Hospitalization among prevalent hemodialysis patients: one year retrospective study

Hisham Mohamed El Sayed^{*}, **Ahmed Shabaan**^{*}, **Maha Behairy**^{*}, **Azza M. Hassan**^{**} ^{*}Internal Medicine and Nephrology department, faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University.

**Community, Environmental and Occupational Medicine department, faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University.

Received: 4-7-2015, accepted: 3-12-2015

Abstract

Background: Incidence of hemodialysis as renal replacement therapy has been increasing continuously in the last decades in Egypt. The risk of hospitalization increases among hemodialysis patients due to factors related to hemodialysis, vascular access or comorbid conditions. **Objectives:** To measure the frequency and identify the causes of hospital admission among chronic hemodialysis patients and identify factors affecting duration of their hospital stay. **Patients and methods:** The study population consisted of End Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) patients on regular hemodialysis for more than six months. Total number of patients was 792 recruited from nine different hemodialysis centers in Egypt. A descriptive study was carried out using hospital records for the year 2012. Data extraction sheet was designed to collect data from records as personal data, medical history and laboratory results. **Results:** Three hundreds and eighty eight patients (49%) had history of hospital admission at least once during the study period. The most frequent cause of hospital admission was complicated A-V fistula in 128 (33%) of the patients followed by disturbed conscious level in 82 (21.1%). No significant difference was found between admitted and non-admitted groups except for type of dialysate used (P value <0.01), vascular access (P value <0.01), presence of hypertension (P value <0.05), presence of ischemic heart disease (*P* value <0.01), age of patients (*P* value <0.05) and mean Ca level (P value <0.05). Logistic regression analysis for determinants of hospital admission showed that decreased mean Ca level, using Catheter as a vascular access, using acetate as dialysate, presence of hypertension and presence of ischemic heart disease were significant predictors of hospital admission among hemodialysis patients. The median duration of hospital stay was 7 days with (2-7 IQR), 35 (9.02%) of hospitalized patients had a duration of hospital stay of more than 7 days and no statistically significant difference was found between them and the other hospitalized patients regarding studied parameters except for surface area of dialyzer (P value<0.05). Conclusion: High rate of hospitalization among Egyptian patients on regular hemodialysis especially with complicated AVF as the main cause of admission that increases patient morbidity and financial burden on health care services, needs an integrated vascular management in hemodialysis centers and further studies to determine a comprehensive evidence-based management policy for hemodialysis patients in Egypt.

Keywords: Hemodialysis, vascular access, dialysate, hospital admission. *corresponding author, Email: <u>drazzahassan@yahoo.com</u> (Azza M. Hassan)

Introduction:

ESRD is one of the main health problems in Egypt.⁽¹⁾ The prevalence of ESRD in Egypt increased from 225 per million population (pmp) in 1996 to 483 pmp in 2004 .The main cause of ESRD in Egypt is hypertension followed by diabetes and still unknown causes represent about 15%.⁽²⁾ Hospitalization among hemodialysis patients may be caused by inadequate dialysis leads to serious conditions (e.g., hyperkalemia, metabolic acidosis ,volume overload) or in some cases hospitalization is to treat a preexisting co-morbid condition (e.g., cardiovascular complications, anemia, infection, renal osteodystrophy, vascular access complications).

Measurement of hospitalization in the dialysis population is an important indicator of patient morbidity and quality of life as well as the cost of treatment among dialysis patients. In the United States, dialysis patients are admitted to the hospital approximately twice a year and spend an average of 12 days in the hospital per year.⁽³⁾ The main problem in developing countries is lack and inaccuracy of data registry. Also there are no available epidemiological reports for different parts of the country.⁽⁴⁾ This study aims to assess the frequency of hospital admission and identify the related causes that might increase the rate of hospital admissions or the length of stay in hospital among ESRD patients on regular hemodialysis (HD).

