Apolipoprotein-1 (Apol-1) Gene Polymorphism in Hypertensive Nephroscelerosis Egyptian Patients

HASSAN A. AHMED, M.D.*; YASSEIN S. YASSEIN, M.D.*; AHMED M. ZAHRAN, M.D.*; MAHMOUD A. EMARA, M.D.*; RANIA M. AZMY, M.D.** and AZZA A. GOMAH, M.D.*

The Departments of Internal Medicine* and Medical Biochemistry**, Faculty of Medicine, Menofia University, Egypt

Abstract

Background: Arterial Hypertension (AHTN) represents a major public health problem for its high frequency among the unselected population and, particularly, for its strong association with cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. Progressive renal disease has always been comprised among the possible end-organ damage-related to hypertension. The APOL1 G1 and G2 risk variants are highly associated with non-diabetic non-HIV associated forms of kidney disease, and in particular FSGS and hypertensive nephropathy.

Aim of Study: To study influence of the APOL1 gene variants (G1 and G2) on the hypertensive induced kidney disease among Egyptian Patients.

Subjects and Methods: In the current study, we examined 88 adult patients (\geq 18 years old) of both sexes with essential hypertension for \geq 5 years and classified into two groups: Group I: Included fifty-three patients with essential hypertension (Bl.Pr. \geq 140/90) who have normal kidney function. Group II: Included thirty-five patients with essential hypertension (Bl.Pr. \geq 140/90) who have impaired kidney function mostly attributed to HTN. Essential hypertension was diagnosed if the patient gave history of hypertension, with antihypertensive medications or if Bl.Pr. \geq 140/90 at the time of examination without definite cause. All patients were subjected to thorough medical history taking, physical examination, and many investigations were done as well as APOL1 gene study using Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR).

Results: There is significant statistical difference between both groups as regard APOL1 G1 rs73885319, G1 rs60910195 and G2 rs71785313 genotypes and alleles (the abnormal genotypes AG, GG, TG, DI, DD are more frequent in patients with hypertensive nephroscelerosis; Group II). Most patients with hypertensive nephroscelerosis (Group II) carry two risk alleles and showed more decline estimated Glomerular Filteration Rate (eGFR) than Group I despite matching in the hypertension duration and severity.

Conclusion: APOL1 G1 rs73885319, G1 rs60910195 and G2 rs71785313 gene polymorphism is associated with increased risk of hypertensive induced kidney disease among Egyptian patients. Most patients with hypertensive nephros-

celerosis (Group II) showed more decline in e-GFR than group I despite matching in the hypertension duration and severity.

Key Words: Hypertensive nephrosclerosis – Apolipoprotein 1 gene.

Introduction

PROGRESS in reporting the genetic variations characterizing kidney diseases with simple monogenic inheritance (Mendelian disorders) using family based linkage studies over the past two decades has yielded many new insights into kidney disease pathogenesis. These have had immediate clinical diagnostic impact, and have shed light on the key components for functional integrity of the glomerular filtration barrier [1].

The genetics of complex, polygenic kidney diseases, on the other hand, including nonmonogenic forms of chronic kidney disease, has proven more challenging to unravel. Only recently have population genetics approaches, such as Genome-Wide Association Studies (GWAS) and Mapping by Admixture Linkage Disequilibrium (MALD), successfully identified important allelic variants which confer susceptibility to common kidney disease phenotypes, including proteinuria, chronic kidney disease, and hypertension [2,3].

Hypertension is a major public health problem affecting 26.3% of the Egyptians, and only 37.5% of hypertensive individuals are aware that they have hypertension. Also studies showed that only 8% of Egyptian hypertensive patients are controlled [4].

This topic of hypertension and renal injury has received growing interest in the last decade mostly due to the data offered by the End-Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) registries. In fact, hypertension as a cause of ESRD has risen progressively and

Correspondence to: Dr. Hassan A. Ahmed, E-Mail: Il ib4e@yahoo.com

more rapidly than all other causes (except diabetes mellitus) in North America and Europe [5].

The mechanism by which the elevated blood pressure can induce pregressive renal failure is not clearly known. Two different hypotheses have been put forward: Renal damage is the result of glomerular ischemia and hypoperfusion due to the narrowing of preglomerular vessels, as it happens in severe renal artery stenosis; or it's the consequence of glomerular capillary hypertension and hyperperfusion due to a loss of the renal autoregulatory response normally present also in the early phase of essential hypertension [6].

The association between Apolipoprotein L 1 gene (APOL1) variants and non-diabetic Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) has dramatically altered the landscape in nephrology [7,8].

It is notable that in recent reports of controlled trials in African-Americans hypertension with kidney disease is associated with the APOL1 risk genotype, and kidney disease deterioration is not ameliorating by more intensive blood pressure control [9].

Subjects and Methods

Study population: The study was carried out at Internal Medicine Department, Menoufia University Hospital, Egypt during the period from December 2016 to August 2018 following the medical ethical standards of the institution. Informed consents from all patients were obtained in accordance with the Local Medical Ethical Committee.

We examined 88 adult patients (≥ 18 years old) of both sexes with essential hypertension for ≥ 5 years and classified into two groups:

- *Group 1:* Fifty-three patients with essential hypertension (Bl.Pr. ≥140/90) who have normal kidney function.
- *Group 2:* Thirty-five patients with essential hypertension (Bl.Pr. ≥ 140/90) who have impaired kidney function mostly attributed to HTN.

Essential hypertension was diagnosed if the patient gave history of hypertension, with antihypertensive medications or if Bl.Pr. \geq 140/90 at the time of examination without definite cause.

The criteria for the diagnosis of hypertensive nephropathy [10] are as follows: I) Primary hypertension; II) >5 years of sustained hypertension before proteinuria; III) Persistent proteinuria (generally mild to moderate) with benign urinary sediment detected by microscopic examination; IV) Retinal arteriosclerosis or arteriosclerotic changes in the retina; V) Various primary renal diseases are excluded; and VI) Other secondary renal diseases are also excluded. A history of hypertensive left ventricular hypertrophy, coronary heart disease, heart failure, cerebral arteriosclerosis and/or history of cerebral vascular accident, hyperuricemia, renal tubular dysfunction preceding renal function damage, slow progression and other factors are used as auxiliary diagnostic conditions.

Inclusion criteria:

- Adult patients (\geq 18 years old) of both sexes.
- Patients with essential hypertension.
- Duration of hypertension ≥ 5 years.

Exclusion criteria:

- Causes other than hypertension for renal impairment e.g.: Diabetic patients, obstructive uropathy etc.
- Patients with secondary hypertension.
- All the included patients were subjected to: 1- Thorough medical history tacking.
 - 2- Complete physical examination.
 - 3- Routine investigations.
 - 4- Special investigation: Assessment of APOL 1 gene variants by Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR).

Definitions and calculations:

Body Mass Index (BMI) was calculated as weight per square meter (kg/m^2).

Hypertension was based on a history of doctor diagnosed hypertension and/or receiving medications for hypertension or average systolic blood pressure \geq 140mmHg and/or average diastolic blood pressure \geq 90mmHg.

