# Carcass and Meat Assessment of Broiler Chickens Fed Raw Benne Seeds (*Sesamum indicum*) Basal Diets with or Without Lysine Supplementation

## \*Apata, E. Stanley<sup>1</sup>; Akanji, A. Moses<sup>1</sup>; Tijani, L. Adisa<sup>2</sup> and Koleoso I. Mohammed<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Meat Science Laboratory; Department of Animal Production, Olabisi Onabanjo University, Yewa Campus P.M.B 0012 Ayetoro, Ogun State, Nigeria.
<sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Production, Lagos State Polytechnic Ikorodu, Nigeria.
\* Corresponding Author email: ebunoluapata2008@yahoo.com

**Received on:** 31/12/2016

Accepted for publication on: 14/3/2017

### Abstract

This study was carried out to assess the effect of raw benne seeds (RBS) (Sesamum indicum) basal diets with or without lysine supplementation on carcass and meat characteristics of broiler chickens in an 8-week feeding trial. Raw benne seeds was incorporated into the diets at 15% and 30% while lysine was incorporated at 0.25% and 0.5% thus 7 diets were formulated as: T0 = Control; (No raw benne seeds and lysine); T1 = 15% RBS + 0% lysine, T2 = 15% RBS + 0.25% lysine; T3 = 15% RBS = 0.5% lysine; T4 = 30% RBS + 0% lysine, T5 = 30% RBS + 0.25 lysine; T6 = 30% RBS + 0.5 lysine. Total of 140 unsexed broiler chicks of Marshall strain were randomly allotted into 7 dietary groups, each group replicated 4 times at 5 birds per replicate. Fasted live, dressed carcass, and primal cuts weights as well as their percentages with exception of the back at T6 all reduced significantly (P<0.05) in carcasses of birds fed 30% RBS than those fed 15% RBS with or without lysine supplementation up to 0.5%. There was significant (p<0.05) increase in cooking loss, thermal shortening and shear force values, while water holding capacity and cooking yield decreased (p<0.05) in meat of birds fed 30% RBS with or without lysine. Sensory characteristics were significantly (p<0.05) higher in meat of birds fed 15% RBS with or without lysine supplementation than birds fed 30% RBS. Overall acceptability was higher (p<0.05) in meat from birds fed 15% RBS with T2 having the highest (p<0.05)acceptability score. The best significant improvements in the carcass and meat was in birds fed 15% RBS supplemented with 0.5% lysine, while meat acceptability was higher in those fed 15% RBS with 0.25 lysine supplementation.

*Keywords*: Growth, Benne seeds, Broiler chicken, Carcass and meat assessment, Lysine supplementation.

## Introduction

The most prominent grain legumes and oil seeds that have been conveniently utilized in feeding nonruminant animals especially poultry are soybean (*Glycine max*) and groundnut (*Arachis hypogeal*) but are fast becoming scarce and expensive due to their high demand in human diet (Apata *et al*, 2001). Among the underutilized oil seeds is benne seed (*Sesamum indicum*) which was reportedly (Akanji, *et al*; 2007) contain about 40% protein when defatted which is rich in arginine, leucine and methionine but deficient in lysine, hence it cannot be fed as the major protein supplement in broiler rations. However, Manpulu and Buhr (1991) reported that for effective utilization

of benne seed there must be a sufficient supplementation with lysine. In addition to lysine deficiency, there are some inherent anti-nutritional factors in raw benne seeds that bind dietary calcium due to its high phytic oxalic acids and tannin (Ologhobo, 1992; Akanji, 2007). There had been report (Akanji, et al, 2008) on effect of nutritional toxicology of raw benne seeds on semen quality of adult cockerels and haematology of broiler chickens, but little has been reported on the effect of lysine deficiency in raw benne seeds on carcass and meat characteristics of broiler chicken. This study was conducted therefore, to investigate the effect of feeding raw benne seeds in diets on carcass and meat attributes of broiler chickens

## Materials and Methods Experimental Site

This study was carried out at the poultry unit of the Teaching and Research Farm, College of Agricultural Sciences, Olabisi Onabanjo University, Yewa Campus Ayetoro, Ogun State. Ayetoro falls within latitude 7<sup>0</sup> 15'N and longitude 3<sup>0</sup> 3' E in derived savannah zone of Ogun state with an annual rainfall of 1900mm, maximum temperature between 29 and 34<sup>0</sup>C and relative humidity of 81% (Onakomaiya *et al*; 1992).