Patients and methods:

The study population consisted of ESRD patients on regular HD for more than six months. Needed sample size was 384 patients putting in consideration hospital admission rate of $50\% \pm 5\%$ at 95% C.I

using Epi Info7 program. Total number of patients records used was 792 recruited from nine different hemodialysis centers in Cairo, Egypt. These centers are considered referral centers for ESRD patients from different areas allover Egypt: (Nile hospital, Bahteem hospital, Shobra hospital, Naser hospital, Mandera hospital, kaluob hospital, Harm center, Sherief center and Gomherya center). An approval was taken from the dialysis centers included in the study before starting data collection. A descriptive study carried out using hospital records for the year 2012. Data extraction sheet was designed to collect data from records, available data were collected including personal data as age and sex, cause of renal failure, presence of co-morbidities, duration of HD, type of dialyzer, dialysate, vascular access, , history of hospital admission, duration of hospital stay and causes of hospital admission. Routine laboratory results were recorded as hemoglobin level, serum creatinine, blood urea, calcium, phosphorous, sodium and potassium levels. Virology results as HCV Ab, HBVsAg, and HIV Ab. Analysis of data was done by IBM computer using SPSS (Statistical Program for Social Science) version 18. Quantitative data were presented as minimum. maximum. mean and SD.Qualitative data were presented as number and percent. Chi-square test was used to compare qualitative variables between groups. Student t-test was used compare quantitative variables to between two groups. One way ANOVA (analysis of variance) test was used to compare quantitative variables between more than two groups. Logistic regression analysis was used for determinants of hospital admission. P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results:

Total number of patient's records were 792 records. The patient's age was ranging between 16 and 79 years old with mean of 55.68 ± 9.62 years. Duration of hemodialysis was ranging between six months and 21 years with mean of 4.53 years and SD of 3.09 years. 499 (63%) of patients were males and 663 (83.7%) were married. The most frequent cause of renal failure was hypertension in 343 (43.3%)followed diabetic by nephropathy in 233 (29.4%). Most of patients 775 (98%) were using A-V fistula as a vascular access, 612 (77.3%) of patients were using bicarbonate as dialysate and 683 (86.2%) were using a dialyzer of surface area (SA) and flux (130-low flux). All patients were receiving 3 sessions per week of 4h duration per session. Among the studied patients 713 (90%) had co-morbid conditions where 423 (53.4%) of patients had hypertension, 252 (31.8%) had diabetes and 39 (4.9%) had ischemic heart disease (ISHD). 388 (49%) of patients were admitted to hospital at least once during the study period (382 patients had single admission and 6 patients had frequent admission) (Table 1 and Figure 1). The most frequent cause of hospital admission was complicated A-V fistula (33%) [99 (25.4%) thrombosis of AVF, 25 (6.4%) infected AVF and A-V aneurysm in 3(0.8%)], followed by disturbed conscious level (21.1%)[22(5.7%)]due to hypertensive encephalopathy, 32 (8.2%)uremic encephalopathy, 15 (3.9%) hepatic encephalopathy and cerebro-vascular stroke in 13 (3.3%)] (Figure 2). No significant association was found between patient's characteristics and

hospital admission except for type of vascular access (catheter in 3.6% of admitted group versus 0.5% of nonadmitted), type of dialysate (acetate was used in 35.6% of patients in admitted group versus 10.4% in non-admitted group) (P value <0.01), percent of patients with hypertension (57.7% of admitted group versus 49.3% of nonadmitted) (P value <0.05), percent of patients with ischemic heart disease (8% of admitted group versus 2% of nonadmitted) (P value <0.01) and age of patients where mean age of admitted patients was higher than that of nonadmitted (56.5 years versus 54.9 years respectively) (P value <0.05) (Table 2). laboratory Regarding results. no significant difference was found between admitted and non-admitted group except for the mean Ca level where its mean was lower in admitted group compared to non-admitted group (8.4 versus 8.6 respectively) (P value <0.05) (Table 3). Logistic regression analysis for determinants of hospital admission showed that decreased mean Ca level, using Catheter as a vascular access, using acetate as dialysate, presence of hypertension and presence of ischemic heart disease were significant predictors of hospital admission among HD patients (Table 4). Regarding duration of hospital stay, the median duration of hospital stay was 7 days with (2-7 IQR). To know the factors that may affect duration of hospital stay, we divided patients with history of hospital admission into two groups: those hospitalized for 7 days or less represent (90.98%) of hospitalized patients and those hospitalized for more than 7 days represent (9.02%) of hospitalized patients (Figure 3). When comparing the two groups regarding different personal and laboratory data we statistically significant found no