Urinary albumin excretion was quantified in term of urinary Albumin/Creatinine Ratio (ACR).

Glomerular Filtration Rate (GFR) was estimated by the 4-variable Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) equation applicable to standardized serum creatinine values.

MDRD formula:

GFR=170 (serum creatinine concentration $^{-0.999}$). X age $^{-0.176}$

X 0.762 if female

X 1.180 if black

- X blood urea nitrogen concentration $^{-0}$.¹⁷
- X serum albumin concentration-0.318

Assessment of APOL1 gene polymorphisms by PCR and restriction enzymes:

Determination of APOL 1 gene polymorphism (G1 rs73885319, G1 rs60910195 and G2 rs 71785313) by Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism Polymerase Chain Reaction (RFLP PCR).

- A- Sample collection and preparation: Under complete aseptic conditions 2ml of venous blood were withdrawn from every patient by vein-puncture and transferred into EDTA tube for DNA extraction and PCR.
- B- DNA extraction: DNA was extracted from whole blood using Thermo Scientific Gene JET Genomic DNA purification kit from Lithuania. DNA was eluted, stored at 20°C for further PCR procedure.

Principle:

Samples are digested with lysis buffer. The lysate is then mixed and loaded onto the purification column, where the DNA binds to the silica membrane. Impurities are effectively removed by washing the column with wash buffers. Genomic DNA is then eluted under low ionic strength conditions with the elution buffer.

C- Determination of APOL1 gene polymorphism (*G1 rs73885319*): PCR for APOL1 gene (G1 rs73885319) was carried out to a total volume of 25 **g**, **c** ntaining 1 **g** of forward and 1 **g** reverse primers; 12.5ul of master mix (genecraft; Germany); (Stratagene; USA) and 0.5ul distal water [11].

APOL1 gene (G1 rs73885319) was analyzed using the following primers (Midland, Texas).

Properties	Primers (rs73885319) (APOL1)			
	Forward	Reverse		
Sequence	5´-CCAATCTTCAGTCAGTACCGC-3´	5'-GGTCCGCCTGCAGAATC-3'		
Length (bases)	21	17		
GC content (%)	52.4	64.7		
Melting temperature (°C)	55.5	56.3		
Molecular weight (g/mole)	6326.2	5171.4		

PCR amplification for APOL1 gene (G1 rs73885319) was performed separately in using applied Bio system 2720 thermal cycler (Singapore).

For APOL1 gene (G1 rs73885319): The cycling conditions for PCR were initial denaturation at 94°C for 1 minute 1 cycle, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 1 minute (melting), 58°C for 30 seconds (annealing), 72°C for 1 minute (extension) and final extension at 72°C for 8 minutes.

The amplification products separated by electrophoresis through 3% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide.

The PCR products then was digested with HindIII (New England Biolabs) at 37°C for one hour (2.5 LOCNE buffer 4, 1 LHindIII, 6.5 Ldinilled water and 10 LPGR product). The Hind III digestive products were run by 4% agarose gel electrophoresis for 30 minutes and stained with ethidium bromide, and the bands were visualized under ultraviolet light.

For APOL1 gene (G1 rs73885319) A/G polymorphism, the uncut fragment was 176bp and digestion products were 132bp, 44bp.



- Fig. (1): For APOL1 gene (G1 rs73885319) A/G polymorphism, the uncut fragment was 176bp and digestion products were 132bp, 44bp. ladder 50bp was used.
- * Lanes 2,4 and 6 indicate AA genotype.
- * Lanes 3, 5, 7, 9 and 11 indicate AG genotypes.
- * Lanes 8 and 10 indicate GG genotype.
- E- Determination of APOL1 gene polymorphism (G1 APOL1 G1 rs60910145): PCR for APOL1 gene (G1 APOL1 G1 rs60910145) was carried out to a total volume of 25 J., centaining 1 J. of forward and 1 J. reverse primers; 12.5ul of master mix (genecraft; Germany); (Stratagene; USA) and 0.5ul distal water (APOL1 G1 rs 60910145) was analyzed using the following primers (Midland, Texas).

Properties	Primers (rs60910145) (APOL1)			
	Forward	Reverse		
Sequence	5'-GGAAAT GAGCAGAGGAGTCAA-3 '	5'-TGTGCTCAGCTATGGAAATGC-3 '		
Length (bases)	22	21		
GC content (%)	20	47.6		
Melting temperature (°C)	55.4	55.7		
Molecular weight (g/mole)	6906.5	6461.2		

PCR amplification for APOL1 gene (APOL1 G1 rs60910145) was performed separately in using applied Bio system 2720 thermal cycler (Singapore).

For APOL1 gene (G1 rs60910145): The cycling conditions for PCR were initial denaturation at 94°C for 1 minute 1 cycle, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 1 minute (melting), 58°C for 30 seconds (annealing), 72°C for 1 minute (extension) and final extension at 72°C for 8 minutes.

The amplification products separated by electrophoresis through 3% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide.

The PCR products then was digested with NspI (New England Biolabs) at 37°C for one hour ($2.5 \alpha l$ 10x NE buffer 4, $1 \alpha l$ NspI, $6.5 \alpha l$ distilled water and $10 \alpha l$ PCR product). The NspI digestive products were run by 4% agarose gel electrophoresis for 30 minutes and stained with ethidium bromide, and the bands were visualized under ultraviolet light.

For APOL1 gene (G1 rs60910145) T/G polymorphism, the uncut fragment was 230bp and digestion products were 180bp, 50bp.



- Fig. (2): For APOL1 gene (G1 rs60910145) T/G polymorphism, the uncut fragment was 230bp and digestion products were 180bp, 50bp. ladder 50bp was used.
- * Lanes 3, 4 and 11 indicate TT genotype.
- * Lanes 2, 5, 7, 8 and 10 indicate TG genotypes.

* Lanes 6 and 9 indicate GG genotype.

F- Determination of APOL1 gene polymorphism (G2 rs 71785313): PCR for APOL1 gene (G2 rs 71785313) was carried out to a total volume of 25∝1, containing 1 ∝1 of forward and 1 ∝1 reverse primers; 12.5ul of master mix (genecraft; Germany); (Stratagene; USA) and 0.5ul distal water (Kalkan et al., 2013).

APOL1 gene (G1 rs71785313) was analyzed using the following primers (Midland, Texas).

Properties	Primers (rs71785313) (APOL1)			
	Forward	Reverse		
Sequence	5'-HEX-CTTCAGTCAGTACCATGC-3'	5´-AGTTTGCATTTTGTCCTGGC-3´		
Length (bases)	20	20		
GC content (%)	55	45		
Melting temperature (°C)	56	54.3		
Molecular weight (g/mole)	6053	6105		

PCR amplification for APOL 1 gene (G2 rs 71785313) was performed separately in using applied Bio system 2720 thermal cycler (Singapore).