## **Experimental Birds**

Total of 140 day-old broiler chicks of Marshal strain were pur-

chased from Obasanjo Farms, Abeokuta in Ogun state. The birds were randomly allotted to 7 groups at 20 birds per group and replicated four times at 5 birds per replicate. Feed and water were supplied ad-libitum for 8 weeks while necessary medications were administered to the birds throughout the experimental period.

## **Experimental Diets**

Seven experimented diets were formulated with raw benne seed (RBS) and other ingredients purchased from Abeokuta market and Animal care Konsult, Ogere Remo, Ogun statewere incorporated into the diets at 15, and 30% levels. Synthetic lysine was incorporated into the diets at 0, 0.25 and 0.5% levels, while Dhmethionine was supplemented in the diets at 0.3% to ensure that the amino acid was not limiting. The starter diets were formulated to contain 23% crude protein and 3200 kcal/kg metabolizable energy, while the finisher diets contained 20% protein and 3000 kcal/kg metabolizable energy. Each of the diets formulated constituted a treatment thus T0 = Control (No Benne seeds and lysine); T1 = 15%RBS =) lysine; T2 = 15% RBS + 0.25% lysine; T3 = 15% RBS + 0.5%lysine; T4 + 30% RBS + 0% lysine; T5 = 30% RBS + 0.25% lysine; T6 =30% RBS + 0.5% lysine as shown on Table 1 and 2

Treatment Diets									
Ingredient	Т0	T1	T2	Т3	T4	T5	T6		
Maize	55.00	51.20	51.20	59.95	42.20	41.50	41.25		
Soybean	30.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	10.00	10.00	10.00		
Benne seed	-	15.00	15.00	15.00	30.00	30.00	30.00		
Fishmeal	2.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00		
Blood meal	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00		
B.D.G	2.70	3.00	3.00	2.75	2.00	2.45	2.45		
Palmoil	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00		
Bone meal	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00		
Oyster Shell	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50		
Salt	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50		
Vitamin/Premix*	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50		
Methionine	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30		
Lysine	-	-	0.25	0.50	-	0.25	0.50		
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00		
Determined Analysis	22.89	22.89	22.84	22.86	23.24	23.26	22.20		
Crude Protein	22.89	22.89	22.04	22.80	23.24	23.20	23.28		
Metabolizable Energy	3068.80	3069.30	3054.60	3056.30	3029.30	3033.00	3044.80		
(kcal/kg)	5008.80	5009.50	5054.00	5050.50	5029.50	5055.00	5044.00		

B.D.G = Brewer's Dry Grains

\* To provide the following per kg of feed = Vit A, 66000iu, vit, D<sub>3</sub> 1000<sub>in</sub>; Vit E, 3.6<sub>iu</sub>; Vit K, 1.25mg; riboflavin, 2mg; pantothenic acid, 4mg; niacin 15mg, choline, 2mg Vit B<sub>12</sub>, 0.06mg; folic acid, 2.67mg; Mn, 0.06mg; Zn, 0.33mg; iodine, 0.67mg; Co, 0.8mg; Cu, 6.6mg, Fe, 6.6mg.

