

The relation between Slug expression and LN metastasis was statistically highly significant in our study (*p*-value 0.000). Our data were consistent with the study done by Ushikado et al., [8] (*p*-value 0.0083). And non-contradicting with the study of Han et al., [26] who found also a significant relation between Slug expression and LN metastasis.

Highly significant relationship between Slug and CD-44 expression (*p*-value=0.000) was observed in our study. This is going with the study of Gui-Fang et al., [27] who resulted strong association between EMT markers expression and CD-44 (CSCs marker) expression.

Non-significant relationship between Slug and Her-2 neu expression (*p*-value=0.3 5 1) was observed in our study. Unlike the results conducted on the breast cancers which suggested that Her-2 can lead to enhanced stemness through induction of EMT, with strong association between the three types of markers, like that study conducted by Parul and Sanjay [28]. This discordance can be explained by different tissues (stomach and breast), different scoring systems used in different studies, different numbers of cases or different sample sizes, leading to conflicting results.

The current study detected a high frequency of CD44 expression among the different gastric carcinoma types (58% of tumors). This finding was near to the results of Hanaa et al., [29] who reported positive expression of CD44 in 55% of cases, Near to the result of Dhingra et al., [30] who found that CD44 expression was 51% in the tumor. Also these findings are in concordance with those of Yuan et al., [31] who reported the frequency of CD44 positive cells in tumor samples was 60%. Unlike result obtained by Cao L et al., [32] who detected the expression of CD44 in 46.3% of gastric carcinoma specimens, and found that the percentage of CD44 positive cells per specimen was less than 15% in 97.5% patients.

We evaluated our results of CD44 protein expression and clinico- pathological characteristics of gastric Cancer such as age, sex, location of tumor, histologic type, grade and pathological TNM stage. The findings may help to select patients at high risk For tumor development who might benefit from surveillance follow-up for gastric cancer.

We did not remark statistically significant correlation of CD44 expression with age or specific gender (*p*-value= 0.819&0.413 respectively) matching with results reported by Cao L et al., [32] where (*p*-value>0.05). This contrast to Ryu et al., [33] who mentioned significant correlation with age >60 years. That may have had an influence on the association of CD44 expression with old age [34].

According to the obtained data, our results suggest existence of a relation between the CD44 expression and the tumor's location as most of the CD-44-positive tumor cases are located at the antrum and pylorus (18 out of 29 cases/62%) with (*p*-value 0.023). Senel et al., [35] have mentioned similar results with incidence of positive CD44 expression was 66.7% in distal locations. And also concordant to the result obtained by Tongtawee et al., [36] who found that location of tumor (distal) was significantly related to CD44 protein expression (*p*-value=0.018).

CD44 evaluation depending on the histological type has shown no significant statistical results. This finding matching with results mentioned by Senel et al., [35] and Hanaa et al., [29]. In contrast to the result obtained by Ryu et al., [33] and Li et al., [37] who reported that CD44 positivity was higher in intestinal type than in diffuse type gastric cancer. On the other hand, Min et al., [38] reported that CD44 expression correlated with diffuse type adencarcinoma.

CD44 positivity and tumor grade showed no significant statistical correlation (p=0.478), this was in agreement with the review of Senel et al., [35] And in contrasting with results obtained by Hanaa et al., [29], Cao L et al., [32] and Wang et al., [39] who recorded CD44 positivity with higher rate of expression in high grade tumors. However, Yamaguchi et al., [39] found that the expression of the CD44 protein was significantly higher in dif-

ferentiated adenocarcinoma than in poorly differentiated one. This variation may contribute to the use of various antibodies having subtle differences in specificity and thus increasing the possibility of cross-reactivity between the antibodies. Another reason for such discrepancies is probably the comparison of results having different techniques Zavrides et al., [41].

In our study CD44 expression was correlated with increase depth of invasion of tumor with (*p*value=0.017), where the CD44 expression was detected in T4 and T3 than in T2. This matching with result obtained by Hanaa et al., [29] and Chen et al., [42] all reported that the CD44 expression was positively correlated with advanced stage and in contrast to Cao L et al., [32] and Li et al., [37] studies which proved that there is no significant difference in CD44 expression level in relation to stage of tumor.