For APOL1 gene (G2 rs71785313): The cycling conditions for PCR were initial denaturation at 94°C for 1 minute 1 cycle, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 1 minute (melting), 58°C for 30 seconds

(annealing), 72°C for 1 minute (extension) and final extension at 72°C for 8 minutes.

The amplification products separated by electrophoresis through 3% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide.

The PCR products then was digested with Mlu-CI (New England Biolabs) at 37°C for one hour

Hassan A. Ahmed, et al.

(2.5 **L10** NE buffer 4, 1 **LMu**CI, 6.5 **L**dimilled water and 1 0 **LPOR** product). The MluCI digestive products were run by 4% agarose gel electrophoresis for 30 minutes and stained with ethidium bromide, and the bands were visualized under ultraviolet light.

For APOL1 gene (G1 rs71785313) I/D polymorphism, three genotypes were identified after electrophoresis, 197bp for insertion alleles (I/I), 197 and 57bp for insertion and deletion allele (I/D) and 57bp for the deletion allele (D/D).



Fig. (3): For APOL1 gene (G2 rs71785313) I/D polymorphism, three genotypes were identified after electrophoresis, 197bp for insertion alleles (I/I), 197 and 57bp for insertion and deletion allele (I/D) and 57bp for the deletion allele (D/D). Ladder 50bp was used.

- * Lane 6 indicates I/I genotypes.
- * Lanes 2, 3, 9, 10 and 11 indicate I/D genotypes.
- * Lanes 4, 5, 7 and 8 indicate D/D genotypes.

Statistical analysis:

The data collected were tabulated & analyzed by SPSS (statistical package for the social science software) statistical package Version 23.

Quantitative data were expressed as mean standard deviation (X \pm SD) and analyzed by applying *t*-test for comparison between two groups of normally distributed variables.

Qualitative data were expressed as number and percentage (No & %) and analyzed by applying χ^2 (Chi 2) for comparison between two or more independent qualitative variables normally distributed. Allelic frequency of the polymorphism was calculated both in case and control samples.

The χ^2 test was used to compare allele frequency of the APOL 1 gene polymorphism between studied groups. 95% Confidence Interval (CI) was calculated to compare CKD risk around genotypes and alleles.

Accuracy:

- *p*-value >0.05 was considered statistically insignificant.
- *p*-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.
- *p*-value <0.001 was considered statistically highly significant.

Results

Fat	ble	(1):	Demographic	data of	the	studied	groups.
-----	-----	----	----	-------------	---------	-----	---------	---------

	Group I N=53	Group II N=35	Test of significance	<i>p</i> -value
Age (years)	Mean ± SD 60.4±10.6	Mean ± SD 58.8±8.4	<i>t</i> -test 0.7	0.4
<i>Gender:</i> Male Female	N % 28 (52.8%) 25 (47.2%)	N % 19 (54.2%) 16 (45.8%)	Chi square 0.8	0.9
<i>Smoking:</i> Non Smoker	N % 33 (62.3%) 20 (37.7%)	N % 20 (57.1%) 15 (42.9%)	Chi square 0.6	0.6
Duration of HTN (years)	Mean ± SD 11.3±1.5	Mean ± SD 11.5±1.5	<i>t</i> -test 0.8	0.6
<i>Severity of HTN:</i> Mild Moderate Severe	N % 11 (20.7%) 23 (43.3%) 19 (36%)	N % 13 (37.1%) 15 (42.8%) 7 (20.1%)	Chi square 0.9	0.1
Social class: High Moderate Low	N % 19 (35.8%) 18 (33.9%) 16 (30.1%)	N % 13 (10.4%) 14 (13.3%) 8 (25.7%)	Chi square 0.5	0.7
BMI (Kg/m ²)	Mean ± SD 30.6±4.03	Mean ± SD 30.9±4.3	<i>t</i> -test 0.3	0.7

N : Number.

SD : Standard Deviation.

BMI : Body Mass Index.

HTN : Hypertension.

This table shows the demographic data of the studied groups of patients.

gender, smoking, BMI, HTN duration; HTN severity or the socio-economic class.

In this table: There is no significant statistical difference between both groups as regard: Age,

This table shows the laboratory data of the studied groups of patients.

	Group I N=53	Group II N=35	Test of significance Independent <i>t</i> -test	<i>p</i> - value
Hb (gm/dl)	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	5.2	0.0001
_	12.1 ± 1.7	10.2 ± 1.3		
WBCs (*10^3/C.mm)	6.3±2.1	6.4±2.2	0.3	0.7
PLTs (*10^3/C.mm)	244.9 ± 62.2	223.7 ± 54.2	1.6	0.1
FBS (mg/dl)	85.5 ± 8.5	83.6±8.5	1.04	0.2
Cholesterol (mg/dl)	225.7 ± 40.2	252.2 ± 46.3	2.8	0.006
Triglycerides (mg/dl)	184.7 ± 39.4	199.2±27.2	1.9	0.06
HDL (mg/dl)	41.5±4.3	40.03 ± 3.6	1.6	0.09
LDL (mg/dl)	147.2±37.07	172.3 ± 44.7	2.8	0.005
BUN (mg/dl)	20.6 ± 1.4	79.1 ± 19.4	21.9	0.0001
Creatinine (mg/dl)	1.08 ± 0.12	3.8 ± 0.7	25.7	0.0001
Na (mmol/l)	138.6±3.7	140.03 ± 3.3	1.7	0.07
K (mmol/l)	4.04 ± 0.4	4.2 ± 0.54	0.2	0.03
eGFR (ml/min)	93.8±14.7	38.6±9.2	19.7	0.0001
Alb/creat (mg/gm)	200 ± 100	1100 ± 500	13.4	0.0001
N Number. Na Sodium.	e-C SD	GFR: Estimated (Glomerular Filtration Ra	te.

Κ : Potassium.

: Hemoglobin. Hb

PLTs : Platelets. FBS : Fasting Blood Sugar.

HDL : High Density Lipoproteins.

LDL : Low Density Lipoproteins. BUN : Blood Urea Nitrogen.

WBCs: White Blood Cells.

In this table, there was a significant statistical difference between both groups as regard: Hb, cholesterol, LDL, BUN, creatinine, K, eGFR and

albumin/creatinine ratio (anemia, dyslipidemia, hyperkalemia and impaired kidney function are more frequent in Group II).

Table (3): APOL1distribution in the studied groups.

	Group I N=53	Group II N=35	Test of significance χ^2	<i>p</i> -value
APOLI G1 rs73885319: AA AG GG	N % 18 (33.9%) 33 (62.2%) 2 (3.9%)	N % l (2.8%) 24 (68.5%) 10 (28.7%)	19.8	0.0001
Allele: A G	69 (65.1%) 37 (34.9%)	26 (37.1%) 44 (62.9%)	1.6 to 5.9	0.0003
APOLI G1 rs60910145: TT TG GG	N % 15 (28.3%) 36 (67.9%) 2 (3.8%)	N % l (2.8%) 22 (62.8%) 12 (34.4%)	19.9	0.0001
Allele: T G	66 (62.2%) 40 (37.8%)	24 (34.2%) 46 (65.8%)	1.6 to 5.9	0.0003
APOLI G2 rs71785313: II DI DD	N % 13 (24.6%) 38 (71.6%) 2 (3.8%)	N % 1 (2.8%) 23 (65.7%) 11 (31.5%)	13.2	0.0001
Allele: I D	64 (60.3%) 42 (39.7%)	25 (35.7%) 45 (64.3%)	1.4 to 5.1	0.001

This table shows the APOL1 genotypes & alleles distribution in the studied groups.