 Table 2. Percentage Composition of Experimental Finisher Broiler Chicken Diets

Treatment Diets									
Ingredient	Т0	T1	T2	Т3	T4	T5	T6		
Maize	58.50	55.50	55.25	55.20	45.50	45.25	45.25		
Soybean	30.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	10.00	10.00	10.00		
Benne seed	-	15.00	15.00	15.00	30.00	30.00	30.00		
Fishmeal	1.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00		
Blood meal	0.50	1.00	1.00	1.10	1.00	1.00	1.00		
B.D.G	1.70	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20		
Palmoil	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00		
Bone meal	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50		
Oyster Shell	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50		
Salt	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50		
Vitamin/Premix*	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50		
Methionine	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30		
Lysine	-	-	0.25	0.25	-	0.25	0.25		
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00		
Determined Analysis Crude Protein	20.75	20.04	20.11	20.13	20.14	20.17	20.21		
Metabolizable Energy (kcal/kg)	3201.30	3204.90	3200.34	3196.40	3182.61	31.85.14	3186.41		

B.D.G = Brewer's Dry Grains

<sup>\*</sup> To provide the following per kg of feed = Vit A, 66000iu, vit, D<sub>3</sub> 1000<sub>in</sub>; Vit E, 3.6<sub>iu</sub>; Vit K, 1.25mg; riboflavin, 2mg; pantothenic acid, 4mg; niacin 15mg, choline, 2mg Vit B<sub>12</sub>, 0.06mg; folic acid, 2.67mg; Mn, 0.06mg; Zn, 0.33mg; iodine, 0.67mg; Co, 0.8mg; Cu, 6.6mg, Fe, 6.6mg.

Kaw Benne Seeus	
Variable	%
Dry Matter	97.36
Crude Protein	25.53
Ether Extract	51.87
Ash	5.29
Nitrogen Free Extract	10.56
Crude Fibre	4.11

## Table 3. Chemical Composition of<br/>Raw Benne Seeds

# Chemical Composition of Benne Seeds

Chemical analysis of raw benne seeds used in this study was carried out following the procedures of AOAC (2002) to determine the dry matter, crude protein, ether extract, ash and crude fibre, while the nitrogen free extract was calculated by difference.

## Slaughtering and carcass processing

Eight birds were randomly taken at the end of feeding trial for carcass and meat analysis. The birds were fasted for 8 hours fasted weights were recorded and slaughtered by severing the jugular veins and carotid arteries at the neck region. The carcass were processed using scalding and singeing methods after which they were eviscerated, dressed carcasses weights and dressing percentages were taken. The carcasses were then cut into primal parts, their weights and percentages taken relative to carcasses weights in each treatment group.

## Physical Parameter Measurements Cooking loss and yield

Cooking was measured with an approximately 25g meat samples removed from the breast cuts broiled in an electric oven at 105°C for 20 minutes until 72°C doneness was reached according to Okubanjo (1997). The meat samples were removed cooled to room temperature (27<sup>0</sup>C) and reweighed. The cooking loss was obtained as:

#### <u>Initial wt. of meat – Final wt. of meat</u> x 100 Initial wt. of meat

The cooking yield was calculated as the difference between 100% and the percentage cooking loss that is (100% - cooking loss %).

## Thermal shortening

This was determined according to the procedures of Honikel (1998) 25g and 6cm samples from breast cuts were used. The meat samples were broiled in an electric oven to at 105°C for 20 minutes at 72°C doneness and the final length of the meat samples were taken and thermal shortening was recorded as:

#### <u>Initial length of meat – Final length of meat</u> x 100 Initial length of meat

## **Drip Loss**

25g of meat samples from breast cuts were wrapped suspended in polythene bags and were hung in a refrigerator at  $4^{\circ}$ C for meats exudates to drain into the bags for 48 hours. The meat samples were removed and reweighed according to Insausti *et al*, (2001) procedures thus:

