## **Comparing Intraoperative Flow Measurement in Both off-Pump Coronary Artery Bypass and on-Pump Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Patients**

MOHAMMED SHAFIK, M.D.\*; SALEH R. HUSSEIN, M.D.\*; MOHAMMED EL-SAYED, M.D.\*; AL-SAYED M. SALEM, M.D.\*\* and KAREEM ZAYED, M.Sc.\*\*

The Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, National Heart Institute\*\* and Al-Azhar University\*, Al-Hussein Hospital, Cairo, Egypt

### Abstract

*Background:* Patent bypass grafts are fundamental to successful coronary artery bypass grafting. We studied the use of transit-time flow measurement to determine its ability to detect technical errors in grafts, to measure the mean flow norms, and to compare flow in both on-pump and off-pump CABG procedures.

*Aim of Study:* To compare conduit flow in a standardized type of CABG and OPCAB using the Left Internal Mammary Artery (LIMA) and vein grafts.

*Patients and Methods:* This study was conducted on 60 patients requiring coronary artery bypass surgery classified into 2 equal groups:

- *Group A:* (Conventional CABG), who were approached through on pump CABG.
- Group B: (OPCAB), whom approached without cardiopulmonary bypass machine.

*Results:* There was no statistical difference between the two groups in baseline pre-operative characteristics regarding their age, sex, NYHA class and EF%.

In Group (A), the MGF was  $(39.51\pm5.26)$  and PI values were  $(2.33\pm0.64)$ . While in Group (B), the MGF was  $(32.71\pm6.47)$  and PI measurements were  $(2.71\pm1.22)$ . The MGF for the occluded grafts in Group A was  $(14.33\pm3.21)$  ml min and  $(12.75\pm2.87)$  in Group B (*p*=.522). The corresponding PI values were  $(8.03\pm1.0)$  for Group A and  $(8.85\pm1.67)$  for Group B (*p*=0.489).

*Conclusions:* TTFM technique is a highly valuable equipment. Mean flow is lower in the OPCAB group with higher pulsatility index than the conventional CABG group, which raises suspicion about the long term patency of OPCAB grafts.

*Key Words:* Coronary artery bypass grafting – Transit-time flow measurement – Graft patency.

## Introduction

**CORONARY** Artery Bypass Grafting (CABG) has contributed to treatment of patients with ischemic heart disease to increase their survival and reduce ischemic complications [1]. Early graft occlusion after conventional CABG or OPCAB may have deleterious consequences as it is associated with a high risk of post-operative myocardial infarction, postoperative hemodynamic instability, and even sudden death [2].

Thus, anastomotic quality of CABG is directly associated with both perioperative and long-term clinical results [3].

It has recently been demonstrated that off-pump surgery is associated with a lower graft patency at short term follow-up when compared with onpump CABG, suggesting that there is a risk of less anastomotic accuracy, secondary to a more technically demanding procedure and to the learning curve of surgeons performing myocardial revascularization without cardiopulmonary bypass [4].

Therefore, it is critical for surgeons to evaluate the quality of the anastomoses of CABG in the operating room [5].

Transit-time flow measurement is considered to be more convenient, less invasive, more reproducible, and less time consuming [6].

In the 1990s, transit time (also called time of flight) ultrasonic technology was introduced and became widespread. In 1994, Canver [7] and Matre [8] and their colleagues reported the clinical appli-

*Correspondence to:* Dr. Mohammed Shafik, The Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Al-Azhar University, Al-Hussein Hospital, Cairo, Egypt

cation of TTF measurement during CABG. It has the advantage of being independent of hematocrit level, and angle of insonation. As Matre and colleagues reported. It is considered as a quality control tool for intraoperative graft evaluation in Coronary Artery Bypass Graft (CABG) surgery. In this study we will assess grafts by measuring: Mean graft flow and pulsatility index.

## **Patients and Methods**

The study was conducted on 60 patients, classified into two equal groups. Group A include 30 patients who underwent conventional CABG with no concomitant procedures while Group B had 30 patients who underwent OPCAB at the National Heart Institute, Cairo, Egypt between August 2014 and August 2016. All patients have signed fully informed consents.

## Statistical analysis:

Data were collected, verified and edited on a personal computer then analyzed by SPSS, EPICalc software program to get the final result. Arithmetic mean, standard deviation and hypothesis"  $\xi$ " for quantitative values. The chi-square test ( $\chi$ ) for qualitative values expressed. A proportion analysis was performed by using life table methodology.

## Pre-operative data:

The two groups were matched with no statically significant difference regarding age and sex.