In this table, there is significant statistical difference between both groups as regard APOL 1

G1 rs73885319, G1 rs60910145 and G2 rs 71785313 genotypes and alleles (the abnormal genotypes AG, GG, TG, DI, DD are more frequent in Group II).

Table (4): Distribution of APOL1 G1 rs7	3885319 according to demographic data
of the studied groups (n=88).	

		APOL1 G1 rs73885319					
	AA	AG	GG	Test of significance	<i>p</i> -value		
Gender:	N %	N %	N %	Chi ²			
Male	12 (63.3%)	28 (49.1 %)	6 (50%)	0.9	0.6		
Female	7 (36.7%)	29 (50.9%)	6 (50%)				
Social class:							
High	8 (42.2%)	20 (35.1%)	4 (33.3%)	0.7	0.9		
Moderate	7 (36.7%)	20 (35.1%)	5 (41.7%)				
Low	4 (21.1%)	17 (29.8%)	3 (25%)				
Smoking:							
No	11 (57.8%)	36 (63.1%)	6 (50%)	0.7	0.6		
Yes	8 (42.2%)	21 (36.9%)	6 (50%)				
HTN severity:							
Mild	3 (15.7%)	18 (31.5%)	3 (25%)	2.6	0.6		
Moderate	11 (57.8%)	22 (38.7%)	5 (41.7%)				
Sever	5 (26.5%)	17 (29.8%)	4 (33.3%)				
N: Number.	HTN: H	vpertension.					

This table shows the association between APOL1 G1 rs73885319 and the demographic data of the studied groups (both groups n=88): There was no significant statistical difference between AA, AG or GG genotypes as regard patient gender, social class, smoking, and hypertension severity.

Table (5): Distribution of APOL1 G1 rs73885319 according to laboratory parameters of the studied groups (both groups n=88).

		APOL1 G1 rs73885319						
	AA	AG	GG	Test of significance	<i>p</i> -value	p_1	<i>p</i> ₂	<i>p</i> ₃
Hb (gm/dl)	$Mean \pm SD \\ 12.3 \pm 1.8$	Mean \pm SD 11.2 \pm 1.7	Mean \pm SD 10.5 \pm 1.7	One way ANOVE 4.2	0.01	0.06	0.01	0.3
CHOL (mg/dl)	202.4 ± 30.7	247.5±41.6	236.2±53.9	8.6	0.0001	0.0001	0.7	0.6
TG (mg/dl)	159.1±32.2	202.6±31.5	182.5±26.7	14.4	0.0001	0.0001	0.08	0.6
HDL (mg/dl)	44.6±4.6	39.2±3.07	42.9±3.9	19.2	0.0001	0.0001	0.3	0.004
LDL (mg/dl)	125.9±28.7	167.7±38.3	156.8±52.7	8.2	0.01	0.0001	0.08	0.6
Creat (mg/dl)	1.2 ± 0.6	2.1 ± 1.4	3.5±1.3	11.3	0.0001	0.02	0.0001	0.004
eGFR (ml/min)	88.2±18.4	71.8±30.6	45.9±24.3	8.5	0.0001	0.07	0.0001	0.01
Alb/creat (mg/gm)	300±200	600±500	1100±500	9.8	0.0001	0.08	0.0001	0.004

Creat

: Standard Deviation. SD

Hb : Hemoglobin.

CHOL : Cholesterol.

TG : Triglycerides. HDL : High Density Lipoproteins. LDL : Low Density Lipoproteins.

e-GFR : Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate. Alb/creat : Albumin/creatinine ratio.

: Creatinine.

: Between AA and AG genotypes. p_1

: Between AA and GG genotypes. p_2

: Between AG and GG genotypes.

 p_3

This table shows the association between APOL1 G1 rs73885319 and the laboratory parameters of the studied groups (both groups n=88).

There was significant statistical difference between the three genotypes as regard the laboratry data as: Haemoglobin, cholesterol, triglycerides,

HDL, LDL, creatinine, eGFR, and albumin/creatinine ratio. (p_2) as regard creatinine, eGFR and albumin/ creatinine ratio.

This significant statistical difference was evident between AA and AG genotypes (p_1) as regard to cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL, LDL, creatinine and between AA and GG genotypes

While between AG and GG genotypes (p_3) , there was significant statistical difference as regard HDL, creatinine, eGFR and albumin/creatinine ratio.

Table (6): Distribution of APOL1 G1 rs60910145 according to demographic data of the studied groups (both groups n=88).

		APOL1 G1 rs60910145					
	TT	TG	GG	Test of significance	<i>p</i> -value		
<i>Gender:</i> Male Female	N % 10 (62.5%) 6 (37.5%)	N % 31 (53.4%) 27 (46.6%)	N % 6 (42.8%) 8 (57.2%)	Chi ² 1.1	0.5		
Social class: High Moderate Low	6 (37.5%) 7 (43.75%) 3 (18.75%)	23 (39.6%) 17 (29.3%) 18 (31.1%)	3 (21.4%) 8 (57.2%) 3 (21.4%)	4.6	0.3		
<i>Smoking:</i> No Yes	7 (43.75%) 9 (56.25%)	37 (63.7%) 21 (36.3%)	9 (64.2%) 5 (35.8%)	2.2	0.3		
HTN severity: Mild Moderate Sever	3 (18.75%) 9 (56.25%) 4 (25%)	15 (17.6%) 23 (39.6%) 20 (42.8%)	6 (42.8%) 6 (42.8%) 2 (14.4%)	4.2	0.3		
N: Number.	HTN: H	vpertension.					

This table shows the association between APOL1 G1 rs60910195 and the demographic data of the studied groups (both groups n=88): There

was no significant statistical difference between TT, TG or GG genotypes as regard patient gender, social class, smoking, and hypertension severity.

Table (7): Distribution of APOL1 G1 rs60910145 according to laboratory parameters of the studied groups (both groups n=88).