In the present study, insignificant relation between CD-44 expression and tumor lymphovascular invasion (*p*-value=0.291) was noticed. These results are going with the results of Kengo et al., [43] who resulted also an insignificant relation (*p*-value= 0.44).

Also noticed in our study an insignificant relation between CD-44 expression and tumor perineural invasion (p-value=0.574).

Another highly significant correlation was observed between CD44 expression and nodal metastasis. The CD44 immunohistochemical expression was noted more frequently in prescence of lymph node metastasis with the more positivity observed in N3 more than N2&N1 with (p-value=0.000) The significant correlation between the CD44 expression and prescence of LN metastasis is also signaled by Chen et al., [42] Hanaa et al., [29] and Ryu et al., [33]. This contrasts with results obtained by Kengo et al., [43] and Lu et al., [44].

As mentioned above highly significant relationship between Slug and CD-44 expression (p-value =0.000) was observed in our study. This is going with the study of Gui-fang et al., [27] who resulted strong association between EMT markers expression and CD-44 (CSCs marker) expression. And non-significant relationship between Slug and Her-2 neu expression (p-value=0.3 5 1) was observed in our study. Unlike the results conducted on the breast cancers which suggested that Her-2 can lead to enhanced stemness (CD-44 expression) through induction of EMT, with a storng association between the three types of markers, like that study conducted by Parul and Sanjay [28]. This discordance can be explained by different tissues (stomach and breast), different scoring systems used in different studies, different numbers of cases or different sample sizes, leading to conflicting results.

In our study, Her-2 positivity was observed in 16 out of our 50 cases (32%) (6 cases as score 2 and 10 cases as score 3). This is going with the range of the results obtained by most of the similar studies as that of Gravalos & Jimeno, [45] who mentioned that some series reported a 9%-38% of Her-2 positive tumors, and actually his results was falling in this range.

We did not remark statistically significant correlation of Her-2 positivity with age or specific gender (p=0.119) matching with results reported by Shan, et al., [46]. This contrast to Indu, et al., [47] who mentioned significant correlation with male gender but this was explained as this may be attributed to greater number of male patients in his study as gastric adenocarcinomas are more common in males.

Her-2 positivity was higher in GEJ carcinoma than in disital GC (62.5% vs. 37.5%) (p>0.008) similar to results obtained by andGravalos & Jimeno [45] and, Leni, et al., [48] who reported that (25%) Her-2 positivity in GEJ carcinoma Vs. (9.5%) in disital GC. On the other hand, Nicola, et al., [49] found no statistically significant correlation among Her-2 overexpression and tumor site. He have mentioned that the high prevalence of antral cancers in his cohort has limited the statistical evaluation of differences between tumors arising in the GEJ and disital counterpart.

Statistically significant differences in immunohistochemically detected Her-2 overexpression were noted between the tumor subgroups. Significantly greater proportion (75%) of intestinal-type tumors showed positive expression, 3 cases also of indeterminate/intestinal type grade III and only one case of diffuse type. These findings correlate well with Indu, et al., [47] who recorded a total absence of Her-2 expression in diffuse type gastric cancer. He attributed this to the smaller number of diffuse type of gastric cancers in his study. Also, geographic variation as a reason for negative Her-2 expression in diffuse type was accepted explanation to Indu, et al., [47].

Her-2 positivity and tumor grade showed significant statistical correlation (p=0.001), in concordance with results obtained by Tafe et al., [50] and Shan, et al., [46] who recorded Her-2 expression with more frequency in well and moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma. This contrasting with results obtained by Leni, et al., [48] who recorded Her-2 positivity with higher rate of expression in high grade tumors with high Ki-67 labeling index; thus it represents an additional morphological parameter reflecting aggressiveness of GC Leni, et al., [48].