Table (1): Age and sex in both groups.

| Group                      | Group A                  | Group B                 | Chi-square test |                 |  |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Parameter                  | No.=30                   | No.=30                  | x <sup>2</sup>  | <i>p</i> -value |  |
| Age:<br>Mean ± SD<br>Range | 53.57±7.51<br>39-71      | 57.2±6.53<br>46-73      | 2.000           | 0.050           |  |
| Sex:<br>Females<br>Males   | 11 (36.7%)<br>19 (63.3%) | 9 (30.0%)<br>21 (70.0%) | 0.300           | 0.584           |  |

Pre-operatively, various high-risk factors were present in both groups.

| Group           | Group A        | Group B    | Chi-square<br>test |                 |  |
|-----------------|----------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------|--|
| Risk factor     | (conventional) | (OPCABG)   | x <sup>2</sup>     | <i>p</i> -value |  |
| FH              | 12 (40.0%)     | 18 (60.0%) | 2.400              | 0.121           |  |
| DM              | 14 (46.7%)     | 15 (50.0%) | 0.067              | 0.796           |  |
| HTN             | 26 (86.7%)     | 26 (86.7%) | 0.000              | 1.000           |  |
| Smoking         | 14 (46.7%)     | 16 (53.3%) | 0.267              | 0.606           |  |
| Dyslipidemia    | 20 (66.7%)     | 22 (73.3%) | 0.317              | 0.573           |  |
| Obesity         | 13 (43.3%)     | 16 (53.3%) | 0.601              | 0.438           |  |
| Unstable angina | 4 (13.3%)      | 5 (16.7%)  | 0.131              | 0.718           |  |
| Recent MI       | 3 (10.0%)      | 7 (23.3%)  | 1.920              | 0.166           |  |

Echocardiographic examination revealed that the mean LVEF % for Group A patients was  $58.47 \pm$ 6.15% (range 45-69%); versus  $59.47 \pm 8.76\%$  for Group B patients (range 42-75%) (*p*=0.611).

Table (3): Echo findings.

| Group  | Conventional | OPCAB      | Chi-s          | Chi-square test |  |  |
|--------|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Echo   | Group (A)    | Group (B)  | x <sup>2</sup> | <i>p</i> -value |  |  |
| LVEF % | 58.47±6.15   | 59.47±8.76 | 0.512          | 0.611           |  |  |

The angiographic findings were recorded and analyzed in a table.

Table (4): Angiographic data.

| Group<br>Coronary    | Group A<br>(conventional) | Group B<br>(OPCABG) | Chi-square<br>test |  |  |
|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| involvement          | No (30)                   | No (30)             | $\chi^2 p$ -value  |  |  |
| Lt coronary disease  | 30 (100.0%)               | 30 (100.0%)         | 0.000 1.000        |  |  |
| Rt coronary disease  | 15 (50.0%)                | 15 (50.0%)          | 0.000 1.000        |  |  |
| One vessel disease   | 4 (13.33%)                | 6 (20.0%)           | 0.480 0.488        |  |  |
| Two vessel disease   | 14 (46.67%)               | 15 (50.0%)          | 0.067 0.795        |  |  |
| Three vessel disease | 12 (40.0%)                | 9 (30.0%)           | 0.659 0.416        |  |  |

## Surgical technique and flow measurement:

All operations were performed through a median sternotomy during cardiopulmonary bypass in Group A and without in OPCAB group. The distal anastomoses were sutured with continuous 7/0 or 8/0 polypropylene sutures, and the proximal anastomoses in the ascending aorta with continuous 6/0. The TTFM values of all grafts were recorded intra-operatively in a standardized fashion thus: 5 min after the patient was weaned from cardiopulmonary bypass and the hemodynamic condition was assessed as being stable, in Group A and after finishing the proximal anastomosis in Group B. The TTFM flow measurement values and respective flow curves were obtained by using the VeriO system TTFM device (MediStim Inc., Oslo, Norway). To guarantee that a proper size of the TTFM probe was used, the probe was fitted precisely around the mid-portion of the Left Internal Mammary Artery (LIMA) graft and proximally around the greater saphenous vein. To achieve the best possible ultrasonic coupling, skeletonization of a small segment of the pedicled LIMA graft was generally necessary. Aqueous gel was used to improve probe contact. The following variables were recorded and evaluated: (1) Mean graft flow volume (MGF; ml min) (2) Pulsatility index (PI: (maximum flow volume – minimum flow volume) / mean flow volume).