	APOL1 G1 rs60910145							
	TT	TG	GG	Test of significance	<i>p</i> -value	p_1	p_2	<i>p</i> ₃
Hb (gm/dl)	Mean ± SD 12.6±2.01	Mean ± SD 11.2±1.6	Mean ± SD 10.3±1.7	One way ANOVE 7	0.001	0.01	0.001	0.1
CHOL (mg/dl)	193±37.05	247.3±41.3	240±36.4	11.7	0.0001	0.0001	0.005	0.8
TG (mg/dl)	153.1±35.5	200.1±29.9	193.1±30.7	14.3	0.0001	0.0001	0.002	0.7
HDL (mg/dl)	45.4±4.7	39.4±2.7	41.7±4.4	18.9	0.0001	0.0001	0.01	0.7
LDL (mg/dl)	116.8±34.4	167.8±35.1	159.5±34.9	11.5	0.0001	0.0001	0.007	0.7
Creat (mg/dl)	1.3 ± 0.7	2.09 ± 1.4	3.4±1.2	10.4	0.0001	0.08	0.0001	0.002
eGFR (ml/min)	88.2±21.3	73.01±29.8	48.5±26.3	7.9	0.002	0.1	0.001	0.01
Alb/creat (mg/gm)	0.2 ± 0.2	$0.6 {\pm} 0.5$	1.01 ± 0.5	6.9	0.001	0.09	0.001	0.03
SD : Standard Deviation. Creat : Creatinine. Hb : Hemoglobin. e-GFR : Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate. CHOL : Cholesterol. Alb/creat : Albumin/creatinine ratio. TC : Trickward for the second s								

 p_2

 p_3

. Between TT and CC construct

: Between TT and GG genotypes.

: Between TG and GG genotypes.

This table shows the association between APOL1 G1 rs60910195 and the laboratory data of

HDL : High Density Lipoproteins.

LDL : Low Density Lipoproteins.

the studied groups (both groups n=88): There was significant statistical difference between the three

genotypes as regard the laboratry data as: Haemoglobin, cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL, LDL, creatinine, eGFR, and albumin/ creatinine ratio.

This significant statistical difference was evident between TT and TG genotypes (p_1) as regard cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL, LDL, haemoglobin

and between TT and GG genotypes (p_2) as regard haemoglobin, cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL, LDL, creatinine, eGFR, and albumin/creatinine ratio.

While between TG and GG genotypes (p_3) , there was significant statistical difference as regard creatinine, eGFR and albumin/creatinine ratio.

Table (8): Distribution of APOL1 G2 rs71785313 according to demographic data of the studied groups (both groups n=88).

	APOL1 G2 rs71785313					
	II	ID	DD	Test of significance	<i>p</i> -value	
Gender:	N %	N %	N %	Chi ²		
Male	5 (38.4%)	31 (50.8%)	5 (35.7%)	1.4	0.4	
Female	8 (61.6%)	30 (49.2%)	9 (64.3%)			
Social class:						
High	1 (7.6%)	23 (37.7%)	8 (57.6%)	1.5	0.3	
Moderate	9 (69.2%)	21 (34.4%)	2 (14.4%)			
Low	3 (23.2%)	17 (27.9%)	4 (28.8%)			
Smoking:						
No	10 (76.8%)	36 (59.1%)	7 (50%)	3.07	0.2	
Yes	3 (23.2%)	25 (40.9%)	7 (50%)			
HTN severity:						
Mild	4 (30.4%)	17 (27.9%)	3 (21.2%)	1.5	0.8	
Moderate	6 (46.4%)	24 (39.3%)	7 (50%)			
Sever	3 (23.2%)	20 (32.8%)	4 (28.8%)			

N: Number. HTN: Hypertension.

This table shows the association between APOL1 G2 rs71785313 and the demographic data of the studied groups (both groups n=88): There was no significant statistical difference between II, ID or DD genotypes as regard patient gender, social class, smoking, and hypertension severity.

Table (9): Distribution of APOL1 G2 rs71785313 according to laboratory parameters of the studied groups (both groups n=88).

	APOL1 G2 rs71785313							
	II	ID	DD	Test of significance	<i>p</i> -value	p_1	<i>p</i> ₂	<i>p</i> ₃
Hb (gm/dl)	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	1.1	0.3	0.8	0.3	0.4
	11.7±1.6	11.4±2	10.7 ± 1.3					
CHOL (mg/dl)	188.8±36.5	239.5±34.9	273.2±49.6	17.3	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.01
TG (mg/dl)	152±36.4	194.1±27.6	214.8 ± 38.2	15.3	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.07
HDL (mg/dl)	45.9±4.1	40.2 ± 2.8	38.9±5.3	17.4	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.4
LDL (mg/dl)	112.5±35.8	160.2 32.6	191.5±49.03	17.1	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.01
Creat (mg/dl)	1.2 ± 0.7	2.1 ± 1.4	3.06 ± 1.08	5.8	0.004	0.07	0.003	0.08
eGFR (ml/min)	90.1 ± 18.2	72.1±31.2	51.4±21.2	6.2	0.003	0.08	0.002	0.05
Alb/creat (mg/gm)	300±400	500 ± 500	1100±700	7.3	0.001	0.3	0.001	0.004
SD : Standard Dev	iation.		Creat : C	reatinine.				

 p_1

 p_2

 p_3

HDL : High Density Lipoproteins.

LDL : Low Density Lipoproteins.

Hb : Hemoglobin. CHOL : Cholesterol. TG : Triglycerides.

e-GFR : Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate.

Alb/creat : Albumin/creatinine ratio.

: Between II and ID genotypes.

: Between II and DD genotypes. : Between ID and DD genotypes.

This table shows the association between APOL1 G2 rs71785313 and the laboratory data of the studied groups (both groups n=88): There was significant statistical difference between the three

genotypes as regard the laboratry data as: Cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL, LDL, creatinine, eGFR, and albumin/creatinine ratio.

This significant statistical difference was evident between II and ID genotypes (p_{\perp}) as regard cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL, LDL and between II and DD genotypes (*p*2) as regard to cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL, LDL, creatinine, eGFR, and albumin/creatinine ratio.

While between ID and DD genotypes (p_3) , there was significant statistical difference as regard cholesterol, LDL and albumin/creatinine ratio.

Table (10): Baseline characteristics by allele combination as regard the demographic data (in both groups n=88).

		-			
	No risk N=3	1 risk N=14	2 risk N=71	Test of significance	<i>p</i> - value
<i>Gender:</i> Male Female	N % 2 (66.7%) 1 (33.3%)	N % 9 (64.3%) 5 (35.7%)	N % 35 (49.3%) 36 (50.7%)	Fisher exact 1.06	0.5
Social class: High Moderate Low	2 (66.7%) 1 (33.3%) 0 (0%)	5 (46.6%) 5 (26.7%) 4 (26.7%)	25 (32.1%) 26 (39.2%) 20 (28.7%)	0.1	0.9
<i>Smoking:</i> No Yes	2 (66.7%) 1 (33.3%)	8 (60%) 6 (40%)	43 (60.7%) 28 (39.3%)	1.6	0.8
HTN severity: Mild Moderate Sever	0 (0%) 2 (66.7%) 1 (33.3%)	4 (13.4%) 8 (46.6%) 2 (40%)	20 (32.1%) 28 (37.5%) 23 (30.4%)	3.4	0.4

N : Number.

HTN : Hypertension.

No risk \therefore Normal genotypes (AA + TT + II).

One risk : Abnormal single genotype (AG, TG, GG, DI or DD).