April

difference between them except for type of dialyzer used where 35 (100%) of patients admitted > 7 days were using (130-low) compared to 292 (82.7%) of patients admitted \leq 7 days (p value <0.01) (Tables 5, 6).

Discussion

We reported 49% of 792 ESRD patients on regular hemodialysis were admitted to hospital at least once during the study period, this high rate reflect increased healthcare services costs needed.

In comparison to (Quinn *et al*, 2014) study, who reported total hospitalizations 153 (73.9%) of the 207 Canadian ESRD patients on HD dialysis, with at least 1 hospitalization. ⁽⁵⁾

Another study by (Hassanien *et al*, 2014) observed retrospectively 208 (65.4%) of 318 hemodialysis patients were hospitalized at least once in one of the Ministry of Health Hospitals in Makkah, Saudi Arabia. ⁽⁶⁾

We studied different indications for hospitalization among HD patients and we observed that the most frequent cause was complicated AVF in 33% of the patients, included AVF thrombosis, infection or aneurysm. 21% of patients admitted with disturbed conscious level due to hypertensive encephalopathy, uremic or hepatic encephalopathy or cerebrovascular stroke. Cardiovascular complications (acute coronary syndrome and cardiac arrhythmia) were the causes of admission in 11.6%.

In agreement with (Mohamed *et al*, 2005) study on hospitalization conducted in Al-Madinah City also reported that vascular access-related problems as the primary reason in 33.6%, followed by infectious disease in 31.2% and cardiovascular disease in 18.4% of studied HD patients.⁽⁷⁾

On the other hand (Hassanien *et al*, 2014) observed that the most frequent reasons for hospitalization in single center were diseases of the genitourinary system (40.1%), diseases of the circulatory system (22.6%) and vascular access (19.5%), followed by overall infectious diseases (9%). ⁽⁶⁾

In contrast to (Vaiciuniene et al, 2005) study, the most frequent causes of hospitalization cardiovascular were diseases (25%), infections (22%), and access related complications (10%) among 187 ESRD on regular HD during one year . (FALK et al., 2000) study also considered cardiovascular or peripheral vascular complications as the main reasons for hospitalization among HD patients. ⁽⁸⁾ The mean of age of patients and the frequency of comorbid conditions especially ISHD in these studies were higher than our patients, which may explain the differences to our results.

Vascular access continues to be a leading cause for hospitalization and morbidity in patients on HD.⁽⁹⁾ In our study, complicated AVF was the main cause of hospitalization. The most common cause of vascular access thrombosis is venous neointimal proliferation which causes stenosis, leading to fistula thrombosis ⁽¹⁰⁾. AV access thrombosis may be also related to age (11) and the co-morbid diseases as diabetes mellitus and ischemic heart disease . insufficient anticoagulation, and also hypertension with excessive ultrafiltration leading to more hypotension episodes that may precipitate to venous thrombosis⁽¹²⁾. Venous catheter was a significant predictor for hospitalization by logistic analysis that agree with many studies reported that catheters remain associated with the greatest hospitalization risk among hemodialysis patients ^(13, 14, 15), that may be related to vascular access related infection or thrombosis. (Lacson *et al.*, 2010)⁽¹⁵⁾ study reported also that catheters associated with high CRP titer and inflammation even in absence of overt infection perhaps as foreign body or because of biofilm.