### Results

The mean flow of the functioning grafts was  $39.51\pm5.26$  mls/minute in Group A patients, and  $32.71\pm6.47$  mls/minute for Group B patients (p=0.003). The mean pulsatility index for Group A patients was  $2.33\pm0.64$ , and  $2.71\pm1.22$  for Group B patients (p=0.008). The mean arterial pressure (mms Hg) was  $89\pm3$  mmsHg for Group A patients, and  $86\pm6$  for Group B patients (p=0.017).

Table (5): TTFM data.

| Group<br>Measurment                            | Group A<br>(conventional) | Group B<br>(OPCABG) | t-<br>test | <i>p</i> -value |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------|------------|-----------------|
| • Mean graft flow (ml/minute)                  | 39.51±5.26                | 32.71±6.47          | 4.965      | 0.003           |
| <ul> <li>Mean pulsatility<br/>index</li> </ul> | 2.33±0.64                 | 2.71±1.22           | -3.030     | 0.008           |
| • Mean arterial pressure (mms Hg)              | 89±3                      | 86±6                | 2.449      | 0.017           |

Details of intraoperative flow measured through the revised (not well -functioning) grafts:

Intraoperatively, and after TTFM 3/74 (4.05%) of the grafts in Group A were considered "not well-functioning" and needed surgical revision; compared 4/66 (6.06%) of grafts in Group B (p=0.586).

In the conventional Group A, two SVGs: One to the diagonal (intimal flap) and one to the RCA (malpositioned stitch) were not well-functioning; versus one LIMA-LAD (graft stenosis). While in Group B there were 3 SVGs grafts: Two to RCA (malpositioned stitch) and one to diagonal branch (intimal flap) and one LIMA-LAD (graft stenosis).

The mean flow in the non-functioning grafts before correction was  $14.33 \pm 3.21$  mls/minute in Group A patients, and  $12.75 \pm 2.87$  mls/minute for Group B patients (p=0.522). The mean pulsatility index for Group A patients was  $8.03 \pm 1.0$ , and  $8.85 \pm$ 1.67 for Group B patients (p=0.489). The mean arterial pressure (mms Hg) was  $69 \pm 3$  mmsHg for Group A patients, and  $65 \pm 6$  for Group B patients (p=0.345).

|                         | c      | C     | . •  | •   | C.     |
|-------------------------|--------|-------|------|-----|--------|
| Table (6): Measurements | of non | func  | t10t | nno | oratts |
| rubie (0). measurements | or non | i ano | uoi  |     | Sinco. |

| Group<br>Measurment                 | Group A<br>(conventional) | Group B<br>(OPCABG) | x <sup>2</sup> | <i>p</i> -<br>value |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Grafts revised     intraoperatively | 3/74 (4.05%)              | 4/66 (6.06%)        | 0.296          | 0.586               |
| • Mean graft flow<br>(ml/minute)    | 14.33±3.21                | 12.75±2.87          | 0.687          | 0.522               |
| Mean pulsatility     index          | 8.03±1.0                  | 8.85±1.67           | 0.746          | 0.489               |
| • Mean arterial pressure (mms Hg)   | 69±3                      | 65±6                | 1.043          | 0.345               |

After using TTFM to assess the grafts, illfunctioning grafts were revised and showed the following measurements after correction of the causes.

Table (7): Data of revised grafts after correction.

| Graft     | Cause of obstruction | Type of MF PI MF P<br>operation BC BC AC A |    |          |          |     |
|-----------|----------------------|--|----|----------|----------|-----|
| LIMA-LAD  | Graft stenosis       | Conventional                               | 13 | 7        | 26       | 1.5 |
| S VG-DIAG |                      | Conventional                               | 12 | 8.1      | 33       | 1.8 |
| SVG-RCA   | MS                   | Conventional                               | 18 | 8.1<br>9 | 55<br>49 | 2.1 |
| LIMA-LAD  | Graft stenosis       | OPCAB                                      | 12 | 9.2      | 29       | 2.4 |
| S VG-DIAG | IF                   | OPCAB                                      | 15 | 7.1      | 32       | 1.9 |
| SVG-RCA   | MS                   | OPCAB                                      | 9  | 11       | 40       | 2.3 |
| SVG-RCA   | MS                   | OPCAB                                      | 15 | 8.1      | 39       | 2.5 |

IF : Occluding intimal flap.

MS : Malpositioned stitch (stenosing or attached to posterior vessel wall).

BF : Before correction. AC : After correction.