Two risk : Two abnormal genotype (G1/G1), (G1/G2) or (G2/G2).

This table shows the baseline characteristics by allele combination as regard the patients demographic data: There was no significant statistical difference between absent risk alleles, the presence of one risk allele or two risk allels as regard to the patient demographic data.

Table (11): Baseline characteristics by allele combination as regard the laboratory parameters (both groups n=88).

/·								
	No risk N=3	1 risk N=14	2 risk N=71	Test of significance	<i>p</i> -value	p_1	p_2	<i>p</i> ₃
Hb (gm/dl)	Mean± SD	Mean± SD	Mean± SD	One way	0.03	0.9	0.3	0.05
	12.5±2.2	12.3 ± 1.9	11.1 ± 1.7	ANOVA 3.4				
CHOL (mg/dl)	158.3 ± 12.6	194.8±35.4	247.7 ± 38.5	18.1	0.0001	0.2	0.0001	0.0001
TG (mg/dl)	108.6±35.5	157.6±30.1	200.4±28.2	26.4	0.0001	0.02	0.0001	0.0001
HDL (mg/dl)	51.6±0.5	45±3.6	39.6±2.9	36.9	0.0001	0.003	0.0001	0.0001
LDL (mg/dl)	84.9±9.5	118.3±32.7	167.9±36.9	17.7	0.0001	0.3	0.0001	0.0001
Creat (mg/dl)	1.1 ± 0.1	1.3 ± 0.7	2.1 ± 1.4	4.3	0.01	0.9	0.2	0.02
eGFR (ml/min)	95.6±4.9	87.1±21.6	67.6±30.7	3.5	0.03	0.8	0.2	0.03
Alb/creat (mg/gm)	300±50	200±200	600 ± 600	3.4	0.03	0.9	0.5	0.04
N: Number.SD: Standard DevHb: Hemoglobin.CHOL: Cholesterol.TG: Triglycerides.HDL: High DensityLDL: Low DensityCreat: Creatinine.	iation. Lipoproteins. Lipoproteins.		e-GFR: Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate.Alb/creat: Albumin/creatinine ratio.No risk: Normal genotypes (AA + TT + II).One risk: Single abnormal genotype (AG, TG, GG, DI or DD)Two risk: Two abnormal genotype (G1/G1), (G1/G2) or (G2/G p_1 : Between no risk and one risk alleles. p_2 : Between no risk and two risk alleles. p_3 : Between one risk and two risk alleles.					r DD). (G2/G2).

This table shows the baseline characteristics by allele combination as regard the patients laboratory parameters (both groups n=88).

There was significant statistical difference as regard the laboratory parameters as: Haemoglobin, cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL, LDL, creatinine, eGFR, and albumin/creatinine ratio.

On performing the Post-Hoc test:

There was significant statistical difference between no risk and one risk alleles (p_1) as regarding: Triglycerides and HDL and between no risk alleles and two risk alleles (p_2) as regard to cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL, LDL and detween one risk allele and two risk allele (p_3) as regard to haemoglobin, cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL, LDL, creatinine, eGFR and albumin/creatinine ratio.

Table (12): Binary logistic regression of the effect of APOL1 risk variants on HTN-attributed nephropathy.

	В	Sig.	Odds ratio
G1 rs73885319 (AG/GG)	2.861	0.007	17.486
G1 rs60910145 (TG/GG)	2.597	0.014	13.421
G2 rs71785313 (ID/DD)	2.402	0.024	11.050

This table shows the binary logistic regression of the effect of APOL 1 risk variants on HTNattributed nephropathy.

For G1 rs73885319 (AG/GG): B=2.861 and Odds ratio=17.486. In other words: Hypertensive patients carrying AG or GG genotypes have increased risk to develop HTN-attributed nephropathy of about 17.486 times than hypertensive patients carrying none of these risk varients.

For G1 rs60910145 (TG/GG): B=2.597 and Odds ratio=13.421. In other words: Hypertensive patients carrying TG or GG genotypes have increased risk to develop HTN-attributed nephropathy of about 13.421 times than hypertensive patients carrying none of these risk varients.

For G2 rs71785313 (ID/DD): B=2.402 and Odds ratio=11.050. In other words: Hypertensive patients carrying ID or DD genotypes have increased risk to develop HTN-attributed nephropathy of about 11.050 times than hypertensive patients carrying none of these risk varients.

Discussion

The association between Apolipoprotein L1 gene (APOL 1) variants and non-diabetic Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) has dramatically altered the landscape in nephrology [7,8].

It is notable that in recent reports of controlled trials in African-Americans hypertension with kidney disease is associated with the APOL1 risk genotype, and kidney disease deterioration is not ameliorating by more intensive blood pressure control [9].

To our knowledge, no data are available about the association between APOL 1 gene variation and the occurrence of nephroscelerosis among Egyptian hypertensive patients so the aim of this work was to study the influence of the APOL1 gene variants (G1 and G2) on the hypertensive induced kidney disease among Egyptian Patients.

Our study proved that advanced age, male gender, longstanding hypertension, severe hypertension, low socioeconomic status, a history of cigarette smoking, increased body mass index are not risk factors for the development of hypertensive nephroscerosis which is not consistent with the study of Perry et al., (1995) [12] who proved that advanced age, male gender, longstanding hypertension, low socioeconomic status, a history of cigarette smoking, severe hypertension are risk factors for the development of hypertensive nephroscerosis. This may be due to small sample size of our study (88 patients) in addition that we intended matching between group 1 (hyperttensive patients with normal kidney function) and group 2 (hyperttensive patients with impaired kidney function) as regard the demographic data to elucidate the influence of APOL 1 gene G 1 and G2 risk variants on the development of hyperttensive nephroscerosis in the absence of other risk factors.

Norris et al., [13] reported that dyslipidemia is a common finding in patients with hypertensive nephrosclerosis. Several lines of evidence implicate this as a risk factor for chronic kidney disease. In the ARIC study, hypertriglyceridemia and low plasma levels of High-Density Lipoprotein (HDL) were associated with the onset of hypertensive renal disease. Hypercholesterolemia was associated with the development of ESRD in the MRFIT study; our results come in line with these results.

In a cohort by Agodoa et al., [14] of 77 patients with hypertensive nephrosclerosis, demonstrated that, as compared to those with a urinary protein excretion <500mg/day, patients with a higher daily urinary protein excretion exhibited a significantly faster rate of decline in GFR. Similarly, patients with a urine protein/creatinine ratio >0.22 enrolled in the African American Study of Kidney Disease and Hypertension (AASK) exhibited a two-fold higher rate of decline in GFR as compared with those with lower protein excretion rates; our results are consistent with these results.

In our study there was significant statistical difference between the two studied groups as regard the frequency of the APOL1 G1 and G2 risk variants; which are more frequent in group 2 and this come in line with [7,8] who reported that APOL 1 G1 and G2 risk variants are highly associated with non-diabetic forms of kidney disease in particular hypertensive nephropathy.