We found that the relation between the tumor LVE and PNI in one hand and Her-2/neu expression on the other hand was proved to be statistically insignificant (p-values 0.863 and 0.746 respective-ly). This is concordant to the results obtained by the study conducted by Tev fiket., al, [51].

Statistically significant correlation was found between Her-2 positivity and depth of tumor invasion in our study, (*p*-value=0.024), as most of the Her-2/neu-positive cases (11 out of 16) are either T3 or T4, matching with the earlier study as stated by Chao, et al., [52] who reported lower rate of Her-2 positivity in early gastric cancer (10.4%) and in contrast to the study conducted by Moelans, et al., [53] who reported adverse results and attributed this to the well differentiated nature of the Her-2 positive tumors with lower propensity to discohesion and invasion.

There was a non-significant relationship between LN metastasis and Her-2/neu expression (p value=0.81). In contrast to studies done by Ling, et al., [54] and Antonio, et al., [54] who reported a significant relationship and high level of concordance in Her-2 status between primary GC and corresponding lymph node metastases.

Again, as mentioned above a non-significant relationship between Slug and Her-2/neu expression (p-value=0.3 5 1) was observed in our study. Unlike the results conducted on the breast cancers which suggested that Her-2 can lead to enhanced stemness through induction of EMT, with a strong association between the three types of markers, like that study conducted by Parul and Sanjay [28]. This discordance can be explained by different tissues (stomach and breast), different scoring systems used in different studies, different numbers of cases or different sample sizes, leading to conflicting results.

Conclusion:

This study revealed that Slug, CD44 and Her-2/neu expressions were directly related to stage of tumor and may be associated with tumor progression in gastric cancer pathogenesis. Therefore, they could be of valuable significance in predicting aggressive invasive gastric carcinomasand in determining the prognosis in such cases.

References

- 1- BRAY F., FERLAY J., SOERJOMATARAM I., SIEGEL R.L., TORRE L.A. and JEMAL A.: Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J. Clin. Nov., 68 (6): 394-424, 2018.
- 2- ANWAR M.M., YOUSSEF A.I., SHETA M.I., ZAKI A., BERNABA N.R. and EL-TOUKHI M.A.: "Evaluation of specific biochemical indicators of Helicobacter pyloriassociated gastric cancer in Egypt". East. Mediterr. Health. J., 18 (5): 501-7, 2012.
- 3- ZILIANG J., WEIHUA J. and LWEI W.: Biomarkers for gastric cancer: Progression in early diagnosis and prognosis (Review) Oncol. Lett, 9 (4): 1502-1508, 2015.
- 4- SHEPARD B., YODER L. and HOLMES C.:Prophylactic Total Gastrectomy for Hereditary Diffuse Gastric Cancer. ACG Case Reports Journal, 3 (4), 2016.
- 5- YAO L., ZHANG D., ZHAO X., et al.: Dickkopf-1promoted vasculogenic mimicry in nonsmall cell lung cancer is associated with EMT and development of a cancer stem-like cell phenotype. J. Cell. Mo.I Med., 20: 1673-85, 2016.
- 6- LAMOUILLE S., XU J. and DERYNCK R.: "Molecular mechanisms of epithelial mesenchymal transition". Nat Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol., 15 (3): 178-96, 2014.
- 7- ZHANG J., WEN X., REN X.Y., et al.: YPEL3 suppresses epithelial-mesenchymal transition and metastasis of nasopharyngeal carcinoma cells through the Wnt/betacatenin signaling pathway. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer. Res., 35: 109, 2016.
- 8- UCHIKADO Y., OKUMURA H., ISHIGAMI S., et al. "Increased Slug and decreased Ecadherin expression is related to poor prognosis in patients with gastric cancer". Gastric Cancer, 14 (1): 41-9, 2011.
- 9- CHANG Y.W., SU YJ., HSIAO M., et al.: "Diverse targets of ^p-catenin during the epithelial-mesenchymal transition define cancer stem cells and predict disease relapse". Cancer Res., 75 (16): 3398-3410, (2015.
- 10- JANG B.I., LI Y., GRAHAM D.Y. and CEN P.: "The Role of CD44 in the Pathogenesis, Diagnosis, and Therapy of Gastric Cancer". Gut. Liver, 5 (4): 397-405, 2011.
- 11- RYU H.S., PARK D.J., KIM H.H., KIM W.H. and LEE H.S.: "Combination of epithelial-mesenchymal transition and cancer stem cell-like phenotypes has independent prognostic value in gastric cancer". Hum. Pathol., 43 (4): 520-528, 2012.
- 12- RADISKY D.C. and LABARGE M.A.: "Epithelialmesenchymal transition and the stem cell phenotype". Cell. Stem. Cell., 2 (6): 511-512, 2008.
- 13- SCHEEL C. and WEINBERG R.A.: "Phenotypic plasticity and epithelial mesenchymal transitions in cancer and normal stem cells?".Int. J. Cancer., 129 (10): 2310-2314, 2011.
- 14- PU XIA and XIAO-YAN X.U.: Epithelial-mesenchymal transition and gastric cancer stem cell First Published May, 1, 2017, 2017.
- 15- PENG Z., ZOU J., ZHANG X., YANG Y. GAOJ, LI Y., et al.: HER2 discordance betweenpaired primary gastric