#### Discussion

Recently the transit time ultrasound principle has been introduced into cardiac surgery to measure blood volume flow. Soon, transit time flowmetry received wide acceptance for use in intraoperative graft assessment because it is noninvasive, technically simple, reproducible, fast, and inexpensive [9]. Its use was extended also in patients operate on with cardiopulmonary bypass to verify presence of any unavoidable errors (intimal flap, purse string effect, heel or toe tapering, or acute thrombosis) which could sometimes occur in spite of conditions of the perfect visibility and stability such as during cardioplegic arrest [10].

We critically-analyzed the TTFM findings in our 60 patients operated upon with and without CPB attempting to define the readability of the transit time curve in the absence of perturbation of its contour, which might have led us to deduce a wrong analysis. The flow was measured by the transit time method with the apparatus manufactured by MediStim VQ2111 VeriQ flowmeter (MediStim ASA, Oslo, Norway). The purpose of the study was to evaluate the impact of transit-time flow and resistance measurement on graft function and patency in those patients with coronary artery bypass grafting.

Overall, we had 53 patients in whom TTFM measurement revealed patent and adequately-functioning grafts while this was not the case in another 7 cases (4 OPCABG versus 3 ONCABG). It is worth-mentioning to state that the number of cases in which graft flow failure occurred was, due to various factors, higher in the OPCABG group of the series displayed (with high statistical significance in our series) despite the presence of

no intraoperative or early post-operative mortality in [9,11-14].

The lowest acceptable flow values in CABG grafts (especially LIMAs) is not clearly-defined. Consequently, graft revision has been advised if graft flow is less than 20mls/minute [15].

It was reported by many authors that the Pulsatility Index (PI) values are good indicators of the quality of the anastomosis [9,11-13,16,17]. The normal range for PI has empirically been considered, by most of the previous authors, to be 1-5. In agreement with their findings, we found high PI values to be truly suggestive of anastomotic imperfections in 7 cases in whom stenosed grafts were revised. Even though an absolute PI values has not been defined, [18], however, empirically selected the limit of 5 based on their clinical experience with TTFM. Proposed a value, derived from their clinical experience, of 5 as the limit of PI value above which an anastomosis should be revised. It is noteworthy to say that the cut-off value of 5 for an optimal graft is also suggested by the manufacturer [14]. The high PI values (in our 7 cases) could justify well surgical revision of those coronary grafts. The same results were reached and reported by different surgeons like: [1,10,12,16,19].

After considering the previously mentioned statements together with the results of our work, we came to the conclusion that prompt graft revision may be very well be necessary whenever, abnormalities in flow curves and values are found.

### References

- EAGLE K.A., GUYTON R.A., DAVIDOFF R., et al.: ACC/AHA guidelines for coronary artery bypass graft surgery: Executive summary and recommendations. Circulation, 100: 1464-80, 1999.
- 2- JAKOBSEN H.L. and KJAERGARD H.K.: Severe impairment of graft flow without electrocardiographic changes during coronary artery bypass grafting. Scand Cardiovasc. J., 33: 157-9, 1999.
- 3- YUSUS F., ZUCKER D., PEDUZZI P., et al.: Effect of coronary artery bypass graft surgery on survival: Overview of 10-year results from randomized trials by Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery Trialist Collaboration. Lancet, 344: 563-70, 1994.
- 4- KHAN N.E., De SOUZA A., MISTER R., et al.: A randomized comparison of off-pump and on-pump multivessel coronary artery bypass surgery. N. Engl. J. Med., 350: 21-8, 2004.
- 5- LOUAGIE Y.A.G., HAXHE J.P., BUCHE M. and SCH-OEVAERDTS J.C.: Intraoperative electromagnetic flowmeter measurements in coronary artery bypass grafts. Ann. Thorac. Surg., 57: 357-64, 1994.
- 6- D'ANCONA G., KARAMANOUKIAN H.L., RICCI M., SCHMID S., BERGSLAND J. and SALERNO T.A.: Graft

revision after transit time flow measurement in off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting. Eur. J. Cardiothorac. Surg., 17: 287-93, 2000.