More recent studies suggest that the presence of two risk alleles (termed G1 and G2) in any combination (G1/G1, G1/G2, G2/G2) in the last exon of gene encoding Apolipoprotein-L 1 (APOL 1) are associated with 5-29 times higher odds of severe kidney disease, including non-diabetic ES-RD, hypertension-related ESRD, focal segmental glomerulo sclerosis, and HIV-related nephropathy [15].

In the large community-based study of 15,792 adults recruited from four US communities (subanalysis of ARIC Study), participants carrying two risk alleles were at an increased risk of developing incident CKD as well as ESRD events in comparison to patients lacking these alleles or having only one of them [16].

Moreover, over 31 % of African American CKD carriers of two APOL1 risk alleles progressed to ESRD, while only 13% of patients with CKD and with zero or one risk allele faced such aggravation of renal function during over 6 years of followup. Quite high prevalence of G1 and G2 risk alleles among African Americans in comparison to Americans with European ancestry could be one of reasons for the increased kidney disease burden in African Americans. In the Dallas Heart Study including 1776 African Americans, carriers of two APOL 1 risk alleles had three- to four-fold increased odds of prevalent microalbuminuria and reduced estimated GFR (eGFR; eGFR <60mL/min per $1.73m^2$) in comparison with participants with zero or one risk allele. This relationship was influenced by diabetes status [16].

Our results come in line with these studies as our study proved that most patients with hypertensive nephroscelerosis (Group II) carry two risk alleles and showed more decline in eGFR than Group I despite matching in the hypertension duration and severity.

Compared with European Americans, blacks have an increased burden of albuminuria and progressive CKD [17]. Although much of this risk difference is explained by an increased prevalence of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and obesity among blacks as well as racial disparities in access to care [18], the G1 and G2 variants in the gene encoding Apolipoprotein L 1 (APOL 1) likely also contribute [7]. Studies to date have demonstrated that blacks with the APOL 1 high-risk genotypes (2 risk alleles) have a 2-to 20-fold higher risk for various forms of kidney disease compared with those with the low-risk genotypes (0-1 risk alleles) [9].

In a community-based study, however, the distribution of annual eGFR decline among APOL1 high-versus low-risk individuals substantially overlapped [19]. Even among blacks with established CKD, a notable proportion of individuals with the high-risk genotypes had stable kidney function over long-term follow-up [20].

Our study proved that hypertensive patients possessing APOL-1 risk variants have increased incidence to develop proteinuria and renal impairment than hypertensive patients possessing none of these variants.

Conclusion:

APOL1 G1 rs73885319, G1 rs60910195 and G2 rs71785313 gene polymorphism is associated with increased risk of hypertensive induced kidney disease among Egyptian Patients. Most patients with hypertensive nephroscelerosis (Group II) showed more decline in e-GFR than Group I despite matching in the hypertension duration and severity.

References

- 1- D'AGATI V.D., KASKEL F.J. and FALK R.J.: Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. N. Engl. J. Med., 365 (25): 2398-411, 2011.
- 2- ROSSET S., TZUR S., BEHAR D.M., WASSER W.G. and SKORECKI K.: The population genetics of chronic kidney disease: Insights from the MYH9-APOL1 locus. Nat. Rev. Nephrol., 7 (6): 313-26, 2011.
- 3- TWAGIRUMUKIZA M., De BACQUER D., KIPS J.G., De BACKER G., STICHELE R.V. and VAN BORTEL L.M.: Current and projected prevalence of arterial hypertension in sub-Saharan Africa by sex, age and habitat: An estimate from population studies. J. Hypertens, 29 (7): 1243-52, 2011.
- 4- IBRAHIM M.M., RIZK H., APPEL L.J., EL AROUSSY W., HELMY S., SHARAF Y. and WHELTON P.K.: Hypertension prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control in Egypt. Results from the Egyptian National Hypertension Project (NHP). NHP Investigative Team. Hypertension, 26 (6 Pt 1): 886-90, 1995.
- 5- VOLHARD F. and FAHR T.: Bright's kidney diseaseclinic Pathology and Atlas, Berlin, Springer 1914. (Cited

from: The scientific Journal Facta Universitatis Series: Medicine and Biology Vol. 5, No. 1, pp. 1-5), 1998.

- 6- TOLINS J.P., SHULTZ P. and RAIJ L.: Mechanisms of hypertensive glomerular injury. Am. J. Cardiol., 62: 54G-58G, 1988.
- 7- GENOVESE G., FRIEDMAN D.J., ROSS M.D., et al.: Association of trypanolytic ApoL1 variants with kidney disease in African Americans. Science, 329: 841-5, 2010.
- 8- TZUR S., ROSSET S., SHEMER R., et al.: Missense mutations in the APOL1 gene are highly associated with end stage kidney disease risk previously attributed to the MYH9 gene. Hum. Genet., 128 (3): 345-50, 2010.
- 9- LIPKOWITZ M.S., FREEDMAN B.I., LANGEFELD C.D., et al.: Apolipoprotein L1 gene variants associate with hypertension-attributed nephropathy and the rate of kidney function decline in African Americans. Kidney Int., 83: 114-20, 2013.
- IZZO J.L., Jr. and BLACK H.R.: Hypertension primer: The Essentials of High Blood Pressure. Council on High Blood Pressure Research, American Heart Association; Dallas, TX: p. 3, 1993.
- 11- KALKAN G., YIGIT S., KARAKUS N., BAS, Y., et al.: Association between interleukin 4 gene intron 3 VNTR polymorphism and recurrent aphthous stomatitis in a cohort of Turkish patients. Gene, 527: 207-10, 2013.
- 12- PERRYHM J.R., MILLER J.P., FORNOFF J.R., et al.: Early predictors of 15-year end-stage renal disease in hypertensive patients. Hypertension, 25: 587-94, 1995.
- 13- NORRIC K.C., HORNHILL-JOYNES M., ROBINSON C., et al.: Cocaine use, hypertension, and end-stage renal disease.Am. J. Kidney Dis., 38: 523-8, 2001.