**Drip Loss** = 
$$\frac{Wp + j(Wp)}{(Wp + m) - (Wp)} x$$
 100

*Where:* Wp = weight of empty polythene bag

Wp + j = weight of bag and drained juice

Wp + m = Weight of bag and meat sample

Water Holding Capacity (WHC): This was determined using press method following the procedures of Mallikarjunan and Mittal (1994) thus:

$$WHC = \frac{Wt_1 - Wt_2}{Wp - Dp} \times 100$$

*Where*:  $Wt_1$  = Weight of meat sample before pressing

 $Wt_2 = Weight of meat sample after pressing$ 

Wp = Weight of wet filter paperDp = Weight of dry filter paper

Shear Force: Meat samples from breast cuts approximately 25g were broiled in electric oven at 105°C for 20 minutes and were cooled to room temperature and wrapped in another polythene bags and chilled at 4°C for 24 hours. They were removed, equilibrated to room temperature and 1.25cm parallel to muscle fibre orientation were cored manually out of the meat samples with a cork borer. The meat samples cores were sheared at 3 locations using a Warner -Bratzler V-notch blade shearing instrument (Honikel, 1998; Qiaofen and Da-Wen, 2005).

## **Meat Sample Sensory Evaluation**

A 10 member taste panel was used to evaluate cooked (broiler) meat samples from breast cuts for colour, flavour, tenderness, juiciness, texture and overall acceptability and the scores were analysed on a 9 – point hedonic scale on which I = dislike extremely and 9 = like extremely (AMSA 1995).

## Experimental design and Statistical Analysis

A completely randomized block design was used in this study, while the statistical analysis of the data collected was carried out using (SAS 2002) and all significant means were separated with Duncan multiple range test of the same software.

## Experimental Design and Statistical Analysis

Completely randomized design was used, while Statistical model below,

Yij =  $\mu$ +Ti+eij; where

Yij = any observation for which X1 = i

 $\mu$  = general location parameter

Ti = effect of having treatment level i; was employed for this study and replicated thrice.

The statistical analysis was carried out using while significant means were separated with Duncan Multiple range test of the same software (SAS 2002).

## **Results and Discussion**

The percentage composition of the experimental starter and finisher broiler chicken diets are show in Tables 1 and 2 while the chemical composition of raw brine seeds (RBS) is presented in Table 3 respectively. Table 4 shows the results of the carcass characteristics of experimental broiler chickens. There was significant (p<0.05) increase in all the carcass characteristics in broiler chickens fed diets with 15% RBS with or without some inclusion and treatment diet T3 furnished highest (p<-0.05) characteristics followed by T2. On the other hand, diets with 30% RBS with or without lysine supplementation had looser (p<0.05) broiler carcass characteristics. These results could be due to the fact that RBS contained certain anti-nutritional factor which the chicken could tolerate at 15% inclusion of RBS and better still when the diet was supplemented with lysine up to 50%. However, it seemed that the broiler chicken could not metabolize the anti-nutritional factor beyond 15% RBS inclusion in the diets hence, the decrease in the

carcass characteristics observed in birds fed treatment diets T4, T5 and T6 respectively. Similar results were reported by Apata et al (2011) who found that carcass characteristics decreased when broiler chickens were fed raw pigeon peas which could contain some identical anti-nutrient factors that could be present in RBS. Cooking loss and thermal shortening values were higher (p<0.05) in meat of birds fed treatment diets T4, T5 T6 while they were lower and (p < .0.05) in meat of birds fed diets T1, T2 and T3 while meat of birds fed diet T1 had the least (p < 0.05)values and meat of those fed diet T6 showed the highest values of and 25.73+1.00. 23.60+1.00 But cooking yield and water holding capacity (WHC) values were higher (p < 0.05) in meals of chicken fed diets T1, T2 and T3 and were significantly (p<0.05) lower in meat of the chickens fed diets T4, T5 and T6. Shear force values were lower (p<0.05) in meat of broiler chickens fed diets T1, T2 and T3, while they were higher (p < 0.05) in the meat of birds fed diets T4, T5 and T6 respectively but no significant (p>0.05) was observed in the drip los values of the meat as shown on Table 5. The results of broiler chicken meat physical characteristics observed in this study were also observed by Apata and Oke (2012) in their work on the effect of supplementary poultry droppings meal with motioning and lysine on the performance and meat characteristics of broiler chickens. It had been reported that WHC has influence on other meat quality factors (Sharma and Sharma 2011) such that as the WHC decreased, cooking and drip