We observed that acetate dialysate had significant association to hospitalization, may be due to problems triggered by acetate include cytokine production with higher microinflammation, dyslipidemia, arterial hypotension, and myocardial dysfunction with a rise in troponin. As acetate dialysate is cheaper than bicarbonate, some centers in Egypt still using acetate⁽¹⁰⁾

Age ⁽⁶⁾ and high prevalence of comorbid condition particularly cardiovascular (17) disease increase the risk of hospitalization among hemodialysis patients .Through our study we found that patients who were older or had a comorbidity as hypertension or ISHD, had significant associations to hospital admission.

We reported also that hypocalcemia was a significant predictor for hospital admission among hemodialysis patients. Chronic hypocalcemia is independently associated with morbidity and mortality in ESRD patients. ⁽¹⁸⁾ But we didn't find significant correlation between hospital admission and phosphorous level or PTH, this against (Geofrey *et al.*, 2004) who found that hyperphosphatemia and hyperparathyroidism were associated with hospitalization among HD patients⁽¹⁹⁾

In this study the median duration of hospital stay was 7 days with (2-7 IQR), 9.02% only of hospitalized patients had more than 7 days hospital stay. In comparison to (Hassanien et al, 2014) study who reported that length of stay in hospital ranged between one and 80 days per hospital admission with a median of three days per admission .⁽⁶⁾ other studies reported 19 days⁽⁵⁾, 6.3 days as average length of hospital stay among hemodialysis patients (20)

We studied different factors related to patient and dialysis that may affect length of hospital stay, only lower dialyzer surface area had statistically significant association to prolonged duration of hospital stay that may reflect inadequate dialysis of patients, which need improvement of quality of health care management for hemodialysis patients.

Conclusion:

High rate of hospitalization among Egyptian patients on regular hemodialysis especially with complicated AVF as the main cause of admission that increases patient morbidity and financial burden on health care system.

Recommendations

An integrated vascular management should be employed in hemodialysis centers as regard screening of vascular access integrity and early management of the complications. Nephrologist should be trained on professional arteriovenous fistula management. Further researches are needed to study indications of hospitalization among hemodialysis patients to determine a comprehensive evidence-based management policy for hemodialysis patients in Egypt.

References

1) Ahmed AM, Allam MF, Habil ES, Metwally AM, Ibrahim NA, Radwan M, El-Gaafary MM, Afifi A, Gadallah MA. 2010. Development of practice guidelines for hemodialysis in Egypt Indian J Nephrol.; 20(4): 193–202.

2) Afifi A. (2008): The Egyptian Renal Registry, The 9th annual report for the year 2008.

3) Collins AJ¹, Foley RN, Chavers B, Gilbertson D, Herzog C, Johansen K, Kasiske B, Kutner N, Liu J, St Peter W, Guo H, Gustafson S, Heubner B, Lamb K, Li S, Li S, Peng Y, Qiu Y, Roberts T, Skeans M, Snyder J, Solid C, Thompson B, Wang C, Weinhandl E, Zaun D, Arko C, Chen SC, Daniels F, Ebben J, Frazier E,Hanzlik C, Johnson R, Sheets D, Wang X, Forrest B, Constantini E, Everson S, Eggers P, Agodoa L. US renal data system 2011 annual data report. Am J Kidney Dis 2011; 59: A7.

4) Reikes ST. 2000. Trends in end-stage renal disease. Epidemiology, morbidity, and mortality .Postgrad Med.; 108(1):124-6, 129-31, 135-6.

5) Quinn RR, Ravani P, Zhang X, Garg A, Blake PG, Austin PC, Zacharias JM, Johnson JF, Pandeya S, Verrelli M, Oliver MJ.2014. Impact of modality choice on rate of modality choice on rates of hospitalization in patients eligible for both peritoneal dialysis and hemodialysis. Peritoneal Dialysis International, 34;41–48.