- 7- CANVER C.C. and DAME N.A.: Ultrasonic assessment of internal thoracic artery graft flow in the revascularized heart. Ann. Thorac. Surg., 58: 135-8, 1994.
- 8- MATRE K., BIRKELAND S., HESSEVIK I. and SEGADAL L.: Comparison of transit-time and Doppler ultrasound methods for measurement of flow in aortocoronary bypass grafts during cardiac surgery. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg., 42: 170-4, 1994.
- WALPOTH B.H., BOSSHARD A., GENYK I., KIPFER B., BERDAT P.A., et al.: Transit-time flow measurement for detection of early graft failure during myocardial revascularization. Ann. Thorac. Surg., 66: 1097-100, 1998.
- 10- D'ANCONA, et al.: "Myocardial Revascularization on the Beating Heart after Recent Onset Acute Myocardial Infarction" Heart Surgery Forum, Vol. 4, No. 1, p. 74-9, #2000-4513, 2001.
- 11- D'ANCONA G., KARAMANOUKIAN H.L., SALERNO T.A., SCHMID R.N. and BERGSLAND J.: Flow measurement in coronary surgery. Heart Surg. Forum., 2 (2): 121-4, 1999.
- 12- HOL P.K., FOSSE E., MORK B.E., LUNDBLAD R., REIN K.A., et al.: Graft control by transit time flow measurement and intraoperative angiography in coronary artery bypass surgery. The Heart Surgery Forum #2001-2339, 4 (3): 254-8, 2001.
- 13- SHIN H., YOZU R., MITSUMARU A., LINO Y., HASH-IZUME K., et al.: Intraoperative assessment of coronary artery bypass grafting: Transit time flowmetry versus angiography. Ann. Thorac. Surg., 72: 1562-5, 2001.
- 14- TERESA MARY KIESER, SARAH ROSE, RYSZARD KOWALEWSKI and ISRAEL BELENKIE: European Journal of Cardio-thoracic Surgery, 38: 155-62. Transittime flow predicts outcomes in coronary artery bypass graft patients: A series of 1000 consecutive arterial grafts, 2010.
- 15- KIESER T.M.: N. Engl. J. Med., Mar. 4, 362 (9): 852; author reply 853-4. On-pump versus off-pump CABG, 2010.
- 16- CERRITO P.B., KOENIG S.C., VAN HIMBERGEN D.J., JABER S.F., EWERT D.L., et al.: Neural network pattern recognition analysis of graft-flow characteristics improves intraoperaive anastomotic error detection in minimally invasive CABG. Eur. J. Cardiothoracic. Surg., 16: 88-93, 1999.
- 17- LEONG D.K.A., ASHOK V., NISHKANTHA A., SHAN Y.H. and SIM A.K.W.: Transit Time Flow Measurement is essential in Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery Ann. Thorac. Surg., 79: 854-8, 2005.
- 18- LAUSTSEN J., PEDERSEN E.M., TERP K., LARSEN H.G., WEIR D.R., et al.: Validation of a new transit time ultrasound flowmeter in man. Eur. J. Vasc. Endovasc. Surg., 12: 91-6, 1996.
- 19-JABER S.F., KOENIG S.C., BHASKER RAO B., BLOND F.G., et al.: Can visual assessment of flow waveform morphology detect anastomotic error in off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting? Eur. J. Cardiothorac. Surg., 14: 476-9, 1998.

# مقارنة قياس سريان الدم داخل غرفة العمليات بإستخدام وبدون ماكينة القلب الصناعي

إن عملية توصيل وترقيع الشرايين التاجية حل مهم لزيادة معدل النجاة وتقليل مضاعفات الجلطة القلبية. وإنه من الآساسى لضمان نجاح زرع الشرايين آن تكون المزروعات الجديدة تعمل بكفاءة. ولقد قمنا بدراسة إستخدام جهاز قياس تدفق الدم خلال الوقت لتحديد الآخطاء فى هذه المزروعات، لقياس المحددات الطبيعية ومقارنة إستخدام ماكينة القلب الصناعى من عدمها. ولقد تضمنت هذه الدراسة ستين مريضا سيخضعون لجراحة زرع الشرايين وتم تقسيمهم لمجموعتين متساويتين:

مجموعة (أ) بإستخدام ماكينة القلب الصناعي ومجموعة (ب) بدون إستخدام ماكينة القلب الصناعي.

لم توجد إختلافات تذكر بين المجموعتين السالفتين بخصوص السن، الجنس، مدى صعوبة التنفس أو كفاءة عضلة القلب.

وتبين الدراسة أن جهاز قياس سريان الدم ذو أهمية عالية. كما إتضح أن متوسط سريان الدم كان أقل فى حالات زرع الشرايين التاجية بدون ماكينة القلب الصناعى عنها فى مجموعة إستخدام ماكينة القلب الصناعى فى حين كانت المقاومة آعلى، مما يزرع الشك حول الكفاءة المستقبلية لهذه المزروعات.