losses thermal shortening as well as cooking yield decreasing, while the shear force values increased sensory characteristics results of meat from broiler chickens fed RBS with or without lysine inclusion are presented in Table 6. Colour or cooked meat increased (p < 0.05) up to treatment T3 and started to decrease from T4 to T6 indicating that RBS could improve the colour of broiler chickens meat supplemented with or without lysine at 15% but was enhanced with lysine inclusion at 50% but broiler meat colour decreased when RBS inclusion in the diet was increased to 30%. Flavour of broiler meat was highest (p<0.05) in birds fed 0%. RBS increased (p<0.05) up to T2 and diminished towards diet T6 which had the least (p<L0.05) flavor score. Juiciness had similar score values as flavor. while texture was highest (p<0.05) in meat of broiler fed diet T2 followed by those fed T3 and decreased in those fed T4. T5 and T6 respectively. Meat from broiler chickens fed treatment T2 was accepted mostly (p < 0.05) than meat samples from chickens fed other diets. The fact the RBS could contain anti-nutritive factor that might impact unsavory taste on it (Akanji and Ologhobo, 2007), this could be transferred into the meat of broiler chickens fed RBS and the meat acceptability decreased as RBS inclusion in the diets was increased from 15 to 30%. Also many of the broiler chickens meat quality factors were higher in the meat of chickens fed T2 with high WHC, texture and relatively high colour, flavour and tenderness could have contributed, immensely to high acceptability of meat from chickens

fed T2. These results agreed with the findings of Igene et al (2002) as well as Apata and Oke (2012).