6) Hassanien A, Majeed A, Watt H. 2014. Retrospective observational study examining indications for hospitalisation among haemodialysis patients at one of the Ministry of Health Hospitals in Makkah, Saudi Arabia.Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine Open; 5(10) 1–11.

7) Mohamed AO.2005. Morbidity and Mortality in ESRD Patients on Regular Hemodialysis: A Single Center Experience. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl ,16:336-41.

8) Vaiciuniene R, KuzminskisV, Bumblyte LA. 2005. Hospitalization in hemodialysis patients in one region of Lithuania Int J Artif Organs ,28(12): 1228 - 1231.

9) Baltimore. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services: 2004 Annual Report. End-Stage Renal Disease Clinical Performance Measures Project. and Human Department of Health Medicare & Services, Centers for Medicaid Center Services. for Beneficiary Choices.

10) Bonatti J, Oberhuber A, Schachner T, Zou Y, Hammerer-Lercher A, Mittermair R, Laufer G.2004. Neointimal hyperplasia in coronary vein grafts: pathophysiology and prevention of a significant clinical problem. Heart Surg Forum, 7:72-77.

11) Grapsa EJ, Paraskevopoulos AP, Moutafis SP, <u>Vourliotou</u> <u>AJ</u>, <u>Papadoyannakis NJ</u>, <u>Digenis</u> <u>GE</u>, <u>Zerefos NJ</u>. 1998. Complications of vascular access in hemodialysis (HD) aged vs adult patients. Geriatr NephrolUrol ,8(1):21-4.

12) Schild AF .2010. Maintaining vascular access: the management of hemodialysis arteriovenous grafts. Vasc Access. Apr-Jun ;11(2):92-9.

13) Rayner H, Pisoni R, Bommer J, Canaud B, Hecking E, Locatelli F, Piera L, Bragg-Gresham JL, Feldman HI, Goodkin DA, Gillespie B, Wolfe RA, Held PJ, Port FK. 2004. Mortality and hospitalization in haemodialysis patients in five European countries: results from the Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study (DOPPS). Nephrol Dial Transplant ,19: 108–120.

14) Metcalfe W, Khan IH, Prescott GJ, Simpson K, Macleod AM. 2003. Hospitalization in the first year of renal replacement therapy for end-stage renal disease. QJM. Dec;96(12):899-909.

15) Lacson E, Wang W, Lazarus J, Raymond M. Hakim. 2010. Change in Vascular Access and Hospitalization Risk in Long-Term Hemodialysis Patients. CJASN ,November ;5(11): 1996-2003

16) Bolasco P, Panichi V, Paletti S, Mancini E.2011.Will there be acetate in dialysis solutions for the foreseeable future?.G Ital Nefrol., 28(4):359-68. **17) Rostand SG. 2000.** Coronary heart disease in chronic renal insufficiency: some management considerations. J Am Soc Nephrol , 11: 1948–1956.

18) Foley RN, Parfrey PS, Harrnett JD, Kent GM, Hu L, O';Dea R, Murray DC. Barre PE. 1996. Hypocalcemia, Morbidity, and Mortality in End-Stage Renal Disease. Am J Nephrol; 16:386– 393.

19) Block GA, KLASSEN PS, LAZARUS JM, Ofsthun N, Lowrie EG, Chertow GM. 2004. Mineral Metabolism, Mortality, and Morbidity in Maintenance Hemodialysis. J Am Soc Nephrol 15: 2208–2218.

20) Kshirsagar AV, Hogan SL, Mandelkehr L, Falk RJ. 2000. Length of Stay and Costs for Hospitalized Hemodialysis Patients: Nephrologists *versus* Internists. J Am Soc Nephrol , 11: 1526–1533.