- 14- AGODOA L.Y.: For the African American Study of Kidney Disease and Hypertension (AASK) Study Group. Effect of ramipril Vs. amlodipine on renal outcomes in hypertensive nephrosclerosis: A randomized controlled trial. JAMA, Vol. 6, No. 285, Issue 21, (June), pp. 2719-28, 2001.
- 15- FOSTER M.C., CORESH J., FORNAGE M., ASTOR B.C., GRAMS M., FRANCESCHINI N., BOERWINKLE E., PAREKH R.S. and KAO W.H.: APOL1 Variants Associate with Increased Risk of CKD among African Americans. JASN, 24: 1484-91, 2013.
- 16- FRIEDMAN D.J., KOZLITINA J., GENOVESE G., JOG P. and POLLAK M.R.: Population-based risk assessment of APOL1 on renal disease. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol., 22: 2098-105, 2011.
- 17- PERALTA C.A., BIBBINS-DOMINGO K., VITTING-HOFF E., LIN F., FORNAGE M., KOPP J.B. and WIN-KLER C.A.: APOL1 genotype and race differences in incident albuminuria and renal function decline. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol., 27: 887-93, 2016.
- 18- CREWS D.C., CHARLES R.F., EVANS M.K., ZONDER-MAN A.B. and POWE N.R.: Poverty, race, and CKD in a racially and socioeconomically diverse urban population. Am. J. Kidney Dis., 55: 992-1000, 2010.
- 19- GRAMS M.E., REBHOLZ C.M., CHEN Y., RAWLINGS A.M., ESTRELLA M.M., SELVIN E., APPEL L.J., TIN A. and CORESH J.: Race, APOL1 risk, and eGFR decline in the general population. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol., 27: 2842-50, 2016.
- 20- TIN A., GRAMS M.E., ESTRELLA M., LIPKOWITZ M., GREENE T.H., KAO W.H., LI L. and APPEL L.J.: Patterns of kidney function decline associated with APOL1 genotypes: Results from AASK. Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol., 11: 1353-9, 2016.

التعدد الشكلى لجين الآبولايبوبروتين-١ في مرضى تصلب الكلى المصريين الناتج عن إرتفاع ضغط الدم

إن التقدم فى الإبلاغ عن الإختلافات الوراثية التى تميز آمراض الكلى مع الإرث آحادى الجين البسيط (إضطرابات مندل) بإستخدام دراسات الربط القائمة على العائلة على مدى العقدين الماضيين قد آثارت العديد من الآفكار الجديدة على فهم مسببات آمراض الكلى. وقد كان لهذا تأثيره على التشخيص السريرى المباشر، كما سلط الضوء على المكونات الآساسية للسلامة الوظيفية من حاجز الترشيح الكبيبى.

يمثل إرتفاع ضغط الدم الشريانى مشكلة صحية عامة رئيسية فى المجتمعات الغربية ولا سيما لعلاقته القوية مع معدلات إعتلال ووفيات القلب والأوعية الدموية.

وقد تلقى موضوع إرتفاع ضغط الدم وإعتلال الكلى إهتماما متزايدا فى السنوات العشر الماضية يرجع فى معظمه إلى البيانات التى تقدمها سجلات الداء الكلوى بمراحله الآخيرة. فى الواقع، إن إرتفاع ضغط الدم كسبب لإرتفاع معدلات الداء الكلوى بمراحله الآخيرة تدريجيا وبسرعة يعد أكبر من جميع الآسباب الآخرى (بإستثناء مرض السكرى) فى آمريكا الشمالية وآوروبا.

إن تأثير إرتفاع ضغط الدم الأساسى على الكلى كما ظهر فى التشريح آو عن طريق عينات الكلى والتى أظهرت تشوهات فى الأوعية الدموية والتى تظهر فى صورة خزعة تتميز بالتنكس الزجاجى وتصلب (فى الغالب فى الشرايين الكبيبية الواردة) مرتبطة بالتليف تحت البطانة الوسطى فى الشرايين المقوسة بين الفصيصات. تصلب الكلى هو أساسا آفة بأنسجة الكلى ولكن ليست محددة من إرتفاع ضغط الدم حيث أن تقدم السن قد يحرض تشوهات مماثلة فى الكلى.

إن الآلية التى يؤثر بها ضغط الدم المرتفع على الكلى والتى يمكن أن تحدث الفشل الكلوى ليست معروفة بشكل واضح. وقد وضعت إثنين من الفرضيات المختلفة لتفسير ذلك: تلف الكلى هو نتيجة لنقص التروية الكبيبية ونقص إنسياب الدم ويرجع ذلك إلى ضيق الآوعية قبل الكبيبة، كما يحدث فى حالات الضيق الشديد فى الشريان الكلوى أو إنها نتيجة لإرتفاع ضغط الدم فى الشعيرة الكبيبية بسبب فقدان إستجابة الكلى والذى عادة ما يحدث أيضا فى المرحلة الآولى من إرتفاع ضغط الدم.

إن العلاقة بين متغيرات جين الآبولايبوبروتين-١ ومرض الكلى المزمن الغير سكرى غيرت بشكل كبير في علاج أمراض الكلي.

اَدت ثلاثة تطورات مهمة للتعرف على الآبولايبوبروتين–١ والمتغيرات فى المجاور الميوسين غير العضلات الثقيلة سلسلة ٩ الجين كعوامل مسببة لمعظم حالات إعتلال الكلية، بسبب إرتفاع ضغط الدم.

وكان التطور الآول تقديرا لتكدس عائلى ملحوظ من مرض فى الكلى فى الآسر الآمريكية من أصول آفريقية، وتشير هذه الملاحظة إلى أن وجود جينات الفشل الكلوى قابلية الشامل رثت بشكل مستقل (من الإضطرابات الجهازية، مثل إرتفاع ضغط الدم والإصابة بفيروس نقص المناعة البشرية المكتسبة ومرض السكرى والذئبة الحمراء).

وكان التطور الثانى سلسلة من التطورات الجينية الجزيئية، ولا سيما رسم خرئط إختلال التوازن خليط الربط، والذى يعد مصدرا قويا لطريقة الجينوم على نطاق مفيد للكشف عن الجينات المرتبطة بها سكان ممزوجة، حيث يكون للسكان الآسلاف ترددات مختلفة من المرض بشكل ملحوظ.

الكشف عن الربط بين علامات وراثية على الذراع القصير للكروموسوم ٢٢ يحتوى على الجينات الآبولايبوبروتين-١ مع الإعتلال البؤرى القطاعي للكبيبات وما يرتبط بها من فيروس نقص المناعة البشرية إعتلال إنهياري في كبيبات الكلي في الآمريكيين من أصل أفريقي.

وكان التطور الثالث للفحص الجينى الواسع لجينات الأبولايبوبروتين –١، الذين يرتبط بقوة مع الإعتلال البؤرى القطاعى للكبيبات النمط الظاهرى محددة بوضوح المتغيرات، فى عدد كبير من الآمريكيين من أصل أفريقى أو من أصل أسبانى مع أشكال معقدة مشتركة من الداء الكلوى بمراحله الآخيرة. الكلوى بمراحله الآخيرة.

هذه التطورات متتابعة آدت إلى إدراك آن الإعتلال البؤرى القطاعى للكبيبات وإعتلال الكلية المرتبطة بفيروس نقص المناعة البشرية المكتسبة، وتصلب الكلى نتيجة لإرتفاع ضغط الدم كانت أفراد من الطيف لمرض واحد.

على حد علمنا، لا توجد بيانات متاحة عن العلاقة بين التعدد الشكلى لجين الآبولايبوبروتين-١ وحدوث تصلب الكلى بين المرضى المصريين المصابين بإرتفاع ضغط الدم الآولى.

الهدف من البحث: دراسة تأثير التعدد الشكلي لجين الآبولايبوبروتين–١ على حدوث تصلب الكلي بين المرضى المصريين المصابين بإرتفاع ضغط الدم الآولي.