Treatments										
Variable	Т0	T1	T2	Т3	T4	Т5	T6			
Fasted Weight	1552.00 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>c</sup>	1514.33 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>d</sup>	1707.67 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>b</sup>	1753.33 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>a</sup>	1350.32 <u>+</u> 0.52 <sup>g</sup>	1351.47 <u>+</u> 0.64 <sup>f</sup>	1353.67 <u>+</u> 0.52 <sup>e</sup>			
Dressed Carcass wt (g)	1005.33 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>b</sup>	1003.33 <u>+</u> 0.10 <sup>c</sup>	117.32 <u>+</u> 0.10 <sup>g</sup>	1252.00 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>a</sup>	807.45 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>f</sup>	854.00 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>e</sup>	875.60 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>d</sup>			
Carcass Dressing (%)	64.78 <u>+</u> 0.09 <sup>d</sup>	66.26 <u>+</u> 0.09 <sup>c</sup>	67.58 <u>+</u> 0.09 <sup>b</sup>	71.41 <u>+</u> 0.06 <sup>a</sup>	59.80 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>f</sup>	63.19 <u>+</u> 0.60 <sup>e</sup>	64.68 <u>+</u> 0.60 <sup>d</sup>			
Weight of Thigh (g)	$76.38 \pm 1.00^{d}$	78.45 <u>+</u> 1.10 <sup>c</sup>	80.52 <u>+1.00<sup>b</sup></u>	82.61 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>a</sup>	$70.23 \pm 1.10^{f}$	72.30 <u>+</u> 1.10 <sup>e</sup>	76.50 <u>+</u> 1.10 <sup>d</sup>			
Thigh (%)	$7.60 \pm 0.10^{b}$	7.81 <u>+</u> 0.10 <sup>b</sup>	$6.98 \pm 0.20^{\circ}$	$6.60 \pm 0.09^{\circ}$	8.70 <u>+</u> 0.14 <sup>a</sup>	$8.47 \pm 0.14^{a}$	8.71 <u>+</u> 0.14 <sup>a</sup>			
Weight of Drumstick (g)	78.42 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>d</sup>	82.20 <u>+</u> 0.10 <sup>c</sup>	86.32 <u>+</u> 0.10 <sup>b</sup>	87.51 <u>+</u> 0.10 <sup>a</sup>	69.45 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>g</sup>	71.50 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>f</sup>	73.40 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>e</sup>			
Drumstick (%)	7.81 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>ab</sup>	8.19 <u>+</u> 0.36 <sup>a</sup>	7.48 <u>+</u> 0.34 <sup>b</sup>	6.99 <u>+</u> 0.36 <sup>c</sup>	8.60 <u>+</u> 0.36 <sup>a</sup>	8.37 <u>+</u> 0.34 <sup>a</sup>	8.38 <u>+</u> 0.36 <sup>a</sup>			
Weight of Back (g)	119.71 <u>+</u> 0.08 <sup>c</sup>	25.51 <u>+</u> 0.09 <sup>g</sup>	126.71 <u>+</u> 0.10 <sup>b</sup>	150.64 <u>+</u> 0.10 <sup>a</sup>	101.41 <u>+</u> 0.07 <sup>e</sup>	87.31 <u>+</u> 0.06 <sup>f</sup>	116.12 <u>+</u> 0.08 <sup>d</sup>			
Back (%)	11.91 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>ab</sup>	12.50 <u>+</u> 0.34 <sup>b</sup>	$10.98 \pm 1.00^{\circ}$	$12.03 \pm 1.00^{b}$	12.56 <u>+</u> 0.19 <sup>b</sup>	12.22 <u>+</u> 0.34 <sup>b</sup>	13.26 <u>+</u> 0.19 <sup>a</sup>			
Weight of Wing (g)	64.32 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>d</sup>	65.43 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>c</sup>	67.68 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>b</sup>	74.71 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>a</sup>	54.91 <u>+</u> 11.00 <sup>f</sup>	53.20 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>g</sup>	61.32 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>e</sup>			
Wing (g)	6.40 <u>+</u> 0.09 <sup>a</sup>	6.52 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>a</sup>	6.86 <u>+</u> 0.91 <sup>a</sup>	5.96 <u>+</u> 0.69 <sup>b</sup>	6.80 <u>+</u> 0.53 <sup>a</sup>	6.23 <u>+</u> 0.69 <sup>a</sup>	7.00 <u>+</u> 0.53 <sup>a</sup>			
Weight of Breast (g)	261.61 <u>+</u> 0.01 <sup>d</sup>	263.62 <u>+</u> 0.03 <sup>c</sup>	270.81 <u>+</u> 0.02 <sup>b</sup>	330.12 <u>+</u> 0.10 <sup>a</sup>	207.14 <u>+</u> 0.01 <sup>f</sup>	171.10 <u>+</u> 0.01 <sup>g</sup>	245.34 <u>+</u> 0.10 <sup>e</sup>			
Breast (%)	$26.02 \pm 1.00^{b}$	26.27 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>b</sup>	23.47 <u>+</u> 0.24 <sup>d</sup>	26.37 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>b</sup>	$25.65 \pm 0.24^{\circ}$	$20.04 \pm 0.24^{e}$	$28.02 \pm 1.10^{a}$			

Means on the same row with different superscript are statistically Significant (P<0.05)