cancer and metastasis: A meta-analysis. Chinese Journal of Cancer Research, 27 (2): 163-171, 2015.

- 16- XIAOJUANLUO, YUCHEN HE, HAOSHENG TANG, YIQU CAO, MENGHUIGAO, BAOAN LIU, and ZHONGLIANG HU.: Effects of HER2 on the invasion and migration of gastric cancer Am. J. Transl. Res., 11 (12): 7604-7613, 2019.
- 17-MERIC-BERNSTAM F., JOHNSON A.M., DUMBRAVA E.E.I., RAGHAV K., BALAJI K., BHATT M., MURTHY R.K., RODON J. and PIHA-PAUL S.A.: Advances in HER2-targeted therapy: Novel agents and opportunities beyond breast and gastric cancer. Clin. Cancer Res., 25: 2033-2041, 2019.
- 18- JULIANA E.J. and SÉRGIO O.I.: Immunohistochemical assessment of HER2 expression in gastric cancer in acohort of 118 Brazilian patients. J. Bras. Patol. Med. Lab., 49: (5): 361-367, 2013.
- 19- IRIS D NAGTEGAAL, ROBERT D ODZE, DAVID KLIMSTRA, VALERIE PARADIS et al.: The 2019 WHO classification of tumours of the digestive system. Histopathology. Jan., 76 (2): 182-188, 2020.
- 20- DIMITRIOSSCHIZAS, DEMETRIOSMORIS, PRODRO-MOSKANAVIDIS, ADAMANTIOSMICHALINOS, ATHANASIOSSIOULAS, KITTY PAVLAKIS, ANAS-TASIOSMACHAIRAS and THEODOROSLIAKAKOS.: The Prognostic Value of CD44 Expression in Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition: Preliminary Data from Patients with Gastric and Esophageal Cancer. In. Vivo. November, 30 (6): 939-944, 2016.
- 21- JOSEF R., WEDAD H., MICHAEL B., MANFRED H., ROBERT Y.O., FRÉDÉRIQUE P. et al.: HER2 testingin gastriccancer: Apractical approach. Modern Pathology, 25: 637-650, 2012.
- 22- ARUN K.B., SANJEET K., HIRIYUR S., BIRKUMAR M. and SUDHIRCHANDRA S.: Gastric cancer-a clinicopathological study in a tertiary care centre of North eastern India, 5 (2): 142-147, 2014.
- 23- American cancer society,: Key Statistics About Stomach Cancer. Cancerorg, 1.800.227.2345, 2021.
- 24- ALMASI Z., HOSEIN R. and HAMID S.: Epidemiology Characteristics and Trends of Incidence and Morphology of Stomach Cancer in Iran Asian Pac J. Cancer Prev., 16 (7): 2757-2761, 2015.
- 25- HUANG C., ZHANG P., ZHANG D. and WENG X.: The prognostic implication of slug in all tumor patients - a systematic meta-analysis. Eur. J. Clin. Invest., 46 (5): 398-407, 2016.
- 26- HAN HEE LEE1, SUNG HAK LEE2*, KYO YOUNG SONG3*, SAE JUNG NA4, JOO HYUN O5, JAE MY-UNG PARK1, EUN SUN JUNG2, MYUNG-GYU CHOI1 and CHO HYUN PARK6: Evaluation of Slug expression is useful for predicting lymph node metastasis and survival in patients with gastric cancer. BMC Cancer, 17: 670, 2017.
- 27- GUI-FANG X.U., WEI-JIE ZHANG, QI SUN, XINY-UNXU, XIAOPING ZOU and WENXIAN GUAN: Combined epithelial-mesenchymal transition with cancer stem cell-like marker as predictors of recurrence after radical resection for gastric cancer. Xu et al., World Journal of Surgical Oncology, 12: 368, 2014.