Table 1: Description of patient's characteristics (Total number =792):

SD	Mean	Maximum	Minimum			
9.62	55.68	79	16	Age in years		
3.09	4.53	21	0.50	Duration of HD* in years		
%	Ν					
63.0	499	Male		Sov		
37.0	293	Female		Sex		
83.7	663	Married				
12.9	102	Widow		Marital status		
3.4	27	Single				
43.3	343	Hypertension				
3.5	28	Analgesic nephr	opathy			
4.4	35	Chronic pyelone	phritis			
29.4	233	Diabetic nephrop	pathy	Cause of renal failure		
5.7	45	Polycystic kidne	y			
7.2	57	Obstructive neph	nropathy			
1.6	13	Chronic glomeru	lonephritis			
.9	7	Renal amyloidos	sis			
3.9	31	Unknown				
Dialysis par	rameters:					
98.0	775	A-V fistula		Vacaular accord		
2.0	16	Catheter		vascular access		
77.3	612	Bicarbonate		Dialysata		
22.7	180	Acetate		Dialysate		
86.2	683	130-low		Dielyzon SA and flux		
13.8	109	160-low		Dialyzer SA and nux		
Co-morbid	ities:					
53.4	423	Hypertension				
31.8	252	Diabetes mellitu	S			
4.9	39	Ischemic heart d	isease			
49.0	388	Hospital admissi	ion			

*HD: Hemodialysis

		Hospit	al adn	nission				
Dereka	square	Yes		No				
P value		(N=388)		(N=404)				
	test	%	N.	%	N.			
0.72	0.70		242	63.6	257	Male	Corr	
0.72	0.1	37.6	146	36.4	147	Female	Sex	
		83.5	324	83.9	339	Married		
0.34	2.2	13.9	54	11.9	48	Widow	Marital	
		2.6	10	4.2	17	Single	status	
		46.4	180	40.3	163	Hypertension		
		3.4	13	3.7	15	Analgesic nephropathy		
		4.4	17	4.5	18	Chronic pyelonephritis		
		27.8	108	30.9	125	Diabetic nephropathy		
	9.5	5.9	23	5.4	22	Polycystic kidney	Course of	
0.30		7.0	27	7.4	30	Obstructive	Cause of	
						nephropathy	renai fanure	
		15	6	1.7	7	Chronic		
		1.5				glomerulonephritis		
		.0	0	1.7	7	Renal amyloidosis		
		3.6	14	4.2	17	Unknown		
< 0.01#	07	96.4	373	99.5	402	A-V fistula	Vascular	
< 0.01	5.1	3.6	14	.5	2	Catheter	access	
0.12	2.5	84.3	327	88.1	356	130-low	Dialyzer SA	
0.12	2.5	15.7	61	11.9	48	160-low	and flux	
< 0.01#	71 /	64.4	250	89.6	362	Bicarbonate	Dialycata	
< 0.01	/1.4	35.6	138	10.4	42	Acetate	Dialysate	
0.02##	5.7	57.7	224	49.3	199	Hypertension		
0.50	0.5	30.7	119	32.9	133	Diabetes mellitus		
< 0.01#	15.3	8.0	31	2.0	8	Ischemic heart disease		
P value	Student t	mean -	+ SD	mean .	+ SD			
I value	test			incan .				
0.02##	2.4	56.5 <u>+</u>	9.2	54.9 <u>+</u>	10	Age in years		
0.70	0.4	4.5 <u>+</u> 3		4.6 <u>+</u> 3	.1	Duration of hemodialy	sis in years	

 Table 2: Relation between patients' characteristics and hospital admission:

[#]Significant at 0.01 level ^{##}Significant at 0.05 level

		Hospit	al admis			
Dyohuo	Chi square	Yes	Yes			I ab regulte
1 value	test	(N=388)		(N=40	04)	
		%	N.	%	N.	
0.22	1.5	46.6	181	51.0	206	HCV positive
1.00	0.3	0.3	1	0.5	2	HPV positivo
1.00	(Fisher Exact)	0.5	1	0.5	2	пв у розниче
P value	Student t test	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	
0.59	0.5	1.5	9.8	1.6	9.7	Hemoglobin
0.66	0.4	40.7	133.2	39.5	134.5	Urea
0.80	0.3	5.6	9.0	5.4	8.9	Creatinine
0.11	1.6	3.2	135.7	3.1	136	Sodium
0.24	1.2	0.7	4.7	0.6	4.6	Potassium
0.04#	2.0	1.0	8.4	1.0	8.6	Calcium
0.13	1.5	1.2	4.8	1.2	4.9	Phosphates