Treatments									
Variable (%)	T0	T1	T2	Т3	T4	Т5	T6		
Cooking Loss	12.58+0.52 <sup>g</sup>	15.64 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>f</sup>	17.15 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>e</sup>	19.09 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>d</sup>	20.53 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>c</sup>	22.30 <u>+</u> 0.52 <sup>b</sup>	23.60 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>a</sup>		
Cooking Yield	87.42 <u>+</u> 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	84.36 <u>+</u> 0.02 <sup>b</sup>	82.85 <u>+</u> 0.02 <sup>c</sup>	80.91 <u>+</u> 0.02 <sup>d</sup>	79.47 <u>+</u> 0.10 <sup>c</sup>	77.70 <u>+</u> 0.01	76.40 <u>+</u> 0.05 <sup>a</sup>		
Thermal Shortening	15.34 <u>+</u> 0.71 <sup>a</sup>	15.52 <u>+</u> 0.71	$18.44 \pm 1.00^{e}$	$20.64 \pm 1.00^{d}$	22.41 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>c</sup>	23.58 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>b</sup>	25.73 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>a</sup>		
WHC	80.67 <u>+</u> 0.36	75.60 <u>+</u> 0.36 <sup>d</sup>	67.63 <u>+</u> 0.36 <sup>a</sup>	65.37 <u>+</u> 0.36 <sup>b</sup>	57.73 <u>+</u> 0.15 <sup>d</sup>	55.67 <u>+</u> 0.15 <sup>a</sup>	53.40 <u>+</u> 0.15 <sup>c</sup>		
Drip Loss	1.55 <u>+</u> 0.16 <sup>b</sup>	1.62 <u>+</u> 0.21 <sup>b</sup>	1.65 <u>+</u> 0.21 <sup>b</sup>	1.67 <u>+</u> 0.21 <sup>b</sup>	1.70 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>b</sup>	1.75 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>b</sup>	2.77 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>a</sup>		
Shear Force (kg/cm <sup>3</sup> )	4.15 <u>+</u> 0.14 <sup>c</sup>	5.21 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>b</sup>	5.25 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>b</sup>	5.32 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>b</sup>	6.33 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>a</sup>	6.41 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>a</sup>	6.52 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>a</sup>		

Means on the same row with different superscripts are statistically significant (P<0.05) WHC = Water Holding Capacity

Treatments									
Variable	T0	T1	T2	Т3	T4	T5	T6		
Colour	3.53 <u>+</u> 0.22 <sup><u>d</u></sup>	$4.63 \pm 0.40^{\circ}$	5.80+0.67 <sup>b</sup>	6.85 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>a</sup>	4.77+0.67 <sup>c</sup>	$3.60 \pm 0.22^{d}$	3.51 <u>+</u> 0.22 <sup>d</sup>		
Flavour	7.83 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>a</sup>	6.80 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>b</sup>	6.73 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>b</sup>	$5.60 \pm 1.00^{\circ}$	4.55 <u>+</u> 0.44 <sup>c</sup>	4.40 <u>+</u> 0.44 <sup>d</sup>	4.23 <u>+</u> 0.44 <sup>d</sup>		
Tenderness	6.87 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>a</sup>	5.80 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>b</sup>	5.60 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>a</sup>	5.53 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>b</sup>	4.90 <u>+</u> 0.40 <sup>c</sup>	4.67 <u>+</u> 0.11 <sup>c</sup>	4.30 <u>+</u> 0.11 <sup>c</sup>		
Juiciness	7.13 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>a</sup>	5.83 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>b</sup>	5.50 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>b</sup>	5.30 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>b</sup>	3.93 <u>+</u> 0.08 <sup>c</sup>	$3.85 \pm 0.08^{\circ}$	3.80 <u>+</u> 0.08 <sup>c</sup>		
Texture	5.50 <u>+</u> 0.57 <sup>c</sup>	6.57 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>b</sup>	7.87 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>a</sup>	6.57 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>b</sup>	5.00 <u>+</u> 0.57 <sup>c</sup>	4.80 <u>+</u> 0.29 <sup>c</sup>	4.73 <u>+</u> 0.29 <sup>c</sup>		
Overall Acceptability	5.70 <u>+</u> 0.21 <sup>c</sup>	5.87 <u>+</u> 0.44 <sup>c</sup>	7.60 <u>+</u> 0.44 <sup>a</sup>	6.53 <u>+</u> 0.44b	5.43 <u>+</u> 0.07 <sup>c</sup>	4.27 <u>+</u> 0.06	3.20 <u>+</u> 0.05 <sup>e</sup>		