- 28- PARUL GUPTA, SANJAY K. and SRIVASTAVA.: HER2 mediated de novo production of TGFb leads to SNAIL driven epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and metastasis of breast cancer. M O L E C U L A R ON C O L O G Y, 8: 1532 e1 5 4 7, 2014.
- 29- HANAA M.I., ABEER M.A., SALEM Y.M., AMIRA E., MOHAMED A. and AMR I.: Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature, 2018.
- 30- DHINGRA S., FENG W., BROWN R.E., ZHOU Z., KHOURY T., ZHANG R. and TAN D.: Clinicopathologic significance of putative stem cell markers, CD44 and nestin, in gastricadenocarcinoma. International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Pathology, 4 (8): 733, 2011.
- 31- YUAN-YUAN X.U., MING GUO, LIU-QING YANG, FAN ZHOU, CAO YU, AIXIU WANG, TAO-HONG PANG, HONG-YAN WU, XIAO-PING ZOU, WEI-JIE ZHANG, LEI WANG, GUI-FANG X.U. and QIN HUANG .: Regulation of CD44v6 expression in gastric carcinoma by the IL-6/STAT3 signaling pathway and its clinical significance. Oncotarget, 8: 45848-45861, 2017.
- 32- CAO L., HU X., ZHANG J., LIANG P. and ZHANG Y.: CD44+ CD324_ expression and prognosis in gastric cancer patients. Journal of surgical oncology, 110 (6): 727-733, 2014.
- 33- RYU M.S., PARK H.J., MOON C.M., KIM S.E., JUNG H.K., SHIM K.N. and CHO M.S.: Expression of CD44 according to Clinicopathologic Characteristics of Gastric Cancer. The Ewha Medical Journal, 41 (3): 63-74, 2018.
- 34- MARYAM M MATIN, ALI HOSSEINZADEH, HAMID CHESHOMI, and ASEELKAMIL MOHAMMED AL-MOSAWI: Prognostic and Clinical Value of CD44 and CD133 in Esophageal Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Iranian Journal of Allergy, Asthma, and Immunology, 19 (2), 2020.
- 35- SENEL F., UNAL T.D.K., KARAMAN H., INANÇ M., and AYTEKIN A.: Prognostic value of cancer stem cell markers CD44 and ALDH1/2 in gastric cancer cases. Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention: APJCP., 18 (9) 2527, 2017.
- 36- TONGTAWEE T., WATTANAWONGDON W., SIMA-WARANON T., KAEWPITOON S., KAENGPENKAE S., JINTABANDITWONG N. and LEEANANSAKSIRI W.: Expression of cancer stem cell marker CD44 and its polymorphisms in patients with chronic gastritis, precancerous gastric lesion, and gastric cancer: a cross- sectional multicenter study in Thailand. Bio. Med. Research International, 2017.
- 37- LI Y., WU Y., ABBATIELLO T.C., WU W.L., KIM J.R., SARKISSYAN M. and VADGAMA J.V.: Slug contributes to cancer progression by direct regulation of ER a signaling pathway. International Journal of Oncology, 46 (4): 1461-1472, 2016.
- 38- MIN SUN RYU1, HEE JUNG PARK, CHANG MO MOON1, SEONG-EUN KIM, HYE-KYUNG JUNG, KI-NAM SHIM, SUNG-AE JUNG and MIN SUN CHO: Expression of CD44 according to Clinicopathologic Characteristics of Gastric Cancer. Ewha Med. J., 41 (3): 63-74, 2018.
- 39- WANG Y. and ZHOU B.P.: Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition in Breast Cancer Progression and Metastasis. Chin J. Cancer,; 30 (9): 603-611, 2011.