Table 3: Relation between lab results	s of patients and hospital admission
--	--------------------------------------

[#] Significant at 0.05 level

Table	4:	Logistic	regression	analysis	for	determinants	of	hospital
admiss	sion	:						

P value	95% C.	I.	Odds	В	Determinants of hospital admis	
	Upper	Lower	ratio			
0.13			0.19	-1.64	Constant	
0.07	1.03	0.99	1.01	0.01	Age	
0.03#	0.98	0.74	0.85	-0.16	Mean Ca	
			1.00	1.00	A-V fistula*	Vascular access
0.01##	31.23	1.53	6.90	1.93	Catheter	
			1.00		Bicarbonate*	Dialysate
<	6.56	3.03	4.46	1.32	Acetate	
0.01##						
			1.00		No*	Hypertension
0.04#	1.79	1.01	1.34	0.29	Yes	
			1.00		No*	Ischemic heart
< 0.01##	8.44	1.68	3.76	1.33	Yes	disease

CI=Confidence Interval

*reference group

[#] Significant at 0.05 level

Significant at 0.01 level

Р	Chi Square	Duration of hospital stay			,		
value	test	>7 da	7 days \leq 7 day				
		(N = 3)	85)	$\overline{(N = 35)}$	3)		
		%	N.	%	N.		
0.67	0.2	65.7	23	62.0	219	Male	Sex
		34.3	12	38.0	134	Female	
0.59	0.29	68.6	24	64.0	226	Bicarbonate	Dialysate
		31.4	11	36.0	127	Acetate	
0.01#	7.2	100	35	82.7	292	130-low	Dialyzer
		0	0	17.3	61	160-low	
0.46	0.6	94.1	32	96.6	341	A-V fistula	Vascular
		5.9	2	3.4	12	Catheter	access
0.43	0.6	51.4	18	58.4%	206	Hypertension	
0.92	0.01	31.4	11	30.6%	108	Diabetes melli	tus
0.60	0.3	5.7	2	8.2%	29	Ischemic hear	t disease
Р	Student t	SD	Mean	SD	Mean		
value	test						
0.86	0.2	9.10	56.77	9.21	56.48	Age in years	
0.50	0.7	4.21	4.94	2.90	4.45	Duration of h	nemodialysis in
						years	

Table 5: Relation between some patients' characteristics and duration of hospital stay:

[#] Significant at 0.01 level

Table 6: Relation between lab	results of patients	and duration	of hospital
stay:			

		Durat	ion of ho			
P value	Chi Square test	> 7 days (N-35)		\leq 7 da	ly 53)	
		%	S) N.	%	N.	
0.64	0.2	42.9	15	47.0	166	HCV positive
1.00	0.1	0	0	0.3	1	HBV positive
1.00	(Fisher Exact)					_
P value	Student t test	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	
0.65	0.5	1.7	9.7	1.5	9.8	Hemoglobin
0.53	0.6	31.4	136.6	41.5	132.9	Urea
0.34	1.0	16.8	11.5	2.9	8.7	Creatinine
0.18	1.4	2.6	136.4	3.2	135.6	Sodium
0.11	1.6	0.7	4.5	0.7	4.7	Potassium
0.23	1.2	0.9	8.2	1.0	8.4	Calcium
0.19	1.3	1.2	4.6	1.2	4.8	Phosphates



Figure 1: Frequency of hospital admission among the studied patients



Figure 2: Causes of hospital admission among the studied patients



Figure 3: Duration of hospital stay among the studied patients