 Table 6. Sensory Characteristics of Broiler Chickens' Meat

Means on the same row with different superscripts are statistically significant (P<0.05)

## Conclusion

The results from this study revealed that carcass characteristics decreased in broiler chickens fed 30% raw benne seeds than in those birds fed 15% RBS either with or without lysine supplementation in the diets. Also meat quality characters were lower in broiler chickens fed 30% RBS, with overall acceptability of meat higher in birds fed 15% RBS with diet T2 well accepted. It could be concluded that the highest improvement in the carcass and meat was in birds fed 15% RBS supplemented with 0.5% lysine while the meat from broiler chickens fed 15% RBS supplemented with 0.25% lysine was mostly preferred.

## References

- Akanji, A.M 2002. Enhancing the Utilization of Some Tropical Legume Seeds in diet of Exotic Meat Type and Egg type Chickens. Ph.D Thesis, Department of Animal Sciences, University of Ibadan, Ibadan Oyo State, Nigeria.
- Akanji, A.M. and A.O, Ologhobo 2007. Effects of Some Raw Tropical Legume Seeds on egg quality and Laying Performance of, Exotic hens. American Eurasian Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Science 2 (6): 648-654.

- AMSA 1995. Research guidelines for cookery, sensory evaluation and instrumental measurement of fresh meat. National Livestock and Meat Board, Chicago 1L. USA.
- AOAC 2000 Official Methods of Analysis, 19<sup>th</sup> Edition A O A C Inter Inc. Washington, D.C Pp 121.
- Apata, E.S. and D.B, Oke 2012. Performance and Meat Characteristics of Broiler Chickens fed graded levels of dried poultry droppings meal supplemented with methionine and lysine Nigeria Poultry Science Journal 19:117-125.
- Apata, E.S; A.M, Akanji and O.J, Ishola 2011. Carcass and Meat Evaluation of Broiler Chickens fed differently Processed Pigeon Peas (Cajanus Cajan) basal diets Nigeria Poultry Science Journal 18:17-25.
- Honikel, J.L. 1998. Reference Methods for the Assessment of Physical Characteristics of Meat Science 49:447-457.
- Igene, F.U; J.O, Omueti and A, Arijeniwa 2002. Nutrient and Anti-Nutrient Components of some Raw Tropical Pulses. Proceedings of Annual Conference of Nigerian Society for Animal Production Akure, Nigeria Pp 199 – 121.
- Insausti, K; M.J, Beriain; A, Purroy; P, Albert; C, Gorraiz and M.J, Alzueta 2001. Shelf life of Beef from Local Spanish Cattle Breeds under

modified atmosphere. Meat Science 57:273-281.

- Mallikarjunan, P. and G.S, Mittal 1994. Meat Quality Kinetics during beef Carcass Chilling, Journal of Food Science 59:291-294.
- Mamputu, M. and R.J, Buhr 1991. Effects of Substituting Sesame meal for Soybean on layer Performance. Poultry Science 70 (supplement 1.1):77.
- Okubanjo, A.O. 1997. Meat characteristics of singed and conventionally dressed chevon carcasses. Journal of Food Science and Tech. 34(6): 494–497.
- Ologhobo, A.D. 1992. Nutritive Values of Some Tropical (West African) Legumes for Poultry. Journal of

Applied Animal Research 2:93-104.

- Onokomaiya, S.O; K.A, Oyesiku and S.J, Agbede 1992. Ogun state in Maps. Rex Charles Publications, Ibadan, Oyo State. Pp. 7-8.
- Qiaofen, C. and S, Da-Wen 2005. Application of PLSR in correlating Physical and Chemical Properties of Pork ham with different cooking methods Meats Science 70:691-698.
- SAS 2002. Statistical Analysis System SAS Stat Version 9 SAS Institute Inc. Garry, NC, USA.
- Sharma, B.D and K, Sharma 2011. Outlines of Meat Science and Technology. Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers (P) Ltd, New Delhi, India, Pp 33-37.