- 40- YAMAGUCHI A., GOI T., YU J., HIRONO Y., ISHIDA M., IIDA A. and HIROSE K.: Expression of CD44v6 in advanced gastric cancer and its relationship to hematogenous metastasis and long-term prognosis. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 79 (4): 230-235, 2002.
- 41- ZAVRIDES H.N., ZIZI-SERMPETZOGLOU A., PAN-OUSOPOULOS D., ATHANASAS G., ELEMENOGLOU I. and PEROS G.: Prognostic evaluation of CD44 expression in correlation with bcl-2 and p53 in colorectal cancer. Folia Histochemica et cytobiologica, 43 (1): 31-36, 2005.
- 42- CHEN C., ZIMMERMANN M., TINHOFER I., KAUFMANN A. and ALBERS A.: Epithelial-to mesenchymal transition and cancer stem (-like) cells in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Lett, 338 (1): 47-56, 2014.
- 43- KENGO KANETAKA1), MITSUHISA TAKATSUKI1), TAMOTSU KUROKI1), TOMAYOSHI HAYASHI2), and JUNYA FUKUOKA2): Susumu Eguchi1) Positivity for cancer stem cell markers, CD44 and CD133, is a useful biomarker for predicting the outcomes of patients with advanced gastric cancer. Acta Med. Nagasaki, 59: 83-89, 2015.
- 44- LU L.I., WU M., SUN L., LI W., FU W., ZHANG X., and Liu T.: Clinicopathological and prognostic significance of cancer stem cell markers CD44 and CD 133 in patients with gastric cancer: A comprehensive meta-analysis with 4729 patients involved. Medicine, 95 (42), 2016.
- 45- GRAVALOSC. and JIMENO A. : HER2 in gastriccancer: A new prognostic factor and a novel therapeutic target, Annals of Oncology, 19 (9): 1523-1529, 2008.
- 46- SHAN L., JIANMING Y. and NING L.: HER2 expression and relevant clinicopathological features in gastric and gastresophageal junction adenocarcinomaina Chinese population. Diagnostic Pathology, 9 (8): 76, 2013.
- 47- INDU R.P., IVEDITHA S.R., SAHADEV R., REETHAN K.P., SOWMYA G. and RAJEND R.A: HER 2 Expression in Gastric and Gastro-esophageal Junction (GEJ) Adenocarcinomas. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research, 9 (3): 6-10, 2015.
- 48- LENI A., BARRESI V., GIUFFRÈ G., CARUSO R.A., LANZAFAME S., VILLARIL, et al.: HER2 status in

advanced gastric carcinoma: A retrospective multicentric analysis from Sicily. Oncology Letteres, 6: 1591-1594, 2013.

- 49- NICOLA F., ELENA G.R., CLAUDIA D.C., CATERINA P., GAETANO B., FRANCA D., SOLANGE R. and SILVANO B.: HER2 in gastric cancer: A digital image analysis in pre-neoplastic, primary and metastatic lesions Modern Pathology, 26: 816-824, 2013.
- 50- TAFE L.J., JANJIGIAN Y.Y., ZAIDINSKI M., HEDVAT C.V., HAMEED M.R., TANG L.H. et al.: Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 testing in gastresophageal cancer: Correlation between immunohistochemistry and fluorescence in situ hybridization. Archives of pathology & laboratory medicine, 135 (11): 1460-65, 2011.
- 51- TEVFIK KıVıLCıM UPRAK, WAFI ATTAALLAH,1 ÇIGDEM ATAIZI ÇELIKEL, GÜLÇIÇEK AYRANCı and CUMHUR YEGEN.: HER-2 incidence in gastric cancer, its association with prognosis and clinicopathological parameters. Ulus Cerrahi Derg., 31 (4): 207-213, 2015.
- 52- CHAO H.E., XUE-YI BIAN, XING-ZHI N.I., SHEN D.P., SHEN Y.Y. and LIU H.: Correlation of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 expression with clinicopathological characteristics and prognosis in gastric cancer World J. Gastroenterol., 19 (14): 2171-78, 2013.
- 53- MOELANS C.B., MILNE A.N., MORSINK F.H., OF-FERHAUS G.J. and VAN DIEST P.J.: Low frequency of HER2 amplification and over expression in early onset gastric cancer. Cell. Oncol., 34 (2): 89-95, 2011.
- 54- LING CHEN, JING LIN, LI-ZHU CHEN, YU CHEN, XIAO-JIE WANG, ZENG-QING GUO and JIA-MI YU .: Perineural Invasion and Postoperative Complications are Independent Predictors of Early Recurrence and Survival Following Curative Resection of Gastric Cancer. 21 August 2020 Volume: 12 Pages, 7601-7610, 2020.
- 55- ANTONIO PELLINO, ERIKA RIELLO, FLORIANA NAPPO, STEFANO BRIGNOLA, SABINA MURGIONI et al.: Targeted therapies in metastatic gastric cancer: Current knowledge and future perspectives. World J. Gastroenterol. 2019 Oct., 14;25 (38): 5773-5788, 2019.



خلفية البحث: أهم العوامل النذيرة الخاصة بسرطان المعدة هى درجة اجتياحه لجدار المعدة ودرجة تمايز الخلايا السرطانية، وعلى الرغم من ذلك فإنه لا يوجد عامل مؤثوق به يمكنه التكهن أى الحالات عرضة لتفاقم او عودة الورم. وذلك فالدلالات الجزيئية مطلوبة للتقييم الفردى او الشخصى لتوقعات سير المرض ولتحديد العلاج الفعال.

الهدف من البحث: الهدف من هذه الدراسة هو تقييم التعبير المناعى لكل من (سلج)، (سى دى ٤٤) و (هير٢/نيو) فى سرطان المعدة وتحديد العلاقة بينهم وبين الدلالات الباثولوجية الأكلينيكية والعلاقة بين بعضهم البعض، مما يساهم فى تقييم توقعات سير المرض.

المواد وطرق البحث: تم تقييم التعبير المناعى لكل من (سلج)، (سى دى ٤٤) و (هير٢/نيو) فى خمسين حالة من حالات سرطان المعدة، تم تجميعهم من ملفات معامل الباثولوجيا الجراحية بمستشفيات جامعة الآزهر فى الفترة من نوفمبر٧٧٧٧٧٧ ٢٠ إلى فبراير٢٠٢، هذه العينات تم الحصول عليها عن طريق الاستئصال الجذرى للمعدة.

نتائج البحث: كان هناك دلالة إحصائية مهمة بين التعبير المناعى لكل من (سلج) و (سى دى ٤٤) فى سرطان المعدة و مواقع الاورام البعيدة ومرحلة اختراق الورم ومرحلة انتشار الاورام للغد الليمفاوية، بينما كانت هناك دلالة إحصائية ممهمة بين تعبير (هير٢/نيو) و مواقع لاورام القريبة والنوع مع رجة تباين الاورام ومرحلة اختراق الاورام ايضا.

الاستنتاج: هناك علاقة طردية بين التعبير المناعى لكل من (سلج) و (سى دى ٤٤) و (هير٢/نيو) وبين مرحلة سرطان المعدة. وبالتالى يمكن استخدامهم في توقع السلوك العدواني الانتشارى للاورام وبالتالي تحديد الخيارات العلاجية المناسبة.